

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 13 December 2012

17637/12 ADD 11

FISC 196 ECOFIN 1062

COVER NOTE

from:	Secretary-General of the European Commission,
	signed by Mr Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU, Director
date of receipt:	6 December 2012
to:	Mr Uwe CORSEPIUS, Secretary-General of the Council of the European
	Union
No Cion doc.:	SWD(2012) 403 final - Volume 9/14
Subject:	Comission Staff Working Document
	Impact Assessment
	Accompanying the document
	the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament and the
	Council - An Action Plan to strenghten the fight against tax fraud and tax evasion
	the Commission Recommendation regarding measures intended to encourage
	third countries to apply minimum standards of good governance in tax matters
	the Commission Recommendation on aggressive tax planning

Delegations will find attached Commission document SWD(2012) 403 final - Volume 9/14.

Encl.: SWD(2012) 403 final Volume 9/14



Brussels, 6.12.2012 SWD(2012) 403 final

Volume 9/14

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

IMPACT ASSESSMENT

Accompanying the document

the Communication from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council - An Action Plan to strengthen the fight against tax fraud and tax evasion

the Commission Recommendation regarding measures intended to encourage third countries to apply minimum standards of good governance in tax matters

the Commission Recommendation on aggressive tax planning

{COM(2012) 722 final} {SWD(2012) 404 final}

EN EN

ANNEX 9- Tables extracted from the Study including a data collection and comparative analysis of information available in the public domain on existing and proposed tax measures of the 14 EU Member States in relation to non-cooperative jurisdictions and aggressive tax planning

Table 1: definition of non-cooperative juridictions in the 14 MS reviewed by the PWC study

MS	YES/NO	REMARKS
BELGIUM	No	However, in Belgian tax law several notions or terms occur that could be linked to the notion of NCJ (e.g. "tax regime that is substantially more advantageous", "tax regime which is different than the common tax regime, country which "is considered by the OECD Global Forum on Transparency and Exchange of Information as a State that has not substantially and effectively applied the OECD exchange of information standard").
CYPRUS	No	Although in the Cyprus tax legislation there are references which may be linked to the concept of NCJ ("substantially lower tax burden that Cyprus tax burden")
DENMARK	No	Several of the anti-abuse measures are only targeted to jurisdictions outside the EU/EEA with which Denmark has not concluded a tax treaty.
ESTONIA	Yes	The Estonian tax legislation defines the concept of "Low Tax Territory" (i.e. territory with no taxation or a substantially lower taxation than in Estonia Considering the tax rate for personal income tax is flat 21%, a low tax rate territory is the territory where the applicable tax rate is below 6,93% ¹¹). Note that: • a country can be partially considered as "Low Tax Territory" if taxation regimes differ from
		one entity to another; a company can be deemed not to be located in a "Low Tax Territory" if 50% of its annual income is derived from an actual economic activity (the latter concept is not defined in Estonian tax law); a white list exists.
FRANCE	Yes	A state or territory is defined as non-cooperative if it meets several criteria (i.e. (i) if it is not a member of the European Union, (ii) if its situation as regards transparency and exchange of information has been scrutinised by the OECD, (iii) if it has concluded less than 12 Tax Information Exchange Agreements before 1 January 2010 and (iv) if it has not signed such agreement with France). A list of non-cooperative states/territories ("NCST") exists and is subject to strict rules (e.g. adding to or withdrawal from the list).
GERMANY	No	Some measures with regard to entities resident in a list of uncooperative countries/non- cooperative jurisdictions that do not adhere to the OECD standards on tax information exchange were introduced in 2009 by way of a tax act aimed at combating 'tax evasion and harmful tax practices". Measures can only be applied if the country has been black-listed by the federal Ministry of Finance (i.e. no single country for the moment).
HUNGARY	No	A similar concept is however approached through the CPC regime (i.e. the requirement of the Hungarian private person ownership or income from Hungary was recently – in 2010 – incorporated in the CPC definition, resulting in the fact that it practically refers to Hungarian capital located in offshore territories).
IRELAND	No	There are however particular provisions in Irish tax law that provide for the tax benefits in relation to payments to and from Ireland on the basis that the income is subject to tax in the recipient foreign territory.
LUXEMBOURG	No	However, the concept of NCJ could be indirectly derived from several provisions of Luxembourg income tax law ("LITL"). Indeed, various provisions of the LITL are applicable to joint stock companies resident in Third Countries (i.e. non-MSs) to the extent that "[these companies] are fully liable in ([their] state of residence) to a tax corresponding to Luxembourg corporate income tax".
MALTA	No	The only approach of this concept can be found in the "other jurisdictions exchanging information" regime (e.g. Malta does not exchange information with countries which do not enter in an agreement).
NETHERLANDS	No	Several notions could however be linked to the concept of NCJ, in particular the notion of "profit

NETHERLANDS	No	Several notions could however be linked to the concept of NCJ, in particular the notion of "profit or income tax that is reasonable according to Dutch standards" provided in several dispositions.
SPAIN	No No	However, similar concepts such as "tax havens" or "jurisdictions with nil taxation" are defined in Spanish tax law.
SWEDEN	No	Indirect effect of the definition of the term "foreign corporation" (i.e. "entity subject to taxation similar to Swedish corporation income tax")
UK	No	None

 $^{^{11}}$ Cfr. Appendix 2, Estonia, Definition of NCJ, p 86. 12 Cfr. Appendix 2, France, Definition of NCJ, p 102.

Table 2: Comparison of existing lists

			P)	ack (and Grey) Li	de			White Lists	
	Role	gium	Estonia	France	Spain Spain	Sweden	United Kingdom	Estonia	United Kingdom
Third Countries	Common tax regime substantially more advantageous ²³	No or low tax burden ²⁴	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list exclusions) ²⁸	NCSTS	Tax Havens	Low-taxed persons ²⁸	CFC apportionment (qualified countries) ²⁷	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list)	CFC apportionment exemptions**
Abu Dhabi		X							
Afghanistan	X								
Ajman		X							
Alderney	X								
Andorra		X				X			
Anguilla		X	X		X				
Antigua and Barbuda					x				
Argentina Aruba							X20		
Aruba			X						
Australia						X			X
Bahamas		X							
Bahrain		X			X	X			
Belize	X					X ³⁰			
Bermuda		X	X		X				
Bosnia - Herzegovina	x								
Botswana				X					X
British Virgin Islands	x	x	x		x				
Brunei				X	X	X ^{a1}	X		
Burundi	X								
Canada						X33			X
Cap Green	X								

Legend:

- · To ease the reading, territories listed on black lists (left part of the table) by,
 - two MSs are highlighted as follows:
 - three MSs are highlighted as follows: more than three are highlighted as follows:
- Territories listed on the right part of the table are territories mentioned on existing white lists and mentioned on other MSs' black or grey lists.

That is to say a nominal or effective tax rate below 15%.

That is to say a nominal tax rate below 10%.

That is to say a nominal tax rate below 10%.

In asse of countries not belonging to, or being excluded ("excepted") from the "white list", there is a burden on the taxpayers to prove that the entities there are not considered to be located on the "low tax rate territory" (i.e. taxpayers has to prove that the tax rate there is higher than 1/3 of the tax applicable to individuals in Estonia, more than 50% of the income of the entity there is derived from actual economic activity, etc.).

That is to say a nominal or effective tax rate below 14.5%.

It is to say a nominal or effective tax rate below 14.5%.

That is to say a nominal or effective tax rate on the income below 14.5%.

That is to say a nominal tax rate entities there are not considered to be located on the "own actual economic activity, etc.).

That is to say a nominal tax rate below 16.5%.

That is to say a nominal tax rate below 16.5%.

That is to say a nominal tax rate below 16.5%.

That is to say a nominal tax rate below 16.5%.

only for income from banking operations that are not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.
Only for income not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.
Only for income not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.
Only for income not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.
Companies qualifying as "pioneer companies" under the Investment Incentives Enactment 1975.
Only for income from banking operations that are not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.

		White	White Lists						
	Belg	ium	Estonia	ck (and Grey) Li France	Spain	Sweden	United Kingdom	Estonia	United Kingdom
Third Countries	Common tax regime substantially more advantageous ²³	No or low tax burden ²⁴	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list exclusions) ²⁵	NCSTS	Tax Havens	Low-taxed persons ²⁸	CFC apportionment (qualified countries) ²⁷	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list)	CFC apportionment exemptions*7
Cayman Islands		x	x		x				
Central African Republic	x								
Chile							X×		
Comoros	X								
Cook Islands	X				X				
Costa Rica						X ³⁰			
Cuba	X								
Djibouti						X			
Dominican Republic	x				x				x
Dubai		X							
Dutch Antilles			X						
Egypt							X ³⁰		
Equatorial Guinea	x								
Falkland Islands					x				x
Faroe Islands							$X^{\prime\prime}$		
Federation of Micronesia	x	x							
Piji					X				X
Fujairah		X							
Gibraltar	X		X		X	X			
Grenada	X				X				
Guatemala				X					
Guernsey	X	X	X		X	X			
Guinea - Bissau	x								
Haiti	X								
Herm Island	X								
Hong Kong			X			X"	X"		
Iran	X								
Iraq	X								

³⁴ Companies obtaining exemption from tax under Law 16,441 of 1st March 1966 on income from property located in the Department of Isla da Pascua or from activities developed in that Companies obtaining exemption from tax under Law 10,44s of 1st March 1906 on income from property located in the Department of Isla depeartment.

Only for income considered to arise in another territory and not subject to tax.

Companies which do not fall within the scope of Article 11s, Book 2 of Law 157 of 1981 because they do not operate in Egypt.

Companies deriving interest from Farcese financial institutions from which tax is deducted at source under Law 4 of 26th March 1953.

Only for income considered to arise in another territory and not subject to tax.

Companies deriving income in or from the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region and submitting tax returns to the authorities of that Region.

		White Lists							
	Belg	ium	Estonia	France	Spain	Sweden	United Kingdom	Estonia	United Kingdom
Third Countries	Common tax regime substantially more advantageous ²³	No or low tax burden ²⁴	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list exclusions) ²⁵	NCSTS	Tax Havens	Low-taxed persons ²⁸	CFC apportionment (qualified countries) ²⁷	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list)	CFC apportionment exemptions ²⁷
Isle of Man	X	X			X	X		X	
Jersey	X	X	X		X	X		X	
Jethou		X							
Jordan					X				
Kenya Kiribati							X ^{eo}		
	X								
Laos	X								
Lebanon					X	X*1			
Liberia	X				X	X			
Liechtenstein (EEA)	x				x	x			
Macau	X		X		X	X	X ^u		
Malaysia							Xea		
Maldives	X	X				X			
Mariana Islands					x				
Marshall Islands	x		x	x					
Mauritius					X				
Mayotte	X								
Moldavia		X						X	
Monaco	X	X			X	X			
Montenegro		X				X"			
Montserrat	X		X	X	X				
Morocco						Xeo	X _{eo}		
Namibia	X								
Nauru		X		X	X				
Niue	X			X					
North Korea	X								
Oman	X				X				
Pakistan							X ⁶⁷		

Companies having income exempted from tax under paragraph 11 of Schedule 1 to the Income Tax Act 1973.

41 Only for income from banking and finance, other financial and insurance services.

42 From 20th December 1999, companies deriving income in or from the Macao Special Administrative Region and submitting tax returns to the authorities of that Region.

43 (1) Companies exempt from tax in accordance with section 54A of the Income Tax Act 1967 (shipping), (2) Companies subject to tax at 5 per cent in accordance with sections 60A and 60B of the Income Tax Act 1967 (inward reinsurance and offshore insurance). (3) Companies deriving dividends from a company or companies deriving income from one or more of the activities referred to in paragraphs (1) and (2) above. (4) Companies obtaining a tax benefit under the Offshore Companies Act (Island of Labuan) 1990.

44 Only for income from such banking and finance, other financial and insurance services that are not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.

45 Only for income from banking and finance, other financial and insurance services that are not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime as well as income from coordination centres.

46 Companies receiving a tax benefit under Law 58—90 of 1992 (offshore financial centres).

47 Companies deriving rovalties, commissions or fees which are exempt from tax under paragraph 129 in Part I of the second Schedule to the Income Tax Ordinance 1979.

		White Lists							
	Belgium		Estonia	ick (and Grey) Li France	Spain	Sweden	United Kingdom	Estonia	United Kingdom
Third Countries	Common tax regime substantially more advantageous ²³	No or low tax burden ²⁴	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list exclusions) ³⁶	NCSTs	Tax Havens	Low-taxed persons ²⁸	CFC apportionment (qualified countries) ²⁷	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list)	CFC apportionment exemptions*7
Palau		X							
Panama	X					X*0			
Philippines				X			X		
Puerto Rico							Xoo		
Ras al Khaimah		х							
Saint - Vincent and the Grenadines	x				x				
Saint Christopher and Nevis	x								
Saint Lucia	X				X				
Saint- Barthélemy		х							
Saint-Pierre- et-Miquelon	x								
Samoa	X								
San Marino						X ⁵¹			
Sao Tome and Principe Sark	x								
Sark		X							
Seychelles	X			· ·	X	X			
Sharjah		X							
Singapore						Xan	Zen	X	
Solomon Islands					x				x
Somalia	X								
Sri Lanka							Xos		

⁴⁶ Only for income considered to arise in another territory and not subject to tax.

46 (s) Companies authorised under Presidential Decree 1034 of 30th September 1976, or under Presidential Decree 1035 of 30th September 1976, to operate an offshore Banking Unit or a Foreign Currency Deposit Unit as defined in those Decrees. (2) Companies receiving interest on deposits with a Foreign Currency Deposit Unit, or other interest subject to the reduced rates of tax under section 27(D) of the National Internal Revenue Code 1997.

(3) Companies obtaining a tax benefit under section 2(o) of the Industrial Incentive Act 1978 (designated service industries). (2) Companies obtaining a tax benefit under section 25 of the International Banking Centre Regulatory Act 1989 (International Banking Entities).

50 Only for income from such banking and finance, other financial and insurance services that are not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.

50 Only for income from such banking and finance, other financial and insurance services that are not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.

50 (1) Any company obtaining tax concessions under Ministry of Finance Regulations pursuant to section 49A, and sections 43C to 43K, of the Income Tax Act. (2) Companies obtaining exemption from tax on the income of a shipping enterprise in accordance with section 340 of the Income Tax Act. (3) Companies Obtaining relief from tax in accordance with sections 45 to 55 (international trade incentives), and sections 75 to 84 (warehouse and service incentives), of the Economic Expansion Incentives (Relief from Income Tax) Act. (4) Companies deriving dividends from a companies deriving income from one or more of the activities falling within paragraphs (1) to (2) above.

		Black (and Grey) Lists									
	Belg	jum	Estonia	France	Spain	Sweden	United Kingdom	Estonia	United Kingdom		
Third Countries	Common tax regime substantially more advantageous ²³	No or low tax burden ²⁴	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list exclusions) ²⁵	NCSTS	Tax Havens	Low-taxed persons ²⁸	CFC apportionment (qualified countries) ²⁷	Low Tax Rate Territories (white list)	CFC apportionment exemptions ²⁷		
Switzerland						Xoo		X			
Tanzania							Xoo				
Thailand						X°′	Xoo				
Tunisia							Xon				
Turkey						X ⁸⁰		X	X		
Turks and Caicos Islands		x	x		x						
Tuvalu	X										
Umm al Oaiwain		x									
United Arab Emirates						x					
USA							X^{01}				
US Samoa	X										
US Virgin Islands			x		x						
Uzbekistan	X										
Vanuatu		X			X						
Virgin Islands	X										
Wallis and Futuna		x									

⁵⁴ Companies obtaining relief or exemption from income tax under any of the following provisions of the Inland Revenue Act 1979— (a) section 8(c)(iv) (foreign currency banking units); (b) section 15(b) (income derived from approved bank accounts); (c) section 10(e) (interest of newly resident companies); (d) section 15(cc) (services rendered outside Sri Lanka); (e) section 15(p) (re-export of approved products).

55 Only for income from banking and finance, other financial and insurance services

56 Companies relieved or exempted from income tax under section 15(1) or (1A) of the Income Tax Act 1973.

57 Only for income from banking operations that are not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.

58 Companies obtaining a tax benefit under Royal Decree 280 of 22nd September 1992 (offshore banking units).

59 Companies obtaining a exemption from, or reduction of, tax under Law 75(6) of 12th July 1976 (financial and banking institutions dealing with non-residents).

60 Only for income from such banking and finance, other financial and insurance services that are not taxed under the ordinary income tax regime.

61 Domestic International Sales Corporations as defined in section 92(a) of the Internal Revenue Code 1954.