

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION Brussels, 14 June 2013

11034/13

AGRI 384 AGRIORG 85 ACP 89 FIN 345

<b>COVER NOTE</b>	
from:	Secretary-General of the European Commission,
	signed by Mr Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU, Director
date of receipt:	31 May 2013
to:	Mr Uwe CORSEPIUS, Secretary-General of the Council of the European
	Union
No Cion doc.:	COM(2013) 323 final
Subject:	REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT
-	AND THE COUNCIL on Evolution of the sugar imports in the European
	Union from LDC and ACP countries
	Commission report referred to in Article 5 (3) of Commission Regulation
	(EC) No 828/2009

Delegations will find attached the Commission document COM(2013) 323 final.

Encl.: COM(2013) 323 final



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

> Brussels, 31.5.2013 COM(2013) 323 final

### REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL

Evolution of the sugar imports in the European Union from LDC and ACP countries

Commission report referred to in Article 5 (3) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 828/2009

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	Legal Framework	3
2.	Introduction	3
3.	New Sugar import context	4
4.	Evolution of sugar imports	4
4.1.	Quantities of sugar imports	5
4.2.	Origin of sugar imports	5
4.3.	Raw versus white sugar imports	5
5.	Supply and sugar price evolution	6
6.	Necessary market measures	7
7.	Conclusions	7

### REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL

#### Evolution of the sugar imports in the European Union from LDC and ACP countries

#### Commission report referred to in Article 5 (3) of Commission Regulation (EC) No 828/2009

#### 1. LEGAL FRAMEWORK

Article 9 of Council Regulation (EC) N° 1528/2007 of 20 December 2007 (the Market Access Regulation) applying the arrangements for products originating in certain states which are part of the African, Caribbean and Pacific (ACP) Group of States provided for in agreements establishing, or leading to the establishment of, Economic Partnership Agreements (EPA) provides that for the period 1 October 2009 to 30 September 2015 imports of sugar from ACP states which are not Least Developed Countries (LDC) may be suspended where simultaneously sugar imports from all ACP's exceed 3.5 million tonnes and imports from ACP non-LDC's exceed 1.6 million tonnes per marketing year. This quantity has been subdivided by region of production which guarantees minimum access for each EPA region. This is called the Transitional Safeguard Mechanism (TSM).

Article 5 and Annex IV of Commission Regulation (EC) N° 828/2009 of 10 September 2009 laying down detailed rules of application for the marketing years 2009/2010 to 2014/2015 for the import and refining of sugar products of tariff heading 1701 under preferential agreements, provides further details of the TSM. Article 5 paragraph 3 states that "the Commission shall present a report on the functioning of the transitional safeguard mechanism for sugar". The report shall take account of sugar trade flows from ACP and LDC countries listed in annex I of this Regulation.

#### 2. INTRODUCTION

In 2006 the European Union reformed its sugar regime in order to increase the competitiveness and market orientation of the EU sugar industry. Key elements of this reform were a gradual 36% cut in the EU support prices for both the EU producers and ACP/LDC preferential exporters and a reduction of the EU quota sugar production. The 2006 sugar reform took into account the preferential access for ACP and LDC sugar producers.

During the reform it was estimated that, on the import side, a major role might be played by "swap"<sup>1</sup> trade flows from preferential partners (ACP/LDC), under the hypothesis of a world

1

In those estimates, it was underlined that " A *major role might be played by "swap" trade flows, on the level of which remained a lot of uncertainty",* due to the difficulties in organizing this scheme (i.e. all EBA sugar production had to be exported to the EU and EBA countries had to buy sugar needed for

white sugar price of USD 200 /tonne and an exchange rate of 1.3 USD/ $\in$ . Under these assumptions, the difference between the EU sugar price and the world price, or the price in the ACP and LDC countries, might encourage some of these countries to export to the EU as much of their domestic production as possible by using swaps.

Swaps depend on the gap between world and EU prices, freight costs and importers capacity to organise this difficult scheme. During the reform it was considered that the potential maximum volume which could be "swapped" was 3.5 million tonnes, which corresponded to the ACP/LDC production capacity.

#### 3. NEW SUGAR IMPORT CONTEXT

The 2009/10 marketing year was the first year of implementation of a complete new legal framework on imports. As from 1 October 2009 the ACP preferential import regime changed from the country allocated ACP "Sugar Protocol" quantities to Duty Free Quota Free imports under the Economic Partnership Agreement (EPA) and Everything But Arms (EBA) agreements, which expanded significantly ACP/LDC market access: LDC's sugar exports to the EU were fully liberalized and sugar imports from ACP's non LDC's partners were subject only to a safeguard measure based on volume.

In 2009/2010, ACP/LDCs exports to the EU slightly decreased, but as from 2010/11, we assisted to a gradual but continuing rise in these exports. World market prices have been particularly high over the last 3 years and the EU market has been therefore less attractive; ACP/LDCs taking also advantage of high priced local, regional and world markets. ACP/LDCs exported in 2011 worldwide 3.2 million tonnes of sugar, of which 1.9 million tonnes to the EU. Moreover, growth of production and exports from ACP/LDCs has been lower than expected due to some delay in on-going investments.

Furthermore, since 2008 some ACP countries took a strategic decision to increase exports in the form of white sugar. This development combined with growing consumption in the ACP/LDC countries has reduced the volumes of raw sugar available for exports to the EU.

In 2011, sugar **consumption** in the ACP/LDC countries was 7.3 million tonnes, compared to 5.1 million tonnes in 2004, which represents an increase of 41% during this period.

For details see table V in the annex.

#### 4. **EVOLUTION OF SUGAR IMPORTS**

Following the 2006 sugar reform, the EU changed from a net exporter of sugar to a net importer.

their consumption on the world market). Commission staff working document-reforming the European Union's sugar policy, SEC 2005, 0808 final, page 9.

#### 4.1. Quantities of sugar imports

In the period **between 2001/02 to 2005/06**, average total sugar imports into the EU were 2.1 million tonnes, of which 1.6 million tonnes from ACP/LDC countries.

Since the marketing year 2006/07, total sugar shipments from third countries started to grow significantly, and during the **2010/11** marketing year sugar imports had already surged to the unprecedented level of 3.7 million tonnes (+ 77% compared to the period 2001/01 to 2005/06), the highest level ever. Meanwhile, preferential sugar imports from ACP/LDC countries reached the level of 1.8 million tonnes (+ 16% in relation to the period 2001/01 to 2005/06).

In the marketing year **2011/12**, overall imports of sugar into the EU were slightly lower than the previous marketing year, 3.6 million tonnes (- 3%). By contrast, preferential sugar imports from ACP-LDC countries continued to expand up to the level of 1.84 million tonnes (+1.9%, compared to the previous marketing year) setting a new record level. Therefore, preferential sugar imports have been growing continuously since the reform of 2006, although not to the extent expected in the most optimistic scenario, including swaps.

For details on imports see annex I.

#### 4.2. Origin of sugar imports

This upward trend concerned particularly the ACP/LDC following origins: Mozambique, Swaziland, Malawi, Zambia, Zimbabwe, Laos and Cambodia.

Based on current data it seems that the most promising LDC country for further expansion of sugar production and export to the EU is Mozambique.

For details on imports see annexes II, III and IV.

#### 4.3. Raw versus white sugar imports

The previous sugar protocol only allowed country allocated sugar, mainly raw sugar for refining. Therefore one of the major new elements since the reform of the sugar regime was the possibility to import white sugar. And indeed some ACP countries, notably Mauritius, have increased exports of white sugar compared to raw sugar, to reap the higher added value. In marketing year 2011/12, raw sugar imports into the EU surged to a new record level of 2.7 million tonnes representing 76% of the total volume imported.

For details on imports see annex I.

#### 5. SUPPLY AND SUGAR PRICE EVOLUTION

#### Supply evolution

During the 2006/07 and 2007/08 marketing year, the EU sugar market was over supplied and the EU was obliged to withdraw quota sugar from the market. This surplus market situation lasted until the beginning of the 2008/09 marketing year. As of the marketing year 2009/10, the EU sugar market turned from an over-supplied market to a more balanced market. Whilst the open access for ACP/LDC countries created new opportunities for EU operators to source sugar, it also induced downward pressure on EU sugar prices. However, due to this development in combination with increasing world market price, some EU importers experienced difficulties to contract their supply needs. In the course of the calendar year 2011, it became evident that the EU sugar market was not an oversupplied market but rather a tight market. Therefore, as of the 2011/12 marketing year, sugar prices were negotiated in this new economic environment and the sugar producers managed to negotiate much higher sugar prices for the EU market.

#### Price evolution

During the transitional period between **July 2006 – September 2009**, the EU internal white sugar price declined by 12%, falling from EUR 630 per tonne to EUR 555 per tonne.

During the period **October 2009 – February 2010**, imports were coming in at the expected rate, in accordance with EPA/EBA monitoring system. As a result, the EU internal price dropped from EUR 555 per tonne in September 2009 to EUR 493 per tonne in November 2009 (-11%), despite the temporary increase of the world market prices which in February 2010 reached a 30-year record high of EUR 522 per tonne.

During the following months, world market prices started to decline, with a sharp fall between **February and May 2010**, from EUR 522 tonne to EUR 376 tonne (-28%). During this period, EU internal market prices stabilised around EUR 475 tonne.

However, world market prices displayed a sharp increase throughout the second semester of 2010, reaching levels above the EU price through **September 2010- January 2011**: in December 2010, the world market white sugar price was much higher than EU, EUR **628** tonne compared to EUR **486**  $\in$  tonne.

During the first semester 2011, the EU and the world market went in opposite directions, and by **May 2011** world market prices had fallen from EUR **628** tonne to EUR **400** tonne, driven by abundant supply on the world market. Meanwhile, during the same period EU price increased from EUR **486** tonne to EUR **536** tonne.

The prospect at the beginning of the marketing year **2011/12** had changed. It was clear that the import concessions awarded to ACP and LDC through the EPA/EBA agreements, were not generating excessive import flows. Previous expectations that the EU sugar market may be flooded by ACP/LDC sugar showed not to be realistic, and the prospect was that imports from the ACP and LDC countries would not be able to cover the gap between EU (in quota)

production and demand. As a result, EU sugar prices started to increase considerably above the world market price, even beyond the level prior to the implementation of the 2006 reform and contrary to the continuous decline in the world market prices since the beginning of 2011. Therefore, during the year 2012 the EU price increased uninterruptedly, reaching the high level of EUR **738** tonne in **January 2013**.

For details see graph in annex VIII.

#### 6. NECESSARY MARKET MEASURES

Paradoxically, during the last marketing years, instead of applying the transitional safeguard mechanism the Commission had to find ways to allow additional sugar into the EU market in order to fill the supply gap on the EU sugar market for food purposes (i.e. the EU quota market). The EU can choose between two sources of supply:

- Release of out-of quota sugar on the internal market
- Allow additional imports

In the marketing year **2010/11** a total additional quantity of **1.35 million tonnes** of sugar was supplied to the EU market of which:

- **500 000** tonnes release of out-of-quota sugar at zero tariff (and 26.000 tonnes of iso-glucose)
- **500 000** tonnes of additional imports within a zero duty TRQ
- **350 000** tonnes were allowed in tenders at reduced duty

In the marketing year **2011/12**, **1 million tonnes** of additional sugar was supplied to the EU market of which:

- **400 000** tonnes release of out-of-quota sugar (and 21.000 tonnes of isoglucose) at a tariff of EUR 85/tonne
- **250 000** tonnes release of out-of-quota sugar (and 13.000 tonnes of isoglucose) at a tariff of EUR 211 /tonne
- **399 000** tonnes of sugar imports at reduced duty (including 15 000 tonnes of white sugar)

#### 7. **CONCLUSIONS**

In the framework of the 2006 reform, it was forecasted that under very particular conditions the EU sugar market might be flooded with sugar from the LDC countries. Two conditions were necessary: (1) a large gap between EU price and world price and (2) capacity in organising a complex scheme leading to export local production from the LDC sugar producing countries and importing a similar quantity from the world market to satisfy their domestic needs.

Following 2009 import liberalisation, very few countries seem to have succeeded in organising such a complex trade scheme. Nevertheless sugar imports from those origins have reached record levels, although not at a level that would have triggered safeguard measures, during the marketing years 2009/2010 to 2011/2012.

Until the end of the 2014/15 marketing year, the maximum level of sugar imports from ACP/LDC countries is expected to be in the range of 2.1 - 2.2 million tonnes. Therefore, it is highly unlikely that the safeguard measures will be triggered during its application period.

**ANNEX I** 

	ш	EU27 SUGAR IMPORTS BY M	AR IMPO	RTS BY I	<b>NARKET</b>	ING YEA!	R (OCT-S	EPT) - C	ARKETING YEAR (OCT-SEPT) - CN 1701 IN TONNES	I TONNE	(0	
		2001-02	2002/03	2003-04	2004-05	2005-06	Average 2001/02 2005/06	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10 2010-11	2011-12
ACP and LDC	Q	1.605.650	1.605.650 1.471.504 1.583.673	1.583.673	1.570.403	1.544.446	1.555.135	1.518.806	.570.403 1.544.446 <b>1.555.135</b> 1.518.806 1.886.113 1.521.920 1.807.498 1.842.447	1.521.920	1.807.498	1.842.447
of which ACP	CP	1.531.465	1.386.191	1.485.563	1.457.685	1.417.174	1.455.616	1.496.276	1.854.121	1.469.558	1.742.997	1.784.101
Et	EBA-LDC (1)	74.185	85.313	98.110	112.718	127.272	99.520	22.530	31.992	52.362	64.500	58.346
<b>CXL</b> (2)		82.899	82.899	82.899	82.899	82.899	82.899	715.275	770.933	594.749	594.749 1.219.176 1.196.580	1.196.580
Balkan		205.559	325.407	91.979	492.334	394.013	301.858	356.268	356.360	340.942	344.124	359.399
<b>Other Origins</b>	Ñ	70.649	86.102	153.752	178.838	201.681	138.204	106.794	134.946	58.484	315.949	152.576
EU TOTAL IMPORTS 1.964.757 1.965.912 1.912.303 2.324.474 2.223.039 2.078.097 2.697.142 3.148.352 2.516.096 3.686.747 3.551.002	MPORTS	1.964.757	1.965.912	1.912.303	2.324.474	2.223.039	2.078.097	2.697.142	3.148.352	2.516.096	3.686.747	3.551.002
of which raw sugar	w sugar							2.205.305	2.623.549	1.930.752	2.563.972	2.703.252
W	white sugar							491.837	524.803	585.344	1.122.775	847.750
(1) Laos, Cambodia, Nepal, Bangladesh.	li a, Nepal, Ba	ngladesh.								Source:	Source: Eurostat Comext database	ext database
(2) Brazil, Cuba, Australia, India (India before 2005 was in the ACP protocol	Australia, Inc	dia (India befor	re 2005 was in	the ACP protoc	ol)							

6

Π	
X	
Ę	
Z	
◄	

NNEX	

			(fonnes white sugar equivalent)	(unamanha			TOTAL	22 000 41 380	8488	126 680	20 000	8 8 149 149 149 149 1		14 959	418 691 158 742	1 <u>36</u> 600	21 623 72 038	175 102 91 534	1 782 333
			ite sugar	neppo ou			Х	22 000 41 380		9312		5 112	12 586		43 614 19 531	136 600	11 941 72 038	130 146 70 748	575 008
			dw seruc				В			9830 9830			i I		203				12 577
			¥	<u> </u>			Ξ			1 120			l						1 126
							X IS								108	1			108
		_					S S					12 952	5 278		1 342 10 000	1			39 989
~							F			417 24 293			24 650		21 80 868	1	9 682	38 496 20 786	199 213
UGAF		ЯR			IGIN		님					24 827	59 160		1 855				85 842 1
NTS S		UR SUC			ND OR		AT						·   		1 594				1 594 8
- PREFERENTIAL EPA-EBA AGREEMENTS SUGAR	TS	CERTIFICATS D'IMPORTATION POUR LE SUCRE ET LES PRODUITS DU SECTEUR SUCRE			STATE AND ORIGIN		z					485			1 610				2 095
AGR	RODUC	UITS DU			R ST/		Ť						I			1			
A-EBA	UGAR P				BY MEMBER	Member States	LT LU HU MT									1			
AL EP.	R AND S	ETLES			S BY M	Memb	2						1		52				22
<b>RENTI</b>	MPORT LICENCES FOR SUGAR AND SUGAR PRODUCTS	SUCRE			TED ALLOCATED QUANTITIES	_	∑ ⊤		3 005	690 4 830 43 728	1 700	7 066 60 564	72 659	14 959	3 599 10 103				222 903
REFER	ES FOF	OURLE	-	-	QUAN	-					20 000	342	+		86 073			9 460	
	LICENC	ATION F	_		ATED		Æ		5 484	15 858		781	43 800		<u>34 300</u>			©     	84 113 087
n° 828/2009	MPORT	MPORT			'TLOC		ß		02 				43.6			l			7 155 584
n° 82		CATS D'			TED A		Ш								1637				1 637
REG. (CE)		ERTIFIC			CUMULA		Ш								40				80
REG					5		B					30 962	45 796		3 270 774 3 940				357 580
			- 2011	2			ă						 		1	1			66
			Santamhar 2011				ß								474	ļ			474
							ä								561				561
			2010				B					       40			3 192				12 833
			October	OCCODE		Quota	origin	Bangladesh Cambodia Laos Nepal	Benin Burkina Faso	Uem. Kep. Congo Ethiopia Madagascar Malawi Mozambique Senedal	Sierra Leone Sudan Tanzania	T <u>ogo</u> Uganda Zambia	09.4242 Ivory Coast 09.4243 Swaziland	Kenya	<u>Mauritius</u> Zimbabwe	Fili	Barbados Belize	<u>Vonimican republic</u> Guy <u>ana Jamaica</u> Trinidad & Tobago	Cumulated total
7	×						ž	09.4221	09.4231				09.4242 Ivory Coas 09.4243 Swaziland	09.4244 Kenya	09.4245 Mauritius Zimbabwe	09.4246 Fiji	09.4247 Barbados Belize		0

Ш

$\sim$	I
Ν	
X	
Ē	
2	
Z	
A	
	l

				quivalent)			TOTAL	41 530	14 686	25 891 101 914 238 265	<u>3395</u> 40 910	122 432	361 685		<u>335 875</u> 145 771	100 978	21 750 95 388	114 629 99 884	1 880 385
				(tonnes white sugar equivalent)			¥	15 000 41 530		10 006			16 242		41 733 34 000	100 978	21 750 78 423	97 554	466 437
				onnes whi			ß			1 460				1	269				1 729 4
				(£			Ē		4911	8 532 1 3 1 4	1 895			l					16 652
							SK SI								87				87
							ß			9 793	20 388		48 300		2 182 24 605				137 467
R							ħ		9 775				8 854		821 61 838		16 965	17 075 630	1 849 103 193 149 424 137 467
SUGA		CRE			RIGIN		님			15 182 9 852	20 522	27 405	5 805	l	2 852			21 576	103 193
ENTS		TEUR SU			AND O		AT							1	1 849				1 849
REEM	JCTS	DU SECT			BY MEMBER STATE AND ORIGIN		۲							1	1 029 25 328			19 <u>375</u>	47 930
BA AG	R PRODI	DUITS	-		BER S'	ates	HU MT							1					
EPA-EI	D SUGAI	LES PR(			MEM	Member States	LT LU HU MT												
TIAL	GAR ANI	CRE ET	_		IES B)	ž	CY CY								99				99
PREFERENTIAL EPA-EBA AGREEMENTS SUGAR	IMPORT LICENCES FOR SUGAR AND SUGAR PRODUCTS	CERTIFICATS D'IMPORTATION POUR LE SUCRE ET LES PRODUITS DU SECTEUR SUCRE			ATED ALLOCATED QUANTITIES		F			16 203		35 139	90 198		8 055			38 906	301 600
	ENCES	ON POU			ED QL		Æ				1 500		975	l	5 204				7 857
- 6003	ORTLIC	ORTATI		-	-OCAT		ß			28 28 61 280		29 514	74 146		1 354				194 883
REG. (CE) n° 828/2009	IMF	TS D'IMF		-	ED ALI		Ц								1 842				1 842
(CE) n		RTFICA			IULAT		Ш							+					
REG.		U			CUMUL		DE			17 359 12 327		6 <u>974</u>			259 151				411 469
				2012			ă							l	101				101
				September 2012			52							1	664				664
				Sept			BG							l	298			19 <u>397</u>	20 713
				2011			B							Ì	8318				16 422
				October		Quota	origin	Bangladesh Cambodia Laos Nepal	Benin Burkina Faso	Dem. Kep. Congo Ethiopia Madagascar Malawi Mozambique	Senegal Sierra Leone Sudan Tanzania	Togo Uganda Zambia	09.4242 Ivory Coast	<u>(enya</u>	Mauritius Zimbabwe	-[j]	Barbados Selize	Dominican repuond Guyana Jamaica Trinidad & Tobago	Cumulated total
7	*	-					۲	09.4221	09.4231				<b>09.4242</b> I <u>vory Coas</u> <b>09.4243</b> <u>Swaziland</u>	09.4244 Kenya	09.4245 Mauritius Zimbabwe	09.4246 Fiji	09.4247		0

## **ANNEX V**

				CONSUMPTION	NOI			
	2004	2004 2005 - +	2006	2006 2007			2010	2011
LDC not ACP	1.095.000	1.225.000	1.360.000	LDC_not_ACP095_0001_225.0001.360.0001_1.460.0001.575.0001.677.5001_1.765.000	1.575.000	1.677.500	1.765.000	1.860.000
TOTAL ACP	4.053.966	4.420.869	4.536.894	TOTAL ACP 1 4.053.966 4 4.420.869 4 4.536.894 4.597.828 4.830.907 5.187.183 5.358.832 5.404.366	4.830.907	5.187.183	5.358.832	5.404.366
Total LDC+ACP1 5.148.966 5.645.869 1 5.896.894 6.057.828 6.405.907 6.864.683 1 7.123.832 7 7.264.366	5.148.966	5.645.869	5.896.894	6.057.828	<u>6.405.907</u>	6.864.683	7.123.832	7.264.366
Source: ISO, raw value.	value.							

## **ANNEX VI**

				PRODUCTION				
	2004	2005	2006		2008		2009 1 2010 1	2011
DC not ACP	265.000	LDC not ACP1 - 265.000 - 250.000 - 280.000 -	280.000	310.000	260.000		275.000	305.000
TOTAL ACP	<u>6.119.767</u>	TOTALACP F 6.119.767 1 5.928 438 F 5.926.168 7 5.926.168 7 5.650.445 5 5.650.445 5 5.859.332 5 5.677.900 1	7 <u>5.926.168</u>	5.748.037	5.650.445	5.859.932	_5. <u>677.900</u> _ F	L <u>6.154.852</u>
Total LDC+AC	6.384.767	Total LDC+AC 6.384.767 - 6.178.438 + 6.206.168 - 6.208.037 + 5.910.445	6.206.168	6.058.037	5.910.445	6.084.932	5.952.900	<u>6.459.852</u>
Source: raw value	1	- -				+	+ -                   	

# **ANNEX VII**

			Γ	EXPORT				
	2004	2005	2006	2007		2009	2010	2011
LDC not ACP	214.960	280.000	220.000	140.000	252.751	463.141 431.476	431.476	378.006
TOTAL ACP	2.923.344 2.773.966	2.773.966	2.840.530 2.612.995	2.612.995	2.629.260 2.391.984	2.391.984	2.443.570	2.847.671
			<b>-</b>       					
Total LDC+ACP 3.138.304 1 3.053.966 3.060.530 1 2.752.995	3.138.304	3.053.966	3.060.530	2.752.995	2.882.011 2.855.125	2.855.125	2.875.046	3.225.677
       	—       	       	       					
Source: ISO, International Sugar Organization (raw value)	national Sugar O	rganization (raw	value).	- =       				       

ANNEX VIII

EU and world sugar price

