



**COUNCIL OF  
THE EUROPEAN UNION**

**Brussels, 9 October 2013  
(OR. en)**

**14632/13  
ADD 1**

**AVIATION 178  
RELEX 905  
ENV 917**

**NOTE**

---

From: General Secretariat of the Council  
To: Delegations

---

Subject: 38th Session of the ICAO Assembly  
- Resolutions on climate change and aviation

---

This document is the second in a series of three documents containing the final version of the two resolutions on climate change and aviation adopted by the 38th session of the ICAO Assembly. It is preceded by document 14632/13 and followed by document 14632/13 ADD 2.



A38-WP/429  
P/43  
2/10/13

**ASSEMBLY — 38TH SESSION**

**REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE  
ON AGENDA ITEM 17**

**(Section on General Provisions, Noise and Local Air Quality)**

**(Presented by the Chairman of the Executive Committee)**

The attached report on Agenda Item 17 has been approved by the Executive Committee. Resolution 17/1 is recommended for adoption by the Plenary.

*Note.— After removal of this covering sheet, this paper should be inserted in the appropriate place in the report folder.*

(20 pages)

**Agenda Item 17: Environmental protection**

17.1 At its third meeting, the Executive Committee considered the subject of environmental protection on the basis of progress reports by the Council on the Organization's technical work on aircraft noise and engine emissions (WP/25 and WP/26) and on issues related to climate change (WPs 28, 29, 30, 31, 32 and 33). Based on these progress reports, the Executive Committee considered the Council's proposals that update Resolution A37-18, *Consolidated Statement of continuing ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection – General provisions, noise and local air quality* (WP/27) and Resolution A37-19, *Consolidated Statement of continuing ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection – Climate change* (WP/34). In addition, there were 34 papers submitted by States and Observers: WPs 68, 70, 83, 106, 108, 131, 163, 164, 165, 166, 176, 183, 222, 234, 240, 250, 258, 268, 270, 271, 272, 275, 279, 288, 289, 297, 305, 317, 318, 327, 328, 346, 348 and 350.

**17.2 GENERAL PROVISIONS, NOISE AND LOCAL AIR QUALITY**

17.2.1 The Council submitted a report (WP/25) on progress made by ICAO since the 37th Session of the Assembly on issues related to civil aviation and the environment, and mainly on the activities of the Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP), including its ninth meeting (CAEP/9). It also included related activities of the Secretariat, as well as its cooperation with other organizations.

17.2.2 WP/25 included, among other issues, the CAEP/9 agreement on a new proposed Annex 16, Volume I Aircraft Noise Standard for jet and propeller-driven aeroplanes and a proposed Noise Standard for tilt-rotor aircraft. Details were also provided on the CAEP/9 agreement on new noise reduction technology goals. On aircraft engine emissions, progress was made on the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions Standard which includes the CAEP/9 approval of a certification requirement for the Standard. Details were also given on the CAEP efforts to develop a Particulate Matter (PM) Standard. It also contained details on the development of two documents related to operational improvements: the CAEP/9 agreement on new operational goals for fuel burn reduction and the environmental analysis of Aviation System Block Upgrades (ASBUs). The Council agreed with all recommendations from CAEP/9, and States were being consulted on the proposed amendments to Annex 16, Volumes I and II.

17.2.3 The Committee reemphasized the importance of the technical work of the Organization related to civil aviation and the environment, and supported the continued and effective work of the CAEP and ICAO Secretariat in this area.

17.2.4 As requested by the 37th Session of the Assembly, the Council submitted WP/26 which reports on the environmental trends assessment undertaken by CAEP for the present and future impact of aircraft noise and aircraft engine emissions. The Committee noted substantial contributions from Member States and observer organizations to the assessment of environmental trends, which were reviewed by, and reflect the consensus of, CAEP. The Committee also noted that, as requested by Assembly Resolution A37-19, the Secretariat was developing a capability that would allow the Organization to regularly report CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from international aviation to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) process and to measure progress toward the global aspirational goals. This activity would benefit from increased reporting of fuel consumption data from Member States to ICAO.

17.2.5 In absolute terms, the total global population exposed to aircraft noise, total global aircraft emissions that affect local air quality, and total global aircraft emissions that affect the global climate are expected to increase throughout the analysis period, but at a rate slower than aviation demand. Fuel efficiency is expected to improve to 2050, however measures in addition to those considered in the analysis will be required to achieve the 2 per cent annual fuel efficiency improvement aspirational goal. Similarly, when considering only aircraft technology and operational improvements, additional measures will be needed to achieve carbon neutral growth relative to 2020. While sustainable alternative fuels have the potential to make a significant contribution to reducing emissions, insufficient data is available to confidently predict the availability or life cycle CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of these fuels.

17.2.6 The Committee recommended the global environmental trends presented in WP/26 as the basis for decision making on environmental matters during this Session of the Assembly, and requested the Council to continue work in these areas with the support of States and to ensure that the next session of the Assembly would be provided with an updated global environmental trends assessment.

17.2.7 The Committee recognized the importance of having a consistent basis for historic and future environmental trends. It recommended that the Assembly urge States to submit fuel consumption data required by ICAO to support the Assembly request to the Council of reporting on aviation emissions, and consider the information in this paper for inclusion in the revisions to Assembly Resolutions A37-18 and A37-19.

17.2.8 In WP/27, the Council submitted a proposal for the revision of Assembly Resolution A37-18, Consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection – General provisions, noise and local air quality, in light of developments since the 37th Session of the Assembly.

17.2.9 In WP/106, the United States recognized the progress to reduce aircraft noise and aviation emissions that affect local air quality through policy, standards and guidance, including the CAEP/9 agreement on the new Annex 16, Volume I noise Standard and the CAEP/9 recommendation that urged States not to introduce operational restrictions on aircraft that comply with Annex 16, Volume I, Chapter 4 and/or the new noise Standard, once it is adopted by the Council. The paper also invited the Assembly to reaffirm commitment to the Balanced Approach for aircraft noise management, recognizing that operating restrictions should not be applied as a first resort and only after consideration of each of the other elements. The United States also supported further work on developing a non-volatile PM certification Standard.

17.2.10 In WP/70, Lithuania, on behalf of the European Union (EU) and its Member States and the other Member States of the European Civil Aviation Conference (ECAC), welcomed the progress made by CAEP/9, including the agreement on the new Annex 16, Volume I noise Standard and ongoing work regarding the development of a Standard for non-volatile PM (nvPM). The paper also encouraged aircraft engine manufacturers to contribute actively to the work on the sampling and measurement of nvPM.

17.2.11 In WP/297, the International Coalition for Sustainable Aviation (ICSA) expressed that the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions Standard would be an integral element of ICAO's basket of measures, and that if the Standard would not have an incremental effect, other measures in the basket must compensate. The paper invited the Assembly to confirm that the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions Standard is an essential element of the basket of

measures and request Council to ensure that CAEP adheres to its commitment to agree to a Standard that produces incremental emission reductions beyond “business as usual”.

17.2.12 The Committee welcomed the progress of work by CAEP/9, including agreement on the new Annex 16, Volume I noise Standard, and encouraged its further work towards a robust non-volatile PM Standard and CO<sub>2</sub> emissions Standard.

17.2.13 In WP/327, the International Transport Workers’ Federation (ITF) described the potential health concerns regarding the exposure of airport employees to ultrafine exhaust particles from aircraft and diesel engines at airports, and invited the Assembly to urge ICAO to extend its policies and practices related to environmental protection into the protection of the health and safety of employees, accordingly. The ITF highlighted that a working group consisting of managers from Copenhagen airport, companies operating in the airport and unions representing employees in the airport had been established, and this could act as a starting point for discussions.

17.2.14 The Chairperson stated that ICAO continued to work with a number of international organisations, including the World Health Organisation, on the impacts of aviation on the environment in the vicinity of airports. It was made clear that CAEP is currently undertaking a programme of work, to develop a non-volatile PM (nvPM) certification Standard for aircraft engines and that research is ongoing to advance the understanding of volatile PM formation, and that the information provided by ITF would be brought to the attention of CAEP for consideration in its work.

17.2.15 In WP/222, India provided information on its initiatives to manage aircraft noise in India, and requested the development of noise studies and the installation of noise monitoring systems in major airports, as well as the formulation of action plans in major airports with respect to noise limits and land-use plans specific to these airports, in line with the ICAO *Guidance on the Balanced Approach to Aircraft Noise Management*.

17.2.16 In WP/240, South Africa described how night curfews in various parts of the world impact the global aviation industry, and invited the Assembly to ensure that ICAO continues to play a leadership role in developing policy guidance to address night curfews. The paper encouraged ICAO to continue to monitor States’ practices on night curfews and assess their impact on the operation of air services. The paper also requested States and Regions that have imposed night curfews to review their policies.

17.2.17 In WP/163, the International Air Transport Association (IATA) supported the recommendation made by CAEP/9 that States should refrain from imposing operating restrictions on aircraft that comply with the Annex 16, Volume I, Chapter 4 and/or the new noise Standard. IATA also strongly opposed any measure which would lead to the phase-out of aircraft which comply with the Annex 16, Volume 1, Chapter 3. The paper invited the Assembly to consider the proposed amendments to Assembly Resolution A37-18, Appendices D and E.

17.2.18 Regarding night curfews, the Committee recalled that this subject was discussed at the last Assembly, where the assessment of night curfews undertaken by CAEP was noted and India requested further studies. The last Assembly agreed to refer this subject to the Council for consideration, and India offered to provide the necessary resources for further studies, noting the resource constraints of the Secretariat. Since the last Assembly, subject to funding being provided by India, the Secretariat pursued the work with the support of consultants, and the Terms of Reference were developed, however,

no funding has been made available to date. It was clarified that India would contact the Secretariat in due course regarding the offer of the necessary resources for the undertaking of further studies on night curfews.

17.2.19 The Committee recommended that the Assembly reaffirm its commitment to the Balanced Approach, recognizing that operating restrictions should not be applied as a first resort and only after consideration of each of the other elements. The Committee supported the recommendation from the CAEP urging States not to introduce operational restrictions on aircraft that comply with the proposed noise certification Standard of Annex 16 Volume I, Chapter 4 and/or the new Noise Standard, once adopted by the Council.

17.2.20 Regarding the amendments to Assembly Resolution A37-18, the Committee agreed to all amendments proposed by the Secretariat in the Appendix to WP/27. In addition, regarding the amendments proposed by IATA in WP/163, the Committee agree to recommend that the proposed amendments to Appendix D and to the fourth preamble paragraph of Appendix E be reflected in the draft Resolution text contained in the Appendix to WP/27.

17.2.21 In WP/318, Argentina expressed the need to facilitate the exchange of information and views among States in a region that do not contribute directly to the work of CAEP and recommended that the Resolution reflected that the Council encourage the Regional Offices to promote initiatives of various kinds (such as seminars, workshops and other similar events) so that States that are not CAEP members or observers can benefit more fully from the work of CAEP. The Committee welcomed the proposal and reiterated the need for participation by States in CAEP that are currently not represented.

17.2.22 In WP/348, Viet Nam provided information regarding its initiatives towards mitigating the noise and emissions from domestic and international air operations.

17.2.23 The Committee agreed to recommend that the Assembly adopt the following Resolution:

**Resolution 17/1: Consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection – General provisions, noise and local air quality**

*Whereas* in Resolution A37-18 the Assembly resolved to continue to adopt at each ordinary Session a consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection;

*Whereas* Resolution A37-18 consists of an introductory text and a number of Appendices concerning specific but interrelated subjects;

*Considering* the need to reflect developments that have taken place since the 37th Session of the Assembly in the field of aircraft noise and engine emissions; and

*Considering* the need to define a specific ICAO policy to address aviation's impact on global climate (A38-xx: *Consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection — Climate change*), the increasing concern on aviation and climate change and as part of ICAO's policies and practices related to environmental protection;

*The Assembly:*

1. *Resolves* that the Appendices attached to this Resolution and listed below, together with A38-xx: *Consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection - Climate change*, constitute the consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection, as these policies exist at the close of the 38th Session of the Assembly:

Appendix A — General

Appendix B — Development of Standards, Recommended Practices and Procedures and/or guidance material relating to the quality of the environment

Appendix C — Policies and programmes based on a “balanced approach” to aircraft noise management

Appendix D — Phase-out of subsonic jet aircraft which exceed the noise levels in Volume I of Annex 16

Appendix E — Local noise-related operating restrictions at airports

Appendix F — Land-use planning and management

Appendix G — Supersonic aircraft — The problem of sonic boom

Appendix H — Aviation impact on local air quality

2. *Requests* the Council to submit the ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection for review at each ordinary session of the Assembly; and

3. *Declares* that this resolution, together with A38-xx *Consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies and practices related to environmental protection — Climate change*, supersedes Resolutions A37-18 and A37-19.

## APPENDIX A

### General

*Whereas* the preamble to the *Convention on International Civil Aviation* states that “the future development of international civil aviation can greatly help to create and preserve friendship and understanding among the nations and peoples of the world . . .” and Article 44 of that Convention states that ICAO should “develop the principles and techniques of international air navigation and . . . foster the planning and development of international air transport so as to . . . meet the needs of the peoples of the world for safe, regular, efficient and economical air transport”;

*Whereas* many of the adverse environmental effects of civil aviation activity can be reduced by the application of comprehensive measures embracing technological improvements, more efficient air traffic management and operational procedures and the appropriate use of airport planning, land-use planning and management and market-based measures;

*Whereas* all ICAO Member States agreed to continue to pursue all aviation matters related to the environment and also maintain the initiative in developing policy guidance on these matters, and not leave such initiatives to other organizations;

*Whereas* other international organizations are emphasizing the importance of environmental policies affecting air transport;

*Whereas* the sustainable growth of aviation is important for future economic growth and development, trade and commerce, cultural exchange and understanding among peoples and nations; therefore prompt action must be taken to ensure that it is compatible with the quality of the environment and develops in ways that alleviate adverse impacts;

*Whereas* reliable and best available information on the environmental effects of aviation is essential for the development of policy by ICAO and its Member States;

*Whereas* as far as there are recognized interdependencies of the environmental effects from aviation, such as noise and engine emissions, they need to be considered when defining source control and operational mitigation policies;

*Whereas* airspace management and design can play a role in addressing the impacts of aviation greenhouse gas emissions on the global climate, and the related economic and institutional issues need to be addressed by States, either individually or collectively on a regional basis;

*Whereas* cooperation with other international organizations is important to progress the understanding of aviation's impacts on the environment and in order to develop the appropriate policies to address these impacts; and

*Recognizing* the importance of research and development in fuel efficiency and alternative fuels for aviation that will enable international air transport operations with a lower environmental impact;

*The Assembly:*

1. *Declares* that ICAO, as the lead United Nations (UN) Agency in matters involving international civil aviation, is conscious of and will continue to address the adverse environmental impacts that may be related to civil aviation activity and acknowledges its responsibility and that of its Member States to achieve maximum compatibility between the safe and orderly development of civil aviation and the quality of the environment. In carrying out its responsibilities, ICAO and its Member States will strive to:

- a) limit or reduce the number of people affected by significant aircraft noise;
- b) limit or reduce the impact of aviation emissions on local air quality; and
- c) limit or reduce the impact of aviation greenhouse gas emissions on the global climate;

2. *Emphasizes* the importance of ICAO continuing to demonstrate its leadership role on all international civil aviation matters related to the environment and *requests* the Council to maintain the initiative in developing policy guidance on these matters, which recognizes the seriousness of the challenges which the sector faces;

3. *Requests* the Council to assess regularly the present and future impact of aircraft noise and aircraft engine emissions and to continue to develop tools for this purpose;



4. *Requests* the Council to maintain and update knowledge of the interdependencies and trade-offs related to measures to mitigate the impact of aviation on the environment so as to optimize decision-making;
5. *Requests* the Council to establish a set of aviation environmental indicators which States could use to evaluate the performance of aviation operations and the effectiveness of standards, policies and measures to mitigate aviation's impacts on the environment;
6. *Requests* the Council to disseminate information on the present and future impact and trends of aircraft noise and aircraft engine emissions, on the work of CAEP, and on ICAO policy and guidance material in the environmental field, in an appropriate manner, such as through regular reporting and workshops, including through the coordination with the ICAO Regional Offices;
7. *Invites* States to continue their active support for ICAO's environment-related activities, and urges Member States to support activities not foreseen in the budget by providing a reasonable level of voluntary contributions;
8. *Invites* States and international organizations to provide the necessary scientific information and data to enable ICAO to substantiate its work in this field;
9. *Encourages* the Council to continue to cooperate closely with international organizations and other UN bodies on the understanding of aviation impacts on the environment and on the establishment of policies to address such impacts; and
10. *Urges* States to refrain from environmental measures that would adversely affect the orderly and sustainable development of international civil aviation.

## APPENDIX B

### Development of Standards, Recommended Practices and Procedures and/or guidance material relating to the quality of the environment

*Whereas* the problem of aircraft noise in the vicinity of many of the world's airports, which continues to arouse public concern and limit airport infrastructure development, requires appropriate action;

*Whereas* the scientific community is improving the understanding of uncertainties associated with the environmental impact of aircraft emissions at both the local and global levels, this impact remains a cause of concern and requires appropriate action;

*Recognizing* that there are interdependencies related to technology, design and operations of aircraft when addressing concerns related to noise, local air quality, and climate change;

*Whereas* the Council has established a Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP) for the purpose of assisting in the further development of Standards, Recommended Practices and Procedures and/or guidance material on aircraft noise and engine emissions;

*Whereas* the Council has adopted Annex 16, Volume I — *Aircraft Noise*, which comprises noise certification Standards for subsonic aircraft (except short take-off and landing/vertical take-off and landing aeroplanes) and has notified Member States of this action;

*Whereas* the Council has adopted Annex 16, Volume II — *Aircraft Engine Emissions*, which comprises emissions certification Standards for new aircraft engines and has notified Member States of this action;

*Whereas* the Council has initiated work on the development of certification requirements for non-volatile particulate matter (PM) emissions;

*Welcoming* the agreement by CAEP of certification requirements for a global CO<sub>2</sub> Standard for aircraft;

*Whereas* ICAO policy guidance on measures to address environmental concerns related to aircraft noise and engine emissions has been developed, amended and published; and

*Whereas* the Council has adopted medium- and long-term technology goals for reduction of noise and oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>), and technology and operational goals for aircraft fuel burn reduction;

*The Assembly:*

1. *Welcomes* the continuing benefits of the more stringent aircraft noise Standard in Annex 16, Volume I, Chapter 4 that took effect on 1 January 2006;
2. *Welcomes* the consideration by the Council in June 2013 of the new, more stringent Standard for noise to be implemented on or after 31 December 2017 and on or after 31 December 2020 for aircraft less than 55 tonnes;
3. *Welcomes* the consideration by the Council in June 2013 of the new Standard for noise to be implemented for Tilt-rotor aircraft on or after 1 January 2018;
4. *Welcomes* the plan approved by the Council in June 2013 for the further development and finalization of an aircraft CO<sub>2</sub> Standard by 2016;
5. *Requests* the Council, with the assistance and cooperation of other bodies of the Organization and of other international organizations, to continue with vigour the work related to the development of Standards, Recommended Practices and Procedures and/or guidance material dealing with the impact of aviation on the environment;
6. *Welcomes* the adoption by the Council in June 2013 of the medium- and long-term technology goals for reducing aircraft noise and technology and operational goals for aircraft fuel burn reduction;
7. *Requests* the Council to ensure that its Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP) pursues its work programme in the noise and emissions fields expeditiously in order that appropriate solutions can be developed as quickly as possible, and that the necessary resources are made available to do so;
8. *Urges* Member States from regions of the world that are currently under-represented in CAEP to participate in the Committee's work;
9. *Requests* the Council to provide States and International Organizations information on available measures to reduce the impact of aviation operations on the environment so that action can be taken using the appropriate measures;
10. *Urges* Member States to follow, where appropriate, the ICAO provisions developed pursuant to Clause 5 of this Appendix; and

11. *Requests* the Council to continue the work on developing and employing scenarios for assessing the future environmental impact of aviation emissions and to cooperate with other international organizations in this area.

## APPENDIX C

### **Policies and programmes based on a “balanced approach” to aircraft noise management**

*Whereas* a goal of ICAO is to promote the highest practicable degree of consistency in international civil aviation, including environmental regulations;

*Whereas* the uncoordinated development of national and regional policies and programmes for the alleviation of aircraft noise could hinder the role of civil aviation in economic development;

*Whereas* the severity of the aircraft noise problem at many airports has given rise to measures which limit aircraft operations and has provoked vigorous opposition to the expansion of existing airports or construction of new airports;

*Whereas* ICAO has accepted full responsibility for pursuing a course aimed at achieving maximum compatibility between the safe, economically effective and orderly development of civil aviation and the quality of the environment, and is actively pursuing the concept of a “balanced approach” for the reduction of aircraft noise and guidance on how States might apply such an approach;

*Whereas* the balanced approach to noise management developed by ICAO consists of identifying the noise problem at an airport and then analysing the various measures available to reduce noise through the exploration of four principal elements, namely reduction at source, land-use planning and management, noise abatement operational procedures and operating restrictions, with the goal of addressing the noise problem in the most cost-effective manner;

*Whereas* the assessment of present and future impact of aviation noise is an essential tool for the development of policy by ICAO and its Member States;

*Whereas* the process for implementation and decisions between elements of the balanced approach is for Member States and it is ultimately the responsibility of individual States to develop appropriate solutions to the noise problems at their airports, with due regard to ICAO rules and policies;

*Whereas* the ICAO guidance developed to assist States in implementing the balanced approach (Guidance on the Balanced Approach to Aircraft Noise Management (Doc 9829)) has been subsequently updated;

*Recognizing* that solutions to noise problems need to be tailored to the specific characteristics of the airport concerned, which calls for an airport-by-airport approach, and that similar solutions could be applied if similar noise problems are identified at airports;

*Recognizing* that measures to address noise may have significant cost implications for operators and other stakeholders, particularly those from developing countries;

*Recognizing* that States have relevant legal obligations, existing agreements, current laws and established policies which may influence their implementation of the ICAO “balanced approach”;

*Recognizing* that some States may also have wider policies on noise management; and

*Considering* that the improvements in the noise climate achieved at many airports through the replacement of Chapter 2 compliant aircraft (aircraft which comply with the noise certification standards in Volume I, Chapter 2 of Annex 16 but which exceed the noise levels in Volume I, Chapter 3 of Annex 16) by quieter aircraft should be safeguarded by taking account of the sustainability of future growth and should not be eroded by incompatible urban encroachment around airports;

*The Assembly:*

1. *Calls upon* all ICAO Member States and international organizations to recognize the leading role of ICAO in dealing with the problems of aircraft noise;

2. *Urges* States to:

a) adopt a balanced approach to noise management, taking full account of ICAO guidance (Doc 9829), relevant legal obligations, existing agreements, current laws and established policies, when addressing noise problems at their international airports;

b) institute or oversee a transparent process when considering measures to alleviate noise, including:

1) assessment of the noise problem at the airport concerned based on objective, measurable criteria and other relevant factors;

2) evaluation of the likely costs and benefits of the various measures available and, based on that evaluation, selection of measures with the goal to achieve maximum environmental benefit most cost-effectively; and

3) provision for dissemination of the evaluation results, for consultation with stakeholders and for dispute resolution;

3. *Encourages* States to:

a) promote and support studies, research and technology programmes aimed at reducing noise at source or by other means taking into account interdependencies with other environmental concerns;

b) apply land-use planning and management policies to limit the encroachment of incompatible development into noise-sensitive areas and mitigation measures for areas affected by noise, consistent with Appendix F to this Resolution;

c) apply noise abatement operational procedures, to the extent possible without affecting safety and considering interdependencies with other environmental concerns; and

d) not apply operating restrictions as a first resort but only after consideration of the benefits to be gained from other elements of the balanced approach and in a manner which is consistent with Appendix E to this Resolution and taking into account the possible impact of such restrictions at other airports;

4. *Requests* States to:
  - a) work closely together to ensure the harmonization of programmes, plans and policies to the extent possible;
  - b) ensure that the application of any measures to alleviate noise are consistent with the non-discrimination principle in Article 15 of the Chicago Convention; and
  - c) take into consideration the particular economic conditions of developing countries;
5. *Invites* States to keep the Council informed of their policies and programmes to alleviate the problem of aircraft noise in international civil aviation;
6. *Requests* the Council to:
  - a) assess continuously the evolution of the impact of aircraft noise;
  - b) ensure that the guidance on the balanced approach in Doc 9829 is current and responsive to the requirements of States; and
  - c) promote the use of the balanced approach, for example through workshops; and
7. *Calls upon* States to provide appropriate support for this work on ICAO guidance and any additional work on methodologies, and for the assessment of the impact or effectiveness of measures under the balanced approach as necessary.

#### APPENDIX D

##### Phase-out of subsonic jet aircraft which exceed the noise levels in Volume I of Annex 16

*Whereas* certification standards for subsonic jet aircraft noise levels are specified in Volume I of Annex 16;

*Whereas* for the purpose of this Appendix, a phase-out is defined as withdrawal of a noise-based category of aircraft from international operations at all airports in one or more States;

*Whereas* the Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection has concluded that a general phase-out of Chapter 3 aircraft operations by all the countries which imposed a phase-out on operations of Chapter 2 aircraft is not supported on cost-benefit grounds and had undertaken the work that led to the recommendation of a new noise certification standard in Volume I, of Annex 16 on the understanding that a new phase-out should not be considered;

*Whereas* some States have implemented or initiated phase-outs of aircraft which exceed the noise levels in Volume I, Chapter 3 of Annex 16, or are considering so doing;

*Recognizing* that the noise standards in Annex 16 are not intended to introduce operating restrictions on aircraft;

*Recognizing* that operating restrictions on existing aircraft may increase the costs of airlines and could impose a heavy economic burden, particularly on aircraft operators which may not have the financial resources to re-equip their fleets, such as those from developing countries; and

*Considering* that resolution of problems due to aircraft noise must be based on the mutual recognition of the difficulties encountered by States and a balance among their different concerns;

*The Assembly:*

1. *Urges* States not to introduce any phase-outs of aircraft which exceed the noise levels in Volume I, Chapter 3 of Annex 16 before considering:

- a) whether the normal attrition of existing fleets of such aircraft will provide the necessary protection of noise climates around their airports;
- b) whether the necessary protection can be achieved by regulations preventing their operators from adding such aircraft to their fleets through either purchase, or lease/charter/interchange, or alternatively by incentives to accelerate fleet modernization;
- c) whether the necessary protection can be achieved through restrictions limited to airports and runways the use of which has been identified and declared by them as generating noise problems and limited to time periods when greater noise disturbance is caused; and
- d) the implications of any restrictions for other States concerned, consulting these States and giving them reasonable notice of intention;

2. *Urges* States which, despite the considerations in Resolving Clause 1 above, decide to phase out aircraft which comply with the noise certification standards in Volume I, Chapter 2 of Annex 16 but which exceed the noise levels in Volume I, Chapter 3 of Annex 16:

- a) to frame any restrictions so that Chapter 2 compliant aircraft of an individual operator which are presently operating to their territories may be withdrawn from these operations gradually over a period of not less than 7 years;
- b) not to restrict before the end of the above period the operations of any aircraft less than 25 years after the date of issue of its first individual certificate of airworthiness;
- c) not to restrict before the end of the period the operations of any presently existing wide-body aircraft or of any fitted with engines that have a by-pass ratio higher than 2 to 1; and
- d) to inform ICAO, as well as the other States concerned, of all restrictions imposed;

3. *Strongly encourages* States to continue to cooperate bilaterally, regionally and inter-regionally with a view to:

- a) alleviating the noise burden on communities around airports without imposing severe economic hardship on aircraft operators; and
- b) taking into account the problems of operators of developing countries with regard to Chapter 2 aircraft presently on their register, where they cannot be replaced before the end of

the phase-out period, provided that there is proof of a purchase order or leasing contract placed for a replacement Chapter 3 compliant aircraft and the first date of delivery of the aircraft has been accepted;

4. *Urges* States not to introduce measures to phase out aircraft which comply, through original certification or recertification, with the noise certification standards in Volume I, Chapters 3, 4 or any more recent Chapter of Annex 16;
5. *Urges* States not to impose any operating restrictions on Chapter 3 compliant aircraft, except as part of the balanced approach to noise management developed by ICAO and in accordance with Appendices C and E to this Resolution; and
6. *Urges* States to assist aircraft operators in their efforts to accelerate fleet modernization and thereby prevent obstacles and permit all States to have access to lease or purchase aircraft compliant with Chapter 3, including the provision of multilateral technical assistance where appropriate.

## APPENDIX E

### Local noise-related operating restrictions at airports

*Whereas* certification standards for subsonic jet aircraft noise are specified in Volume I of Annex 16;

*Whereas* for the purposes of this Appendix an operating restriction is defined as any noise-related action that limits or reduces an aircraft's access to an airport;

*Whereas* Appendix C to this Resolution calls for States to adopt a balanced approach to noise management when addressing noise problems at their international airports;

*Whereas* further reductions in noise at source are expected as a result of the adoption of new noise certification standards in Volume I of Annex 16 and through the assimilation of noise reduction technology in the fleet;

*Whereas* at many airports, land-use planning and management and noise abatement operational procedures are already being used and other noise mitigation measures are in place, although urban encroachment continues in certain cases;

*Whereas* implementation of the phase-out of aircraft which comply with the noise certification standards in Volume I, Chapter 2 of Annex 16 but which exceed the noise levels in Volume I, Chapter 3 of Annex 16 (as provided for in Appendix D to this Resolution) has been completed in some States and, assuming continued growth in aviation activity, without further action the number of people exposed to aircraft noise at some airports in those States may increase;

*Whereas* there are significant regional differences in the extent to which aircraft noise is expected to be a problem over the next two decades and some States have consequently been considering placing operating restrictions on certain aircraft which comply with the noise certification standards in Volume I, Chapter 3 of Annex 16;

*Whereas* if operating restrictions on Chapter 3 aircraft are introduced at certain airports, this should be based on the balanced approach and relevant ICAO guidance (Doc 9829) and should be tailored to the specific requirements of the airport concerned;

*Whereas* these restrictions could have a significant economic impact on fleet investments of aircraft operators from States other than those in which the restrictions are imposed;

*Recognizing* that these restrictions go beyond the policy established in Appendix D to this Resolution and other relevant policy guidance developed by ICAO;

*Recognizing* that ICAO places no obligation on States to impose operating restrictions on Chapter 3 aircraft;

*Recognizing* that the noise standards in Annex 16 were not intended to introduce operating restrictions on aircraft and, specifically, that the standard contained in Annex 16, Volume I, Chapter 4 and any further stringency levels adopted by the Council are based on the understanding that it is for certification purposes only; and

*Recognizing* in particular that States have legal obligations, laws, existing arrangements and established policies which may govern the management of noise problems at their airports and could affect the implementation of this Appendix;

*The Assembly:*

1. *Urges* States to ensure, wherever possible, that any operating restrictions be adopted only where such action is supported by a prior assessment of anticipated benefits and of possible adverse impacts;
2. *Urges* States not to introduce any operating restrictions at any airport on aircraft which comply with Volume I, Chapter 3 of Annex 16 before:
  - a) completing the phase-out of aircraft which exceed the noise levels in Volume I, Chapter 3 of Annex 16, at the airport concerned; and
  - b) fully assessing available measures to address the noise problem at the airport concerned in accordance with the balanced approach described in Appendix C;
3. *Urges* States which, despite the considerations in Resolving Clause 2 above, permit the introduction of restrictions at an airport on the operations of aircraft which comply, either through original certification or recertification, with Volume I, Chapter 3 of Annex 16:
  - a) to base such restrictions on the noise performance of the aircraft, as determined by the certification procedure conducted consistent with Annex 16, Volume I;
  - b) to tailor such restrictions to the noise problem of the airport concerned in accordance with the balanced approach;
  - c) to limit such restrictions to those of a partial nature wherever possible, rather than the complete withdrawal of operations at an airport;



- 
- d) to take into account possible consequences for air transport services for which there are no suitable alternatives (for example, long-haul services);
  - e) to consider the special circumstances of operators from developing countries, in order to avoid undue hardship for such operators, by granting exemptions;
  - f) to introduce such restrictions gradually over time, where possible, in order to take into account the economic impact on operators of the affected aircraft;
  - g) to give operators a reasonable period of advance notice;
  - h) to take account of the economic and environmental impact on civil aviation; and
  - i) to inform ICAO, as well as the other States concerned, of all such restrictions imposed; and

4. *Further urges* States not to permit the introduction of any operating restrictions aimed at the withdrawal of aircraft that comply, through either original certification or recertification, with the noise standards in Volume I, Chapter 4 of Annex 16 and any further stringency levels adopted by the Council.

---