EN

EN EN

EUROPEAN COMMISSION



Brussels, 19.4.2011 SEC(2011) 505 final

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

EU Accountability Report 2011 on Financing for Development

Review of progress of the EU and its Member States

Accompanying document to the

COMMUNICATION FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT, THE COUNCIL, THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COMMITTEE AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS

Enhancing EU Accountability on Financing for Development towards the EU Official Development Assistance Peer Review

VOL VI

{COM(2011) 218 final}

{SEC(2011) 500 final}

{SEC(2011) 501 final}

{SEC(2011) 502 final}

{SEC(2011) 503 final}

{SEC(2011) 504 final}

Annex 8 Survey Results

A. Mobilising domestic financial resources for development

A.1. Promoting good governance in tax matters

1. 1. In your development cooperation do you provide support to developing countries' tax systems and to their efforts to mobilise domestic revenues?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	78.6%	22
No	21.4%	6
	answered question	28
	skipped question	0

2. 1.1. If yes, in which regions:		
	Response Percent	Response Count
EU candidate countries	50.0%	11
Countries covered by the EU Neighbourhood Policy	54.5%	12
Asia	50.0%	11
Latin America	31.8%	7
ACP countries	68.2%	15
	answered question	22
	skipped question	6

3. 1.2. In which matters do you provide support		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Tax Policy	63.6%	14
Tax Administration	90.9%	20
Other	27.3%	6
	Other, please specify Show replies	13
	answered question	22
	skipped question	6

4. 1.3. Which are the administrations you are supporting?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Customs	54.5%	12
Semi-Autonomous Revenue Authority	59.1%	13
Ministry of Finance	90.9%	20
Other	18.2%	4
	Other, please specify Show replies	6
	answered question	22
	skipped question	6

5. 1.4. Which stakeholders/institutions in developing countries do you support to strengthen public financial management?

	Response Percent	Response Count
National Parliaments	31.8%	7
National Governments	90.9%	20
National Audit Institutions	68.2%	15
Civil Society Organisations	45.5%	10
Other	18.2%	4
	Other, please specify Show replies	8
	answered question	22
	skipped question	6

$7.\ 3.\ Do\ you\ support\ developing\ countries\ in\ addressing\ the\ challenges\ of\ cross-border\ tax\ evasion\ and\ harmful\ tax\ competition?$

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	69.2%	18
No	30.8%	8
	answered question	26
	skipped question	2

9. 4. Do you provide assistance to developing countries in adopting and implementing the OECD guidelines on transfer pricing?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	48.0%	12
No	52.0%	13
	answered question	25
	skipped question	3

1. 5. In your cooperation policy, do you promote the principles of good governance in tax matters (transparency, exchange of information, fair tax competition)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	85.2%	23
No	14.8%	4
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

3. 6. Do you assess the level of commitment of the beneficiary to the principles of good governance in tax matters?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	69.2%	18
No	30.8%	8
	If no, why not? Please indicate below:	8
	answered question	26
	skipped question	2

1. 6.2.1. Transparency of tax systems: Do you analyse the situation of the country concerned with regard to its commitment and implementation of the international standards of transparency of tax systems?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	72.7%	16
No	27.3%	6
	6.2.1.1. Please explain: If yes, in what ways? If no, why not? Show replies	19
	answered question	22
	skipped question	6

2. 6.2.2. Exchange of information between tax administrations: Do you analyse the situation of the country concerned with regard to its commitment and implementation of the international standards of exchange of information in the tax area?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	52.2%	12
No	47.8%	11
	6.2.2.1. Please explain: If yes, in what ways? If no, why not? Show replies	19
	answered question	23
	skipped question	5

3. 6.2.3. Fair tax competition: Do you analyse the situation of the countries concerned with regard to business tax measures in force in those countries, which could fall under the criteria of the Code of Conduct for business taxation (set out in the Council Conclusions of 1st December 1997, OJ C2/01, 6.1.98)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	31.8%	7
No	68.2%	15
	6.2.3.1. Please explain: If yes, in what ways? If no, why not? Show replies	16
	answered question	22
	skipped question	6

1. 7. Do you provide any form of assistance in relation to good governance in tax matters to the countries with which you have or plan to have TIEAs or Double Tax Conventions?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	44.4%	12
No	55.6%	15
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

2. 8. Please give details of the new TIEAs (Tax Information Exchange Agreements) and Double Tax Conventions your country concluded or is seeking to conclude since the beginning of 2010. Three status for the agreement:

	Response Percent	Response Count
i)Agreement concluded Show replies	96.0%	24
ii)Agreement under negotiation Show replies	88.0%	22
iii)Agreement planned Show replies	64.0%	16
	answered question skipped question	25 3

3. 8.1 Assistance provided ?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	12.5%	2
No	87.5%	14
	Please specify Show replies	14
	answered question	16
	skipped question	12

1. 9. Do you coordinate with bilateral and multilateral donors when supporting developing countries' tax reform agendas?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	68.0%	17
No	32.0%	8
	answered question	25
	skipped question	3

2. 9.1. If yes, do you do this		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Through international initiatives such as International Tax Compact (ITC) or International Tax Dialogue (ITD)	52.9%	9
At country level	64.7%	11
No specific coordination for support to tax reforms	0.0%	0
Other	47.1%	8
	Other, please specify Show replies	11
	answered question	17
	skipped question	11

3. 10. Do you support any international or regional initiatives or organisations that are active in the area of tax reform? Response Percent Count Yes 61.5% 16 No 38.5% 10 answered question 26 skipped question 2

4. 10.1. If Yes, please tick below		
	Response Percent	Response Count
a) IMF Regional Technical Assistance Centres	64.7%	11
b) International Tax Dialogue	52.9%	9
c) The International Tax Compact	29.4%	5
d) African Tax Administration Forum (ATAF)	47.1%	8
e) Centro Inter-Americano de Administraciones Tributarias (CIAT)	29.4%	5
f) The IMF Topical Trust Fund on Tax policy and administration	23.5%	4
g)Others	47.1%	8
	answered question	17
	skipped question	11

	Response Percent	Response Count
a) IMF Regional Technical Assistance Centres Show replies	70.0%	7
b) International Tax Dialogue	20.0%	2
c) The International Tax Compact Show replies	20.0%	2
d) African Tax Administration Forum (ATAF) Show replies	40.0%	4
e) Centro Inter-Americano de Administraciones Tributarias (CIAT) show replles	10.0%	1
f) The IMF Topical Trust Fund on Tax policy and administration show replies	30.0%	3
g)Others show replies	30.0%	3
	answered question	10
	skipped question	18

A.2. International Co-operation on Illegal Financial Flows

1. 11. Have you ratified/adhered to/implemented/ provided support to (please tick in each case): Response Response Percent Count The United Nations **Convention against** 85.2% 23 Corruption (Merida) The OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign 77.8% 21 Officials in International **Business Transactions** Stolen Assets Recovery 37.0% 10 initiative (STAR **Extractive Industry** 37.0% 10 Transparency Initiative (EITI) Other 11.1% 3 Other (please specify) 9 Show replies answered question 27 skipped question 1

2. 11.6. Please specify in which way(s) you support the above-mentioned initiatives?		
	Response Percent	Response Count
The United Nations Convention against Corruption (Merida) Show replies	33.3%	2
The OECD Convention on Combating Bribery of Foreign Officials in International Business Transactions	0.0%	0
Stolen Assets Recovery initiative (STAR Show replies	33.3%	2
Extractive Industry Transparency Initiative (EITI) Show replies	50.0%	3
Other Show replies	33.3%	2
	answered question	6
	skipped question	22

B. Mobilising international resources for development: foreign direct investment and other private flows

B.1. Support to private investment

4. 13. Are you providing financial tools to support private investment? (Please tick relevant and provide details in the box below)		
•	Response Percent	Response Count
Investment guarantees	65.2%	15
Improvement of the overall banking system	39.1%	9
Microfinance/ access to financial services	60.9%	14
Risk management initiatives	34.8%	8
Blending	34.8%	8
Private public partnerships	43.5%	10
Business and investment climate	69.6%	16
Investment facilities	60.9%	14
Export credits	60.9%	14
Other(Please give details below)	30.4%	7
	Other (please specify) Show replies	8
	answered question	23
	skipped question	5

B.2. Corporate social and environmental responsibility

6. 14. Are you promoting the adoption of internationally agreed principles and standards on Corporate Social and Environmental Responsibility by European companies?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	76.9%	20
No	23.1%	6
	answered question	26
	skipped question	2

8. 15. Are you supporting one or more of the below initiatives? (Please tick relevant and provide details on the nature of your support (signatory of the initiative, support of its implementation) in the box below)

	Response Percent	Response Count
Kimberley process	81.8%	9
FAO Code of Conduct for Responsible Fisheries	54.5%	6
Other	45.5%	5
	Other (please specify) Show replies	10
	answered question	11
	skipped question	17

B.4. Remittances and Migration

10. 17. Have you implemented solutions internally and in cooperation with third countries to overcome barriers to migrants and their families' access to financial services, with a view to reinforcing the impact of remittances on their economic and social development?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	34.6%	9
No	65.4%	17
	answered question	26
	skipped question	2

11. 17.1. If yes, do you have monitoring indicators for measuring the impact of these solutions on migrants' access to financial services, remittance transfer costs and remittance transfers volumes?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	44.4%	4
No	55.6%	5
	17.1.1. Please specify: Show replies	9
	answered question	9
	skipped question	19

12. 17.2. If no, do you plan to implement solutions to improve the impact of remittances on development?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	11.8%	2
No	88.2%	15
	17.2.1. Please specify: Show replies	15
	answered question skipped question	17 11

13. 18. Have you implemented the "General Principles for International Remittances Services" agreed by the Committee on Payments and Settlements Systems (CPSS) ?

	Response Percent	
Yes	41	.7% 10
No	58.	.3% 14
	answered questi	on 24
	skipped questi	on 4

14. 19. Have you implemented or do you plan to implement any other initiatives in order to increase competition and transparency in the remittance market and to reduce remittance transfers costs?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	41.7%	10
No	58.3%	14
	19.1. Please specify: Show replies	19
	answered question	24
	skipped question	4

15. 20. Do you have robust and reliable data concerning the amounts and destination of remittances from your country, especially compared to other sources, such as ad-hoc surveys?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	44.4%	12
No	55.6%	15
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

16. 21. Have you adopted or do you intend to adopt the operational definitions, recommendations and best practices on improving the quality and coverage of data on remittances according to the compilation guide drafted by the "Luxembourg Group" ?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	47.8%	11
No	52.2%	12
	answered question	23
	skipped question	5

17. 22. Does your legislation – in particular national security or immigration laws - contain any provision hampering the effective use of legal remittances channels?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	22
	22.1. If yes, please explain the legislation and whether you intend to address this challenge in the future? Show replies	2
	answered question	22
	skipped question	6

18. 23. Have you conducted an assessment of the impact of the legislation on anti money-laundering and counter-terrorism financing on the remittances' market?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	0.0%	0
No	100.0%	19
23.1. If yes, please explain the res	ults of this evaluation? If no, do you intend to conduct such an assessment? Show replies	4
	answered question	19
	skipped question	9

D. Increasing international financial and technical cooperation for development

D 1. ODA

7. D 1.2 Predictability of ODA increases 28. Have you established a multi-annual indicative timetable/minimum aid level commitment, approved by the national government, showing how you aim to reach your ODA targets for 2015 (0.33% or 0.7%)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	37.0%	10
No	63.0%	17
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

8. 28.1. If yes, by what process was this achieved and what is the legal status of this timetable/minimum aid level commitment:

	Response Percent	Response Count
Law	10.0%	1
Annual budget law	10.0%	1
Government decision/ decree	30.0%	3
Policy document, endorsed by the entire Government (e.g. multi-annual strategic policy document etc)	50.0%	5
28.1.5. Please indicate the web lin	nk to the document or Official Journal specifications or attach the document: Show replies	9
	answered question	10
	skipped question	18

11. D.1.3. ODA for Africa 30. Have you taken or do you plan to take measures to ensure that at least 50% of EU collective aid increases of ODA resources are channelled to Africa?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	69.2%	18
No	30.8%	8
	30.1. Please specify Show replies	24
	answered question	26
	skipped question	2

12. D.1.4. ODA for LDCs 31. Will you reach the target of 0.15%-0.20% ODA/GNI to LDCs by 2010 and onwards?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	47.8%	11
No	52.2%	12
	answered question	23
	skipped question	5

14. D.1.5. Temporary questions on ODA 32. For EU Member States that are not members of the OECD DAC: 32.1. Do you apply the OECD/ DAC ODA rules for your ODA reporting?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	100.0%	12
No	0.0%	0
	answered question	12
	skipped question	16

15. 32.2. Do you agree to the publishing of your statistics sent to DAC?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	100.0%	12
No	0.0%	0
	answered question	12
	skipped question	16

16. 32.3. Do your agree to sharing your statistics sent to the DAC with the European Commission and all EU Member States?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	100.0%	10
No	0.0%	0
F	Please specify (if needed) Show replies	2
	answered question	10
	skipped question	18

D 2. Innovative Financing Sources and Mechanisms

18. 34. For each of the innovative sources of financing below that you have implemented, please complete the table below. Please include the annual amount raised in 2009 and 2010 (in national currency in current or constant prices, indicating the base year) and also please indicate how you disburse these resources. 34.1 Do you use this mechanism?

	Yes	No	Response Count
Airline Ticket Tax/contribution	15.0% (3)	85.0% (17)	20
ETS auctioning revenues	10.0% (2)	90.0% (18)	20
International Financing Facility for Immunization (IFFIm)	27.3% (6)	72.7% (16)	22
Advance Market Commitments (AMCs)	15.0% (3)	85.0% (17)	20
Debt2Health	10.0% (2)	90.0% (18)	20
Other (please specify)	23.5% (4)	76.5% (13)	17
	answered	question	24
	skipped	question	4

20. 36. Do you intend to step up efforts for innovative financing mechanisms with significant revenue generation potential, with a view to ensuring predictable financing for sustainable development?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	44.4%	12
No	55.6%	15
	36.1. If Yes, please explain how Show replies	13
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

21. 37. Do you consider further work on innovative financing mechanisms, i.e. in addition to the
ones mentioned in question 34?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	28.0%	7
No	72.0%	18
	answered question	25
	skipped question	3

D. 3. Aid Effectiveness

1. 39. Which actions has your country taken in 2010 to support country ownership, including guidance and incentives for staff in partner countries?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Consultation and coordination with partner countries	100.0%	25
Guidance and incentives for staff in partner countries	52.0%	13
Supporting partner capacity development	84.0%	21
Others	4.0%	1
	Please specify Show replies	10
	answered question	25
	skipped question	3
	omprou quoonon	•

2. 40. What is your main method of delivering country programmable aid (excluding aid channelled through multilateral organisations and the EU)? Please enter an approximate percentage share according to modalities used in 2010:

	Response Percent	Response Count
Technical cooperation Show replies	84.6%	11
Project support Show replies	76.9%	10
Budget support : Show replies	69.2%	9
Other modalities (please specify) Show replies	53.8%	7
	answered question	13
	skipped question	15

3. 40.1. What is the percentage share of your aid channelled through programme-based approaches ?

	Response Percent	Response Count
In percentage of the bilateral aid programme ? Show replies	100.0%	6
	answered question	6
	skipped question	22

4. 41. If you use budget support, please specify which form of budget support you use

	Yes	No	Response Count
General Budget Support	42.9% (6)	57.1% (8)	14
Sector Budget Support	42.9% (6)	57.1% (8)	14
	answere	answered question	
	skippe	skipped question	

7. 42. On using country systems as the first option, have you						
	Yes	In most cases	In some cases	Seldom	No	Response Count
Conducted an assessment to identify internal constraints	16.7% (4)	29.2% (7)	16.7% (4)	0.0%	37.5% (9)	24
Reviewed the design of aid instruments irrespective of modality so that use of country systems is considered a first option	8.7% (2)	13.0% (3)	26.1% (6)	4.3% (1)	47.8% (11)	23
Provided relevant staff training	17.4% (4)	13.0% (3)	21.7% (5)	4.3% (1)	43.5% (10)	23
Supported partner-country capacity development for improving the quality of country systems	20.8% (5)	20.8% (5)	29.2% (7)	0.0%	29.2% (7)	24
			ans	swered qu	estion	25
			s	kipped qu	estion	3

10. 43. On undertaking Joint Assessments to Promote the Use of Country Systems, have you		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Supported partner-country capacity development for improved quality of country systems	76.2%	16
Conducted joint assessments with others	57.1%	12
Used methodologies and results from other donors' assessments	76.2%	16
Made methodologies and results from your assessments available to others	52.4%	11
	answered question	21
	skipped question	7

11. D.3.2.2. Conditionality 44. In 2010, what actions have you carried out on conditionalities? Response Response Count Percent Reduction of the number of 5 27.8% conditionalities Harmonisation with other 94.4% 17 donors Make conditionalities public 38.9% 7 Other 16.7% 3 Other (please specify) 1 Show replies answered question 18 skipped question 10

12. D.3.2.3. Making aid more transparent and more predictable 45. Is it possible for your country to make multi-year (at least three year) commitments to partner countries? (Please tick below)

	Response Percent	Response Count
For Projects	100.0%	19
For Programme Based Approaches: 1- General	78.9%	15
For Programme Based Approaches: 2- When Budget Support	63.2%	12
For Budget Support	68.4%	13
	answered question	19
	skipped question	9

13. 46. Do you publicly disclose information on aid	l volume:	
	Response Percent	Response Count
On your website	96.3%	26
On the website of your field offices	22.2%	6
Through a database at country level	22.2%	6
Through another initiative	40.7%	11
	Please explain Show replies	16
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

14. 47. Is the information available		
	Response Percent	Response Count
For each sector	100.0%	19
With forward-looking data	57.9%	11
If yes: Year N+3	52.6%	10
If yes: Year N + beyond 3	15.8%	3
Comi	ment (please specify) Show replies	5
a	nswered question	19
	skipped question	9

15. 48. Is information on expenditure related to providing technical cooperation (including in-kind technical assistance) available to			
	Response Percent	Response Count	
the Public?	90.5%	19	
the partner countries only?	23.8%	5	
	answered question	21	
	skipped question	7	

18. 49. On alignment and country-owned management of Technical Cooperation, have you

	Response Percent	Response Count
Aligned technical cooperation to partner county policies and plans	95.7%	22
Promoted partner country leadership in making needs assessments	87.0%	20
Adapted donor procedures and regulations to enable partners to use local and regional resources	43.5%	10
	answered question	23
	skipped question	5

1. 50. Have you integrated the principles of the Code of Conduct on Complementarity and Division of Labour (2007) in your strategies, staff guidance and programming processes/guidelines.

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		64.0%	16
No	-	8.0%	2
Partially		28.0%	7
		answered question	25
		skipped question	3

4. 51.2. In 2009, in how many countries did you concentrate on, following DAC-categories

	Response Average	Response Total	Response Count
Three sectors or less Show replies	5.33	48	9
Four to five sectors Show replies	2.29	16	7
More than five sectors Show replies	1.00	7	7
	answere	d question	9
	skippe	d question	19

7. 52.1. For the countries that you are planning to exit, please give details on your exit strategy 52.1.3. Will residual cooperation remain?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		85.7%	6
No	_	14.3%	1
		Please explain if needed	7
		answered question	7
		skipped question	21

10. 52.2.2. Will you use joint analyses, joint programmes to ease your entry?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	44.4%	4
No	55.6%	5
	answered question	9
	skipped question	19

11. 53. Are the legal and/or administrative arrangements in place for you to manage funds for another MS or the EU, and/ for another MS or the EU to manage your funds (delegated cooperation)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	70.4%	19
No	29.6%	8
	If yes, please give details below:	20
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

cooperation?	in in place at headquarters level to track cases of deleg	ateu
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	50.0%	14

14

28

50.0%

answered question

skipped question

14. 54. What activities have you undertaken at headquarters and country level to support the
Birinian of Labour and Control of the Control of th

No

Division of Labour process		
	Response Percent	Response Count
Policy and guidelines for sectoral/geographical concentration	95.2%	20
Decentralization for in- country coordination	47.6%	10
Staff incentives	19.0%	4
Others	14.3%	3
	Other (please specify) Show replies	2
	answered question	21
	skipped question	7

15. 55. Are you currently involved in joint programming schemes			
		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes, in 1-5 countries		30.8%	8
Yes, in 5-10 countries		15.4%	4
Yes, in more than 10 countries		3.8%	1
No		50.0%	13
	ľ	f no, are there any legal impediments (explain) Show replies	15
		answered question	26
		skipped question	2

	Response	Response
	Percent	Count
A joint analysis only	87.5%	7
A joint analysis and response strategy according to the EU agreed Common Format for Country Strategy Papers	62.5%	5
	Comment (please specify) Show replies	10
	answered question	8
	skipped question	20

19. 56. Have you established in some of your priority countries a joint framework for monitoring commitments?		nitoring joint
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	46.4%	13
No	53.6%	15
	Please list the countries: Show replies	12
	answered question	28
	skipped question	0

20. 57. If yes, in which propor	rtion of your priority countries?		
		Response Percent	Response Count
Beyond 80%		20.0%	2
Between 50-80%	-	10.0%	1
Between 25-50%	-	10.0%	1
Between 10-25%		50.0%	5
Below 10%	_	10.0%	1
		answered question	10
		skipped question	18

21. 58. Does this mutual accountability assessmen	t take place through:	
	Response Percent	Response Count
A Performance Assessment Framework	78.6%	11
Policy dialogue groups	78.6%	11
Consultative groups	42.9%	6
Joint review panels	50.0%	7
Other	14.3%	2
	Please specify Show replies	7
	answered question	14
	skipped question	14

22. D.3.5. On managing for development results 59. Do you provide capacity support for Managing for Development Results MfDR?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	51.9%	14
No	48.1%	13
	Comment (please specify)	0
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

E. External debt

E.1. Preserving debt sustainability

1. 62. Has your country delivered on your commitments to the HIPC and MDRI initiatives, including commitments towards IDA and the African Development Bank, without delays?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		89.3%	25
No	_	10.7%	3
		answered question	28
		skipped question	0

3. 63. Have you taken new actions/steps to help restore and preserve debt sustainability in low-income countries?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	48.1%	13
No	51.9%	14
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

5. 64. Do you see a need to reform the international architecture for the restructuring of sovereign debts in order to deal with potential future cases of debt distress in low-income countries?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	48.0%	12
No	52.0%	13
	answered question	25
	skipped question	3
6. 64.1. If yes, please specify y	what would be the main features of the debt workout mech	anism that

6. 64.1. If yes, please specify what would be the main features of the debt workout mechanism that you would support (please tick in each case):

	Response Percent	Response Count
Based on Paris Club	69.2%	9
Involving a role for International Financial Institutions	61.5%	8
Creation of an international Arbitration Court	7.7%	1
Collective action clauses in debt contracts	38.5%	5
Other	15.4%	2
	Please specify Show replies	9
	answered question	13
	skipped question	15

8. 66. Have you planned specific interventions to prevent aggressive litigation against HIPCs (in particular to prevent the actions of distressed-debt funds – commonly referred as "vulture funds")?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	18.5%	5
No	81.5%	22
	If yes, please specify: Show replies	7
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

F. Addressing systemic issues: enhancing the coherence and consistency of the international monetary, financial and trading systems in support of development

F.1 Reforms of the International Financial Institutions

11. 69. Do you believe that the agreed quota realignment will be sufficient to increase the legitimacy, credibility and effectiveness of the International Monetary Fund.

	Respons Percent	
Yes	59	.3% 16
No	40).7% 11
	answered questi	ion 27
	skipped quest	ion 1

13. 70. Do you share the view that the EU27 should speak with one voice in the IMF, World Bank and main multilateral development banks' governing bodies?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	48.0%	12
No	52.0%	13
	Comment (please specify) Show replies	6
	answered question	25
	skipped question	3

16. 71. Would you support stronger Brussels based coordination on issues related to the World Bank and MDB's (as exists already for the IMF)?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	73.1%	19
No	26.9%	7
	answered question	26
	skipped question	2

17. F.2. Temporary questions on Systemic Issues 72. How satisfied are you with the outcome of the Voice and Participation reform at the World Bank?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Very satisfied	17.9%	5
Somewhat satisfied	71.4%	20
Not satisfied	10.7%	3
	answered question	28
	skipped question	0

18. 73. In terms of the replenishment of IDA, do you think the EU should push for greater conditions to be attached to its support for IDA e.g. push for an increasing share to go to Sub-Saharan Africa?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	59.3%	16
No	40.7%	11
	answered question	27
	skipped question	1

19. 74. Do you welcome the creation of a permanent Crisis Response Window in IDA as an effective approach to responding to crises within IDA countries?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Yes		92.6%	25
No	-	7.4%	2
		answered question	27
		skipped question	1

20. 75. Do you support the crepowers?	0. 75. Do you support the creation of a Ministerial Council for the IMF with decision-making owers?	
	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	64.0%	16
No	36.0%	9
	answered question	25
	skipped question	3

G. New challenges and cross cutting issues

G.1. Climate Change

1. 78. In view of the requirement of the Copenhagen Accord for fast-start finance to be "new and additional", and European Council conclusions that financing for this end should "not undermine or jeopardize the fight against poverty and continued progress towards the Millennium Development Goals", please explain how you defined this for reporting in the Annex 4 excel sheet:

Additional to climate related funding in a specific reference year Additional to the average annual climate related	Response Percent 36.0%	Response Count
funding in a specific reference year Additional to the average annual climate related	36.0%	9
annual climate related		
funding over a specific reference period	0.0%	0
Additional to the level of ODA spending in nominal terms	20.0%	5
Additional to a ODA spending target in % of GNI	12.0%	3
Other definition of additionality	44.0%	11
ans	wered question	25
sk	ipped question	3

G.2. Biological Diversity

7. 2. Do you consider biological diversity and its associated ecosystem services as a cross-cutting or sectoral policy issue in development co-operation?

		Response Percent	Response Count
Cross-cutting		34.8%	8
Sectoral	•	4.3%	1
Both		60.9%	14
		answered question	23
		skipped question	5

8. 3. Do you to monitor trends in the amount of financial resources provided by your country to contribute to the implementation of global biodiversity commitments?

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	45.8%	11
No	45.8%	11
A monitoring framework is under development	8.3%	2
	answered question	24
	skipped question	4

H. Transparency of the answers and assessment of the questionnaire

H.1. Transparency

	Response Percent	Response Count
Yes	52.0%	13
Yes, but only on the basis of reciprocity	36.0%	9
Yes, but only if the recipient ensures that replies are not further distributed to the general public	24.0%	6
No	0.0%	0
	answered question	25
	skipped question	3

15. H1.2. Do you agree to publishing your answers to the questionnaire as an annex to the Monitoring report 2011?

Response Response Percent Count

Yes 82.1% 23 No 17.9% 5
Yes 82.1% 23

16. H.2. Your assessment of this questionnaire				
	Yes	No	Response Count	
Right selection of themes ?	95.0% (19)	5.0% (1)	20	
Were background references were sufficient?	100.0% (21)	0.0% (0)	21	
Were questions clear ?	90.5% (19)	9.5% (2)	21	
Right amount of questions ?	83.3% (15)	16.7% (3)	18	
		If No, please specify Show replies	6	
		answered question	23	
		skipped question	5	