



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Brussels, 1.8.2011
COM(2011) 484 final

2011/0212 (COD)

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

amending Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 on the European Fisheries Fund, as regards certain provisions relating to financial management for certain Members States experiencing or threatened with serious difficulties with respect to their financial stability

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

1. BACKGROUND TO THE PROPOSAL

- **Reasons and objectives for the proposal**

The sustained financial and economic crisis is increasing the pressure on national financial resources as Member States are reducing their budgets. In this context ensuring a smooth implementation of cohesion programmes is of particular importance as a tool for injecting funds to the economy.

Nonetheless, the implementation of the programmes is often challenging as a result of the liquidity problems resulting from budget constraints. This is particularly the case for those Member States which have been most affected by the crisis and have received financial assistance under a programme from the European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism (EFSM) for the EURO countries or from the Balance of Payments (BoP) mechanism for non EURO countries. To date, six countries - including Greece which has received financial assistance before the establishment of EFSM - have requested financial assistance under these mechanisms and have agreed with the Commission a macro-economic adjustment programme. These countries are Hungary, Romania, Latvia, Portugal, Greece and Ireland, hereafter called "programme countries". It should be noted that Hungary which has entered the BoP mechanism in 2008 has already exited in 2010.

In order to ensure that these Member States continue the implementation of the European Fisheries Fund programmes on the ground and disburse funds to projects, the current proposal contains provisions that would allow the Commission to make increased payments to these countries, for the period they are under the support mechanisms. This will provide additional financial resources to the Member States and will facilitate the continuation of the implementation of the programmes on the ground.

- **General context**

The deepening of the financial crisis in some of the Member States is undoubtedly affecting substantially the real economy due to the amount of debt and the difficulties encountered by the Governments to borrow money from the market.

In 2008, the Council has adopted (based on a proposal from the Commission) a regulation in response to the crisis and to its socio-economic consequences. In the framework of this package, the regulation foresees a number of regulatory changes aiming to provide additional pre-financing through advance payments to EFF programmes. The additional advance payments paid out to the Member States have provided an immediate cash injection of EUR 293 million, within the financial envelope agreed for each Member State for the 2007-2013 period. The total of advance payments amounts to EUR 586.4 million.

- **Provisions in force in the policy sphere of the proposal**

Article 76 of the Council Regulation (EC) 1198/2006 provides for two possibilities to calculate interim payments. Paragraph 1, as a general rule, provides that interim payments shall be calculated by applying to the public contribution declared in the statement of expenditure certified by the certifying authority under each priority axis and under each Convergence/Non-convergence objective, the co-financing rate established under the current financing plan for that priority axis and that objective. Paragraph 2 allows, in response to a specific and properly grounded request by the Member State, to calculate interim payments as the amount of Union assistance paid or due to be paid to the beneficiaries in respect of the priority axis and of the objectives.

Article 77 of the Council Regulation (EC) 1198/2006 provides that the payment of balance shall be limited to whichever of these amounts is smaller: (a) the amount calculated by applying to the public contribution declared in the final statement of expenditure certified by the certifying authority under each priority axis and under each Convergence/Non-Convergence objective, the rate of Union co-financing established under the current financing plan for that priority axis and that objective or (b) the amount of Union assistance paid or due to be paid to the beneficiaries in respect of each priority axis and for each objective. The latter amount must be specified by the Member State in the last statement of expenditure certified by the certifying authority in respect of each priority axis and for each objective.

- **Consistency with other policies and objectives of the Union**

The proposal is consistent with other proposals and initiatives adopted by the European Commission as a response to the financial crisis.

2. CONSULTATION OF INTEREST PARTIES AND IMPACT ANALYSIS

- **Consultation of interested parties**

There was no consultation of external stakeholders

- **Procurement and use of expertise**

Use of external expertise has not been necessary.

- **Impact analysis**

The proposal would allow the Commission to increase payments to the countries concerned, for the period they are under the support mechanisms. The increase will be an amount calculated by applying ten percentage points top-up to the co-financing rates applicable to the priority axis of the programmes to the newly certified expenditure submitted during the period in question. In applying the top-up, the co-financing rate of the programme cannot exceed by more than 10 percentage points the maximum ceilings of Article 53(3) of the EFF Regulation. In any case the EU contribution to the operational programmes and the priority axis concerned cannot be higher than the amount mentioned in the Commission decision.

This will not impose additional financial requirements to the overall budget since the total financial allocation for the period from the EFF to the countries and the programmes in question will not change.

3. LEGAL ELEMENTS OF THE PROPOSAL

- **Summary of the proposed measures**

It is proposed to modify article 76 and 77 of the EFF Regulation in order to allow the Commission to reimburse the newly declared expenditure for the period and the countries concerned by an increased amount calculated by applying a 10 percentage points top-up of the applicable co-financing rates for the priority axis.

In applying the top-up, the co-financing rate of the programme cannot exceed by more than 10 percentage points the maximum ceilings of Article 53(3) of the EFF Regulation. In any case contribution from the funds to the priority axis concerned cannot be higher than the amount mentioned in the Commission decision.

Following the adoption of a Council decision granting assistance to a Member State under the support mechanisms, the Commission will be applying the above mentioned calculation for all the newly declared expenditure under an operational programme for the Member State concerned.

This will be a temporary measure which will be terminated once the Member State exits the support mechanism.

- **Legal basis**

Council Regulation (CE) No 1198/2006 of 27 July 2006 laying down general provisions on the European Fisheries Fund defines the framework for Union support for the sustainable development of the fisheries sector, fisheries areas and inland fishing. Based on the principle of shared management between the Commission and the Member States, this Regulation includes provisions for the programming process as well as arrangements for programme (including financial) management, monitoring, financial control and evaluation of projects.

- **Subsidiarity principle**

The proposal complies within the subsidiarity principle to the extent that it seeks to provide increased support through the European Fisheries Fund for certain Member States which experience serious difficulties [or are threatened with such difficulties] notably with problems in their economic growth and financial stability and with a deterioration in their deficit and debt position, also due to the international economic and financial environment. In this context, it is necessary to establish at the European Union level a temporary mechanism which allows the European Commission to increase the reimbursement on the basis of the certified expenditure under the European Fisheries Fund.

- **Proportionality principle**

The proposal conforms to the proportionality principle:

The current proposal is indeed proportionate since it goes a long way in providing increased support from the European Fisheries Fund to the Member States in difficulties or threatened with severe difficulties caused by exceptional occurrences going beyond its control and falling under the conditions of Council Regulation (EU) No 407/2010 (establishing the European financial stabilization mechanism), or in difficulties or seriously threatened with difficulties as regards its balance of payments and falling under the conditions of Council Regulation (EC) No 332/2002, as well as to Greece, which was addressed by Council Decision 2010/320/EU giving Greece a notice with a view to reinforcing and deepening fiscal surveillance and take measures to remedy the situation of excessive deficit.

- **Choice of instruments**

Proposed instrument: regulation.

Other instruments would not be appropriate for the following reasons:

The Commission has explored the scope for manoeuvre provided by the legal framework and considers necessary, in the light of the experience up to now, to propose modifications to the General Regulation. The objective of this revision is to further facilitate the co-financing of projects thereby accelerating both their implementation and the impact of such investments on the real economy.

4. BUDGETARY IMPACT

There is no impact on commitment appropriations since no modification is proposed to the maximum amounts of the European Fisheries Fund financing provided for in the Operational Programmes for the programming period 2007-2013.

The proposal shows the willingness on the part of the Commission to assist the efforts of the Member States to deal with the financial crisis. The amendment will provide the Member States concerned with the funds necessary to support projects and the recovery of the economy.

For payment appropriations in 2012, the proposal can result in a higher reimbursement to the Member States concerned. The additional payment appropriations for this proposal will imply an increase of payment appropriations (for 2012 approximately EUR 20 million) which will be compensated by the end of the programming period. Therefore, the total payment appropriations for the whole programming period remains unchanged

In the light of Member State's request to benefit from the action and taking into account the evolution in regard to the submission of interim payments, the Commission will in 2012 review the need for additional payment credits and if necessary propose the necessary actions to the Budgetary Authority.

Proposal for a

REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

amending Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 on the European Fisheries Fund, as regards certain provisions relating to financial management for certain Members States experiencing or threatened with serious difficulties with respect to their financial stability

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national Parliaments,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee¹,

Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions²,

Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure

Whereas:

- (1) The unprecedented global financial crisis and economic downturn have seriously damaged economic growth and financial stability and provoked a strong deterioration in financial and economic conditions in several Member States. In particular, certain Member States experience serious difficulties or are threatened with such difficulties, notably with problems in their economic growth and financial stability and with a deterioration in their deficit and debt position, also due to the international economic and financial environment.
- (2) Whilst important actions to counterbalance the negative effects of the crisis have already been taken, including amendments of the legislative framework, the impact of the financial crisis on the real economy, the labour market and citizens is being widely felt. Pressure on national financial resources is increasing and further steps should be taken to alleviate that pressure through the maximum and optimal use of the funding from the European Fisheries Fund.
- (3) Based on Article 122(2) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) providing the possibility of granting Union financial assistance to a Member

¹ OJ L , , p. .

² OJ L , , p. .

State in difficulties or seriously threatened with severe difficulties caused by exceptional occurrences beyond its control, Council Regulation (EU) No 407/2010 of 11 May 2010 establishing a European financial stabilisation mechanism³ has established such a mechanism with a view to preserving the financial stability of the Union.

- (4) By Council Implementing Decisions 2011/77/EU of 7 December 2010⁴ and 2011/344/EU of 30 May 2011⁵ Ireland and Portugal were granted such Union financial assistance.
- (5) Greece was experiencing serious difficulties with respect to its financial stability already before the entry into force of Regulation (EU) No 407/2010. Therefore financial assistance to Greece could not be based on that Regulation.
- (6) The Intercreditor Agreement and the Loan Facility Agreement concluded for Greece on 8 May 2011 entered into force on 11 May 2010. It foresees that the Intercreditor Agreement shall remain in full force and effect for a three-year programme period as long as there are any amounts outstanding under the Loan Facility Agreement.
- (7) Council Regulation (EC) No 332/2002 of 18 February 2002 establishing a facility providing medium-term financial assistance for Member States' balances of payments⁶ has established an instrument providing that the Council will grant mutual assistance where a Member State which has not adopted the euro is in difficulties or is seriously threatened with difficulties as regards its balance of payments.
- (8) By Council Decisions 2009/102/EC of 4 November 2009⁷, 2009/290/EC of 20 January 2009⁸ and 2009/459/EC of 26 June 2009⁹ Hungary, Latvia and Romania were granted such financial assistance.
- (9) The period during which the assistance is available under Regulations 407/2010 and 332/2002 is set out in the respective Council Implementing Decisions. The Council Decision granting assistance to Hungary expired on 4 November 2010.
- (10) On 11 July 2011, finance ministers of the 17 euro-area Member States signed the Treaty establishing the European Stability Mechanism (ESM). It is foreseen that by 2013, the ESM will assume the tasks currently fulfilled by the European Financial Stability Facility (EFSF) and the European Financial Stabilisation Mechanism (EFSM).
- (11) In order to facilitate the management of Union funding, to help accelerate investments in Member States and regions and to improve the availability of funding to the economy it is necessary to allow the increase of interim payments from the European Fisheries Fund by an amount corresponding to ten percentage points above the actual

³ OJ L 118, 12.5.2010, p. 1.

⁴ OJ L 30, 4.2.2011, p. 34.

⁵ OJ L 159, 17.6.2011, p. 88.

⁶ OJ L 53, 23.2.2002, p. 1.

⁷ OJ L 37, 6.2.2009, p. 5.

⁸ OJ L 79, 25.3.2009, p. 39.

⁹ OJ L 150, 13.6.2009, p. 8.

co-financing rate for each priority axis for Member States which are facing serious difficulties with respect to their financial stability.

- (12) The rules on calculation of interim payments and the payment of the final balance for operational programmes during the period in which the Member States receive the financial assistance for addressing serious difficulties with respect to their financial stability should be revised accordingly.
- (13) After the end of the period during which financial assistance has been made available, the outcomes of evaluations carried out in accordance with Article 18(2) might highlight the need to assess, inter alia, whether the reduction of the national co-funding does not lead to a significant departure from the goals initially set. Such evaluation might lead to the revision of the operational programme.
- (14) Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 of 27 July 2006 laying down general provisions on the European Fisheries Fund¹⁰ should therefore be amended accordingly.
- (15) As the unprecedented crisis affecting international financial markets and the economic downturn which have seriously damaged the financial stability of several Member States necessitates a rapid response in order to counter the effects on the economy as a whole, this Regulation should enter into force as soon as possible and apply retroactively for the periods during which the Member States received financial assistance from the Union or from other euro-area Member States in order to address serious difficulties with respect to their financial stability,

HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

1. Articles 76 and 77 of Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 are replaced by the following:

“Article 76

Rules for calculating interim payments

1. Interim payments shall be calculated by applying to the public contribution declared in the statement of expenditure certified by the certifying authority under each priority axis and under each Convergence/Non-convergence objective, the co-financing rate established under the current financing plan for that priority axis and that objective.
2. By way of derogation from paragraph 1, in response to a specific and properly grounded request by the Member State, an interim payment shall be the amount of Union assistance paid or due to be paid to the beneficiaries in respect of the priority

¹⁰ OJ L 223, 15.8.2006, p. 1.

axis and of the objective. This amount must be specified by the Member State in the statement of expenditure.

3. By way of derogation from Article 53 (3), , on the request of a Member State, interim payments may be increased by an amount corresponding to ten percentage points above the co-financing rate applicable to each priority axis. to be applied to the amount of eligible public expenditure newly declared in each certified statement of expenditure submitted during the period in which a Member State complies with one of the following conditions:
 - (a) financial assistance is made available to it under Council Regulation (EC) No 407/2010 establishing a European financial stabilisation mechanism* or, is made available to it by other euro-area Member States before the entry into force of that Regulation;
 - (b) medium-term financial assistance assistance is made available to it in accordance with Council Regulation (EC) No 332/2002**;
 - (c) financial assistance is made available to it in accordance with the Treaty establishing the European Stability Mechanism signed on 11 July 2011.
4. For the purpose of calculating interim payments submitted after the Member State ceases to benefit from the financial assistance referred to in paragraph 3, the Commission shall not take into account the increased amounts paid in accordance with that paragraph.

However, these amounts shall be taken into account for the purpose of Article 79(1).

* OJ L 118, 12.5.2010, p.1.

** OJ L 53, 23.2.2002, p. 1.”

Article 77

Rules for calculating payments of the balance

1. Payment of the balance shall be limited to whichever of the following two amounts is smaller:
 - (a) the amount calculated by applying to the public contribution declared in the final statement of expenditure certified by the certifying authority under each priority axis and under each Convergence/Non-Convergence objective, the co-financing rate established under the current financing plan for that priority axis and that objective;
 - (b) the amount of Union assistance paid or due to be paid to the beneficiaries in respect of each priority axis and for each objective. The latter amount must be specified by the Member State in the last statement of expenditure certified by the certifying authority in respect of each priority axis and for each objective.

2. By way of derogation from Article 53 (3), on the request of a Member State, payments of the final balance may be increased, by an amount corresponding to ten percentage points above the co-financing rate applicable to each priority axis, to be applied to the amount of eligible public expenditure newly declared in each certified statement of expenditure submitted during the period in which a Member State meets one of the following conditions:
 - (a) financial assistance is made available to it under Council Regulation (EC) No 407/2010 establishing a European financial stabilisation mechanism* or, , is made available by other euro-area Member States before the entry into force of that Regulation;
 - (b) medium-term financial assistance is made available to it in accordance with Council Regulation (EC) No 332/2002**;
 - (c) financial assistance is made available to it in accordance with the Treaty establishing the European Stability Mechanism signed on 11 July 2011.
3. For the purpose of calculating the payment of the final balance after the Member State ceases to benefit from the Union financial assistance referred to in Article 76 paragraph 3, the Commission shall not take into account the increased amounts paid in accordance with that paragraph.

2. Article 77 *a* is inserted:

"Article 77 a

Limit to the Union contribution through interim payments and payments of the balance

Notwithstanding Article 76 paragraph 3, and Article 77 paragraph 2, the Union contribution through interim payments and payments of the final balance shall not be higher than the public contribution and the maximum amount of assistance from the Funds for each priority axis and objective as laid down in the decision of the Commission approving the operational programme."

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

However, it shall apply retroactively to the following Member States with effect from the moment financial assistance was made available to them:

- (a) Ireland from 10 December 2010;
- (b) Greece from 11 May 2010;
- (c) Latvia from 23 January 2009;
- (d) Hungary from 5 November 2008;

(e) Portugal from 24 May 2011;

(f) Romania from 11 May 2009;

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

*For the European Parliament
The President*

*For the Council
The President*

LEGISLATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

1. NAME OF THE PROPOSAL:

Proposal for a REGULATION (EU) No .../2011 OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Council Regulation (EC) No 1198/2006 as regards certain provisions relating to financial management for certain Members States experiencing or threatened with serious difficulties with respect to their financial stability

2. ABM / ABB FRAMEWORK

Policy Area(s) concerned and associated Activity/Activities:

European Fisheries Fund; ABB activity 11.06

3. BUDGET LINES

3.1. Budget lines (operational lines and related technical and administrative assistance lines (ex- B.A lines)):

The proposed new action will be implemented on the following budget lines:

- 11.0612 Convergence (EFF)
- 11.0613 non Convergence (EFF)

3.2. Duration of the action and of the financial impact:

3.3. Budgetary characteristics:

Budget line	Type of expenditure		New	EFTA contribution	Contributions from applicant countries	Heading in financial perspective
11.0612	Non-comp	Diff	NO	NO	NO	No 2
11.0613	Non-comp	Diff	NO	NO	NO	No 2

4. SUMMARY OF RESOURCES

4.1. Financial Resources

4.1.1. Summary of commitment appropriations (CA) and payment appropriations (PA)

The following tables show the estimated impact of the proposed measures in 2011 to 2013. Since no new financial resources are proposed, no figures are inserted in the tables but n.a. (non-applicable) is indicated.

For payment appropriations in 2012, the proposal can result in a higher reimbursement to the Member States concerned. The additional payment appropriations for this proposal will imply an increase of payment appropriations (for 2012 approximately EUR 20 million) which will be compensated by the end of the programming period. Therefore, the total payment appropriations for the whole programming period remains unchanged

In the light of Member State's request to benefit from the action and taking into account the evolution in regard to the submission of interim payments, the Commission will in 2012 review the need for additional payment credits and if necessary propose the necessary actions to the Budgetary Authority.

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

Expenditure type	Section no.		Year n	n + 1	n + 2	n + 3	n + 4	n + 5 and later	Total
------------------	-------------	--	--------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-----------------	-------

Operational expenditure¹¹

Commitment Appropriations (CA)	8.1	a	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Payment Appropriations (PA)		b	n.a.	20	n.a.	n.a.	-20	n.a.	n.a.

Administrative expenditure within reference amount¹²

Technical & administrative assistance (NDA)	8.2.4	c	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
---	-------	---	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

TOTAL REFERENCE AMOUNT

Commitment Appropriations		a+c	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Payment Appropriations		b+c	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	0,000

Administrative expenditure not included in reference amount¹³

Human resources and associated expenditure (NDA)	8.2.5	d	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Administrative costs, other than human resources and associated costs, not included in reference amount (NDA)	8.2.6	e	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Total indicative financial cost of intervention

TOTAL CA including cost of Human Resources		a+c+d+e	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
TOTAL PA including cost of Human Resources		b+c+d+e	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

¹¹ Expenditure that does not fall under Chapter 11 01 of the Title 11 concerned

¹² Expenditure within article 11 01 04 of Title 11.

¹³ Expenditure within chapter 11 01 other than articles 11 01 04 or 11 01 05.

Co-financing details

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

Co-financing body		Year n	n + 1	n + 2	n + 3	n + 4	n + 5 and later	Total
.....	f	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
TOTAL CA including co-financing	a+c +d+ e+f	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

4.1.2. Compatibility with Financial Programming

- Proposal is compatible with existing financial programming.
- Proposal will entail reprogramming of the relevant heading in the financial perspective.
- Proposal may require application of the provisions of the Interinstitutional Agreement¹⁴ (i.e. flexibility instrument or revision of the financial perspective).

4.1.3. Financial impact on Revenue

- Proposal has no financial implications on revenue
- Proposal has financial impact – the effect on revenue is as follows:

EUR million (to one decimal place)

Budget line	Revenue	Prior to action [Year n-1]	Situation following action					
			[Year n]	[n+1]	[n+2]	[n+3]	[n+4]	[n+5] 15
	a) Revenue in absolute terms		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
	b) Change in revenue	Δ	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

¹⁴ See points 19 and 24 of the Interinstitutional agreement.

¹⁵ Additional columns should be added if necessary i.e. if the duration of the action exceeds 6 years

4.2. Human Resources FTE (including officials, temporary and external staff) – see detail under point 8.2.1.

Annual requirements	Year n	n + 1	n + 2	n + 3	n + 4	n + 5 and later
Total number of human resources	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

5. CHARACTERISTICS AND OBJECTIVES

5.1. Need to be met in the short or long term

The sustained financial and economic crisis is increasing the pressure on national financial resources, as Member States are reducing their budgets. In this context, the proposal would enable those Member States to continue with the implementation of the programmes by allowing them to use higher co-financing rate from the European Fisheries Fund and lower from national resources.

5.2. Value-added of Union involvement and coherence of the proposal with other financial instruments and possible synergy

The proposal will allow the continuation of the implementation of the programmes, injecting money into the economy while at the same time help reduce the burden on public expenditure.

5.3. Objectives, expected results and related indicators of the proposal in the context of the ABM framework

The objective is to help those Member States mostly affected by the financial crisis to be able to continue with the implementation of the programmes on the ground, hence injecting funds into the economy.

5.4. Method of Implementation (indicative)

Show below the method(s) chosen for the implementation of the action.

- With Member states

6. MONITORING AND EVALUATION

6.1. Monitoring system

Not needed, as it falls under the established monitoring of the European Fisheries Fund.

6.2. Evaluation

6.2.1. Ex-ante evaluation

This proposal has been prepared at the request from the Cabinet of the President of the Commission.

6.2.2. Measures taken following an intermediate/ex-post evaluation (lessons learned from similar experiences in the past)

N/A

6.2.3. Terms and frequency of future evaluation

N/A

7. ANTI-FRAUD MEASURES

N.A.

8. DETAILS OF RESOURCES

8.1. Objectives of the proposal in terms of their financial cost

Commitment appropriations in EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

(Headings of Objectives, actions and outputs should be provided)	Type of output	Av. cost	Year n		Year n+1		Year n+2		Year n+3		Year n+4		Year n+5 and later		TOTAL	
			No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost	No. outputs	Total cost
OPERATIONAL OBJECTIVE No.1 Sustain the implementation of the operational programmes																
Action 1 – 100% co-financing rate				0,000		0,000										0,000
TOTAL COST				0,000		0,000										0,000

8.2. Administrative Expenditure

8.2.1. Number and type of human resources

Types of post		Staff to be assigned to management of the action using existing and/or additional resources (number of posts/FTEs)					
		Year n	Year n+1	Year n+2	Year n+3	Year n+4	Year n+5
Officials or temporary staff (11 01 01)	A*/AD B*, C*/AST	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Staff financed by art. 11 01 02		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Other staff financed by art. 11 01 04/05		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
TOTAL		n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

8.2.2. Description of tasks deriving from the action

N/A

8.2.3. Sources of human resources (statutory)

(When more than one source is stated, please indicate the number of posts originating from each of the sources)

- Posts currently allocated to the management of the programme to be replaced or extended
- Posts pre-allocated within the APS/PDB exercise for year n
- Posts to be requested in the next APS/PDB procedure
- Posts to be redeployed using existing resources within the managing service (internal redeployment)
- Posts required for year n although not foreseen in the APS/PDB exercise of the year in question

8.2.4. *Other Administrative expenditure included in reference amount (11 01 04/05 – Expenditure on administrative management)*

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

Budget line (number and heading)	Year n	Year n+1	Year n+2	Year n+3	Year n+4	Year n+5 and later	TOTAL
1 Technical and administrative assistance (including related staff costs)							
Executive agencies	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Other technical and administrative assistance	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
- intra muros	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
- extra muros	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total Technical and administrative assistance	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

8.2.5. *Financial cost of human resources and associated costs not included in the reference amount*

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

Type of human resources	Year n	Year n+1	Year n+2	Year n+3	Year n+4	Year n+5 and later
Officials and temporary staff (11 01 01)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Staff financed by Art 11 01 02 (auxiliary, END, contract staff, etc.) (specify budget line)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total cost of Human Resources and associated costs (NOT in reference amount)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Calculation– *Officials and Temporary agents*

Reference should be made to Point 8.2.1, if applicable

n.a.

Calculation– *Staff financed under art. 11 01 02*

Reference should be made to Point 8.2.1, if applicable

n.a.

8.2.6. *Other administrative expenditure not included in reference amount*

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

	Year n	Year n+1	Year n+2	Year n+3	Year n+4	Year n+5 and later	TOTAL
11 01 02 11 01 – Missions	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
11 01 02 11 02 – Meetings & Conferences	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
11 01 02 11 03 – Committees	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
11 01 02 11 04 – Studies & consultations	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
11 01 02 11 05 - Information systems	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2 Total Other Management Expenditure (11 01 02 11)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
3 Other expenditure of an administrative nature (specify including reference to budget line)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Total Administrative expenditure, other than human resources and associated costs (NOT included in reference amount)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Calculation - *Other administrative expenditure not included in reference amount*

n.a.