



**COUNCIL OF
THE EUROPEAN UNION**

Brussels, 24 April 2012

9053/12

TRANS 124

“I/A” ITEM NOTE

from: General Secretariat of the Council
to: Coreper/Council

No. Cion prop.: 8385/12 TRANS 113

Subject: Draft COMMISSION DECISION of XXX amending Commission Decisions 2006/861/EC, 2008/163/EC, 2008/164/EC, 2008/217/EC, 2008/231/EC, 2008/232/EC, 2008/284/EC, 2011/229/EU, 2011/274/EU, 2011/275/EU, 2011/291/EU and 2011/314/EU concerning technical specifications for interoperability (omnibus part 3)
– Decision not to oppose the adoption (regulatory procedure with scrutiny)

1. The measure envisaged being in accordance with the opinion of the relevant committee, the Commission has submitted the above draft measure¹ to the Council for scrutiny in accordance with the procedure in Article 5a(3)(a) of Council Decision 1999/468/EC². The Commission having presented the draft measure on 28 March 2012, the Council has until 28 June 2012 to decide to oppose the adoption.

¹ 8385/12 TRANS 113

² Council Decision 1999/468/EC of 28 June 1999 laying down the procedures for the exercise of implementing powers conferred on the Commission (OJ L 184, 17.7.1999, p. 23), as amended by Decision 2006/512/EC (OJ L 200, 22.7.2006, p. 11).

2. Delegations were asked to examine the draft measure until 17 April 2012 and did not provide any indication that there are grounds for the Council to oppose its adoption.¹

3. It is therefore suggested that Coreper recommend that the Council confirm that there are no grounds for opposing the draft measure. This implies that, unless the European Parliament opposes it, the Commission may adopt the proposed measure in accordance with Article 5a(3)(d) of Council Decision 1999/468/EC.

¹ Article 5a(3)(b) provides that the Council may, acting by qualified majority, oppose the adoption of such measures on the grounds that they exceed the implementing powers provided for in the basic instrument, are not compatible with the aim or the content of the basic instrument or do not respect the principles of subsidiarity or proportionality.