

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 25 May 2012

10435/12 ADD 1

STAT 20 FIN 366

COVER NOTE

from:	Secretary-General of the European Commission,
	signed by Mr Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU, Director
date of receipt:	25 May 2012
to:	Mr Uwe CORSEPIUS, Secretary-General of the Council of the European
	Union
No Cion doc.:	SWD(2012) 134 final
Subject:	Commission Staff Working Document
	Eurostat Report on the intermediate adjustment of remuneration and pensions of EU officials and other servants
	- Accompanying the document: Proposal for a Council Regulation adjusting
	the correction coefficients applicable to the remuneration and pension of
	officials and other servants of the European Union

Delegations will find attached Commission document SWD(2012) 134 final.

Encl.: SWD(2012) 134 final

10435/12 ADD 1 CR 1 DGA 1 B

EUROPEAN COMMISSION



Brussels, 25.5.2012 SWD(2012) 134 final

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Eurostat Report on the intermediate adjustment of remuneration and pensions of EU officials and other servants

Accompanying the document

Proposal for a Council Regulation

adjusting the correction coefficients applicable to the remuneration and pension of officials and other servants of the European Union

{COM(2012) 234 final}

EN EN

TABLE OF CONTENTS

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT Eurostat Report on the intermedia adjustment of remuneration and pensions of EU officials and other servants	
Executive Summary	3
1. Introduction	5
2. The Brussels International Index, June-December 2011	5
3. PPP for staff at December 2011	6
4. PPP for pensioners at December 2011	6
5. Global Specific Indicator forecast to July 2012	6
6. Calculation of the intermediate adjustment	7
Appendix ONE: Brussels International Index	8
Appendix TWO: Staff PPPs and Pensioner PPPs	11
6) Reasons for the changes in the aggregate PPPs	19
Appendix THREE: Forecast of Global Specific Indicator	20

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Eurostat Report on the intermediate adjustment of remuneration and pensions of EU officials and other servants

Accompanying the document

Proposal for a Council Regulation

adjusting the correction coefficients applicable to the remuneration and pension of officials and other servants of the European Union

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The report presents all the information required for the intermediate adjustment of remuneration and pensions in Belgium/Luxembourg and in other places, including:

- evolution of the purchasing power of national officials (global specific indicator forecast);
- changes in the cost of living in Brussels (Brussels International Index);
- correction coefficients for staff and pensioners.

For the period June 2011 – December 2011 the Brussels International Index (cost of living measured for the EU officials) is 101.1 (+1.1%). Details are provided in <u>Appendix One</u>. This does not exceed the specified threshold. In consequence, no intermediate adjustment of the nominal net remuneration and pension of European officials in Belgium and Luxembourg is required.

For the period 1.July 2011 - 1.July 2012 the forecast global specific indicator (average change in real net remuneration in the sample of 8 countries) is 98.4 (-1.6 %). As this is negative, half of this value has to be taken into account, namely -0.8% (99.2). Details are provided in Appendix Three.

As a consequence of the foregoing, the intermediate adjustment of the nominal net remuneration and pension of European officials outside Belgium and Luxembourg which is necessary to maintain a parallel development of purchasing power with the national civil servants in the Member States is equal to +0.3% (product of 101.1 and 99.2).

The correction coefficients which would apply to remuneration and pensions outside Belgium and Luxembourg in order to maintain equality of purchasing power at December 2011, are provided in <u>Appendix Two</u>. The product of the Brussels International Index and the changes in the economic parities with Brussels for staff exceeded the specified threshold in only one location, Tallinn-Estonia. The movement for pensioners did not exceed the specified threshold in any location.

The calculated correction coefficient at December 2011 for Tallinn-Estonia is 77.6 - the new correction coefficient to be applied for Tallinn, taking into account the intermediate adjustment, is therefore 77.8.

All figures and calculations contained in this report are based on data supplied by the responsible authorities in the Member States. More information on methodology, detailed results and statistical analysis is available in the annexes to this report and detailed procedural manuals. For any information concerning this report, please contact Eurostat in Luxembourg:

European Commission Eurostat, Unit C3 BECH – A2/024, L-2920 Luxembourg Tel.: (352) 4301-35129

Email: estat-a64ia65@ec.europa.eu

1. Introduction

The proposed July 2011 annual adjustment to remuneration and pensions was communicated by the Commission to the Council on 24th November 2011¹ on the basis of a report drawn up by Eurostat², together with an additional report providing supplementary information on application of the Exception Clause³. The Council decision not to adopt this proposal was published on 22nd December⁴. Various claims and counter-claims have been lodged with the European Court of Justice, however the underlying figures have not been contested. Eurostat has prepared the current report on the presumption that the July 2011 values will eventually apply.

Under the Staff Regulations, an Intermediate Adjustment is made where the cost of living measured in the reference city (ie. Brussels International Index) or the cost of living measured in any individual duty station (ie. implicit index, being the combined impact of the BII and the change in the local purchasing power parity relative to Brussels) exceeds a specified threshold. This threshold is set at 7% for the year, interpreted as 3.5% for six months by a decision of the Article 64 Working Group in April 2005. It is therefore necessary to compute the BII for the period June-December and the Staff and Pensioner PPP at December. This document summarises the result of those calculations.

The Staff Regulations also provide that if the global specific indicator forecast (the movement in net remuneration of national civil servants) is negative, half of this amount has to be taken into account for the intermediate adjustment calculation. It is therefore necessary to compile and validate these forecasts for the period July-July. This document also summarises those results.

2. THE BRUSSELS INTERNATIONAL INDEX, JUNE-DECEMBER 2011

The December 2011 index was computed according to the same standard methodology applied to establish the June 2011 value; in the absence of any new Staff Housing Survey amongst EU staff in Brussels, the rent sub-index from the HICP was used for the 2 basic headings for rent. The 80 basic heading indices are then aggregated using weights from the most recent Survey of Household Expenditure conducted amongst EU staff in Brussels (ie. the same as applied to produce the June result), to establish an overall value.

The variation between the June 2011 figure and the December 2011 figure gives an index of 101.1 (ie. +1.1% for the six month period). This BII variation is slightly higher than the HICP movement for the same period (100.9), partly due to weight effects and partly due to price effects. Further information can be found in Appendix One.

_

¹ See document COM(2011)820.

² See document SEC(2011)1436.

³ See documents COM(2011)829 and COM(2011)440.

⁴ See document OJ L.341/54.

3. PPP FOR STAFF AT DECEMBER 2011

The PPP for staff at December 2011 (ie. 1 January 2012) were computed according to the agreed methodology, updating the individual basic heading PPPs established for June 2011 (ie. 1 July 2011) using the movement in official HICP between June and December for each duty station relative to the movement of the BII for the same period. The results were then aggregated using the weights from the most recent Survey of Household Expenditure (ie. the structure applied to produce the June results). Note: as there are no new Estate Agency Rent Surveys in December, the same approach (indexation using official HICP) is also used to update the basic heading PPP for rents. Further information about these PPP can be found in Appendix Two.

By comparison to the June 2011 values, the simple average change in the duty station PPPs is -0.2%. This masks a variation between -1.9% (for CY-Nicosia) and +2.9% (for EE-Tallinn). The PPP change for fourteen locations is negative, and for the remaining sixteen it is zero or positive. Brussels = Luxembourg = zero. These movements can be combined with the BII to establish the implicit price index. Only one duty station (EE-Tallinn) has an implicit price index which exceeds +/-3.5% for the period.

4. PPP FOR PENSIONERS AT DECEMBER 2011

The PPP for pensioners at December 2011 (ie. 1 January 2012) were also computed according to the agreed methodology, taking the updated individual basic heading PPPs established for Staff at December 2011 (ie. 1 January 2012), and applying the same adjustment to convert rents from capital city price level to national average price level as was used for the June 2011 (ie. 1 July 2011) calculation. The updated parities are then aggregated using the weights from the most recent Survey of Household Expenditure amongst pensioners (ie. the structure applied to produce the June results). Further information about these PPP can be found in Appendix Two.

By comparison to the June 2011 values, the simple average change in the PPP is -0.4%. This masks a variation between -2.0% (for CY-Nicosia) and +1.3% (for EE-Tallinn). The PPP change for sixteen countries is negative, and for the remaining nine it is zero or positive. Belgium = Luxembourg = zero. These movements can be combined with the BII to establish the implicit price index. No member state has an implicit price index which exceeds +/-3.5% for the period.

5. GLOBAL SPECIFIC INDICATOR FORECAST TO JULY 2012

A preliminary estimate of the forecast was presented at the Article 65 Working Group meeting in March 2012. The value of the forecast was finalised shortly thereafter to be -1.6% (98.4). Further information can be found in Appendix Three.

As this forecast is negative, half of the value has to be taken into account for the intermediate adjustment, ie. -0.8% (99.2).

6. CALCULATION OF THE INTERMEDIATE ADJUSTMENT

For the period July 2011 – July 2012, the forecast Global Specific Indicator (GDP-weighted average of the change in real terms of the net remuneration of national civil servants in central government for each country in the sample of 8) is -1.6% (ie. 98.4). Half of this value has to be taken into account, namely -0.8% (ie. 99.2).

Over the period June 2011 to December 2011, the Brussels International Index (cost of living for EU officials) was +1.1% (ie. 101.1). This does not exceed the threshold.

Therefore, the intermediate adjustment of nominal net remuneration of EU officials *outside Belgium* and Luxembourg necessary to maintain parallel development of purchasing power⁵, is +0.3%.

$$99.2 \times 101.1 = 100.3$$

For staff, changes in the cost of living (measured by implicit indices which are calculated as the product of the Brussels International Index and the changes in the economic parities with Brussels) exceeded the threshold only in one location (Tallinn-Estonia).

The correction coefficient calculated for Tallinn-Estonia at December 2011 (ie. 1 January 2012) is 77.6 - applying the intermediate adjustment, the new correction coefficient for Tallinn-Estonia therefore becomes 77.8.

For pensioners, the implicit index did not exceed the threshold for any country.

_

⁵ Before taking any change in the pension contribution rate and/or the special levy into account.

APPENDIX ONE: BRUSSELS INTERNATIONAL INDEX

1) CALCULATION OF THE BRUSSELS INTERNATIONAL INDEX

For the intermediate adjustment, the same methodology is applied as for the annual adjustment. However, as the Brussels Staff Housing Survey is only conducted annually, there is no fresh information about accommodation rental costs, and the relevant sub-index of the Belgian HICP is used instead.

Thus, for 71 of the 80 basic headings: the relevant sub-index of the Belgian harmonised index of consumer prices (HICP) is used.

For 9 basic headings, where the specific price evolution in Brussels is considered to differ significantly from the situation for the country as a whole, the relevant sub-index from the Brussels-specific national consumer price index is used.

This information is provided by the "Service public fédéral, Economie, P.M.E., Classes moyennes et Energie, division des prix" (Federal Public Service of Belgium, Economy, Small and medium-sized enterprises, Middle classes and Energy, Price indices department).

The basic headings concerned are: Water supply; Electricity; Gas; Other services in respect of personal transport equipment; Passenger transport by road; Combined passenger transport; Restaurants, cafes and the like; Accommodation services; Hairdressing salons and personal grooming establishments

These 80 price indices are then aggregated using weights derived from the latest of the periodic "Survey of Household Expenditures" conducted amongst EU staff in Brussels (2009).

2) BRUSSELS INTERNATIONAL INDEX, JUNE 2011-DECEMBER 2011

The following table presents the index values for December 2011 with comparative information for June 2011, base 2005 =100. The variation between these two indices is the Brussels International Index applicable for the current exercise (six months) in the context of the intermediate adjustment. The overall index value for the period is $\pm 1.1\%$.

Brussels International Index, 2005=100 SHE weights 2009

Rent	s from HICP	SHE WEIGHTS	INDICES Jun-2011	INDICES Dec-2011	VARIATION
1	FOOD AND NON-ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES	128.2	119.5	121.3	101.5
2	ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND TOBACCO	20.3	112.2	112.1	99.9
3	CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR	54.3	109.5	111.1	101.4
4	HOUSING, WATER, ELECTRICITY, GAS AND OTHER FUELS	297.6	109.0	110.1	101.0
5	FURNISHINGS, HOUSEHOLD EQUIPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF HOUSE	74.7	111.1	112.0	100.8
6	HEALTH	19.2	101.3	101.4	100.1
7	TRANSPORT	127.2	111.2	112.7	101.4
8	COMMUNICATIONS	21.5	91.3	90.3	98.9
9	RECREATION AND CULTURE	91.7	100.9	101.3	100.4
10	EDUCATION	14.7	111.7	115.1	103.0
11	HOTELS, CAFES AND RESTAURANTS	100.6	119.9	121.5	101.4
12	MISCELLANEOUS GOODS AND SERVICES	50.0	119.6	121.5	101.6
	TOTAL WITHOUT RENTS	762.9	114.8	116.3	101.3
	RENTS	237.1	100.0	100.3	100.3
	TOTAL	1000.0	111.3	112.5	101.1

3) BELGIAN HICP

The following table presents the published HICP values for June 2010 and December 2010, base 2005 = 100, and the right-hand column again shows the variation for the period, +1.1%.

Belgian HICP index, 2005=100 HICP weights

	s from HICP	WEIGHTS from HICP 2011	INDICES 01/06/2011	WEIGHTS from HICP 2011	INDICES 01/12/2011	VARIATION
1	FOOD AND NON-ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES	171.9	119.4	171.9	121.0	101.4
2	ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND TOBACCO	46.8	116.0	46.8	116.2	100.1
3	CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR	59.8	108.7	59.8	110.3	101.5
4	HOUSING, WATER, ELECTRICITY, GAS AND OTHER FUELS	140.9	131.3	140.9	133.0	101.3
5	FURNISHINGS, HOUSEHOLD EQUIPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF HOUSE	72.4	111.5	72.4	112.9	101.3
6	HEALTH	36.9	101.3	36.9	101.4	100.1
7	TRANSPORT	147.1	118.2	147.1	118.9	100.6
8	COMMUNICATIONS	29.3	90.8	29.3	89.8	98.9
9	RECREATION AND CULTURE	113.6	106.3	113.6	106.8	100.5
10	EDUCATION	6.4	111.7	6.4	115.1	103.0
11	HOTELS, CAFES AND RESTAURANTS	83.1	118.0	83.1	118.7	100.6
12	MISCELLANEOUS GOODS AND SERVICES	91.8	117.1	91.8	118.6	101.3
	TOTAL WITHOUT RENTS	952.9	116.0	952.9	117.1	100.9
	RENTS	47.1	110.3	47.1	110.7	100.3
	TOTAL	1000.0	115.7	1000.0	116.8	100.9

Overall, the BII for this period (101.1) is 0.2 points higher than the HICP (100.9).

4) BRUSSELS INTERNATIONAL INDEX, COMPARED WITH BELGIAN HICP

By comparing the results at the level of the 12 main COICOP groups, reasons for the difference in the value of the overall index can be identified. The table below summarises the principal differences.

The first column and the penultimate column are taken from the tables in sections 2 and 3. The final column shows the total difference. The second and fourth columns show the components of the total difference.

Note: in this analysis, the impact of differing numbers of underlying basic headings and different aggregation approaches is not considered.

Variation between Brussels International Index, 2005=100 and Belgian HICP index, 2005=100 BII uses SHE weights 2009 and HICP uses HICP weights

Bill uses Rents from HICP Period 2011m6 to 2011m12		HICP Indices Variation	Impact of new Weights	HICP Prices BII Weights	Impact of new Prices	BII Variation	total Variation HICP to BII
1	FOOD AND NON-ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES	101.4	0.1	101.5	0.0	101.5	0.1
2	ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND TOBACCO	100.1	-0.2	99.9	0.0	99.9	-0.2
3	CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR	101.5	-0.1	101.4	0.0	101.4	-0.1
4	HOUSING, WATER, ELECTRICITY, GAS AND OTHER FUELS	101.3	-0.4	100.9	0.1	101.0	-0.3
5	FURNISHINGS, HOUSEHOLD EQUIPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF HOUSE	101.3	-0.5	100.8	0.0	100.8	-0.5
6	HEALTH	100.1	0.0	100.1	0.0	100.1	0.0
7	TRANSPORT	100.6	8.0	101.4	0.0	101.4	8.0
8	COMMUNICATIONS	98.9	0.0	98.9	0.0	98.9	0.0
9	RECREATION AND CULTURE	100.5	-0.1	100.4	0.0	100.4	-0.1
10	EDUCATION	103.0	0.0	103.0	0.0	103.0	0.0
11	HOTELS, CAFES AND RESTAURANTS	100.6	0.7	101.3	0.1	101.4	0.8
12	MISCELLANEOUS GOODS AND SERVICES	101.3	0.4	101.7	-0.1	101.6	0.3
	TOTAL WITHOUT RENTS	100.9	0.4	101.3	0.0	101.3	0.4
	RENTS	100.3	0.0	100.3	0.0	100.3	0.0
	TOTAL	100.9	0.2	101.1	0.0	101.1	0.2

5) BRUSSELS CPI

The following table presents the Brussels CPI values for June 2011 and December 2011, base 2005 = 100, and the right-hand column again shows the variation for the period, +0.8%.

Brussels CPI, 2005=100

CPI weights

Ren	ts from CPI	WEIGHTS from CPI 2011	INDICES 01/06/2011	WEIGHTS from CPI 2011	INDICES 01/12/2011	VARIATION
1	FOOD AND NON-ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES	175.1	122.1	175.1	123.6	101.2
2	ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND TOBACCO	21.2	112.7	21.2	112.7	100.0
3	CLOTHING AND FOOTWEAR	62.8	107.4	62.8	109.3	101.8
4	HOUSING, WATER, ELECTRICITY, GAS AND OTHER FUELS	158.1	127.0	158.1	128.2	100.9
5	FURNISHINGS, HOUSEHOLD EQUIPMENT AND MAINTENANCE OF HOUSE	73.4	111.0	73.4	111.8	100.7
6	HEALTH	42.8	100.6	42.8	100.7	100.0
7	TRANSPORT	157.3	114.1	157.3	114.4	100.3
8	COMMUNICATIONS	36.9	89.8	36.9	88.9	99.1
9	RECREATION AND CULTURE	125.2	108.8	125.2	110.2	101.2
10	EDUCATION	5.8	115.8	5.8	116.7	100.8
11	HOTELS, CAFES AND RESTAURANTS	70.8	122.4	70.8	123.5	100.9
12	MISCELLANEOUS GOODS AND SERVICES	70.7	117.0	70.7	118.1	100.9
	TOTAL WITHOUT RENTS	937.2	116.1	937.2	117.1	100.9
	RENTS	62.8	107.7	62.8	108.0	100.3
	TOTAL	1000.0	115.5	1000.0	116.5	100.8

Overall, the BII for this period (101.1) is 0.3 points higher than the Brussels CPI (100.8).

The CPI aggregates use national source data rebased from 2004 to 2005 and reformatted to match HICP and BII.

APPENDIX TWO: STAFF PPPS AND PENSIONER PPPS

1) CALCULATION OF CORRECTION COEFFICIENTS

The correction coefficients (CC) applicable to the salaries of the European institution officials working in the capitals and places of employment other than Brussels and Luxembourg are determined on the basis of the relationships between the economic parities (PPP) and the exchange rates for the month of July. The correction coefficient operates as a percentage adjustment to remuneration to take account of the cost of living differences between Brussels and the various duty stations.

For pensioners, a similar principle applies. The difference is that instead of being based on capital city price comparisons they relate to national comparisons, with Belgium as the base country.

2) STEPS TO PRODUCE PPPS FOR INTERMEDIATE ADJUSTMENT

2.A) STAFF PPPS

The object of the economic parities is to compare the relative costs of living of EU officials in Brussels (reference city) with each of the European capitals and other places of employment for which a correction coefficient has been set. The method used is to compare the price of a "basket" of goods and services in Brussels with the prices of the same goods and services in each of the other places of employment. The weighted average of all the price ratios is the "economic parity". The average consumption expenditure pattern of EU officials are used as weights, identified by means of periodic surveys of household expenditure. In places of employment outside Brussels with very few officials, a common structure pooling all the questionnaires from similar locations is derived instead.

The starting point is the parities for the 80 basic headings, as established for the annual adjustment of the year in question (ie. 1st July 2011).

For each duty station, the individual basic heading parities are then updated using the movement in official HICP between June and December, relative to the movement of the BII for the same period.

Note that there is no new Staff Housing Survey in Brussels in December, so the BII movement for rents is the same as the Belgian HICP movement for rents.

Note: the same approach (indexation using official HICP) is also applied for the basic headings relating to rents, rather than calculation of a new parity, as there are no new Estate Agency Rents Surveys in Brussels or the various duty stations.

Finally, the updated basic heading parities are aggregated using the same expenditure weighting structure as was used to establish the 1st July overall parity.

2.B) PENSIONER PPPS

In line with the decision at the 2008 meeting of the Article 64 Group, the approach to calculate PPPs for Pensioners for the Intermediate Adjustment is the same as the approach to establish those for the Annual Adjustment.

In this case, the parities for all basic headings, except rents, are set equal to the updated parities established for Staff. A specific adjustment is then made to rent parities to convert them from capital city price level to national average price level. The same adjustment factor is applied for the intermediate adjustment as was applied for the July calculation.

The updated parities for all basic headings are then aggregated using consumption expenditure weights derived from the wide scale Family Budget Survey amongst pensioners which was last conducted in 2002.

3) CALCULATED VALUES AT JANUARY 2012

3.A) STAFF PPPS

The following **Table 3.A** presents the calculated values of the economic parities and correction coefficients for staff as at 1st January 2012 (ie. December 2011), ranked by magnitude of correction coefficient value. Exchange rates for 1st July 2011 are used, for consistency with the Annual Adjustment.

Ten locations have a correction coefficient higher than 100; the remaining twenty have a correction coefficient equal to or below 100. Brussels = Luxembourg = 100.

3.B) PENSIONER PPPS

Similarly, the following **Table 3.B** presents the calculated values of the economic parities and correction coefficients for pensioners as at 1st January, ranked by magnitude. Exchange rates for 1st July are used.

Eight locations have a CC greater than 100; the remaining seventeen have a coefficient equal to or below 100. Belgium = Luxembourg = 100.

TABLE 3.A STAFF

RANKED BY MAGNITUDE OF CC

			01-Jan-12	
			Final	
		PPP	Ex. Rate	СС
BG	Sofia	1.19	1.956	60.7
LT	Vilnius	2.473	3	71.6
RO	Bucharest	3.062	4.211	72.7
LV	Riga	0.528	1	74.5
EE	Tallinn	0.776	1	77.6
sĸ	Bratislava	0.783	1	78.3
PL	Warsaw	3.232	4	80.8
CY	Nicosia	0.816	1	81.6
МТ	Valletta	0.82	1.00	81.9
HU	Budapest	221.577	267	83.0
РТ	Lisbon	0.835	1	83.5
SI	Ljubljana	0.850	1	85.0
cz	Prague	20.778	24.342	85.4
EL	Athens	0.915	1	91.5
IT	Varese	0.921	1	92.1
DE	Karlsruhe	0.927	1	92.7
DE	Bonn	0.935	1	93.5
DE	Berlin	0.942	1	94.2
ES	Madrid	0.970	1	97.0
UK	Culham	0.891	0.900	99.1
NL	The Hague	1.012	1	101.2
DE	Munich	1.037	1	103.7
IT	Rome	1.050	1	105.0
AT	Vienna	1.1	1.0	105.0
IE	Dublin	1.081	1	108.1
FR	Paris	1.168	1.000	116.8
FI	Helsinki	1.198	1.000	119.8
SE	Stockholm	11.350	9.205	123.3
UK	London	1.163	0.900	129.3
DK	Copenhagen	9.95	7.459	133.4

PENSIONERS

Ranked by magnitude of CC

		01-Jan-12	
	PPP	Final Ex. Rate	СС
BG	1.140	1.956	58.3
RO	2.616	4.211	62.1
LV	0.494	0.709	69.6
LT	2.414	3.453	69.9
PL	2.855	3.999	71.4
HU	194.457	267.1	72.8
SK	0.731	1	73.1
EE	0.784	1	78.4
cz	19.248	24.34	79.1
SI	0.825	1	82.5
МТ	0.832	1	83.2
PT	0.833	1	83.3
CY	0.837	1	83.7
EL	0.902	1	90.2
ES	0.914	1	91.4
NL	0.961	1	96.1
DE	0.965	1	96.5
IT	1.005	1	100.5
IE	1.035	1	103.5
AT	1.041	1	104.1
UK	0.939	0.900	104.3
FR	1.091	1	109.1
FI	1.125	1	112.5
SE	10.750	9.205	116.8
DK	9.692	7.459	129.9

4) COMPARISON OF STAFF CC WITH PENSIONER CC

The following table compares calculated correction coefficients at 1st January 2012 for pensioners and staff. Some quite large differences are apparent for rents; the difference is smaller when rents are excluded. The variations are due to the rent price adjustment to produce pensioner PPPs and to the use of a different weighting structure for pensioners.

INTERMEDIATE ADJUSTMENT :

Jan 2012
pensioner CCs compared to staff CCs

Corre	ection coeffici	ents for pensi	oners	Cor	Correction coefficients for staff				
Country	without rents Total		Total	Capital	without rent	rents	Total		
BE	100.0	100.0	100.0	Brussels	100.0	100.0	100.0		
BG	63.7	24.1	58.3	Sofia	61.5	57.9	60.7		
cz	78.9	81.2	79.1	Prague	76.4	119.2	85.4		
DK	128.8	136.7	129.9	Copenhagen	125.9	156.2	133.4		
DE	95.0	106.5	96.5	Berlin	94.1	94.7	94.2		
EE	76.8	95.2	78.4	Tallinn	75.2	86.6	77.6		
EL	88.8	100.7	90.2	Athens	89.2	102.9	91.5		
ES	89.8	103.1	91.4	Madrid	88.6	133.8	97.0		
FR	103.7	148.2	109.1	Paris	102.4	177.5	116.8		
IE	100.2	124.1	103.5	Dublin	102.1	134.4	108.1		
IT	96.6	129.0	100.5	Rome	95.2	150.5	105.0		
CY	86.1	67.5	83.7	Nicosia	87.8	62.1	81.6		
LV	70.7	59.3	69.6	Riga	70.2	90.0	74.5		
LT	69.9	70.9	69.9	Vilnius	68.0	84.8	71.6		
HU	73.1	71.8	72.8	Budapest	72.2	125.9	83.0		
МТ	83.2	84.0	83.2	Vallette	83.5	76.5	81.9		
NL	95.3	100.8	96.1	The Hague	93.8	133.0	101.2		
AT	98.9	141.7	104.1	Vienna	97.2	131.8	105.0		
PL	72.7	59.9	71.4	Warsaw	71.6	118.6	80.8		
PT	85.9	67.4	83.3	Lisbon	84.7	79.7	83.5		
RO	63.7	49.2	62.1	Bucharest	62.4	117.2	72.7		
SI	83.0	79.1	82.5	Ljubljana	81.4	97.3	85.0		
SK	75.0	56.4	73.1	Bratislava	74.1	93.4	78.3		
FI	110.4	126.3	112.5	Helsinki	110.4	151.2	119.8		
SE	112.3	148.5	116.8	Stockholm	112.8	160.9	123.3		
UK	93.6	182.0	104.3	London	95.7	280.7	129.3		

5) CHANGES IN THE AGGREGATE PPPS

5.A) STAFF PPPS

The following **Table 5.A** shows the changes in the economic parities for staff by comparison to those established for 1st July 2011, and the associated implicit price index (which also takes BII into account).

The simple average change in the PPP relative to Brussels is -0.2%. This masks a variation between -1.6% (CY-Nicosia) and +2.9% (EE-Tallinn). The PPP 'evolution' for fourteen locations is negative, and for the remaining sixteen it is zero or positive. Brussels = Luxembourg = zero.

Only one duty station (EE-Tallinn) has an implicit price index which exceeds $\pm 3.5\%$ for the six months ($\pm 4.1\%$).

5.B) PENSIONER PPPS

The following **Table 5.B** shows the changes in the economic parities for pensioners by comparison to those established for 1st July, and the associated implicit price index (which also takes BII into account).

The simple average change in the PPP relative to Brussels is -0.4%. This masks a variation between -2.0% (CY) and +1.3% (EE). The PPP 'evolution' for sixteen locations is negative, and for the remaining nine it is zero or positive. Belgium = Luxembourg = zero.

No country has an implicit price index which exceeds $\pm 3.5\%$ for the six months.

TABLE 5.A STAFF

		01-Jul-11	01-Jan-12		
		Final	Final		
		PPP	PPP	Change	Implicit
BG	Sofia	1.186	1.187	0.1	1.2
CZ	Prague	20.74	20.78	0.2	1.3
DK	Copenhagen	10.009	9.947	-0.6	0.5
DE	Berlin	0.937	0.942	0.5	1.6
DE	Bonn	0.930	0.935	0.5	1.6
DE	Karlsruhe	0.922	0.927	0.5	1.6
DE	Munich	1.032	1.037	0.5	1.6
EE	Tallinn	0.754	0.776	2.9	4.1
EL	Athens	0.922	0.915	-0.7	0.3
ES	Madrid	0.974	0.970	-0.4	0.7
FR	Paris	1.164	1.168	0.3	1.4
IE	Dublin	1.096	1.081	-1.4	-0.3
ІТ	Rome	1.048	1.050	0.2	1.3
IT	Varese	0.919	0.921	0.2	1.3
CY	Nicosia	0.830	0.816	-1.6	-0.6
LV	Riga	0.528	0.528	0.1	1.2
LT	Vilnius	2.511	2.473	-1.5	-0.4
HU	Budapest	222.9	221.6	-0.6	0.5
MT	Valletta	0.827	0.819	-1.0	0.1
NL	The Hague	1.028	1.012	-1.5	-0.4
AT	Vienna	1.050	1.050	0.1	1.2
PL	Warsaw	3.220	3.232	0.4	1.5
PT	Lisbon	0.840	0.835	-0.6	0.5
RO	Bucharest	3.060	3.062	0.1	1.2
SI	Ljubljana	0.862	0.850	-1.4	-0.3
SK	Bratislava	0.788	0.783	-0.7	0.3
FI	Helsinki	1.205	1.198	-0.5	0.5
SE	Stockholm	11.42	11.35	-0.7	0.4
UK	London	1.152	1.163	1.0	2.1
UK	Culham	0.883	0.891	0.9	2.0

simple average change -0.2

PENSIONERS

	01-Jul-11	01-Jan-12		
	Final	Final		
	PPP	PPP	Change	Implicit
BG	1.137	1.140	0.2	1.3
CZ	19.311	19.248	-0.3	0.8
DK	9.732	9.692	-0.4	0.7
DE	0.954	0.965	1.2	2.3
EE	0.774	0.784	1.3	2.5
EL	0.910	0.902	-0.8	0.3
ES	0.915	0.914	-0.2	0.9
FR	1.085	1.091	0.5	1.6
ΙE	1.046	1.035	-1.1	0.0
IT	1.000	1.005	0.5	1.6
CY	0.854	0.837	-2.0	-1.0
LV	0.498	0.494	-0.8	0.3
LT	2.441	2.414	-1.1	0.0
HU	195.219	194.457	-0.4	0.7
MT	0.846	0.832	-1.6	-0.5
NL	0.973	0.961	-1.3	-0.2
АТ	1.041	1.041	0.0	1.1
PL	2.853	2.855	0.0	1.1
PT	0.839	0.833	-0.8	0.3
RO	2.614	2.616	0.1	1.2
SI	0.836	0.825	-1.4	-0.3
SK	0.735	0.731	-0.5	0.6
FI	1.130	1.125	-0.5	0.6
SE	10.786	10.750	-0.3	0.8
UK	0.931	0.939	0.8	1.9

simple average change -0.4

6) REASONS FOR THE CHANGES IN THE AGGREGATE PPPS

Changes in the PPPs for 1st January 2012, by comparison to those for 1st July 2011, can solely arise from indexation. There has been no new price data introduced, no new weighting data and no change in methodology.

However, it is important to note that the updating is done at basic heading level, and then aggregated. The expenditure patterns of EU officials/EU pensioners (used to establish the aggregate PPPs) typically differ from the expenditure patterns of national population (used to aggregate the HICP subindices). For this reason alone, there may be a difference in the change in the overall PPP and the evolution of the all-items HICP.

It is also important to remember that it is the movement relative to Brussels which is important. Local prices may well have changed...but prices in Brussels may have changed too, and by a different amount.

Various private sector and public sector organisations produce their own cost of living comparisons. Methodological differences – for example in the quantity and quality of price information, in the pertinence of weightings data and the agreed calculation formulae, in the reference basis, in the validation process – explain why the official statistics produced for EU purposes by Eurostat represent a 'gold standard' which may not necessarily indicate similar levels or follow similar trends to those other reported figures.

APPENDIX THREE: FORECAST OF GLOBAL SPECIFIC INDICATOR

1) STEPS TO PRODUCE GSI FORECAST

Article 1.4 of the Annex XI says that to establish a global specific indicator for the European Union, Eurostat shall use a sample composed of the following 8 Member States: Belgium, Germany, Spain, France, Italy, Luxembourg, the Netherlands and the United Kingdom.

For the intermediate adjustment, data is compiled from the above mentioned eight Member States about the expected changes in net remuneration in nominal terms, between 1.July 2011 and 1.July 2012.

National estimates of expected changes in the harmonized consumer price indices (inflation rates) during the period June 2011 to June 2012 have been used to transform nominal changes in the remunerations into movements in real terms. Where these are not available, Eurostat or DG ECFIN estimates are used instead.

In order to get the global specific indicator the results per country have been weighted in proportion to their national GDP for the year 2010 expressed in purchasing power parities (ie. latest data for the same reference weights as were used for the most recent annual adjustment exercise).

A preliminary estimate of the forecast was presented at the Article 65 Working Group meeting in March 2012. Following bilateral confirmation of data with Member States, the value of the global specific indicator forecast was finalised shortly thereafter.

The forecast global specific indicator (average change in real net remuneration) for the year to 1.July 2012 is 98.4 (-1.6%).

 $2) \ \ Results \ table \ (values \ after \ 23.3.2012 \ meeting \ of \ Article \ 65 \ working \ group)$

Specific Indicator (1)

Forecast of real changes in the remuneration of national civil servants in the twelve-month period to 1st July 2012: Single and married officials with two children

	Source of	estimate	email 17.02.2012	email 29.02.2012	email 16.03.2012	email 24.02.2012	edamis 15.03.2012	email 30.03.2012	email 19.03.2012	email 07.03.2012	
Effect on	the total		-0.05	-0.05	-0.42	-0.03	-0.53	0.00	-0.24	-0.26	-1.58
1† (2)		EU8=100	3.4	25.4	12.2	18.4	16.0	0.4	5.8	18.4	100.0
Weight (2)		EU27=100	2.6	19.2	9.2	13.9	12.1	0.3	4.4	13.9	75.6
Real change	net remuneration	(%)	-1.6	-0.2	-3.4	-0.2	-3.3	-0.1	4.2	-1.4	-1.6
Change in	HICP (3)	(%)	5.6	1.8	1.9	1.5	3.4	3.3	2.9	2.8	2.3
Nominal change	net remuneration	(%)	1.0	1.6	-1.6	1.3	0.0	3.2	-1.4	1.4	0.7
Country			BE	DE	ES	FR	IT	3	NL	UK	Global

(1) The figures presented in this table are Eurostat estimates based on information supplied by country delegates and other sources and do not prejudice the outcomes of any current or future pay negotiations

(2) GDP 2010 in PPS at current prices (website enquiry 21.02.2012)

(3) all-items HICP (MS estimate, except ES, IT, LU: 2012M02 per website enquiry 20.03.2012)

THUS	Int.Adj =	101.1	(BII) x (50% GSI if -ve)	(50% GS	SI if -ve)	99.2	=	100.3
			6 months BII.	BII.				
THUS	Ann.Adj =	102.6	(BII)	×	ISD)	98.4	11	100.9
	forecast		12 month	IS - BE I	12 months - BE hicp as proxy			

3) STEPS TO COMPUTE THE INTERMEDIATE ADJUSTMENT

Where the intermediate adjustment is not applicable to all duty stations (which will only be the case where the cost of living evolution recorded in Brussels is greater than the threshold (ie. six month BII exceeds $\pm 3.5\%$)) then there is no updating of the standard salary grill.

Instead, the intermediate adjustment has to be applied only to selected duty stations. This is achieved by multiplying the correction coefficients established for intermediate adjustment purposes at 1st January (i.e. December) by the product of the BII evolution and half of the GSI forecast (if negative).

= CC x [BII x
$$\frac{1}{2}$$
 GSI (because negative)]
= ??.? x [???.? x ($100 - \frac{1}{2}$ ($100 - ??.?$))] / 100

Thus, for the 2012 exercise,