



**COUNCIL OF
THE EUROPEAN UNION**

Brussels, 5 June 2012

10531/12

**Interinstitutional File:
2012/0142 (NLE)**

**ANTIDUMPING 41
COMER 124**

PROPOSAL

from:	European Commission
dated:	4 June 2012
No Cion doc.:	COM(2012) 269 final
Subject:	Proposal for a Council Regulation terminating the partial interim review concerning the anti-dumping measures on imports of certain polyethylene terephthalate (PET) originating in India

Delegations will find attached a proposal from the Commission, submitted under a covering letter from Mr Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU, Director, to Mr Uwe CORSEPIUS, Secretary-General of the Council of the European Union.

Encl.: COM(2012) 269 final



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Brussels, 4.6.2012
COM(2012) 269 final

2012/0142 (NLE)

Proposal for a

COUNCIL REGULATION

terminating the partial interim review concerning the anti-dumping measures on imports of certain polyethylene terephthalate (PET) originating in India

EXPLANATORY MEMORANDUM

1. Context of the proposal

- **Grounds for and objectives of the proposal**

This proposal concerns the application of Council Regulation (EC) No 1225/2009 of 30 November 2009 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community ('the basic Regulation') in the proceeding concerning imports of certain polyethylene terephthalate (PET) originating, *inter alia*, in India.

- **General context**

This proposal is made in the context of the implementation of the basic Regulation and is the result of an investigation which was carried out in line with the substantive and procedural requirements laid out in the basic Regulation.

- **Existing provisions in the area of the proposal**

Definitive measures are in force and were imposed by Council Regulation (EC) No 1646/2005 of 6 October 2005 amending Regulation (EC) No 2604/2000 imposing a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of certain polyethylene terephthalate (PET) originating, *inter alia*, in India.

- **Consistency with other policies and objectives of the Union**

Not applicable.

2. Consultation of interested parties and impact assessment

- **Consultation of interested parties**

Interested parties concerned by the proceeding have had the possibility to defend their interests during the investigation, in line with the provisions of the basic Regulation.

- **Collection and use of expertise**

There was no need for external expertise.

- **Impact assessment**

This proposal is the result of the implementation of the basic Regulation.

The basic Regulation does not contain provisions for a general impact assessment but contains an exhaustive list of conditions that have to be assessed.

3. LEGAL ELEMENTS OF THE PROPOSAL

- **Summary of the proposed action**

On 2 April 2011 the Commission initiated a partial interim review concerning imports of certain polyethylene terephthalate (PET) originating, *inter alia*, in India.

The attached proposal for a Council Regulation is based on the findings of the investigation limited in scope to the examination of dumping and as far as the applicant is concerned.

The applicant withdrew its interim review request, and it was found that an *ex officio* continuation of the investigation is not in the Union interest.

It is therefore proposed that the Council adopt the attached proposal for a termination Regulation which should be published no later than 1 July 2012.

- **Legal basis**

Council Regulation (EC) No 1225/2009 of 30 November 2009 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community.

- **Subsidiarity principle**

The proposal falls under the exclusive competence of the European Union. The subsidiarity principle therefore does not apply.

- **Proportionality principle**

The proposal complies with the proportionality principle for the following reasons:

The form of action is described in the above-mentioned basic Regulation and leaves no scope for national decision.

Indication of how financial and administrative burden falling upon the Union, national governments, regional and local authorities, economic operators and citizens is minimized and proportionate to the objective of the proposal is not applicable.

- **Choice of instruments**

Proposed instrument: regulation.

Other means would not be adequate because the basic Regulation does not provide for alternative options.

4. BUDGETARY IMPLICATION

The proposal has no implication for the Union budget.

Proposal for a

COUNCIL REGULATION

terminating the partial interim review concerning the anti-dumping measures on imports of certain polyethylene terephthalate (PET) originating in India

THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,

Having regard to Council Regulation (EC) No 1225/2009 of 30 November 2009 on protection against dumped imports from countries not members of the European Community¹ ('the basic Regulation') and in particular Articles 11(3) thereof,

Having regard to the proposal submitted by the European Commission ('the Commission') after having consulted the Advisory Committee,

Whereas:

1. PROCEDURE

1.1. Measures in force

- (1) By Regulation (EC) No 2604/2000², the Council imposed a definitive anti-dumping duty on imports of polyethylene terephthalate ('PET') originating, *inter alia*, in India ('the original investigation'). A review pursuant to Article 11(4) of the basic Regulation ('the new exporter review') concerning South Asian Petrochem Ltd was subsequently conducted and its definitive findings and conclusions are set out in Council Regulation (EC) No 1646/2005³. Following an expiry review, the Council, by Regulation (EC) No 192/2007⁴ imposed a definitive anti-dumping duty for a further period of five years. The anti-dumping measures were amended by Council Regulation (EC) No 1286/2008⁵ following a partial interim review ('the last review investigation'). The measures consist of specific anti-dumping duties. The rate of the duty ranges between EUR 87,5 and EUR 200,9 per tonne for individually named Indian producers with a residual duty rate of EUR 153,6 per tonne imposed on imports from other producers ('the current duties').

¹ OJ L 343, 22.12.2009, p. 51.

² OJ L 301, 30.11.2000, p. 21.

³ OJ L 266, 11.10.2005, p. 10.

⁴ OJ L 59, 27.2.2007, p. 1.

⁵ OJ L 340, 19.12.2008, p. 1.

- (2) Following a name change of one Indian company, South Asian Petrochem Ltd, by Notice (EC) No 2010/C 335/06⁶ the Commission concluded that the anti-dumping findings in respect of South Asian Petrochem Ltd should apply to Dhunseri Petrochem & Tea Limited.
- (3) By Regulation (EC) No 2603/2000⁷, the Council imposed a definitive countervailing duty on imports of PET originating, inter alia, in India. Following an accelerated review pursuant to Article 20 of Council Regulation (EC) No 597/2009⁸ of 11 June 2009 on protection against subsidised imports from countries not members of the European Community ('the basic AS Regulation'), the definitive measures were amended as set out in Council Regulation (EC) No 1645/2005⁹. Following an expiry review, the Council, by Regulation (EC) No 193/2007¹⁰ imposed a definitive countervailing duty for a further period of five years. The countervailing measures were amended by Regulation (EC) No 1286/2008 following the last review investigation. The countervailing measures consist of a specific duty. The rate of the duty ranges between EUR 0 and EUR 106,5 per tonne for individually named Indian producers with a residual duty rate of EUR 69,4 per tonne imposed on imports from other producers ('the current countervailing measures').
- (4) Following a name change of one Indian company, South Asian Petrochem Ltd, by Notice (EC) No 2010/C 335/07¹¹ the Commission concluded that the anti-subsidy findings in respect of South Asian Petrochem Ltd should apply to Dhunseri Petrochem & Tea Limited.
- (5) By Decision 2005/697/EC¹² the Commission accepted undertakings offered by South Asian Petrochem Ltd setting a minimum import price ('MIP') ('the undertaking'). Following a name change, the Commission concluded by Notice (EC) No 2010/C 335/05¹³ that the undertaking offered by South Asian Petrochem Ltd should apply to Dhunseri Petrochem & Tea Limited.

1.2. Request for a review

- (6) A request for a partial interim review pursuant to Article 11(3) of the basic Regulation was lodged by Dhunseri Petrochem & Tea Ltd, an Indian exporting producer of PET ('the applicant'). The request was limited in scope to dumping and to the applicant. The applicant at the same time also requested the review of the current countervailing measures. The anti-dumping and countervailing duties are applicable to imports of products produced by the applicant and sales of the applicant to the Union are covered by the undertaking.
- (7) The applicant provided *prima facie* evidence that the continued application of the current duty at its current level was no longer necessary to offset dumping. In particular, the applicant claimed that there had been significant changes in the

⁶ OJ C 335, 11.12.2010, p. 6.

⁷ OJ L 301, 30.11.2000, p. 1.

⁸ OJ L 188, 18.7.2009, p. 93.

⁹ OJ L 266, 11.10.2005, p. 1.

¹⁰ OJ L 59, 27.2.2007, p. 34.

¹¹ OJ C 335, 11.12.2010, p. 7.

¹² OJ L 226, 11.10.2005, p. 62.

¹³ OJ C 335, 11.12.2010, p. 5.

production costs of the company and that these changes have led to a substantially lower dumping margin since the imposition of the current duties. A comparison made by the applicant of its domestic prices and its export prices to the Union suggested that the dumping margin was substantially lower than the level of current duties.

1.3. Initiation of a partial interim review

- (8) Having determined, after consulting the Advisory Committee, that the request contained sufficient *prima facie* evidence to justify the initiation of the partial interim review ('the current review'), the Commission announced, by a Notice of initiation¹⁴ published in the Official Journal of the European Union on 2 April 2011, the initiation of a partial interim review pursuant to Article 11(3) of the basic Regulation limited to the examination of dumping as far as the applicant is concerned ('the Notice of initiation').

1.4. Parallel partial interim review of the countervailing measures

- (9) On 2 April 2011¹⁵ the Commission announced the initiation of a partial interim review pursuant to Article 19 of the basic AS Regulation, limited in scope to subsidization and to the applicant.
- (10) In the partial interim review of the countervailing measures it was found that the changes are not of a lasting nature. As a consequence, the review investigation was terminated without amending the measures in force.

1.5. Parties concerned

- (11) The Commission officially informed the applicant, the representatives of the exporting country and the association of Union producers about the initiation of the review. Interested parties were given the opportunity to make their views known in writing and to request a hearing within the time limit set in the Notice of initiation.
- (12) All interested parties were informed of the possibility to request a hearing. One hearing was requested and granted.
- (13) In order to obtain the information deemed necessary for its investigation, the Commission sent a questionnaire to the applicant and received a reply within the deadline set for that purpose.
- (14) The Commission sought and verified all information deemed necessary for the determination of dumping. The Commission carried out verification visit at the premises of the applicant in Kolkata, India and Haldia, India.

2. WITHDRAWAL OF THE REQUEST AND TERMINATION OF THE PROCEEDING

- (15) By letter to the Commission dated 18 April 2012 the applicant formally withdrew its request for the partial interim review of the anti-dumping measures applicable to imports of PET originating in India. The withdrawal is supported mainly with the

¹⁴ OJ C 102, 02.04.2011, p. 18.

¹⁵ OJ C 102, 2.4.2011, p.15.

further expansion of the applicant's production capacity which demonstrates that the changes in respect of dumping are not of a lasting nature due to an imminent further decrease in production costs. The applicant claimed that it is the continuous process of change which calls into question the lasting nature of changes established during the investigation. It was established that although some of the changes established during the investigation were of a lasting nature the company is indeed in a continuous process of change.

- (16) In view of the withdrawal, it was considered whether it would be warranted to continue the review investigation *ex officio*. The Commission services found no compelling reasons that termination would not be in the Union interest. On this basis, the review investigation should be terminated.
- (17) Interested parties were informed of the intention to terminate the review investigation and were given the opportunity to comment.
- (18) It is therefore concluded that the review concerning imports of PET originating in India should be terminated without amending the anti-dumping measures in force,

HAS ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

The partial interim review of the anti-dumping measures applicable to imports of certain polyethylene terephthalate originating in India initiated pursuant to Article 11(3) of Regulation (EC) No 1225/2009 is hereby terminated without amending the anti-dumping measures in force.

Article 2

This Regulation shall enter into force on the day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at Brussels,

*For the Council
The President*