

COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 28 September 2012

9200/6/12 REV 6

COPEN 97 EJN 32 EUROJUST 39

NOTE

From:	General Secretariat
To:	Working Party on Cooperation in Criminal Matters (Experts on the European Arrest Warrant)
No. prev. doc.:	8111/05 COPEN 75 EJN 23 EUROJUST 24
	9200/5/12 REV 3 COPEN 97 EJN 32 EUROJUST 39
Subject:	Replies to questionnaire on quantitative information on the practical operation of the European arrest warrant – Year 2011

Further to the questionnaire set out in 8111/05 COPEN 75 EJN 23 EUROJUST 24, delegations will find in ANNEX a compilation of the replies received with regard to the year 2011 and in ANNEX I and ANNEX II the replies to questions 6.2. and 12.

9200/6/12 REV 6 GS/mvk DG D 2B

Questions to Member States as issuing States:

NK	205
SE	198 205
FI	
SK	350
SI	53
RO	
$_{ m LL}$	193
ЬГ	3809 193
AT	
CY LV LT LU HU MT NL AT PL PT RO SI	
MT	15
HU	
TN	09
ΓL	26 210 420 60
ΓΛ	210
CY	26
IT	
IE	71
ES FR	912
	531
EL	
EE	29
BE BG CZ DK DE	2138 67
DK	
CZ	518
BG	
BE	009
	1. How many European arrest warrants have been issued in

ANNEX

GS/mvk

<u>LT:</u> 285 EAWs have been issued for the purposes of conducting a criminal prosecution and 135 EAWs have been issued for the purposes of executing a custodial

sentence. <u>SE:</u> (97 issued for the purpose of conducting a criminal prosecution and 101 issued for the purpose of executing a custodial sentence or detention order). 9200/6/12 REV 6

UK	205
FI SE UK	198 205
FI	
CY LV LT LU HU MT NL AT PL PT RO SI SK	33
IS	32
RO	
PT	2853 163
Ы	2853
AT	
NF	
MT	∞
HIU	
ΓΩ	15
ГТ	271
ΓΛ	183 271
CY	all
П	
Œ	none
FR	095
ES	531
EL	
EE	∞
DE	1625
DK	
CZ	none
BG	
BE	No statistics available
	2.1. How many of these European arrest warrants were transmitted via Interpol?

	1
UK	
SE	
FI	
SK	
IS	
RO	
$_{ m LL}$	
ЬГ	
AT	
NL	
MT	
ΩH	
$\Gamma\Omega$	
ΓT	
ΓΛ	
CY	
II	
Ξ I	
FR	
ES	
ТЭ	
EE	
DE	
DK	
CZ	
BG	
BE	

ne	one							
198								
317								
16								
163								
3158								
15								
32								
346								
200								
n	one							
n	one							
774								
531								
29								
2	138							
n	one							
719	8							
	How many	sse Jean		ints		nitted	e SIS?	
2.2.	How	OI INC Euror	arrest	warra	were	transı	via th	

GS/mvk DG D 2B 9200/6/12 REV 6

<u>BE</u>: The number of EAWs transmitted via the SIS does not correspond with the number provided in the answer to question 1. The fact is, the data are collected from different sources. The number of EAWs transmitted via the SIS is provided by the Belgian SIRENE office. All other data are derived from a national database. Since these data are inserted manually on a case-by-case base, some margin of error is unfortunately inevitable.

not applicable		
none		
none		
none		
7		
· ·		
none		
none ⁴		
none		
none		
none		
L.S. How many of these European arrest warrants were transmitted via the VPN	EN.	

SE

 \mathbf{SK}

IS

RO

 PT

AT

NF

MT

HI

CY

ES

 $E\Gamma$

EE

DE

DK

CZ

BG

<u>LU:</u> EAW by direct transmission to executing authority: 19. EAW via Eurojust: 0.

UK	
SE	
H	
SK	
IS	
RO	
ЬL	
ЬГ	
AT	
NF	
MT	
HU	
$\Gamma\Omega$	
LT	
ΓΛ	
$\mathcal{L}\mathcal{L}$	
Π	
IE	
FR	
ES	
EL	
EE	
DE	
DK	
CZ	
BG	
BE	

3. 57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 29 48 950 54 16 105 699 99 How many of these arrest warrants resulted in the effective surrender of the person sought?											
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 29 4 ⁸ 930 54 16 105 105 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	66										
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 29 4 ⁸ 930 54 11 1 2 2 4 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	669										
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 29 4 ⁸ 930 54 11 1 2 2 4 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3											
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 29 4 ⁸ 930 54 11 1 2 2 4 8 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	105										
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 29 4 ⁸	16										
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 29 4 ⁸											
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 29 4 ⁸	54										
57 238 855 31 999 297 19 8 39 113 29 57 5 5 6 7 19 8 39 113 29 113 29	930										
57 238 855 31 999 297 19 8 39 113 29 57 5 5 6 7 19 8 39 113 29 113 29											
57 238 855 31 999 297 19 8 39 113 29 57 5 5 6 7 19 8 39 113 29 113 29											
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 s e s e s e s e s e s e s e s e s e s	48										
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 113 s e s e s e s e s e s e s e s e s e s											
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 39 39 s											
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 8 8 85	113										
57 238 855 31 99 297 19 s 6 s 6 s 7 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	39										
57 238 855 31 5 6	8										
57 238 855 31 5 6											
57 238 855 31 5 6	7 19										
57 238 855 31 5 6	297										
57 238	66	7									
57 238											
57 238	5 31										
27	85:	9									
57	8										
	23	w									
3. How many of these arrest warrants resulted in the effective surrender of the person sought?	-						'e	J			
	3.	How many	of these	arrest	warrants	resulted in	the effectiv	surrender o	the person	sought?	

GS/mvk DG D 2B 9200/6/12 REV 6

 $[\]overline{CZ}$: 90 + 16 cases from 2007 + 17 cases from 2008 + 22 cases from 2009 + 93 cases from 2010.

DE: No distinction is drawn according to whether the surrenders took place on the basis of a European arrest warrant transmitted in 2011, 2010 or earlier.

ES: No distinction is drawn according to whether the surrenders took place on the basic of a European arrest warrant transmitted in 2011 or earlier.

<u>MT</u>: In respect of one person 3 EAWs have been issued.

<u>SE</u>: Regardless of when the EAWs were issued, 69 persons were surrendered to Sweden during 2011.

Questions to Member States as executing States:

UK	6760
SE	163
FI	
SK	88
SI	110 88
RO	
PT	296 114
- br	296
AT	
N	
MT	6
CY LV LT LU HU MT NL AT	
Π	2211
LT	122
ΓΛ	43
CY	51
II	
Œ	384
FR	1102
ES	1435
EL	
EE	49
DE	1403410
DK	
BE BG CZ DK DE	302
BG	
BE	602
	4. How many European arrest warrants have been received by the judicial authorities of your Member State in 2011?

10

GS/mvk DG D 2B 9200/6/12 REV 6

<u>DE:</u> In 2011, a total of 14 034 alerts on the basis of a European arrest warrant were issued by Member States connected to the Schengen Information System (not including Germany - see 2.2 above). A total of 177 search requests were received via Interpol from States which use the European arrest warrant but do not participate in the Schengen Information System.

^{11 &}lt;u>LU:</u> Requests for extension : 0.

GS/mvk

1163	999
151	137
28	84
108	79
86	89
246	186
9 12	9
16	11
53	45
16	16
24	50
1003 ¹⁴	601
906	756
11187	688
4	3918
1082 ¹³	979
291	238
No statistics available	61
5.1. How many persons have been arrested under a European arrest warrant in your country?	5.2. How many have been effectively surrendered
	

SK

RO SI

 $\overline{\mathrm{PT}}$

IE IT CY LV LT LU HU MT NL AT

ES FR

DK DE EE ET

BG CZ

13

12

 $[\]overline{\text{CZ}}$: 258 + 33 imprisonment.

arrest, just superimposed detention where appropriate. In the reporting period, there were 1 161 cases in which a decision was taken on extradition on the basis of a <u>DE</u>: This number includes cases in which the requested person was already in German custody either serving a sentence or remanded in custody, so there was no European arrest warrant.

IE: Numbers arrested - cumulative since 2004.

MT: 3 were not traced in Malta.

SE: This figure includes 11 persons who were already deprived of their liberty in Sweden, i.e. 140 were deprived their liberty due to a EAW. 16

CZ: 197. + 1 case from 2007. + 3 cases from 2008. + 7 cases from 2009. + 30 cases from 2010. 17

EE: 6 of the received EAW's issued for the extension of surrender, in 2 cases the EAW was withdrawn, 1 person released as EAW has not been forwarded in time and 1 person doesn't have connections with Estonia.

<u>IE</u>: Effectively surrendered - cumulative since 2004.

y	X

67	932
70	29
34	14
65	14
49	19
=	75
7	4
7	424
84	9
6	L
19	-
211	294
448	308
453	436
37	4
565	414
20	22
No statistics available	No statistics available
5.3. Of those surrendered, how many consented to the surrender?	5.4. Of those surrendered, how many did not consent to the surrender?

 ${
m SE}$

 \mathbf{FI}

 \mathbf{SK}

 \mathbf{SI}

RO

 PT

PL

AT

NF

MT

HIU

 Γ I

 $\Gamma\Lambda$

CY

 Π

FR

ES

 $E\Gamma$

EΕ

DE

DK

CZ

BG

BE

22 23 24

DG D 2B

GS/mvk

 $[\]overline{\text{CZ}}$: 121 + 1 case from 2007 + 3 cases from 2008 + 1 case from 2009 + 13 cases from 2010. 21

E: Consented - cumulative since 2004.

 $[\]overline{\text{CZ}}$: 76 + 6 cases from 2009 + 17 cases from 2010.

E: No consent - cumulative since 2004.

<u>LU:</u> Intermediate situations: - Arrested person who consented to surrender, but where surrender is delayed and not realised before 31.12.2011: 3. - Arrested person who did not consent to surrender, but where surrender is delayed and not realised before 31.12.2011: 1.

_	
51	
7	<u> </u>
4	-
25	
10	
09	
n	none

SK

RO SI

MT NL AT PL PT

ΠH

 Γ

 ΓT

 Γ

CY

Ξ

FR

ES

EF

EE

DE

DK

CZ

BG

 \mathbf{BE}

7

 116^{26}

73

20

none

135

12

16

6.1. In how many

cases have the judicial authorities of your Member State refused the execution

of a European

arrest warrant?

<u>DE</u>: In (the other) 47 cases the European arrest warrant was withdrawn. IE: Surrender refused - cumulative since 2004. 25 26

9200/6/12 REV 6 ANNEX

Cf. Annexe I. Cf. Annex I N/A Cf. Annex I No statistics available

SE

 ${
m FI}$

 \mathbf{SK}

 \mathbf{SI}

ΡT

 PL

AT

NF

ES

EL

EE

DE

CZ

BG

over the 10 days. This depends on the dates for Polish Military flights. On some occasions it is not possible for countries who have no direct flights with the UK to UK: It is not proportionate to accurately provide these details. Most are done within the 10 days but for Polish surrenders there are numbers every month which go

ays	ma	itel	y 1	4 (lav													
ays	ma	tel	y 1	4 (lav	_												
					auj	S												
da																		
	ys																	
da	ys																	
ays																		
ys																		
5 d	ays	S																
ontl	1																	
0 d	ays	S																
5 d	ays	S																
eks	s ²⁷																	
ys																		
da	ys																	
ys																		
	'n	e.			e				r		the	p	ion		r of	n(
es a	rrende.	ocedur	ke in	erage	here th	rson	reed to	Ð	rrende	me	tween	rest and	e decis	the	rrende	e perso	ught)?	
	ys 5 d onth od seeks ys day	5 days onth 0 days 5 days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days days days	ays ys 5 days onth 0 days 5 days eeks ²⁷ ys

UK

 \mathbf{SE}

 Ξ

SK

IS

RO

 PT

PL

AT

NF

MT

HU

 $\Gamma\Omega$

 ΓT

 Γ

CX

П

 \mathbb{H}

FR

ES

EL

EE

DE

DK

CZ

BG

BE

GS/mvk

IE: Currently 4 weeks for a case in which the subject immediately consents to surrender on arrest.

arrange the handover flights within the 10 days but they generally are completed within 20 days.

SE

 \mathbf{F}

SK

 \mathbf{SI}

RO

ΡT

PL

AT

NF

MT

HI

 Γ

CY

 \prod

 \mathbb{H}

FR

ES

EL

EE

DE

DK

CZ

BG

BE

<u>DE</u>: In the abovementioned proceedings in which the requested person is in custody in Germany either serving a sentence or remanded in custody, the relevant <u>UK.</u> It is not proportionate to provide this information accurately given the staff hours which would be required in researching databases, as this data is not period is counted only from the moment the person is detained solely for the purposes of extradition. <u>MT:</u> Depending on whether an appeal has been filed from the Court of Committal's decision. \overline{UU} : 47 days in case of appeal against the judicial decision to surrender. automatically captured. E: For a typical case

> 31 32 33

30

GS/mvk

\mathcal{C}													
9													
none													
т													
none													
none													
none													
none													
310 ³⁴	ļ												
6													_
15													
none													
20													
7													
6													
No st	atisti	ics av	ailal	ole									
									execution of			O,	
8.1. In how	ase: e			u 7	,	the	11	1 or	on c	an	arrest	 ng t	777

<u>IE:</u> Statistics available from 2007 only. Time limits - cumulative since 2007.

UK	
SE	
FI	
SK	
IS	
RO	
PT	
ЪГ	
AT	
NL	
MT	
НΩ	
$\Gamma\Omega$	
ΓT	
ΓΛ	
CY	
Π	
IE	
FR	
ES	
EL	
EE	
DE	
DK	
CZ	
BG	
BE	

n	one	;				
3						
1						
n	one	;				
n	one	;				
1						
1						
n	one	;				
n	one	;				
n	one	;				
n	one	;				
310	37					
36						
n	one	;				
n	one	;				
n	one	35				
2						
n	one	;				
8.2.	In how	many of	those cases	was	Eurojust	informed?

<u>DE:</u> Pursuant to Section 83 c paragraph 4 of the German Law on International Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters, Eurojust must only be informed in exceptional circumstances. None of the cases concerned exceptional circumstances. FR: The Ministry of Justice has not been informed of these cases and hence has not been able to inform Eurojust thereof. IE: Time limits - notification to Eurojust. - Cumulative since 2007.

³⁶

9.1. In how many ox a sees were the process were the process of th		
PE PE PE PE PE PE PE PE	UK	66
Page	SE	none
Page	FI	
Page	SK	_
Decomposition Decompositio	SI	16
Decomposition Decompositio	RO	
Reference Refe		none
No statistics available No statistics av		22
none none none	-	
none none none	NL	
none none none	MT	none
No statistics available No statistics av	HU	
No statistics available No statistics av	ΠΠ	none
No statistics available No statistics av	LT	none
No statistics available No statistics av	ΓΛ	none
No statistics available PR PR PR PR PR PR PR P		none
BB BC CZ DK DE EE ET ES	II	
Total Tota	Œ	none
none BE BC CZ DK DE EE ET S	FR	2
none BB RC CZ DK DE EE S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	ES	154
BB BCZ DK DE See See See See See See See See See Se	EL	
No statistics available	EE	none
No statistics available	DE	38
No statistics available	DK	
No statistics available	CZ	м
9.1. In how many cases were the judicial authorities of your Member State not able to respect the limit for surrender according to Article 23(2) of the Framework	BG	
9.1. In how many cases were the judicial authorities of your Member State not able to respect the 10-days time limit for surrender according to Article 23(2) of the Framework	BE	
		9.1. In how many cases were the judicial authorities of your Member State not able to respect the 10-days time limit for surrender according to Article 23(2) of the Framework

<u>DE</u>: If surrender is performed by land, the federal system in Germany means that the law enforcement authorities of all Länder through the territory of which the requested person is to travel to be involved. This leads to delays. As a rule, however, the 10-day time limit is only slightly exceeded. The majority of surrenders were to Poland. The timely taking over of requested persons by the Polish authorities is not always guaranteed. DG D 2B <u>UK:</u> Not available. These figures are not collated.

38

GS/mvk

	n/	′a									
	ne	one	•								
	ne	one	,								
	ne	one	;								
	ne	one	;								
	ne	one	;								
	ne	one	•								
	ne	one	;								
	ne	one	;								
	ne	one	•								
	ne	one	;								
	ne	one	•								
	40										
		one	;								
	ne	one	;								
	ne	one	;								
	N	o s	tat	isti	cs a	ava	ila	ble	;		
										ķ	_
		now ma	hose ca	was the	.son	eased,	ording	icle 23	he	ramewor	Perision?
	9.2	In l	of t	wa	per	rele	acc	Art	of t	Fra	Dec

SE UK

RO SI SK

PL PT

IE IT CY LV LT LU HU MT NL AT

ES FR

BG CZ DK DE EE EL

BE

FR: This figure is not known by the Ministry of Justice.

5646																
17																
2545																
10																
94																
noi	ne															
noi	ne															
47																
14																
noi	ne															
180	4															
	4															
25 96																
23																
81 ⁴³ 24																
814																
48	42															
41																
	٥	2		SS				u		vith	a	or	Jc			
10.1. In bow	IIOW	the	licial	horitie	your	mber	ite	scute a	est	rrant v	ard to	ional (ident (ır	mber	ite?
5. 5	II &	did	jud	aut	of	Me	Sta	exe	arr	wa	reg	nat	res	yor	\mathbb{Z}	Sta

 \mathbf{SE}

 \mathbf{E}

 \mathbf{SK}

 \mathbf{SI}

RO

 PT

PL

AT

 $N\Gamma$

MT

HIU

 Γ

 Γ I

 $\Gamma\Lambda$

CY

 Π

田

FR

ES

 $E\Gamma$

EE

DE

DK

ZD

BG

BE

BE: Belgian authorities have registered the surrender of at least 2 people with Belgian nationality. There are no statistics available on the number of Belgian residents that have surrendered in 2011.

residents that have surrendered in 2011 CZ: 42 nationals + 6 residents.

<u>DE</u>: 65 arrest warrants were executed against German nationals and 16 arrest warrants were executed against persons resident in Germany. In 26 cases, German nationals were surrendered.

IE: Nationals - cumulative since 2004.

4

43

4

<u>SK</u>: The judicial authorities of the Slovak Republic executed EAWs with regard to Slovak nationals in 25 cases. The Slovak Republic does not investigate the residence of arrested persons. 45

<u>UK:</u> Figure is for British Nationals. We do not record whether other Nationalities are residents of the UK or not. 46

lo.2. 38 42 ⁴⁸ 24 7 1 10.2. In how many of the many of the body states as a single large cases and authorities of your Member and guarantee under the guarantee under framework (1) 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1												
no statistics available Co I IS none none none none none No statistics available **Total Common to the co	none											
none none none to the statistics available No statistics available	6											
none none none to the statistics available No statistics available												
none none to the statistics available To the statistics available To the statistics available	no stati	ole	vailal	le								
none none to the second seco	ευ.											
none none to the second seco												
none none t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t	_											
none L to the statistics available none No statistics available	51											
none L to the statistics available none No statistics available												
none L to the statistics available none No statistics available												
none none to 47 47 No statistics available	none											
none none to 47 47 No statistics available												
none none to the statistics available none none to the statistics available none	none											
none to the statistics available none No statistics available	49											
none 45 47 48 No statistics available	none											
No statistics available	none											
No statistics available												
75 A 24 S 24	none											
No statistics available	1											
88 4 No statistics available	74											
88 4 No statistics available												
% 4 No statistics available	74											
No statistics available	424											
No statistics available												
	38											
of asses lities rities riti	No stati	ble	vaila	le								
how how how how how how how how horized literal horized horized horized horized horized horized horized her hicle her horized her hicle	2. how ny of	your	licial horities	your	te	uest a	rantee	ler	ticle 5(3)	the	ımework	Cacinio

SE

 \mathbf{FI}

SK

SI

RO

ΡT

PL

AT

NF

MT

HI

 $\Gamma\Omega$

 Γ I

 $\Gamma\Lambda$

CY

 Π

IE

FR

ES

EL

EE

DE

DK

CZ

BG

BE

CZ: 33 nationals + 5 residents.

 \overline{DE} : 26 cases concerning German nationals, 16 cases concerning foreign nationals, see 10.1. \overline{LT} : In all cases concerning the surrender of citizens of the Republic of Lithuania. 48 49

SE

 \mathbf{E}

SK

 \mathbf{SI}

RO

PT

PL

AT

NF

MT

HI

CY

ES

EL

EE

DE

DK

ZD

BG

BE

SE: Data related to the number of requested guarantees as provided for in Article 5 (1) are not available. Sweden does not require a guarantee as provided for in Article 5 (2) 9200/6/12 REV 6

00

no

no

no

N/A

no

no

no

no

no

n0

Cf. Annex II

Cf. Annex II

Cf. Annex II

Cf. Annex II

12.
Is there any other information regarding the operation of the European arrest warrant that you would like to give?

Cf. Annex II

Cf. Annex II

Cf. Annex II

SE

FI

SK

SI

RO

PT

PL

AT

NF

MT

HI

FR

ES

EL

EE

DE

DK

CZ

BG

BE

9200/6/12 REV 6 ANNEX

Replies to question 6.2

"Which were the grounds for refusal?"

GERMANY

- The requested person was not in Germany: 7
- The European arrest warrant did not satisfy the formal requirements: 6
- The offence was not liable to a maximum custodial sentence of at least 12 months under the law of the requested Member State: 1
- The requested person had already been convicted of the same offence in another Member State by a judgment having the force of *res judicata*: 1
- Execution was requested on the basis of a judgment *in absentia* without the admissible conditions pursuant to Article 5 of the Framework Decision having been fulfilled: 18
- Prosecution or enforcement of the sentence was statute-barred under German law: 19
- There was no double criminality for an offence not included in the list in Article 2(2) of the Framework Decision: 9
- Extradition would have violated European public policy (ordre public): 1
- The requested person was being prosecuted in Germany for the same offence: 1
- It cannot be presumed that the requesting State would grant a similar request from Germany (non-reciprocity): 1
- An alien who had his habitual residence in Germany did not consent to extradition for the purposes of execution of the sentence: 22
- A German national did not consent to extradition for the purposes of execution of the sentence: 44
- The instigation of criminal proceedings for the same offence as that on which the request was based had been refused, or criminal proceedings which had already been instigated for the same offence as that on which the request was based had been abandoned: 2
- An extradition request from a third State had been given priority: 3

SLOVAK REPUBLIC

- withdrawal of EAW by the issuing state
- the act on which the European arrest warrant is based does not constitute an offence under the law of the Slovak Republic

IRELAND

- Correspondence could not be established
- Issuing state could not provide guarantee of retrial
- Cumulative sentence on multiple offences where correspondence could not be established for one offence
- Invalid warrant (not signed by judicial authority)
- Non refoulement. Subject granted asylum from requesting state.
- Article 26 of the Framework Decision. The Court decided that, as the subject had been held in
 custody in this jurisdiction for the same time period as that to which he had been sentenced,
 there was no longer an outstanding sentence to be served and the warrant was void.
- Identification
- Health
- Extraterritoriality

CZECH REPUBLIC

- (5) Act does not constitute an offence under the CZ law.
- (1) Czech national act committed before 1.11.2004.
- (11) Person is prosecuted for the same act as that on which the EAW is based.
- (4) Requested person is the national and the EAW has been issued for the purposes of execution of a custodial sentence.

SPAIN

Criminal prosecution is statute-barred, double criminality, ne bis in idem, the person was being prosecuted in Germany for the same offence, a Spanish national did not consent to surrender for the purposes of execution of the sentence.

SWEDEN

- The statutes of limitation in Swedish law (2).
- The arrest warrant concerned a custodial sentence and the wanted person was a Swedish national that demanded that the sanction should be enforced in Sweden (3).
- Not a crime according to Swedish law/Dual criminality could not be established (1).
- The court did not accept that the material provided from the issuing authority (inter alia the extract from the relevant laws) meant that the person in question was guaranteed the right to a new trial (1).

POLAND

- the requested person has been finally judged by a Member State in respect of the same acts provided that, where there has been a sentence, the sentence has been served or is currently being served or may no longer be executed under the law of the sentencing Member State (art. 3 (2) EAW Framework Decision);
- parallel prosecutions conducted in Poland, concerning the same person against whom the EAW was issued, and the same acts (art. 4 (2) of the EAW Framework Decision);
- the offence was committed on the territory of Poland according to Polish law (art. 4 (7)(a) of the EAW Framework Decision);
- the European arrest warrant has been issued for the purposes of execution of a custodial sentence or detention order, where the requested person is staying in, or is a national or a resident of the executing Poland and Poland undertakes to execute the sentence or detention order in accordance with Polish law (art. 4 (6) of the EAW Framework Decision);

- a person who is the subject of a European arrest warrant is a national or resident of Poland and the condition that the person be returned in order to serve the custodial sentence or detention order was not met (art. 5(3) of the EAW Framework Decision);
- the European arrest warrant was issued for a purpose other than conducting a criminal prosecution or executing a custodial sentence or detention order (art. 1(1) of the EAW Framework Decision *a contrario*).

SLOVENIA

Paragraph 4 of the Article 4 of the Framework Decision (lapse of time); withdrawal (revocation) of the EAW; paragraph 2 of the article 3 of the Framework Decision, paragraph 4 of the article 2 of the Framework Decision (double criminality), paragraph 6 of the article 4 of the Framework Decision, issuing state did not provide additional information, incorrect identity of the person.

FRANCE

The French judicial authorities have refused the execution of 73 European arrest warrant for the following reasons:

- the original of the European arrest warrant was not provided (when the fax does not allow to certify the authenticity of the European arrest warrant);
- the summary of the facts regarding the person concerned was insufficient;
- the execution of the foreign sentence in France concerning a French national (article 4, paragraph 6 of the Framework Decision);
- lack of reply to a demand for supplementary information;
- the ne bis in idem principle;
- error regarding the person;
- non-punishability of the facts under French law when it concerns facts that do not feature on the list of 32 offences of the Framework Decision;
- withdrawal by the issuing Member State.

LUXEMBOURG

Date of offence (<8.8.2002)! This ground for refusal has been abolished by legislative act of August 3, 2011.

LITHUANIA

- 1st case: due to health problems;
- 2nd case: the criminal proceedings were taken over instead of executing the surrender;
- 3rd case: the execution of custodial sentence was taken over instead of executing the surrender.

PORTUGAL

- Statute of limitation: 2 cases;
- Execution of the foreign sentence in Portugal: 5 cases.
- Double incrimination: 1 case.
- Ne bis in idem: 1 case.
- The requested guarantees have not been confirmed: 1.

LATVIA

- An extradition request from a third State had been given priority 1.
- An extradition request for the purposes of execution of the sentence in respect of Latvia national 1.

UNITED KINGDOM

- Discrepancies with the EAW
- Lack of Evidence from Requesting State
- Identity of arrested person in question
- Not a Criminal Offence in the UK
- Not a framework offence

Replies to question 12

"Is there any other information regarding the operation of the European arrest warrant that you would like to give?"

GERMANY

The figures given are based on a statistical survey covering cases in which surrender took place in 2011 and for which the competent judicial authority of the relevant *Land* submitted the relevant report to the Federal Office of Justice by 15 January 2012.

Experience has shown that reports on extradition proceedings concluded in 2011 are sometimes, in isolated cases, not submitted until after 15 January 2012. Those cases will be included in the statistics for 2012.

IRELAND

The statistics given below relate to the number of European arrest warrants rather than to the number of persons. In some instances more than one warrant may have been received and executed in respect of a person.

CZECH REPUBLIC

28 cases were included in different way (e.g. withdrawal of an EAW, person was located on the territory of another Member State, EAW was cancelled, etc.).

In 19 cases the surrender was postponed.

In 7 cases the consent was given with the prosecution for other offences.

In 39 cases the procedure have not been yet closed.

POLAND

Some courts raised issues with the practical operation of the EAW system. The concerns were following:

- the EAW procedure is sometimes needlessly lengthy due to the fact that courts have to wait a long time for the delivery of the original of the EAW or have to request information whether the surrendered person invoked the principle of speciality the issuing State;
- the courts of other Member States very frequently demand the presentation of additional information, in particular indication of specific evidence, thereby breaching the letter and the spirit of the EAW provisions;
- some executing Member States infringe art. 26 of the EAW Framework Decision by not providing information on the length of detention of the surrendered person;
- scheduled flights to some cities in other Member States are rare, which can lead to lengthening the EAW proceedings by several days.

SLOVENIA

The proportionality issue - courts have estimated that in some cases the issuing authority did not use any alternatives to issuing an EAW, such as using less constraining instrument of mutual legal assistance, which could actually prevent issuance of the EAW.

FRANCE

These statistics are only indicative to the extent that, in accordance with the Framework Decision on the European arrest warrant, the Ministry of Justice does not centralise all the EAW files and on the contrary encourages the direct transmission between judicial authorities.

LUXEMBOURG

The Act of August 3, 2011 brought some modifications to the provisions enacted in 2004, specially the abrogation of the limitation concerning the date of the offence (<8.8.2002), a ground for refusal to apply the EAW provisions.