## COUNCIL OF <br> THE EUROPEAN UNION

## Brussels, 2 October 2012

14477/12

## Interinstitutional File: 2011/0281 (COD)

AGRI 638
AGRIFIN 181
AGRIORG 161
CODEC 2275

## COVER NOTE

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { from: } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Secretary-General of the European Commission, } \\
\text { signed by Mr Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU, Director }\end{array} \\
\text { date of receipt: } & \begin{array}{l}25 \text { September 2012 } \\
\text { to: }\end{array}
$$ <br>
\hline Mr Uwe CORSEPIUS, Secretary-General of the Council of <br>

the European Union\end{array}\right]\)| No Cion doc.: | COM(2012) 535 final |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subject: | Amendment to the Commission proposal COM(2011) 626 final/3 for a <br> Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a <br> common organisation of the markets in agricultural products <br> (Single CMO Regulation) |

Delegations will find attached a proposal from the Commission, submitted under a covering letter from Mr Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU, Director, to Mr Uwe CORSEPIUS, Secretary-General of the Council of the European Union.

Encl.: COM(2012) 535 final

EUROPEAN COMMISSION

Brussels, 25.9.2012
COM(2012) 535 final
2011/0281 (COD)

## Amendment to the Commission proposal COM(2011) 626 final/3 for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL

establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation)

## 1. CONTEXT OF THE PROPOSAL

The accession of Croatia to the EU is scheduled for 1 July 2013. Although the Act of Accession ${ }^{1}$ has not yet been ratified by all Member States, the Commission has recently updated its Multi-Annual Financial Framework proposals ${ }^{2}$ in view of Croatia's accession. A similar adjustment exercise should be prepared for the CAP reform proposals to ensure that once they are adopted, Croatia will be fully covered as a new Member State.

## 2. RESULTS OF CONSULTATIONS WITH THE INTERESTED PARTIES AND IMPACT ASSESSMENTS

In relation to Croatia, there was no need for consultation of interested parties or an impact assessment since these adjustments follow from the Act of Accession.

## 3. LEGAL ELEMENTS OF THE PROPOSAL

The adjustment will take the form of an amendment to the proposal COM(2011) 626 final/3 for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation), to include in the proposal those provisions specific to Croatia which are already in the Accession Treaty, i.e. on wine (transitional measures, inclusion of the wine names to be protected for Croatia in the electronic register of protected designations of origin and protected geographical indications, deadlines for submission and examination of the complete technical files and acts under which those names have been recognised, financial allocation to Croatia for the national support programme in the wine sector, wine-growing zones in Croatia) and translation of Croatian sales description for meat of bovine animals aged less than 12 months. For the sugar and milk quotas in Croatia, the Single CMO proposal makes reference to the relevant provisions of Regulation (EC) No. 1234/2007 which remain in place until the expiration of quotas in 2015, as for the other 27 Member States.

## 4. BUDGETARY IMPLICATION

This amendment has no budgetary implications, apart from those already set out in the explanatory memorandum for the updated proposals for the Multi-Annual Financial Framework.
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# Amendment to the Commission proposal COM(2011) 626 final/ $\mathbf{3}$ for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL 

## establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation)

Commission proposal $\operatorname{COM}(2011) 626$ final $/ 3$ is amended as follows:
(1) In Article 84, the following paragraph is added:
"4. For Croatia, the wine names published in the Official Journal of the European Union* shall be protected under this Regulation, subject to a favourable outcome of the objection procedure. The Commission shall list them in the register provided for in Article 81.

* OJ C 116, 14.4.2011, p. 12."
(2) Article 163 is replaced by the following:


## "Article 163

## Repeals

1. Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 is repealed.

However, the following provisions of Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 [Regulation (EU) No COM(2010)799] shall continue to apply:
(a) as regards the sugar sector, Title I of Part II, Article 142, the first subparagraph of Article 153(1), Article 153(2) and (3), Article 156, Part II of Annex III and Annex VI [Title I of Part II, Articles 248, 260 to 262 and Part II of Annex III of Regulation (EU) No COM(2010)799] until the end of the 2014/2015 marketing year for sugar on 30 September 2015;
(b) the provisions related to the system of milk production limitation set out in Chapter III of Title I of Part II and Annexes IX and X [Chapter III of Title I of Part II and Annexes VIII and IX of Regulation (EU) No COM(2010)799], until 31 March 2015;
(c) as regards the wine sector:
(i) Articles 85a to 85 e [Articles 82 to 87 of Regulation (EU) No $\operatorname{COM}(2010) 799]$ as regards areas referred to in Article 85a(2) [Article 82(2) of Regulation (EU) No $\operatorname{COM}(2010) 799$ ] which have not yet been grubbed up and as regards areas referred to in Article 85b(1) [Article 83(1) of Regulation (EU) No COM(2010)799] which have not been regularised until such areas are grubbed up or regularised,
(ii) the transitional planting right regime set out in Subsection II of Section IVa of Chapter III of Title I of Part II [Subsection II of Section V of Chapter III of Title I of Part II of Regulation (EU) No $\operatorname{COM}(2010) 799$ ] until 31 December 2015, or, to the extent necessary in order to give effect to any decision taken by Member States under Article $85 \mathrm{~g}(5)$ [Article 89(5) of Regulation (EU) No COM(2010)799], until 31 December 2018,
(iii) Article $118 \mathrm{~m}(5)$ and Article $118 \mathrm{~s}(5)$;
(d) Article 182(7) [Article 291(2) of Regulation (EU) No COM(2010)799], until 31 March 2014;
(e) the first and second subparagraphs of Article 182(3) [Article 293 of Regulation (EU) No COM(2010)799] until the end of the 2013/2014 marketing year for sugar;
(f) Article 182(4)[Article 294 of Regulation (EU) No COM(2010)799] until 31 December 2017.[;]
[(g) Article 326 of Regulation (EU) No COM(2010)799.]
2. References to Regulation (EC) No 1234/2007 [Regulation (EU) No $\operatorname{COM}(2010) 799]$ shall be construed as references to this Regulation and to Regulation (EU) No [...] [on the financing, management and monitoring of the common agricultural policy] and be read in accordance with the correlation tables set out in Annex VIII to this Regulation.
3. Council Regulations (EC) No 234/79, (EC) No 1601/96 and (EC) No 1037/2001 are repealed."
(3) In Annex IV, the following table is added:
"Croatia

| 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11885 | 11885 | 11885 | 10832 | 10832 | 10832 | 10832 |

(4) In Part I of Annex VI, the following row is inserted in the table in point II.1. (A) on the sales descriptions for the meat of bovine animals aged less than 8 months, after the entry for France:
teletina
"
(5) In Part I of Annex VI, the following row is inserted in the table in point II. 1. (B) on the sales descriptions for the meat of bovine animals aged from 8 months to less than 12 months, after the entry for France:
(6) In the Appendix to Annex VI (referred to in Part II), the following point (h) is added in point (2):
"(h) in Croatia, the areas planted with vines in the following sub-regions: Moslavina, Prigorje-Bilogora, Plešivica, Pokuplje and Zagorje-Međimurje."
(7) In the Appendix to Annex VI (referred to in Part II), the following point (h) is added in point (3):
"(h) in Croatia, areas planted with vines in the following sub-regions: Hrvatsko Podunavlje and Slavonija."
(8) In the Appendix to Annex VI (referred to in Part II), the following point (g) is added in point (4):
"(g) in Croatia, areas planted with vines in the following sub-regions: Hrvatska
Istra, Hrvatsko primorje, Dalmatinska zagora, Sjeverna Dalmacija and Srednja
i Južna Dalmacija."

## LEGISLATIVE FINANCIAL STATEMENT

## 1. FRAMEWORK OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE

### 1.1. Title of the proposal/initiative

- Amendment to the Commission proposal $\operatorname{COM}(2011) 625$ final/3 for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing rules for direct payments to farmers under support schemes within the framework of the common agricultural policy;
- Amendment to the Commission proposal $\operatorname{COM}(2011) 626$ final/3 for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council establishing a common organisation of the markets in agricultural products (Single CMO Regulation);
- Amendment to the Commission proposal $\operatorname{COM}(2011) 627$ final $/ 3$ for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on support for rural development by the European Agricultural Fund for Rural Development (EAFRD);
- Amendment to the Commission proposal $\operatorname{COM}(2011) 628$ final/2 for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on the financing, management and monitoring of the common agricultural policy.


### 1.2. Policy area(s) concerned in the $\mathrm{ABM} / \mathrm{ABB}$ structure ${ }^{3}$

## Policy Area Title 05 of Heading 2

### 1.3. Nature of the proposal/initiative (Legislative framework for the CAP post 2013) <br> x The proposal/initiative relates to a new action <br> The proposal/initiative relates to a new action following a pilot project/preparatory action ${ }^{4}$

x The proposal/initiative relates to the extension of an existing action
x The proposal/initiative relates to an action redirected towards a new action

### 1.4. Objectives

1.4.1. The Commission's multiannual strategic objective(s) targeted by the proposal/initiative

In order to promote resource efficiency with a view to smart, sustainable and inclusive growth for EU agriculture and rural development in line with the Europe 2020 Strategy, the objectives of the CAP are:

- Viable food production;

[^1]> | - Sustainable management of natural resources and climate action; |
| :--- |
| - Balanced territorial development. |

### 1.4.2. Specific objective(s) and ABM/ABB activity(ies) concerned

## Specific objectives for Policy area 05:

Specific objective No 1:
To provide environmental public goods
Specific objective No 2:
To compensate for production difficulties in areas with specific natural constraints Specific objective No 3:

To pursue climate change mitigation and adaptation actions
Specific objective No 4:
To manage the EU budget (CAP) in accordance with high standards of financial management

## Specific objective for ABB 0502 - Interventions in agricultural markets:

Specific objective No 5:
To improve the competitiveness of the agricultural sector and enhance its value share in the food chain

## Specific objective for ABB 0503 - Direct aids:

Specific objective No 6:
To contribute to farm incomes and limit farm income variability

## Specific objectives for ABB 0504 - Rural development:

Specific objective No 7
To foster green growth through innovation
Specific objective No 8:
To support rural employment and maintain the social fabric of rural areas
Specific objective No 9

To improve the rural economy and promote diversification
Specific objective No 10
To allow for structural diversity in farming systems

### 1.4.3. Expected result(s) and impact

It is not possible to set quantitative targets for impact indicators at this stage. Although the policy can steer in a certain direction, the broad economic, environmental and social outcomes measured by such indicators would ultimately also depend on the impact from a range of external factors, which recent experience indicates have become significant and unpredictable. Further analysis is on-going, to be ready for the period post-2013.

As regards the direct payments, Member States will have the possibility to decide, to a limited degree, on the implementation of certain components of the direct payment schemes.

For rural development, the expected results and impact will depend on the rural development programmes that Member States will submit to the Commission. Member States will be asked to set targets in their programmes.

### 1.4.4. Indicators of results and impact

The proposals provide for the establishment of a common monitoring and evaluation framework with a view to measuring the performance of the Common Agricultural Policy. That framework shall include all instruments related to the monitoring and evaluation of CAP measures and in particular of the direct payments, market measures, rural development measures and of the application of cross compliance.
The impact of these CAP measures shall be measured in relation to the following objectives:
(a) viable food production, with a focus on agricultural income, agricultural productivity and price stability;
(b) sustainable management of natural resources and climate action, with a focus on greenhouse gas emissions, biodiversity, soil and water;
(c) balanced territorial development, with a focus on rural employment, growth and poverty in rural areas.
By means of implementing acts, the Commission shall define the set of indicators specific to these objectives and areas.
Moreover, as regards rural development, a reinforced common monitoring and evaluation system is proposed. That system aims (a) to demonstrate the progress and achievements of rural development policy and assess the impact, effectiveness, efficiency and relevance of rural development policy interventions, (b) to contribute to better targeted support for rural development, and (c) to support a common learning process related to monitoring and evaluation. The Commission will establish, by means of implementing act, a list of common indicators linked to the policy priorities.

### 1.5. Grounds for the proposal/initiative

### 1.5.1. Requirement(s) to be met in the short or long term

In order to meet the multi-annual strategic objectives of the CAP which are a direct translation of the Europe 2020 strategy for European rural areas and to fulfil the relevant requirements of the Treaty, the proposals, as amended to take account of the Accession of Croatia, aim to lay down the legislative framework for the Common Agricultural Policy for the period after 2013.

### 1.5.2. Added value of $E U$ involvement

The future CAP will not only be a policy that caters for a small, albeit essential, part of the EU economy, but also a policy of strategic importance for food security, the environment and territorial balance. Thus, the CAP, as a truly common policy, makes the most efficient use of limited budgetary resources in maintaining a sustainable agriculture throughout the EU, addressing important cross-border issues such as climate change and reinforcing solidarity among Member States.

As mentioned in the Commission communication "A Budget for Europe 2020" ${ }^{5}$, the CAP is a genuinely European policy. Instead of operating 28 separate agricultural policies and budgets, Member States pool resources to operate a single European policy with a single European budget. This naturally means that the CAP accounts for a significant proportion of the EU budget. However, this approach is both more efficient and economical than an uncoordinated national approach.

### 1.5.3. Lessons learned from similar experiences in the past

On the basis of the evaluation of the current policy framework, an extensive consultation with stakeholders as well as an analysis of future challenges and needs, a comprehensive impact assessment has been carried out. More details can be found in the impact assessment and the explanatory memorandum that are accompanying the legal proposals.

### 1.5.4. Coherence and possible synergy with other relevant instruments

The legislative proposals concerned by this financial statement should be seen in the broader context of the proposal for a single framework regulation with common rules for the common strategic framework funds (EAFRD, ERDF, ESF, Cohesion Fund and EMFF). That framework regulation will make an important contribution to reducing administrative burden, to spending EU funds in an effective way, and to put simplification into practice. This also underpins the new concepts of the common strategic framework for all these funds and the upcoming Partnership Contracts which will also cover these funds.

The common strategic framework, which will be established, will translate the objectives and priorities of the Europe 2020 Strategy into priorities for the EAFRD together with the ERDF, ESF, Cohesion Fund and EMFF, which will ensure an integrated use of the funds to deliver common objectives.

The common strategic framework will also set out coordination mechanisms with other relevant Union policies and instruments.

Moreover, as regards the CAP, significant synergies and simplification effects will be obtained by harmonising and aligning the management and control rules for the first (EAGF) and second (EAFRD) pillar of the CAP. The strong link between the EAGF and the EAFRD should be maintained and the structures already in place in the Member States be sustained.

### 1.6. Duration and financial impact

$x$ Proposal/initiative of limited duration (for the draft regulations on direct payment schemes, rural development and transitional regulations)

- x Proposal/initiative in effect from 01/01/2014 to 31/12/2020
- x Financial impact for the period of the next multi-annual financial framework. For rural development, impact on payments to 2023.
$x$ Proposal/initiative of unlimited duration (for the draft regulation on the single CMO and the horizontal regulation)
- Implementation from 2014.
1.7. Management mode(s) envisaged ${ }^{6}$
x Centralised direct management by the Commission
$\square$ Centralised indirect management with the delegation of implementation tasks to:
- $\square$ executive agencies
$-\square$ bodies set up by the Communities ${ }^{7}$
- $\square$ national public-sector bodies/bodies with public-service mission
- $\square$ persons entrusted with the implementation of specific actions pursuant to Title V of the Treaty on European Union and identified in the relevant basic act within the meaning of Article 49 of the Financial Regulation
x Shared management with the Member States
$\square$ Decentralised management with third countriesJoint management with international organisations (to be specified)
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## Comments

No substantive change compared to the present situation, i.e. the bulk of expenditure concerned by the legislative proposals on the CAP reform will be managed by shared management with the Member States. However, a very minor part will continue to fall under centralised direct management by the Commission.

## 2. MANAGEMENT MEASURES

### 2.1. Monitoring and reporting rules

In terms of monitoring and evaluation of the CAP, the Commission will present a report to the European Parliament and the Council every 4 years, with the first report to be presented not later than end 2017.

This is complemented by specific provisions in all areas of the CAP, with various comprehensive reporting and notifications requirements to be specified in the implementing rules.

As regards rural development, rules are also provided for monitoring at programme level, which will be aligned with the other funds, and which will be coupled with ex ante, on-going and ex post evaluations.

### 2.2. Management and control system

### 2.2.1. Risk(s) identified

There are more than seven million beneficiaries of the CAP, receiving support under a large variety of different aid schemes, each of which having detailed and sometimes complex eligibility criteria.

The reduction in the error rate in the domain of the common agricultural policy can already be considered as a trend. Thus, most recently an error rate close to $2 \%$ confirms the overall positive assessment of previous years. It is the intention to continue the efforts in order to achieve an error rate below $2 \%$.

### 2.2.2. Control method(s) envisaged

The legislative package, in particular the proposal for the regulation on the financing, management and monitoring of the common agricultural policy, envisages maintaining and reinforcing the current system established by Regulation (EC) No 1290/2005. It provides for a compulsory administrative structure at Member State level, centred around accredited paying agencies, which are responsible for carrying out controls at final beneficiary level in accordance with the principles set out under point 2.3. Every year, the head of each paying agency is required to provide a statement of assurance which covers the completeness, accuracy and veracity of the accounts, the proper functioning of the internal control systems and the legality and regularity of the underlying transactions. An independent audit body is required to provide an opinion on all these three elements.

The Commission will continue to audit agricultural expenditure, using a risk-based approach in order to ensure that its audits are targeted to the areas of highest risk. Where these audits
reveal that expenditure has been incurred in breach of Union rules, it will exclude the amounts concerned from Union financing under the conformity clearance system.

As regards the cost of controls, a detailed analysis is provided in annex 8 to the impact assessment accompanying the legislative proposals.

Furthermore the publication of information on the beneficiaries of the EAGF and EAFRD will reinforce the public control of the use of the money and contribute to the visibility and better understanding of the CAP.

### 2.3. Measures to prevent fraud and irregularities

The legislative package, in particular the proposal for the regulation on the financing, management and monitoring of the common agricultural policy, envisages maintaining and reinforcing the current detailed systems for controls and penalties to be applied by the paying agencies, with common basis features and special rules tailored to the specificities of each aid regime. The systems generally provide for exhaustive administrative controls of $100 \%$ of the aid applications, cross-checks with other databases where this is considered appropriate as well as pre-payment on-the-spot checks of a minimum number of transactions, depending on the risk associated with the regime in question. If these on-the-spot checks reveal a high number of irregularities, additional checks must be carried out. In this context, the by far most important system is the Integrated Administration and Control System (IACS), which in financial year 2010 covered around $80 \%$ of total expenditure under the EAGF and the EAFRD. For Member States with properly functioning control systems and low error rates, the Commission will be empowered to allow for a reduction of the number of on-the-spot checks.

The package further envisages that Member States shall prevent, detect and correct irregularities and fraud, impose effective, dissuasive and proportionate penalties as laid down in Union legislation or national law, and recover any irregular payments plus interests. It includes an automatic clearance mechanism for irregularity cases, which provides that if recovery has not taken place within four years of the date of the recovery request, or within eight years in the case of legal proceedings, the amounts not recovered shall be borne by the Member State concerned. This mechanism will be a strong incentive for Member States to recover irregular payments as quickly as possible.

## 3. ESTIMATED FINANCIAL IMPACT OF THE PROPOSAL/INITIATIVE

The amounts indicated in this financial statement are expressed in current prices and in commitments.

In addition to the changes resulting from the legislative proposals as listed in the accompanying tables below, the legislative proposals imply further changes which have no financial impact.

For any of the years in the period 2014-2020, the application of financial discipline cannot be excluded at this stage. However, this will not depend on the reform proposals as such, but on other factors, such as the execution of direct aids or future developments in the agricultural markets.

As concerns direct aids, the extended net ceilings for 2014 (calendar year 2013) included in the Commission proposal regarding the application of direct payments in the transitional year $2013(\operatorname{COM}(2011) 630)^{8}$ are higher than the amounts allocated to direct aids indicated in the accompanying tables. The purpose of this extension is to ensure a continuation of the existing legislation in a scenario in which all the other elements would remain unchanged, without prejudice to the possible need for applying the financial discipline mechanism.

The reform proposals contain provisions giving Member States a set degree of flexibility in relation to their allocation of direct aids respectively rural development. In case Member States decide to use that flexibility, this will have financial consequences within the given financial amounts, which cannot be quantified at this stage.

The reform proposal for direct payments contains a provision on progressive reduction and capping of direct payments. The product of capping, to be transferred to rural development, has been estimated in order to determine the net ceilings of direct payments (Annex III of the proposal). This estimation was based on assumptions as concerns the implementation of direct payments by Member States and will therefore be revised once Member States have notified their decisions on the implementation. Moreover, for Croatia, it is not possible to estimate the product of capping at this stage due to unavailability of data. A first rough estimate, based on preliminary information, showed that the product of capping would be null for Croatia. It will be revised once data are available.

This financial statement does not take into account the possible use of the crises reserve. It should be underlined that the amounts taken into account for market-related expenditure are based on no public intervention buying-in and other measures related to a crisis situation in any sectors.

### 3.1. Heading(s) of the multiannual financial framework and expenditure budget line(s) affected

Table 1: Amounts for the CAP including complementary amounts foreseen in the MFF proposals and the CAP reform proposals

State of the cotton national restructuring programme to rural development as from 2014, implying an adjustment (4 million EUR per year) of the amounts for respectively the EAGF subceiling and for pillar 2 . In the tables in the sections below, the amounts have been transferred, irrespective of them being reflected in the MFF. It includes the maximum amounts of the special reserve for de-mining for Croatia.
In accordance with the Commission communication "A Budget for Europe 2020" (COM(2011)500 final), a total amount of up to 2.5 billion EUR in 2011 prices will be available under
the European Globalisation Fund for providing additional support to farmers suffering from effects of globalisation. In the table above, the breakdown by year in current prices is only
In accordance with the Commission communication "A Budget for Europe 2020 " $(\mathrm{COM}(2011) 500$ final $)$, a total amount of up to 2.5 billion EUR in 2011 prices will be available under
the European Globalisation Fund for providing additional support to farmers suffering from effects of globalisation. In the table above, the breakdown by year in current prices is only indicative. The proposal for the inter-institutional agreement between the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission on cooperation in budgetary matters and on sound financial management (COM(2011)403 final of 29 June 2011) sets out, for the EGF, an overall maximum annual amount of 429 million EUR in 2011 prices.
Taking into account legislative changes already agreed, i.e. voluntary modulation for the UK and Article 136 "unspent amounts" will cease to apply by the end of 2013 .
The amounts relate to the proposed annual ceiling for the first pillar. However, it should also be noted that it is proposed to move negative expenditure from accounting clearance (currently under budget item 05070106 ) to assigned revenue (under item 6703 ). For details, see estimated revenue table on the page below.
The 2013 figures include the amounts for veterinary and phytosanitary measures as well as market measures for the fisheries sector.
The amounts in the table above are in line with those in the Commission communication "A Budget for Europe 2020" (COM(2011)500 of 29 June 2011) and the Commission's amended proposal for the MFF 2014-2020 (COM(2012)388 of 6 July 2012). However, it remains to be decided if the MFF will reflect the transfer that is proposed for the envelope of one Member
Estimated impact on expenditure
3.2.
3.2.1. Summary of estimated impact on expenditure
Table 2: Estimated revenue as well as expenditure for Policy Area 05 within Heading 2

| Budget year | 2013 (1) | 2013 adjusted <br> (1) | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 2 0} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 - Sugar production charge (own resources) | 123 | 123 | 125 | 125 |  |  |  |  |  | 250 |
| 6703 - Assigned revenue | 672 | 672 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 5187 |
| of which: ex 05070106 - Accounting clearance | 0 | 0 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 483 |
| Total | 795 | 795 | 866 | 866 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 741 | 5437 |
| EXPENDITURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0502 - Markets (2) | 3311 | 3311 | 2652 | 2671 | 2700 | 2729 | 2752 | 2740 | 2729 | 18974 |
| 0503 - Direct aids (before capping) (3) | 42170 | 42535 | 42970 | 43193 | 43428 | 43637 | 43641 | 43678 | 43715 | 304261 |
| 0503 - Direct aids (after capping) (3) (4) | 42170 | 42535 | 42970 | 43028 | 43256 | 43453 | 43455 | 43492 | 43530 | 303184 |
| 0504 - Rural development (before capping) | 14817 | 14451 | 14788 | 14788 | 14788 | 14788 | 14788 | 14788 | 14788 | 103516 |
| 0504 - Rural development (after capping) (4) | 14817 | 14451 | 14788 | 14952 | 14960 | 14973 | 14974 | 14974 | 14974 | 104594 |
| 05070106 - Accounting clearance | -69 | -69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 60229 | 60229 | 60410 | 60652 | 60916 | 61155 | 61181 | 61207 | 61232 | 426751 |
| NET BUDGET after assigned revenue |  |  | 59669 | 59911 | 60175 | 60414 | 60440 | 60466 | 60491 | 421564 |

$\frac{\text { (1) }}{}$ For comparison purposes, the 2013 figures are kept unchanged to the figures in the initial Commission proposals of 12 October 2011.
For 2013, preliminary estimate based on Draft Budget 2012 taking into account legal adjustments already agreed for 2013 (e.g. wine ceiling, abolition of potato starch premium, dried
fodder) as well as some foreseen developments. For all years, the estimates assume that there will be no additional financing need for support measures due to market disturbances or
The 2013 amount includes an estimate of wine grubbing-up 2012. The amounts for 2014-2020, shown in Table 2, do not include the special reserve for de-mining for Croatia, whereas the corresponding amounts, shown in Table 1 include the special reserve.
The product of capping was estimated based on assumptions as concerns the implementation of direct payments by Member States and will therefore be revised once they have notified their decisions on the implementation. Moreover, for Croatia, it is not possible to estimate the product of capping at this stage due to unavailability of data. A first rough estimate, based on preliminary information, showed that the product of capping would be null for Croatia. It will be revised once data are available.
Table 3: Calculation of the financial impact by budget chapter of the CAP reform proposals as regards revenue and CAP expenditure

| Budget year |  |  | In million EUR (current prices) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2013 (1) | $2013$ adjusted <br> (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { 2014-2020 } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  |  | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 |  |
| REVENUE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 123 - Sugar production charge (own resources) | 123 | 123 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6703 - Assigned revenue | 672 | 672 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 483 |
| of which: ex 05070106 - Accounting clearance | 0 | 0 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 483 |
| Total | 795 | 795 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 483 |
| EXPENDITURE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0502 - Markets (2) | 3311 | 3311 | -659 | -640 | -611 | -582 | -559 | -571 | -582 | -4 203 |
| 0503 - Direct aids (before capping) (3) | 42170 | 42535 | -460 | -492 | -534 | -577 | -617 | -617 | -617 | -3913 |
| 0503 - Direct aids - Estimated product of capping (4) to be transferred to rural development |  |  | 0 | -164 | -172 | -185 | -186 | -186 | -186 | -1 078 |
| 0504 - Rural development (before capping) (5) | 14817 | 14451 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 28 |
| 0504 - Rural development - Estimated product of capping (4) to be transferred from direct aids |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 0 | 164 | 172 | 185 | 186 | 186 | 186 | 1078 |
| 05070106 - Accounting clearance | -69 | -69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 69 | 483 |
| Total | 60229 | 60229 | -1 046 | -1 059 | -1 072 | -1 085 | -1 103 | -1 114 | -1 126 | -7605 |
| NET BUDGET after assigned revenue |  |  | -1 115 | -1 128 | -1 141 | -1 154 | -1 172 | -1 183 | -1 195 | -8 088 |

[^3]



 on preliminary information, showed that the product of capping would be null for Croatia. It will be revised once data are available.
 proposals (COM(2012)388) foresee an additional amount of 333 million EUR per year.
Table 4: Calculation of the financial impact of the CAP reform proposals as regards CAP market-related expenditure

| BUDGET YEAR |  | Legal base | Estimated needs | Changes to 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ (1) \end{gathered}$ | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | TOTAL 2014-2020 |
| Exceptional measures: streamlined and extended scope of legal base |  | Art. 154, 155, 156 | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm |
| Removal of intervention for durum wheat and sorghum |  | ex Art. 10 | pm | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Food programmes for most deprived | (2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ex-Art. } 27 \text { of Reg } \\ & 1234 / 2007 \end{aligned}$ | 500.0 | -500.0 | -500.0 | -500.0 | -500.0 | -500.0 | -500.0 | -500.0 | -3500.0 |
| Private storage (Flax fibre) |  | Art. 16 | N.A. | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | Pm |
| Aid for cotton - Restructuring | (3) | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { ex Art. } 5 \text { of Reg. } \\ 637 / 2008 \end{array}$ | 10.0 | -4.0 | -4.0 | -4.0 | -4.0 | -4.0 | -4.0 | -4.0 | -28.0 |
| Setting-up aid for F\&V producer groups |  | ex Art. 117 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -15.0 | -15.0 | -30.0 | -30.0 | -90.0 |
| School fruit scheme |  | Art. 21 | 90.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 60.0 | 420.0 |
| Abolition hops PO |  | ex Art. 111 | 2.3 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -2.3 | -15.9 |
| Optional private storage for skimmed-milk powder |  | Art. 16 | N.A. | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm | pm |
| Abolition aid for use of skimmed milk/SMP as feedingstuff/casein and use of casein |  | ex Art. 101, 102 | pm | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Optional private storage for butter | (4) | Art. 16 | 14.0 | [-1.0] | [-14.0] | [-14.0] | [-14.0] | [-14.0] | [-14.0] | [-14.0] | [-85.0] |
| Abolition milk promotional levy |  | ex Art. 309 | pm | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| TOTAL 0502 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net effect of reform proposals (5) (6) |  |  |  | -446.3 | -446.3 | -446.3 | -461.3 | -461.3 | -476.3 | -476.3 | -3213.9 |

(1) The 2013 needs are estimated based on the Commission's Draft Budget 2012, except for (a) the fruit \& vegetables sectors where the needs are based on the financial statement of the
The 2013 amount corresponds to the ceiling set by Regulation (EU) No 121/2012. As from 2014, the measure will be financed within Heading 1.
The envelope for the cotton restructuring programme for Greece ( 4 million EUR/year) will be transferred to rural development as from 2014. The envelope for Spain ( 6.1 million
EUR/year) will go to the Single Payment Scheme as from 2018 (already decided).
Estimated effect in case of non-application of the measure.
In addition to expenditure within chapters 0502 and 0503 , it is anticipated that direct expenditure within chapters 0501,0507 and 0508 will be financed by revenue that will be assigned
to the EAGF.
Table 4 shows the net effect of the reform proposals for affected market measures, whereas in table 3 the figures for " 0502 Markets" show the difference between the adjusted 2013 amount and the estimated amounts available for market-related expenditure during 2014-2020.
Table 5: Calculation of the financial impact of the CAP reform proposals as regards direct aids

| BUDGET YEAR | Legal base | Estimated needs |  | Changes to 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2013 (1) | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \text { adjusted } \end{gathered}$ (2) | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \text { 2014-2020 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Direct aids (3) |  | 42169.9 | 42535.4 | 434.2 | 493.0 | 720.1 | 917.2 | 919.7 | 957.0 | 994.3 | 5435.6 |
| - Changes already decided: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Phasing-in EU 12 |  |  |  | 875.0 | 1133.9 | 1392.8 | 1651.6 | 1651.6 | 1651.6 | 1651.6 | 10008.1 |
| Cotton restructuring |  |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 18.4 |
| Health Check |  |  |  | -64.3 | -64.3 | -64.3 | -90.0 | -90.0 | -90.0 | -90.0 | -552.8 |
| Previous reforms |  |  |  | -9.9 | -32.4 | -32.4 | -32.4 | -32.4 | -32.4 | -32.4 | -204.2 |
| - Phasing-in Croatia (3) |  |  |  | 93.3 | 111.9 | 130.6 | 149.2 | 186.5 | 223.8 | 261.1 | 1156.3 |
| - Changes due to new CAP reform proposals |  |  |  | -459.8 | -656.1 | -706.5 | -761.3 | -802.2 | -802.2 | -802.2 | -4990.3 |
| Of which: capping (4) |  |  |  | 0.0 | -164.1 | -172.1 | -184.7 | -185.6 | -185.6 | -185.6 | -1 077.7 |
| TOTAL 0503 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net effect of reform proposals |  |  |  | -459.8 | -656.1 | -706.5 | -761.3 | -802.2 | -802.2 | -802.2 | -4990.3 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE |  | 42169.9 | 42535.4 | 42969.7 | 43028.4 | 43255.6 | 43452.6 | 43455.2 | 43492.5 | 43529.8 | 303183.6 |

The 2013 amount includes an estimate of wine grubbing-up 2012.
Taking into account legislative changes already agreed, i.e. voluntary modulation for the UK and Article 136 "unspent amounts" will cease to apply by the end of 2013.
It does not include the special reserve for de-mining for Croatia.
The product of capping was estimated based on assumptions as concerns the implementation of direct payments by Member States and will therefore be revised once they have notified their decisions on the implementation. Moreover, for Croatia, it is not possible to estimate the product of capping at this stage due to unavailability of data. A first rough estimate, based on preliminary information, showed that the product of capping would be null for Croatia. It will be revised once data are available.
Table 6: Components of direct aids


[^4]Table 7: Calculation of the financial impact of the CAP reform proposals as regards transitional measures for granting direct aids in 2014
In million EUR (current prices)

| BUDGET YEAR | Legal base | Estimated needs |  | Changes to <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> $\mathbf{( 1 )}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ <br> adjusted | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> (2) |  |
| Annex IV to Council Regulation (EC) No 73/2009 |  | 40165.0 | 40530.5 | 541.9 |  |
| Phasing-in EU 10 |  |  |  | 616.1 |  |
| Health Check |  |  |  | -64.3 |  |
| Previous reforms |  |  |  | -9.9 |  |


| TOTAL 0503 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE |  | 40165.0 | 40530.5 | 41072.4 |

(2) The extended net ceilings include an estimate of the wine transfers to SPS based on the decisions taken by the Member States for 2013.
Table 8: Calculation of the financial impact of the CAP reform proposals as regards rural development

|  |  |  |  |  | In million EUR (current prices) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUDGET YEAR |  | Legal base | Rural development allocation |  | Changes to 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2013 | 2013 adjusted <br> (1) | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | $\begin{gathered} \text { TOTAL } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 4 - 2 0 2 0} \end{gathered}$ |
| Rural development programmes Aid for cotton - Restructuring | (2) |  | 14788.9 | 14423.4 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 28.0 |
| Product of capping of direct aids | (3) |  |  |  |  | 164.1 | 172.1 | 184.7 | 185.6 | 185.6 | 185.6 | 1077.7 |
| RD envelope excluding technical assistance | (4) |  |  |  | -9.3 | -9.3 | -9.3 | -9.3 | -9.3 | -9.3 | -9.3 | -65.2 |
| Technical assistance | (4) |  | 27.6 | 27.6 | 9.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 35.2 |
| Prize for local innovative co-operation projects | (5) |  | N.A. | N.A. | 0.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 30.0 |
| Additional RD amount (in line with COM(2012)388) |  |  | N.A. | N.A. | 333.0 | 333.0 | 333.0 | 333.0 | 333.0 | 333.0 | 333.0 | 2331.0 |


| TOTAL 0504 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net effect of reform proposals |  |  | 4.0 | 168.1 | 176.1 | 188.7 | 189.6 | 189.6 | 189.6 | 1105.7 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE (before capping) | 14816.6 | 14451.1 | 14788.1 | 14788.1 | 14788.1 | 14788.1 | 14788.1 | 14788.1 | 14788.1 | 103516.5 |
| TOTAL EXPENDITURE (after capping) | 14816.6 | 14451.1 | 14788.1 | 14952.2 | 14960.2 | 14972.8 | 14973.7 | 14973.7 | 14973.7 | 104594.2 |

[^5]
3.2.2. Estimated impact on operational appropriations
$\square$ The proposal/initiative does not require the use of operational appropriations
x The proposal/initiative requires the use of operational appropriations, as explained below:

| Indicate objectives and outputs <br> $\sqrt{\Omega}$ | Commitment appropriations in EUR million (to 3 decimal places) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2014 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2015 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2016 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2017 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2018 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2019 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & 2020 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | total |  |
|  | OUTPUTS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Type } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { output } \end{gathered}$ | Average cost of the output |  | Cost |  | Cost |  | Cost |  | Cost |  | Cost |  | Cost |  | Cost | Total number of outputs | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| total cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Note: For the specific objectives, the outputs are still to be determined (see section 1.4.2 above).

### 3.2.3. Estimated impact on appropriations of an administrative nature

### 3.2.3.1. Summary

- $\square$ The proposal/initiative does not require the use of administrative appropriations
- x The proposal/initiative requires the use of administrative appropriations, as explained below:

EUR million (to 3 decimal places)

|  | Year | Year | Year | Year | Year | Year | Year | TOTAL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ |  |


| HEADING 5 <br> of the multiannual <br> financial framework |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Human resources ${ }^{10}$ | 136.998 | 136.998 | 136.998 | 136.998 | 136.998 | 136.998 | 136.998 | $\mathbf{9 5 8 . 9 8 6}$ |
| Other administrative <br> expenditure | 9.704 | 9.704 | 9.704 | 9.704 | 9.704 | 9.704 | 9.704 | $\mathbf{6 7 . 9 2 8}$ |
| Subtotal HEADING 5 <br> of the multiannual <br> financial framework |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Outside HEADING 5 <br> of the multiannual <br> financial framework |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Human resources |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other expenditure <br> of an administrative <br> nature |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal <br> outside HEADING 5 <br> of the multiannual <br> financial framework |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| TOTAL | 146.702 | 146.702 | 146.702 | 146.702 | 146.702 | 146.702 | 146.702 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^6]3.2.3.2. Estimated requirements of human resources
$-\square$ The proposal/initiative does not require the use of human resources

- x The proposal/initiative requires the use of human resources, as explained below:

Note: It is estimated that the legislative proposals will have no impact on appropriations of an administrative nature, i.e. it is the intention that the legislative framework can be implemented with the present level of human resources and administrative expenditure. The figures for the period 20142020 are based on the situation for 2011. The impact of Croatia's accession has not yet been taken into account in the figures indicated below.

Estimate to be expressed in full amounts (or at most to one decimal place)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Year } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Year } \\ & \mathbf{2 0 1 5} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \mathbf{2 0 1 6} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \mathbf{2 0 1 7} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Year } \\ & \mathbf{2 0 1 8} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Year } \\ \mathbf{2 0 1 9} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Year } \\ & \mathbf{2 0 2 0} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Establishment plan posts (officials and temporary agents) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XX 010101 (Headquarters and Commission's Representation Offices) | 1034 | 1034 | 1034 | 1034 | 1034 | 1034 | 1034 |
| XX 010102 (Delegations) | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| XX 010501 (Indirect research) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10010501 (Direct research) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - External personnel (in Full Time Equivalent unit: FTE) ${ }^{11}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XX 010201 (CA, INT, SNE from the "global envelope") | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| XX 010202 (CA, INT, JED, LA and SNE in the delegations) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  XX 0104- at <br> Headquarte <br> rs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - in <br> delegations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| XX 010502 (CA, INT, SNE Indirect research) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10010502 \text { (CA, INT, SNE - Direct } \\ & \text { research) } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other budget lines (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL ${ }^{12}$ | 1115 | 1115 | 1115 | 1115 | 1115 | 1115 | 1115 |

[^7]$\mathbf{X X}$ is the policy area or budget title concerned.
The human resources required will be met by staff from the DG who are already assigned to management of the action and/or have been redeployed within the DG, together if necessary with any additional allocation which may be granted to the managing DG under the annual allocation procedure and in the light of budgetary constraints.

Description of tasks to be carried out:

| Officials and temporary agents |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| External personnel |  |

### 3.2.4. Compatibility with the current multiannual financial framework

- x Proposal/initiative is compatible with the PROPOSALS FOR THE 2014$\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ multiannual financial framework.
- $\square$ Proposal/initiative will entail reprogramming of the relevant heading in the multiannual financial framework.
- $\square$ Proposal/initiative requires application of the flexibility instrument or revision of the multiannual financial framework.


### 3.2.5. Third-party contributions

- The proposal/initiative does not provide for co-financing by third parties

X The proposal regarding rural development (EAFRD) provides for the co-financing estimated below:

| Appropriations in EUR million (to 3 decimal places) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | Year <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | Total |
| Specify the co-financing <br> body | MS | MS | MS | MS | MS | MS | MS | MS |
| TOTAL appropriations <br> cofinanced | To be <br> determin <br> ed | To be <br> determin <br> ed | To be <br> determin <br> ed | To be <br> determin <br> ed | To be <br> determin <br> ed | To be <br> determin <br> ed | To be <br> determin <br> ed | To be <br> determined |

### 3.3. Estimated impact on revenue

- x Proposal/initiative has no financial impact on revenue.
- $\square$ Proposal/initiative has the following financial impact:
x on own resources
x on miscellaneous revenue
EUR million (to 3 decimal places)


For miscellaneous assigned revenue, specify the budget expenditure line(s) affected.
See tables 2 and 3 in section 3.2.1.

[^8]
[^0]:    $1 \quad$ OJ L 112 of 24 April 2012.
    COM(2012)388 of 6 July 2012.

[^1]:    3 ABM: Activity-Based Management - ABB: Activity-Based Budgeting.
    4 As referred to in Article 49(6)(a) or (b) of the Financial Regulation.

[^2]:    6 Details of management modes and references to the Financial Regulation may be found on the BudgWeb site: http://www.cc.cec/budg/man/budgmanag/budgmanag en.html
    As referred to in Article 185 of the Financial Regulation.

[^3]:    $\frac{1}{(1)} \quad$ For comparison purposes, the 2013 figures are kept unchanged to the figures in the initial Commission proposals of 12 October 2011

[^4]:    Direct aids for the period 2014-2020 include an estimate of the wine transfers to SPS based on the decisions taken by the Member States for 2013. For Croatia, it was not possible to estimate as the wine national programme will not be implemented in 2013 and Croatia has not notified any transfer at this stage.

    The product of capping was estimated based on assumptions as concerns the implementation of direct payments by Member States and will therefore be revised once they have notified heir decisions on the implementation. Moreover, for Croatia, it is not possible to estimate the product of capping at this stage due to unavailability of data. A first rough estimate, based on preliminary information, showed that the product of capping would be null for Croatia. It will be revised once data are available.

[^5]:    (1) Adjustments in line with the existing legislation only applicable until the end of financial year 2013

    The amounts in table 1 (section 3.1) are in line with those in the Commission communication "A Budget for Europe 2020" (COM(2011)500 final) and the Commission's amended proposal for the MFF 2014-2020 (COM(2012)388 of 6 July 2012). However, it remains to be decided if the MFF will reflect the transfer that is proposed for the envelope of one Member State of the cotton national restructuring programme to rural development as from 2014, implying an adjustment ( 4 million EUR per year) of the amounts for respectively the EAGF sub-ceiling and for pillar 2. In table 8 above, the amounts have been transferred, irrespective of them being reflected in the MFF. The product of capping was estimated based on assumptions as concerns the implementation of direct payments by Member States and will therefore be revised once they have notified their decisions on the implementation. Moreover, for Croatia, it is not possible to estimate the product of capping at this stage due to unavailability of data. A first rough estimate, based on preliminary information, showed that the product of capping would be null for Croatia. It will be revised once data are available. The 2013 amount for technical assistance was fixed based on the initial rural development envelope (transfers from pillar 1 not included). Technical assistance for 2014-2020 is fixed at $0.25 \%$ of the total rural development envelope.

    Covered by the amount available for technical assistance.

[^6]:    10 Based on an average cost of 127000 EUR for establishment plan post of officials and temporary agents.

[^7]:    11 CA= Contract Agent; INT= agency staff ("Intérimaire"); JED= "Jeune Expert en Délégation" (Young Experts in Delegations); LA= Local Agent; SNE=Seconded National Expert; This does not include the sub-ceiling on budget line 05.010404.

[^8]:    13 This will be set out in the rural development programmes to be submitted by the Member States.
    14 As regards traditional own resources (customs duties, sugar levies), the amounts indicated must be net amounts, i.e. gross amounts after deduction of $25 \%$ for collection costs.

