

## COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION

Brussels, 26 November 2012

15698/12 COR 1 (en)

**JUR 560** 

| 4 | CC | 'nD | D | T  | $\cap$ | $\Gamma \mathbf{N}$ | JT | T  | T | M  | 7 | <b>~</b> | 1   | 1 |     | JI | $\Gamma'$ | 1 | D | N   | 1  | ٨             | Т | T | O |    | J | N | n | т   | T | 7  |
|---|----|-----|---|----|--------|---------------------|----|----|---|----|---|----------|-----|---|-----|----|-----------|---|---|-----|----|---------------|---|---|---|----|---|---|---|-----|---|----|
| V |    | JΚ  | ĸ | 11 |        | יו עים              | ٧I | ,, | " | vi |   | ı        | . , |   | יוו | ٧I | η,        |   | м | ∙n/ | ИΙ | $\mathcal{A}$ | · |   | • | ЛΝ | 4 |   | • | , , | · | ٩, |

Subject: Case law of the Court of Justice of the EU in cases involving the Council (1 January to 30 June 2012)

On page 17, paragraph D(1) and the beginning of the first sentence of paragraph D(2) should read as follows:

- "1. Seven applications for interim **measures** were heard during the first half of 2012.
- 2. In the first of these applications for interim **measures** (see no 7 on the list), ..... "

On page 20, the first paragraph should read:

"In support of their objection of illegality, the applicants argued in essence that, by limiting the concept of "acts" in Article 9(3) of the Aarhus Convention<sup>1</sup> to "administrative acts", which are defined in Article 2(1)(g) of Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006 moreover as "measures of individual scope", Article 10(1) of the same Regulation contravenes that provision of the Aarhus Convention. Interpreting Article 9(3) of the Aarhus Convention as **not** referring exclusively to measures of individual scope, the General Court upheld this objection of illegality and accordingly declared the contested provision of Regulation (EC) No 1367/2006 to be inapplicable<sup>2</sup>."