

Brussels, 6.6.2016 SWD(2016) 155 final

# COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Accompanying the document

Report from the Commission to the European Parliament and the Council

Implementing EU food and nutrition security policy commitments: second biennial report
- Annexes -

{COM(2016) 244 final}

EN EN

# **Table of contents**

1. ADDITIONAL FIGURES AND EXAMPLES OF INTERVENTION, BY PRIORITY	4
1.1 Part of food and nutrition security in EU official development assistance (ODA)	4
1.2 Progress against performance criteria	5
1.3 Examples of interventions per priority	5
1.4 EU and Member States' support to partner countries	9
2. CASE STUDIES	12
2.1 The Sub-Saharan Africa Challenge Programme and an example of Integrated Agricultural Research for Development (IAR4D)	12
2.2 Joint programming in the nutrition sector in Ethiopia	14
2.3 Sustainable Cocoa Business and Cocoa-Food Link Programme	15
2.4 Sustainable Trade Initiative	16
3. METHODOLOGY FOR NATIONAL REPORT AND PROGRAMMES SPREADSHEET	17

# Acronyms

AGIR	Alliance Globale pour l'Initiative Résilience
BMZ	German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development
CGIAR	formerly Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research
EIARD	European Initiative for Agricultural Research for Development
EU	European Union
FAO	Food and Agriculture Organisation
FARA	Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa
FBS	Farmers' Business School
GIZ	Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit
IDH	Initiatief Duurzame Handel - Sustainable Trade Initiative
IAR4D	Integrated Agricultural Research for Development
IATI	International Aid Transparency Initiative
MoreMilkiT	More Milk in Tanzania
MS	Member States
ODA	Official Development Assistance
OECD/DAC	Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development / Development
OECD/DAC	Assistance Committee
SCB	Sustainable Cocoa Business Project
SSAB	Sustainable Smallholder Agri-Business Cocoa-Food Link Programme
SSA-CP	Sub-Saharan Africa Challenge Programme
SWD	Staff Working Document

In 2010, the European Union (EU) and its Member States adopted an EU-wide policy framework for food security (COM(2010) 127). Further EU development policy commitments placing additional emphasis on these food security priorities have since been adopted. At the request of the Council, a plan for implementing the food and nutrition security commitments was produced in 2013 (SWD(2013) 104 final). In its conclusions on food and nutrition security in external assistance of 28 May 2013, the Council invited the Commission and the Member States to produce a consolidated biennial progress report and to publish the first such report in 2014. The first report was adopted in December 2014 (COM(2014) 712).

This staff working document (SWD) accompanies the second of these reports, which was coordinated by the Commission and based on data provided by Austria, Belgium, Finland, France, Germany, Ireland, Italy, the Netherlands, Spain, the United Kingdom and the Commission. This SWD provides additional information and case studies supporting the analysis and findings of the first biennial report on 'Implementing EU food and nutrition policy commitments'.

# 1. ADDITIONAL FIGURES AND EXAMPLES OF INTERVENTION, BY PRIORITY

# 1.1 Part of food and nutrition security in EU official development assistance (ODA)

The countries marked in blue reported for the second implementation plan report

	Total ODA in	EUR all sectors	Food security	y ODA in EUR
Donor(s)	2012	2014	2012	2014
Austria	422 712 827	483 356 485	16 651 992	12 879 289
Belgium	1 149 080 008	1 031 111 304	158 786 599	143 387 169
Czech Republic	51 686 641	47 162 147		
Denmark	1 588 122 006	1 712 999 254		
Finland	623 529 283	706 683 922	53 087 053	63 732 037
France	7 319 150 079	6 262 409 486	362 425 577	388 151 346
Germany	7 946 628 741	10 830 852 982	613 220 731	760 657 517
Greece	83 490 552	34 743 709		
Ireland	417 144 747	394 478 442	94 009 945	89 501 101
Italy	558 353 060	1 099 340 258	90 680 023	107 287 557
Luxembourg	217 449 034	229 534 749		
Netherlands	3 083 975 538	3 150 544 328	317 625 913	317 300 731
Poland	0	78 047 081		
Portugal	338 529 992	219 036 957		
Slovak Republic	0	12 334 949		
Slovenia	14 861 684	15 234 123		
Spain	833 525 053	531 757 577		55 672 264
Sweden	2 853 599 398	3 330 455 847		
United Kingdom	6 881 796 463	8 922 307 722	656 156 719	595 964 413
Estonia		11 307 006		
Hungary		22 684 746		
Lithuania		4 856 241		
Romania		52 641 818		
EU Institutions	14 083 076 263	13 908 569 962	821 742 924	1 015 118 912
MS non-allocated			181 398 586	109 035 374
Total EU ODA	48 466 711 370	53 092 451 093		
Total ODA MS reporting	43 318 972 063	47 321 412 468	3 365 786 061	3 658 687 711

	2012	2014
Percentage of food and nutrition security in EU		
total ODA	7.8 %	7.7 %
Percentage of reporting Member States in EU		
total ODA	89.4 %	89.1 %

# 1.2 Progress against performance criteria

Table 2 shows disbursements and number of programmes which received funding per policy priority.

Performance criteria		ber of ammes	Sup <sub>j</sub> receive	-	Numb count	
Year	2012	2014	2012	2014	2012	2014
1. Improve smallholders' resilience and livelihoods	1 560	1 822	2 022 60 %	2 137 58 %	108	103
of which research programmes	149	154	379	300		
2. Support effective governance	410	588	395 12 %	535 15 %	84	92
3. Support regional agriculture and food and nutrition security	98	188	151 4 %	191 5 %		
4. Strengthen social protection mechanisms for food and nutrition security	94	102	209 6 %	133 4 %	40	40
5. Enhance nutrition	278	341	467 14 %	504 14 %	63	64
6. Enhance coordination of humanitarian and development actors to increase resilience	63	148	122 4 %	159 4 %	18	37
Total	2 503	3 343	3 366	3 659		

# 1.3 Examples of interventions per priority

# Priority 1: Improve smallholders' resilience and livelihoods

- Netherlands: Sustainable Agriculture, Food Security and Linkages in Bangladesh
- **Germany:** Programme on soil protections and rehabilitation; Green Innovation Centres for the Agriculture and Food Sector
- Ireland: More Milk in Tanzania (MoreMilkiT) project dairy value chain development.
- Spain: Edible oil value chain enhancement in Ethiopia
- Finland: International Fund for Agricultural Development Adaptation for Smallholder Agriculture Programme
- Austria: Promotion of small-scale agricultural production in Mozambique
- EU: Cassava value chain for pro-poor development in Liberia
- UK, Sweden, Netherlands, Denmark: African Enterprise Challenge Fund market access for poor producers through private sector entrepreneurs.
- Belgium: Programme de Desenclavement dans le Kwilu et Kwango [Programme to open up the Kwilu and Kwango regions] Democratic Republic of Congo
- EU donors: support for Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) agriculture, food and nutrition security research for development
- France: support for Cirad research agricultural research for development
- Italy: Regional network to support coffee small producers 'café' y café'

# **Priority 2: Support effective governance**

- Netherlands: Support to producer organisations
- Austria: Improving smallholder farming through agricultural cooperatives and value chain development in Armenia
- EU: PIP programme support compliance with European Sanitary/Phyto-Sanitary regulations
- **Belgium:** Joint Support Unit management in the Ministry of Rural Development in Democratic Republic of the Congo
- **Germany:** Decentralisation and Good Governance Support Programme in Rwanda.
- Finland: Sustainable Management of Land and Environment in Tanzania
- France: Support to the water policy in Cambodia
- UK: Digital Green ICT-enabled improvements in food security and health in India

• Italy: Rural development support programme in Mozambique

# Priority 3: Support regional agriculture and Food and Nutrition Security policies

- Netherlands: Marketing inputs regionally plus programme in West Africa.
- UK, Netherlands, Belgium, Finland and Sweden: Trademark East Africa support to East African regional and international trade.
- Germany and EU: Cocoa-Food Link Programme West and Central Africa
- EU: Improving food security through intra-regional fish trade in sub-Saharan Africa
- Finland and EU: Regional programme on food and nutrition security in Central America
- Spain: Support to Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) for regional sanitary and phyto-sanitary actions in Latin America.
- Italy: Agro-food value chains in El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras and Nicaragua

# Priority 4: Improve smallholders resilience and livelihoods

- EU, UK, Netherlands, Ireland: Productive Safety Net Programme in Ethiopia.
- **Germany:** Burundi Community Resilience-Building and Disaster Risk Reduction Project Food Assistance for Assets activities using cash and vouchers transfers.
- Austria: Contribution to PEGASE for vulnerable Palestinian families
- Ireland: Social protection grant in Uganda
- Netherlands: Sustainable trade initiative in Ethiopia
- Spain: Nicaragua Inclusive Rural Support Common Fund
- Finland: FAO Sustainable Aquaculture and Fisheries Development in the Kyrgyz Republic
- Belgium: Five-year plans for growth and jobs in Bas-Congo and Katanga, Democratic Republic of Congo
- Italy: Economic and social development in Dakar and Kaolak regions, Senegal

# **Priority 5: Enhance nutrition**

- Germany: Programme on food and nutrition security, enhanced resilience
- UK: Suchana-Ending the cycle of undernutrition in Bangladesh
- **Spain:** *Improving child nutrition and food security in Mali.*
- France: Nutrition facility in Central African Republic
- Ireland: Reduce child & maternal undernutrition in Sierra Leone
- Finland: Rural water supply and sanitation project Western Nepal
- EU: World Food Programme's nutrition programme in Afghanistan.
- Italy: Improving household food security and nutrition in women and youth, Egypt
- Belgium: Programme to combat food insecurity and malnutrition

### Priority 6: Enhance coordination of humanitarian and development actors to increase resilience

- EU France and Spain: support to regional food reserves system (under AGIR initiative, Sahel)
- UK: South Sudan Food Security and Livelihoods
- Germany: Somalia Protected Relief and Recovery Programme
- Austria: Community Resilience and Development Programme in Palestine
- France: Food aid for Chad with FAO
- Spain: Support to Save The Children humanitarian assistance in Niger
- Netherlands, Austria, EU: Resilience building in Ethiopia.
- Italy: Resilience to drought and food security in Ethiopia.

Policy priority 1 has been the major focus of EU donors. It includes measures to support smallholders and family farmers in sustainable intensification, improve land management and the provision of services, promote pro-poor research and innovation, provide opportunities for off-farm employment and to link smallholders and family farmers to market by developing the value chain. The EU and its Member States remained a key supporter of research and innovation, including to the Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) Fund (EUR 169 million), and is an important bilateral donor (EUR 69 million) to CGIAR institutions. This funding supported an ambitious portfolio of new CGIAR research programmes, geared towards tackling future key development challenges. The European Initiative for Agricultural Research and Development (EIARD) remains an effective platform for donor coordination and provides Europe with a strong voice in the CGIAR reform.

With 1 882 programmes under this priority, all EU donors have substantial portfolios of agrifood value chain work. For example:

- Ireland supports oilseed, cocoa and dairy in Tanzania;
- Spain supports the edible oil value chain in Ethiopia;
- France supports domestic value chains, rice in Senegal, Mali, Niger, Guinea and Cambodia;
- the EU supports the cassava value chain in Liberia; and
- several EU donors support the Africa Enterprise Challenge Fund.
- In Ethiopia, Italy is helping small farmers integrate into formal markets.

Under **policy priority 2**, the EU and Member States have been very active in negotiating international agreements and commitments and in supporting regional and national level policies. Further, the EU and various Member States have continued to support local governance and decentralisation processes, which are key to rural transformation. They also supported the implementation of the Voluntary Guidelines on the Responsible of Tenure of Land, Fisheries and Forests. Member States, in particular France, succeeded in getting food security and food systems recognised in the Paris Agreement as key issues in responding to climate change. At regional level, EU and Member States continue to substantially support the Comprehensive Africa Agriculture Development Programme process, which is also an important aspect of Germany's 'One World — No Hunger' initiative and the EU's increased investment in African countries.

The EU and Member States, in particular Finland, have continued to ensure policy coherence for development in the food and nutrition security area. The 2015 EU report on policy coherence for development<sup>1</sup> scrutinises the EU and Member States' agricultural and fisheries policy initiatives to identify their impact on developing countries and summarises the steady progress made since 2013. In 2015, the coherence of EU internal policies and programmes with EU food and nutrition security objectives was discussed between EU services, civil society, Member States and the European Parliament. A further governance initiative was the EU Joint Research Centre's foresight study on 'Global Food Security 2030',<sup>2</sup> which highlighted the importance of pursuing a food system approach and better aligning policies in different sectors within and outside Europe.

**Policy priority 3** saw continued support for regional programmes addressing trade, sanitary and phyto-sanitary measures. Regional cooperation for food security and fisheries governance also continued, with a slight increase in funding and a near doubling of the number of programmes. Examples of this support are:

- the EU and Member States support the Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) in West Africa with the Netherlands leading the Marketing Inputs Regionally Plus programme;
- the United Kingdom, the Netherlands, Belgium, Finland and Sweden support Trademark East Africa;
- the EU and France support the reinforcement of African veterinary and fisheries governance:
- Finland and the EU support the second phase of the Regional Programme on food and nutrition security in Central America; and
- Spain supports the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations' work on sanitary and phyto-sanitary measures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> SWD (2015) 159.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Albino Maggio, Tine Van Criekinge, Jean Paul Malingreau 2015 *JRC Foresight Series study 'Global Food Security 2030* — Assessing trends with a view to guiding future EU policies.

Policy priority 4 saw a drop in disbursements from EUR 209 million to EUR 133 million. However, 102 social protection programmes, 8 more than in the last report, are still being implemented across 40 countries. For example, the EU, the United Kingdom, the Netherlands and Ireland have continued to support the well-performing Productive Safety Net Programme in Ethiopia. In Burundi, Germany supported the Community Resilience-Building and Disaster Risk Reduction Project, and in Uganda Ireland supported social protection grants. Austria also provided support to vulnerable families in Palestine. Spain was active in Nicaragua with the Inclusive Rural Support Common Fund, while Belgium supported five-year plans for growth and jobs in the Democratic Republic of the Congo.

Under **policy priority 5** all EU donors have substantial portfolios, with 341 programmes implemented in 64 countries. Progress under this priority area is covered in Section 5.

**Policy priority 6** has seen a far greater focus on programmes to promote resilience being implemented in the Sahel and Horn of Africa, thereby facilitating countries' and regional organisations' resilience agendas. In West Africa, within the context of the Global Alliance for Resilience Initiative (AGIR) and of the Club du Sahel, the EU, Spain, France, Belgium, Austria, Luxemburg and the United Kingdom are all strongly involved in addressing the underlying causes of food crises. Their work has a long term perspective that links relief and rehabilitation to development. Progress towards enhancing the resilience agenda to food crises featured prominently during the AGIR meeting in Milan in October 2015. Now nearly all AGIR countries have launched an inclusive dialogue process to identify national priorities for resilience. Moreover, at its 42<sup>nd</sup> session, the Committee on World Food Security endorsed a 'Framework for Action for Food Security and Nutrition in Protracted Crises

# 1.4 EU and Member States' support to partner countries

Country	· Austria	• Belgium	÷ EU	· Finland ·	• France	· Germany ·	reland ray		· Netherlands · Spain		, uk	Sum of Amount 2014	Number of don •	Average per donor
Ethiopia	1,385,804	4 591,320	51,049,745	5,522,735	466,000	10,001,717	18,998,610	10,773,174	37,511,800	3,960,000	12,765,627	153,026,533	11	13,911,508
Bangladesh			15,176,635			6,612,560			11,213,073		65,996,732		5	19,879,840
Afghanistan			36,248,513	3	7,453,855	27,636,518	344,175	4,266,825	9,609,500		11,269,918	96,829,303	7	13,832,758
Morocco		4,021,475	30,600,000		53,936,300	5,030,915	evec.	1,811,233		299,205	846,277	96,545,405	7	13,792,201
Rwanda		6,769,528	History	1		1		0)	28,922,157	256,054			7	12,769,515
Kenya				6,999,843			495,081	1,220,470	6,657,535	86	12,000,667	80,356,926	8	10,044,616
Mali		8,817,899	31,939,531		5,179,348	22,284,066	S. PORCE	2040	5,763,824	2,819,520			7	11,054,817
Ngeria	102,195	2	20,755,509	14	19,401,600			36			18,681,175	69,440,475	5	13,888,095
Uganda	113,790	0 2,442,802	25,569,867	201,800		6,303,146	4,809,004	2,226,962	13,758,111	2560	12,151,833	57,577,315	9	7,508,591
Benin		6,989,225	15,053,873	44	3,293,626	26,279,353			13,945,704	-00		65,561,782	5	13,112,356
Malawi		3,729,631	1 26,215,914	193,228		6,355,603	11,685,205			-86	14,767,487	62,947,068	6	10,491,178
Nger		5,686,424	990'969'SE t	(e)	3,352,007	7,535,428	20,00	1,843,560		5,478,750		59,592,235	9	9,932,039
Tanzania		4,079,446	6,595,333	5,130,029	424,490	1,450,216	7,107,759	417,135		172,000	32,129,112	57,505,520	6	6,389,502
India			3,223,295	143,667	278,997	14,678,943	252,483			462,000	32,870,772	751,016,157	7	7,415,737
Congo, Dem. Rep.	104,225	5 29,510,078		147,250		17,362,270	1,595,904	343,791		269,696	2,232,340	51,865,557	8	6,483,195
South Sudan			27,606,579	176,027		12,782,898	313,989		1,759,592		7,720,780	50,359,864	9	8,393,311
Yemen			3,703,343	**		39,327,728					6,888,257	49,919,328	3	16,639,776
West Bank & Gaza Strip			5,054,551		1,203,803	487,343	2000	2,750,071	27,947,464	1,545,488	8,989,033	47,977,752	7	6,853,965
Mozambique	2,016,053	3 3,503,122	2,313,519	3,151,810	805,252	5,371,660	4,095,000	10,104,406	10,245,843	1,863,457	3,685,285	47,155,407	11	4,286,855
Burkina Fæo	652,437	7 3,842,480	23,432,279		5,416,035	11,082,155		1,638,016		124,371	111,463	46,299,236	8	5,787,405
Tunisia			13,647,565	**	14,288,506		200	10,220,170				42,606,846	4	10,651,711
Zambia			7,253,932	5,127,846		5,080,369	5,002,995				15,426,741	37,891,883	5	7,578,377
Burundi		7,913,117	10,530,278	1		10,549,942	000'089		8,183,749		OUTDOOR DESCRIPTION OF	37,807,086	5	7,561,417
Cambodia		241,550	7,088,215	450,000	22,124,891	7,892,288			Company to the Company of the Compan			37,796,945	5	7,559,389
Cameroon			12,873,579		088'806'6	13,765,303	200					36,547,762	3	12,182,587
Bolivia		6,334,374	-000-		371,000	12,152,782	2000	3,221,712		2,433,480		33,428,878	. 6	5,571,480
Somalia			16,296,463	419,988		12,893,645	511,250	1,072,105		RS 13356 8	576,239	31,769,691	9	5,294,948
Vietnam	201001000	600,000	115,196	5 224,108	16,818,398	5,996,213	5,745,000	319,249	The state of the s	AT AT AT A TANK A T	1,635,082	31,453,246	8	3,931,656
Senegal	448,060	0 4,619,929	5,358,874	196,149	11,542,064	1,125,282	2000	1,076,216	400,000	4,881,153	0	29,647,726	6	3,294,192
Chad	3	0) 00	22,978,187	7	4,878,230	1,007,532	270,000	100 10000	30	(de 1938) S		29,133,950	4	7,283,487
Coted'hoire			21,389,208		239,811	6,541,109						28,170,123	3	9,390,041
Madagascar		314,096			7,294,832					32		27,362,335	4	6,840,584
Zimbabwe			11,162,123	1	801,000	4,651,332	821,770				9,677,738	27,113,963	5	5,422,793
Jamaica			25,554,322									25,554,322	1	25,554,322
Ghana			3,667,863	p.r.	6,107,884	8,691,455			6,534,420		312,239	25,313,860	5	5,062,772
Myarmar			3,991,732	-	201,243	2,078,271		1,433,846			17,191,300	24,896,392	5	4,979,278
Sudan	100,000	0	17,814,274	1 325,697			392,422	2,600,812			582,889	21,816,093	9	3,636,016
Egypt			10,364,602	284,137	4,000,000	4,057,865	er er	1,218,480			402,641	20,327,725	9	3,387,954
Namibia			4,443,995	181,686		14,970,962	23000	30		36		19,596,643	3	6,532,214
Lebanon		14	(set				26-	6,720,772		20-	T.	19,055,957	co.	6,351,986
Laos		161,533		295,130	2	1		3		55		18,377,746	5	3,675,549
Georgia	1,337,700	0	11,960,898	-	164,966		3550	35-23		2502			4	4,131,223
Pakistan			10,395,120		370,000	2,503,699		1,608,667			1,638,308	16,515,794	5	3,303,159

Hati	20	1,137,768	6,663,053	114,000	4,605,692	1,968,132	881,019			450,000	2	15,819,664	7 2,2	2,259,952
Ecuador	95.5	4,485,335	1,813,681			6,675,220		183,406		2,620,600	9,58	15,778,242	5 3,1	3,155,648
Theiland	3/	A-C	696,644		2,015,000	4.0	100	119,000		- 33	11,950,564	14,781,208	4 3,6	3,695,302
Peru	- 30	4,473,955	811,087		592,000	7,467,503	4.0	458,848		921,564		14,724,956	6 2,4	2,454,159
Korea, Dem. Rep.	- 00	A-1	14,075,449	100,214	21	100	116,000	324,916			-3	14,616,579	4 3,6	3,654,145
Mauritania	88	83	7,182,947	167,952	255,614	2,729,079	-	409,852	•	3,737,677	-8	14,483,122	6 2,4	2,413,854
Brazil	8	782,496	1,392,909		3,340,435	7,573,734	-0	417,970			446,468	13,954,012	6 2,3	2,325,669
China	- 8	3	870,326			10,694,424	- 2		-	9	2,088,067	13,652,818	3 4,5	4,550,939
Tajikistan	300,000		862,063		- 6	3,585,186		- %			8,832,062	13,579,311	4 3,3	3,394,828
Indonesia	(0	1,205,872			878,000	6,511,057			4,963,235		0	13,558,164	4 3,3	3,389,541
Nepai	257,246	187,428	1,951,782	2,986,426		2,933,697					4,267,683	12,584,262	6 2,0	2,097,377
Guinea	0	698,714	1,944,359		8,185,679			1,153,614				11,982,366	4 2,9	2,995,591
Kyrgyz Republic	- 6	80	2,286,607	200,000		9,027,316					3 3	11,813,923	3,9	3,937,974
Guatemala	244,639	1,653,029	3,513,902			3,707,700	325,922	231,080		2,016,641	× 3	11,692,913	7 1,6	1,670,416
Timor East	20 SO	8	9,187,639			1,146,228				2	8-8	10,333,866	2 5,1	5,166,933
Philippines	147,575	1,464,331	910,090		650,000	5,705,367	730,000	153,970				9,761,333	7 1,3	1,394,476
Belize	3		9,557,458							S-73	2 3	9,557,458	1 9,5	9,557,458
Sierra Leone	S 3		3,701,796	135,666			3,754,682				1,736,392	9,328,536	4 2,3	2,332,134
Central African Rep.	85 - 33	8	3,756,642		1,620,245	3,500,000			380,000		200	9,256,887	4 2,3	2,314,222
Togo	8 3	206,900	1,558,042		1,139,000	5,054,479	V 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.000	85		2	7,958,421	4 1,9	1,989,605
Honduras	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	332,375	484,929		0.00	3,433,690	523,425	252,550		2,331,250	80	7,358,219	6 1,2	1,226,370
Nicaragua	464,791	952,370	3,557,186	452,712	110,000	8	180,962	108,192		1,298,898	8	7,125,112	8 8	890,639
Swaziland	3		7,041,176	8	3		0				6 1	7,041,176	1 7,0	7,041,176
Cuba	6 7	133,402	2,801,333			The state of the s		1,701,926		2,031,970	6	6,668,631	4 1,6	1,667,158
Colombia	6		1,373,487	263,500	577,000	3,771,075			518,412	220	0	6,503,474	5 1,3	1,300,695
Algeria	8		3,211,655				00 m			2,767,003	497,343	6,476,000	10000 1	2,158,667
Dominican Republic			3,793,400		1,361,749	709,898		104,035		200,000	8	6,169,082		1,233,816
Mongolia	8		746,000			4,644,240					8	5,390,240	2 2,6	2,695,120
Papua New Guinea	8		5,089,821									5,089,821	1 5,0	5,089,821
El Salvador	323,610	757,793	751,689		179,208	733,565	50 57	568,852		1,520,404	Q=	4,835,115	7 6	690,731
Gabon	8	200	219,969		4,538,831		40 41				Q=	4,758,800	2 2,3	2,379,400
Fiji	900		4,351,540					2462		3000	2005	4,351,540	1 4,3	4,351,540
South Africa	- 00	1,855,541	454,237	1,111,708	620,849	9,5	4,0		202,370		00	4,244,704	5 8	848,941
Mexico	000	7.0	2,200,000		381,000	1,234,647	100			191,018	0.00	4,006,666	4 1,0	1,001,666
Angola	9,	**	2,926,053	408,597	4	V.	100	477,208		30	- 0	3,811,858	3 1,2	1,270,619
Ukraine	33	8	1,676,004			2,017,843	-0			-0	-8	3,693,847	2 1,8	1,846,923
Azerbaijan	88	-8	356,597			3,112,818	- 0		-			3,469,415	2 1,7	1,734,708
Syria	283	8					0	3,249,860	-			3,249,860	38	3,249,860
Liberia	6		2,690,938		- 5		351,598				- 6	3,042,536	2 1,5	1,521,268
Guinea-Bissau	8	307,507	2,331,650		3			331,751		- 3	8	2,970,909		990,303

Guinea-Bissau		307,507	2,331,650	3		331,751			2,970,909	m	990,303
Bhutan		3	2,750,000	00		0 0	9		2,750,000	1	2,750,000
Belarus			2,696,165	000					2,696,165	1	2,696,165
Armenia	1,349,687		127,205	550,326	496,318		0.		2,523,536	4	630,884
Eritrea			2,396,895	8					2,396,895	1	2,396,895
Gambia		116,353	53					2,139,078	2,255,431	2	1,127,715
Iraq				100,000		2,049,570		772	2,149,570	2	1,074,785
Jordan			490,333	33	1,619,660	35	35		2,109,998	2	1,054,997
Costa Rica			218,746	1,576,000	107,846	33	35		1,902,592	0	634,197
Dominica			1,824,122			3	36		1,824,122	1	1,824,122
Guyana			890,953	355		333	300	908,762	1,799,715	2	899,858
Congo, Rep.		- 10	1,157,965	434,000		126,515		-60	1,718,480	m	572,827
SriLanka					802,621	902,023		-00	1,704,644	2	852,322
Lesotho			- 80	- 80	1,320,833	- 50			1,320,833	1	1,320,833
Uzbekistan			-80		1,159,424				1,159,424	1	1,159,424
Kazakhstan		110		- 83	958,000			- 110	000'856	1	958,000
Mauritius			911,003	- 8		8	- 8	191	911,003	1	911,003
Paraguay			119,386	0)		0	704,435		823,821	2	411,910
Sao Tome & Principe			508,027	118,208			0)	300	626,230	2	313,115
Vanuatu			9	435,000				- 8	435,000	1	435,000
Comoros			244,715	0.00				- 7.9	244,715	1	244,715
Iran			0.000	2 3		160,000			160,000	1	160,000
Venezuela			138,861					- 10	138,861	1	138,861
St. Lucia			127,400	2 33					127,400	1	127,400
Cape Verde			123,401						123,401	1	123,401
Argentina			200	117,000					117,000	1	117,000
Botswana			5 1	113,000				0	113,000	1	113,000

### 2. CASE STUDIES

# **2.1** The Sub-Saharan Africa Challenge Programme and an example of Integrated Agricultural Research for Development (source for Textbox 1, page 5 of the Report)

The Sub-Saharan Africa Challenge Programme (SSA-CP) led by the Forum for Agricultural Research in Africa (FARA) and supported by the EU and several Member States proved that Integrated Agricultural Research for Development (IAR4D) is a good and effective approach to agricultural research and responds to farmers' needs. Individuals participating in IAR4D innovation platforms attained an average income of EUR 99 per year, 231% above the baseline figure of EUR 44 per year. Women participants substantially increased their income and tens of thousands of farm households benefited from the programme, even beyond the IAR4D pilot areas. Specific impacts include a 120% increase in soybean yields among participating farmers in northern Nigeria and an 80% increase in the income of banana farmers in Uganda and the Democratic Republic of Congo.

In spite of considerable investment in research and extension, growth in the yields of agricultural crops in Africa has lagged behind other parts of the developing world. A possible reason for this is that research systems have typically been top-down and have not been directed at farmers' needs. With the population of Africa set to double by 2050, there is an urgent need to improve the performance of crop and livestock production to meet growing food and nutrition security needs.

SSA-CP applied an approach based on IAR4D to research and innovation, working through 36 innovation platforms in three pilot learning sites in western, central and southern Africa. Innovation platforms bring together farmers, researchers, the private sector and governmental and non-governmental actors to diagnose problems and opportunities and to generate innovation on targeted value chains. SSA-CP was one of the challenge programmes of the Consultative Group for International Agricultural Research Fund, but was unusual in being led by the FARA (which is not a CGIAR institution). FARA implemented the programme with CGIAR research centres, sub-regional research organisations and a wide variety of governmental and non-governmental partners, including those from the private sector.

SSA-CP ran for 10 years from 2004 to 2014. It was entirely funded by European donors, with the European Commission being the largest contributor, committing more than EUR 13 million. The United Kingdom/Department for International Development, Italy, the Netherlands and Denmark also provided support.

SSA-CP has completed a proof of concept that demonstrates that IAR4D works and is more effective in generating benefits than conventional research and development. Highlights of the analysis show that individuals participating in the innovation platforms attained an average income of USD 1 362 per year, i.e. 231 % above the baseline figure of USD 588 per year. Gender-disaggregated data showed that women increased their income by an average of 326 %, and tens of thousands of farm households benefited from the programme, even beyond the IAR4D pilot areas.

Examples of where the programme had a particular impact include a 120 % increase in soybean yields among participating farmers in northern Nigeria, leveraging of USD 6 million for agricultural production in Niger, and 80 % increase in the income of banana farmers in Uganda and the Democratic Republic of Congo linked to development of nutritious non-alcoholic drinks.

The sustainability of the IAR4D approach depends on it being adopted by African governments and by the scientific community. Some African countries (Gambia, Liberia, Mozambique, Nigeria and Sierra Leone) have incorporated IAR4D into their extension approach. The SSA-CP approach has also been tested in the systems programmes of the CGIAR, including the Drylands, Humid Tropics and Aquatic Agricultural Systems programmes.



'Potatoes provide more income in the family and I can send the children to school. I produce chips which I can sell. Researchers should help us by producing more high-yielding seed, which is also resistant to diseases and that would improve our yields.'

**Semahame Mwamini**, Vice-president of the Muungano IP Integrated Agricultural Research for Development

# **2.2 Joint programming in the nutrition sector in Ethiopia** (source for Textbox 2, page 6 of the Report)

Early in 2013, the European Union and the 20 Member States represented in Ethiopia plus Norway (EU+) endorsed the EU+ Joint Cooperation Strategy for Ethiopia. The aim of this strategy was to ensure a coherent and cohesive response to Ethiopia's development challenges, to improve alignment, promote harmonisation, a results-based approach, predictability and transparency, while avoiding overlapping or fragmented interventions.

In 2014, the EU group identified three pilot joint programming areas: i) health; ii) nutrition; and iii) the green sectors. For nutrition, a core group of six Member States (the Netherlands, Germany, Ireland, Italy, Spain and the United Kingdom) and the Commission was established to take the joint programme forward on behalf of the broader membership of 14 Member States. The process was facilitated by the EU.

The group established a road map, finalised a mapping exercise, commissioned and completed a 'Situation Analysis of the Nutrition Sector in Ethiopia 2000-2015' and developed the EU+ nutrition strategy. The strategy is based on Ethiopia's strategic priorities highlighted in the Second National Nutrition Programme 2015-2020. Its main objectives are:

- to articulate a common understanding of development challenges and guiding priorities for EU+ support to the objectives of the Second National Nutrition Programme;
- to achieve complementarity across sectors and fill gaps;
- to align EU+ partners' country strategies with agreed mutual guiding principles and actions in specific sectors;
- to enhance the quality of policy dialogue and advocate jointly;
- to make EU financing more effective by focusing on alignment, harmonisation, managing for results, predictability and mutual accountability;
- to enhance the leverage and visibility of EU support to Ethiopia.

The strategy also contains actions to integrate nutrition in a number of relevant sectors such as health, social protection and agriculture and food systems. For each sector, a lead Member State ensures that all priority actions are implemented through their own programmes or programmes from the local government or other Member States.

The core group on nutrition has also advocated and negotiated for mainstreaming nutrition into the Ethiopian Government's development programmes, such as the Agricultural Growth Programme, the Productive Safety Net Programme and the Sustainable Land Management Programme. The group also played a key role in redesigning the programmes to mainstream nutrition across their components and introduce nutrition-sensitive programming.

The EU+ nutrition strategy is an excellent example of how the EU+ initiative can be integrated into national nutrition planning, resourcing and implementation, evidence-based documentation and monitoring and evaluation. Through the strategy, Member States speak with one voice, have the same political message and collectively advocate for mainstreaming nutrition across relevant line ministries, both at strategic and programme levels. The strategy gives the EU an identifiable, visible presence which lives up to its aid effectiveness commitments. However, for the EU+ nutrition group to function successfully it is important to maintain continuous contact with the Member States and keep them informed about the process and its achievements. This requires time and dedication on the part of the lead party which prepared most of the documents. While joint programming increases the workload, one clear benefit has been the 'Situation Analysis of the Nutrition Sector', the findings of which have been used by all development partners.

# **2.3 Sustainable Cocoa Business and Cocoa-Food Link Programme** (source for Textbox 4, page 9 of the Report)

Cocoa is one of the most significant crops in West Africa, occupying between five and six million hectares in the coastal humid zone. The region accounts for nearly 70% of the world's cocoa supply. Cocoa is mainly grown by small-scale farmers on fields of two hectares or less. It is a source of income for three million smallholder families and one of the leading foreign exchange earning crops. However, farmers lack the resources, technical knowledge and in particular the business skills they need to take full advantage of the opportunities of growing cocoa and food markets. Current cocoa yields are low and there is considerable potential for improvement by adopting better agricultural practices.

The Sustainable Cocoa Business Project (SCB) was one of several commodity-based projects funded by the German Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ) with co-funding from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation and the private sector. SCB was active in Cameroon, Côte D'Ivoire, Ghana and Nigeria from 2009 to 2014 and adopted the Farmers' Business School (FBS) approach to developing farmers' business skills. The FBS approach involved business service centres that facilitate access to inputs, technical advice, market information and micro-finance. Building on the success of SCB, the EU co-funded a follow-up as the Sustainable Smallholder Agri-Business Cocoa-Food Link Programme (SSAB) within the framework of the New Commodities Programme under the European Development Fund. SSAB strengthens support to diversify crops and income sources in order to improve food security and resilience to shocks such as falling cocoa prices. Togo was included in the target countries. SCB's budget was EUR 8.3 million (BMZ 4.8M; World Cocoa Foundation 1.75M; Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation 1.75M; Nigeria 0.5M) and SSAB has a budget of EUR 9.5 million (European Development Fund 5.0M; BMZ 4.0M; Nigeria 0.5M). The German International Cooperation (Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit GIZ) manages the implementation of both projects in collaboration with partner organisations in the target countries.

An independent review of SCB in 2013 found that more than 17 000 farmers had graduated from FBS. 80 % of these had adopted business tools and improved agricultural practices and 90 % had increased their cocoa yields by more than 33 %. A recent update from SSAB revealed that 32 000 smallholders (20 % of whom are women) have accessed inputs from business service centres, cocoa yields by FBS graduates have increased by 33-50 % and net income from non-cocoa sources has increased by between five and twelve times from baseline values.



After Farmer Business School I measure my plots, realise my cropping calendar and my operating account for my farms. I opened a savings account at the micro-finance service of the Diocese. I have diversified my business and revenues. I pulled 420 000 FCFA to produce a hectare of peanuts and obtained a net profit of 440 000 FCFA I repeated this project in 2013 with good profit. By doing so, I pay my children's school fees easily now.

Ndzana Toua Bibiane Obala, Cameroon (2013)

# **2.4 Sustainable Trade Initiative** (source for Textbox 5, page 9 of the Report)

Growing concerns over the environmental and social impact of producing cash crops and related products have stimulated a market for products that are certified as being sustainably produced.

The Sustainable Trade Initiative (IDH - Dutch Initiatief Duurzame Handel) aims to accelerate and scale up sustainable trade by building impact-oriented coalitions of pioneering companies, civil society organisations, governments and other stakeholders. IDH is supported by a grant co-funded by the Netherlands (EUR 125 million); Switzerland (EUR 24.5 million) and Denmark (EUR 1.2 million) for 2008-2015. IDH is active in more than 60 countries and supports 250 businesses within a framework of 18 commodity-based programmes, including cash crops, timber and fish. It is implemented through a wide range of private sector and non-governmental organisations partners, and participating companies must commit to provide a minimum of 50 % co-funding. By the end of 2013 IDH had leveraged EUR 56 million of private sector funding.

An independent review of IDH in 2013 concluded that the initiative had become a key player in advancing coalitions of private sector and other stakeholders for sustainable commodity sourcing. IDH has a strong capacity-building element linked to compliance with sustainability standards and certification. For example, 200 000 cotton farmers have been trained and licensed and IDH support to the 'Better Cotton Initiative' has enabled Better Cotton to dominate the sustainable cotton market. Similar numbers of cocoa farmers have been trained and certified, and IDH has supported production of 350 000 tonnes of fish from Vietnam under the label of the Aquaculture Sustainability Council. There is growing evidence that capacity built by IDH has translated into improvements in producer livelihoods. Rainforest Alliance smallholder tea farmers in Kenya trained through IDH interventions achieved an average 30 % increase in yield (as against a 15 % increase in a control group). Ghanaian cocoa farmers increased production by an average of 6 % and secured a 5 % price premium as a result of certification. IDH conducts quality work on impact evaluation and further evidence of positive impacts is likely to emerge as the initiative continues.

Sustainability is not only important for western countries. It is also important for our country because it brings more value to our farmers.

Dr Reddy Saleh, Ministry of Trade, Indonesia.

# 3. METHODOLOGY FOR NATIONAL REPORT AND PROGRAMMES SPREADSHEET DATABASE

# Guidelines to prepare the second national reports on implementing EU food and nutrition security policy commitments

# I) INTRODUCTION

The first implementation plan report was published in December 2014. It was the first ever report in which EU donors jointly reported on their commitments on food and nutrition security. With almost EUR 3.4 billion spent in more than 115 countries by the EU donors in 2012, the EU and its Member States are delivering significantly on food and nutrition security.

The second EU report will provide a consolidated assessment of the EU and Member States' performance on the six food and nutrition security policy priorities set out in the implementation plan. It will also provide an assessment of how the collective performance of the EU and Member States delivered on these policy priorities, and the level of coherence, complementarity and coordination. The assessment will be based on a quantitative assessment of food and nutrition security interventions informed by an analysis of the distribution of the total investments, and a qualitative assessment of how well the EU and Member States are working together at national, regional and global levels. This report will show the EU's progress in delivering commitments since the 2014 report, which serves as a baseline.

The second report will reflect the recommendations for further improvements made in Council Conclusions of 2015. These include:

- following a refined and simplified reporting format and methodology;
- ensuring consistency with the overall approach of the EU Development and Cooperation Results Framework;
- focusing on selected policy priorities;
- including, in addition to inputs and outputs, more attention on outcomes generated through case studies and/or those available through our (Member States and EuropeAid) individual reporting systems; and
- continuing with the emphasis on assessing the efficacy of in-country coordination, harmonisation, division of labour and joint analysis.

# II) GUIDANCE ON COMPLETING THE NATIONAL REPORT

The national report should be made up of three sections preceded by a summary of no more than one page that provides an overview of the headline results and the main lessons learnt.

Section 1: Overview of Member States' expenditure on projects and programmes relevant to food and nutrition security broken down across the six policy priorities and analysis;

Section 2: Overview of Member States' strategic priorities for food and nutrition security (global, regional and country level), which includes a general assessment and an assessment of coordination and complementarity of joint work in three countries; and

Section 3: Examples of outcomes presented in the form of case studies and/or or results already reported through the indicators defined in respective corporate results frameworks (if available).

### **Section 1: Distribution of investments**

This first section summarises the main findings from the disbursements you have entered in the spreadsheet according to the six priorities defined. The completed spreadsheet will serve as the main supporting annex to this report. (See guidance below on how to complete the spreadsheet.)

In order to provide a visual overview of the distribution of investments your Member State made in 2014, we have maintained the graphics facility which will be based on the data you entered in the spreadsheet. Once you have entered all the data in the spreadsheet, press the refresh button. This will automatically create a chart showing the distribution of disbursements broken down among the six priority areas as well as a chart showing the distribution of disbursements across geographical areas. These graphs will help you outline the basic characteristics of the portfolio's distribution.

# **Section 2: Member States's strategic priorities**

This section provides you with an opportunity to explain your country strategic priorities for food and nutrition security and how these priorities have changed since the first report. It also gives you the opportunity to highlight the different ways in which you approach implementation that are not adequately captured in section 1 and/or which cut across specific interventions. For example, it could cover

- the significance of your country's support to food-insecure countries;
- your country's work on policy dialogue in global, continental and regional fora including joint programmes and joint programming in three selected countries (Bangladesh, Ethiopia and Mali);
- other dimensions of aid effectiveness; and
- adherence to principles such as those relating to fragile states and Linking Relief, Reconstruction and Development.

In addition, following the Council's request to streamline and deepen the methodology, the European Commission will **report on two themes:** 

- 1) enhancing nutrition (in particular for mothers, infants and children) and
- 2) inclusive agrifood chains and systems.

Please explain in this section the connection between the topic(s) in the 2016 report and the six priorities.

On joint programming and joint implementation processes, please report in general how you were involved in joint programming and joint implementation in the countries in which you are active. The idea is also to present a case study. Please provide a brief assessment of coordination and complementarity between your country and other Member States / the European Commission by answering the following two questions:

- 1. What steps have you taken, individually or jointly, to improve coordination:
  - a. at policy and decision making level (i.e.: joint priorities, alignment to the partner's priorities);
  - b. on the ground (i.e.: shared/joint implementation/programmes, joint programming, joint actions, consultation and sharing of information); and
  - c. in international fora.
- 2. What steps have you taken, individually or jointly, to improve complementarity across sectors within the food and nutrition security sector to avoid any duplication/inefficiencies?

# Section 3: Results reporting through selected case studies and/or existing corporate reporting systems

This section is your opportunity to provide details on your achievements in terms of improving nutrition in particular for mothers, infants and children, and promoting inclusive agrifood chains/systems.

Remembering that results is a collective term covering 'Outputs, Outcome and Impact' (OECD/DAC Glossary of Key Terms in Evaluation and Results-Based Management), this year's report will focus on:

- a) **Outputs** the reach of your agency or the number of individuals, households, communities and institutions your agency has supported; and
- b) **Outcome** the responses to the support and the immediate benefits to the target groups.

Accordingly, we propose that we present our results in two ways:

1. The achievements in nutrition security and inclusive agrifood chains/systems from your individual reporting systems informed by your corporate targets, with an emphasis on outputs.

Please provide the following information:

- if available, the findings from your corporate results reporting for 2014 related to food and nutrition security achievements;
- if available, data on the number of beneficiaries (undernourished people, smallholder farm(er)s) reached, including if possible explanations of who (man, women, children and smallholders) was reached, where (the countries) and with what type of action;
- 2014 reports on progress towards your nutrition commitments prepared for SUN (Scaling-Up Nutrition) and the global nutrition report.

### 2. Case studies.

Individual case studies will be used to complement the information on the number of beneficiaries reached. The evidence for the case studies will come from evaluations. Please could you indicate all your available evaluations which show outcome-related information. This could include behavioural changes of mothers and children under five, changes for smallholder farmers (i.e. using the services and products made available through the donors' programmes) and the direct benefits they obtain.

The European Commission will collate the relevant evaluations that the Member States' evaluation departments or programmes consider robust. We will then decide together on the evaluations that will provide the evidence with which to inform 2-3 case studies. These case studies will be prepared by the European Commission.

# III) GUIDANCE ON COMPLETING THE SPREADSHEET

EuropeAid proposes to keep the OECD/DAC reporting as the other possibility- IATI (International Aid Transparency Initiative) reporting- is not yet used by all Member States. The OECD will only publish the final 2014 data in December, but EuropeAid would like to propose that you make the data submitted to the OECD available to the Commission as soon as possible.

As regards methodology, EuropeAid proposes to make an initial selection of programmes for each Member State. This approach will reduce the number of programmes to be screened by each Member State. We estimate that this reduction can range from 10 % to 75 % of the number of programmes compared to 2012, depending on the Member State.

# A two-prong approach is proposed:

- I. For the 9 Member States that participated in the 2012 report: share with EuropeAid the 2014 OECD-DAC CRS plus (Creditor Reporting System) file reported to OECD.
  - EuropeAid will check which 2014 programmes were already in the 2012 spreadsheet database and will allocate the programmes according to the six priorities following the 2012 classification.
- II. For the programmes which were not in the 2012 spreadsheet database, EuropeAid will pre-select the programmes which have one of a list of 76 OECD-DAC CRS codes proposed by EuropeAid.
  - This new list (see Table 2) has been compiled based on the 2012 spreadsheet database. In 2012, 99 DAC codes were used. However, some of these DAC codes were not relevant or represent only a small number of programmes. EuropeAid proposes using this list of 76 DAC codes, which represent more than 99 % of the total amount.

EuropeAid will send back three different databases of programmes to each Member State:

- Database 1: Programmes which were already in the 2012 database, and for which EuropeAid proposes a priority.
- Database 2: New programmes (not in the 2012 database), related to one of the 76 DAC codes.
- Database 3: Programmes with a DAC code not related to food security.

Each Member State will then be asked:

- Database 1: to verify the Commission's analysis.
- Database 2: to identify the food and nutrition security programmes and apply the guidance on completing the spreadsheets below.

For the purpose of the exercise, please include only those programmes/projects:

- A. which have a particular focus on food and nutrition security (by being specifically designed to improve food and nutrition security, or by have specific food and nutrition security objectives or activities); and/or
- B. which clearly fall within one or more of the four pillars of food security (i.e. food availability, access to food, utilisation of food and stability); and/or
- C. which clearly fall within the definition of 'food and nutrition security'. ('food and nutrition security exists when all people at all times have physical, social and economic access to food, which is consumed in sufficient quantity and quality to meet their dietary needs and food preferences, and is supported by an environment of adequate sanitation, health services and care, allowing for a healthy and active life.')

Core contributions at multilateral level to a range of United Nations agencies, funds and programmes (such as the United Nations Children's Fund and the United Nations Development Programme) should be excluded as it would be difficult to allocate a proportion of this funding to food and nutrition security. You should include only those contributions to these agencies if they specifically target food and nutrition security as stated above (points A, B and C). With regards to the Rome-based agencies, please report in the same way as for DAC reporting.

Administrative and overhead costs (including salaries and travel-related costs) are part of the projects and should be reported on.

Please bear in mind that all data you report on must be official 2014 DAC data, which means the financial disbursements you reported to the OECD DAC in 2014.

Filling in the spreadsheet step by step:

Please remember to enter the name of your Member State, the date of completion, the contact person and the reporting system (by disbursement) on the top left of the excel sheet.

- 1. Column A: List your relevant food and nutrition security projects and programmes in the first column 'projects or programmes'.
- 2. Column B: Select the relevant CRS code corresponding to the project entered. If you do not use EUR, please enter the equivalent amount in EUR using the April 2012 OECD exchange rate available here: http://stats.oecd.org/Index.aspx?QueryId=169#
- 3. Column C: If no CRS code is applicable to your project, please explain in a few words what your project entails.
- 4. Column D: Enter the financial amount disbursed for that activity in 2014.
- 5. Column E: Specify for each project or programme the corresponding policy priority using the scroll down menu. There are six to choose from. (Tip: You will need to print out and read the summary description of all projects or programmes to help you complete the rest of the columns.)
- 6. Column F: Choose the relevant level of intervention: national, regional or global using the scroll down menu.
- 7. Column G: Choose the relevant region or country using the scroll down menu.
- 8. Column H: This column will be filled in automatically based on your choices in columns G and H. This column will serve to create a chart showing the distribution of disbursement across geographical area.
- 9. Column I: will enable you to make any additional comments or remarks you may have.

Table 2: Proposed list of DAC CRS codes potentially related to food security

DAC CRS code and description	DAC CRS code and description
11330- Vocational training	31181- Agricultural education/training
11420- Higher education	31182- Agriculture research
12110- Health policy and administrative	31162- Agriculture researen
management	31191- Agricultural services
management	31192- Plant and post-harvest protection
12220- Basic health care	and pest control
12240- Basic nutrition	31193- Agricultural financial services
13020- Reproductive healthcare	31194- Agricultural cooperatives
14010- Water sector policy and administrative	21105 Livestack/veterinery comviess
management	31195- Livestock/veterinary services
14015- Water resources conservation	31210- Forestry policy and administrative
(including data collection)	management
14020- Water supply and sanitation — large	21220 F
systems	31220- Forestry development
14021- Water supply — large systems	312291- Forestry services
14022- Sanitation — large systems	31282- Forestry research
14030- Basic drinking water supply and basic	
sanitation	31291- Forestry services
	31310- Fishing policy and administrative
14031- Basic drinking water supply	management
14032- Basic sanitation	31320- Fishery development
14040- River basins' development	31381- Fishery education/training
15110- Public sector policy and	
administrative management	31382- Fishery research
15112- Decentralisation and support to	
subnational government	31391- Fishery services
15150- Democratic participation and Civil	32110- Small and medium-sized
society	enterprises (SME) development
	32130- Small and medium-sized
15160- Human rights	enterprises (SME) development
15170- Women's equality organisations and	
institutions	32161- Agro-industries
	32182- Technological research and
16010- Social/ welfare services	development
16050- Multi-sector aid for basic social	33110- Trade policy and administrative
services	management
16062- Statistical capacity building	33120- Trade facilitation
21020- Road transport	33150- Trade-related adjustment
•	41010- Environmental policy and
24030- Formal sector financial intermediaries	administrative management
24040- Informal/semi-formal financial	Ĭ
intermediaries	41030- Bio-diversity
25010- Business support services and	Ĭ
institutions	41081- Environmental education/ training
31110- Agricultural policy and administrative	
management	41082- Environmental research
.0	

DAC CRS code and description	DAC CRS code and description
31120- Agricultural development	43010- Multi-sector aid
31130- Agricultural land resources	43040- Rural development
	43050- Non-agricultural alternative
31140- Agricultural water resources	development
31150- Agricultural inputs	43081- Multi-sector education/training
31161- Food crop production	43082- Research/scientific institutions
31162- Industrial crops/export crops	51010- General budget support
	52010- Food aid/Food security
31163- Livestock	programmes
31164- Agrarian reform	91010- Administrative costs
31165- Agricultural alternative development	99810- Sectors not specified
31166- Agricultural extension	NA