

Brussels, 19 December 2016 (OR. en, pl)

Interinstitutional File: 2016/0208 (COD)

15615/16 ADD 1

EF 390 ECOFIN 1192 DROIPEN 217 CRIMORG 184 **COTER 136 CODEC 1908** IA 141 **FISC 237**

NOTE

| From: | General Secretariat of the Council |
|----------------|---|
| To: | Permanent Representatives Committee |
| No. Cion doc.: | COM(2016) 450 final |
| Subject: | Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Directive (EU) 2015/849 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing and amending Directive 2009/101/EC |
| | = Negotiating mandate |
| | - Statements |

Declaration by Austria to the minutes of Coreper II and Council

Austria is strongly concerned that the current text does not enhance transparency on beneficial ownership necessary to avoid the abuse of trusts for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing. There is a clear need to establish mandatory central and public beneficial owner registries for trusts in the Member State by whose laws trusts are governed (Art. 31of Directive 2015/849). Unfortunately, the current text is just a mere reflection of the status quo and does not go beyond what is already in place in the EU. Therefore, Austria calls for further improvements of the text within the up-coming negotiations with the European Parliament.

15615/16 ADD 1 SS/mmf DGG 1B

www.parlament.gv.at

Statement by the Polish delegation to be included in the minutes of the Coreper meeting on 20 December 2016 relating to the adoption of the Council negotiating mandate on a Proposal for a DIRECTIVE OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL amending Directive (EU) 2015/849 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing

Projekt dyrektywy w obecnym brzmieniu nie realizuje w pełni celów postępowania karnego. Centralny Rejestr Rachunków Bankowych, o którym mowa w dodawanym art. 32a, a odnośnie którego na chwile obecną wprowadzono termin przechowywania danych w wymiarze 5 plus dodatkowe 5 lat, mógłby po uwzględnieniu propozycji Polski umożliwiać bezterminowe przechowywanie danych. Wprowadzenie bezterminowego przechowywania danych wynika z konieczności zapewnienia realizacji ww. celów przez organy ścigania m.in. w przypadku przestępstwa prania brudnych pieniędzy, które zgodnie z polskim porządkiem prawnym przedawnia się po upływie 15 lat. W konsekwencji może to prowadzić do tego, że niezbędne dane zostaną usuniete z rejestru krajowego w okresie kiedy ścigane przestępstwo nie uległo jeszcze przedawnieniu. Podobny termin przedawnienia przewidują ustawodawstwa także innych Państw Członkowskich. Powyższy problem dotyczyć zatem będzie czynności podejmowanych przez organy ścigania, które nie będą mogły realizować swoich zadań chociaż wedle krajowych porządków prawnych dane przestępstwo jeszcze się nie przedawniło.

EN/PL

COREPER, 20 DECEMBER 2016

STATEMENT BY THE REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA

With reference to the Directive amending Directive (EU) 2015/849 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purpose of money laundering and terrorist financing

The Republic of Slovenia fully supports the objectives of the proposed Directive and efforts to reach a compromise within Council that is both effective and workable ahead of further discussions with the European Parliament.

However, the Republic of Slovenia does not approve the proposal for amendment of the 4th AMLD stipulated in the text proposed to the Coreper with regard to the Politically Exposed Persons. In line with the Ecofin political commitment from February 12, 2016 Slovenia already transposed the 4th AMLD into national legislation. Proposed changes to AMLD IV with regard to Politically Exposed Persons backtrack political decisions which were taken in the 4th AMLD. There was intense discussion on this issue already in the context of the 4th AMLD, and a regime that was decided then was found compatible with the FATF standards and the EU Treaty. Loosening this regime by making parts of it optional for Member States would lead to greater discretionary judgements by the obliged entities and would be a step back from the high-level of anti-money laundering and terrorist financing standards already agreed in the 4th AMLD. In our view the proposed amendment should be carefully re-examined in the context of the trialogue with the European parliament.

15615/16 ADD 1 SS/mmf 3
DGG 1B EN/PL