

Council of the European Union

> Brussels, 4 July 2017 (OR. en)

11006/17 ADD 1

CADREFIN 84 POLGEN 106 FIN 456 INST 301 FSTR 52 REGIO 77 SOC 529 AGRISTR 60 PECHE 281 TRANS 310

## **COVER NOTE**

| From:            | Secretary-General of the European Commission,<br>signed by Mr Jordi AYET PUIGARNAU, Director |  |  |  |
|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| date of receipt: | 29 June 2017   |  |  |  |
| То:              | Mr Jeppe TRANHOLM-MIKKELSEN, Secretary-General of the Council or the European Union          |  |  |  |
| No. Cion doc.:   | COM(2017) 358 final ANNEX 1  |  |  |  |
| Subject:         | ANNEX to the REFLECTION PAPER ON THE FUTURE OF EU<br>FINANCES                                |  |  |  |

Delegations will find attached document COM(2017) 358 final ANNEX 1.

Encl.: COM(2017) 358 final ANNEX 1



EUROPEAN COMMISSION

> Brussels, 28.6.2017 COM(2017) 358 final

ANNEX 1

## ANNEX

to the

## **REFLECTION PAPER**

ON THE FUTURE OF EU FINANCES

## Annex: Implications for the large EU spending areas according to the 5 scenarios

|   | <u>Scenario 1:</u><br>Carrying on          | <u>Scenario 2:</u><br>Doing less together                        | <u>Scenario 3:</u><br>Some do more   | <u>Scenario 4:</u><br>Radical redesign                         | <u>Scenario 5:</u><br>Doing much<br>more together                              |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Policy priorities                                 | Taking forward<br>current reform<br>agenda | Mainly financing of<br>functions needed for<br>the single market | As in Scenario 1;<br>additional budgets<br>are made available<br>by some Member<br>States for the areas<br>where they decide<br>to do more | Financing of<br>priorities with very<br>high EU value<br>added | Doing much more<br>across policy areas   |
| Volume  | Broadly stable                             | Significantly lower  | Somewhat higher  | Lower  | Significantly higher   |
| Competitiveness                                   | Slightly higher<br>share                   | Same as in scenario<br>1 but significantly<br>lower amount       | Same as in scenario<br>1   | Higher share   | Higher share   |
| Economic, social<br>and territorial<br>cohesion   | Lower share                                | Lower amount   | Same as in scenario<br>1   | Lower share  | Higher amount  |
| Agriculture                                       | Lower share                                | Lower amount   | Same as in scenario<br>1   | Lower share  | Higher amount  |
| Security , defence,<br>migration                  | Higher share                               | No funding   | Higher share<br>partly covered by<br>willing Member<br>States  | Significantly higher<br>share                                  | Significantly higher<br>share  |
| External action                                   | Higher share                               | Lower amount   | Higher share<br>partly covered by<br>willing Member<br>States  | Significantly higher<br>share                                  | Significantly higher<br>share  |
| Economic and<br>Monetary Union<br>Fiscal capacity |  |  | Macro-economic<br>stabilisation<br>function for euro-<br>zone Member States  |  | Macro-economic<br>stabilisation<br>function and a<br>European Monetary<br>Fund |

| Revenue | Current system<br>without rebates;<br>other sources of<br>revenue or fees<br>finance the EU<br>budget | Current system<br>without rebates | Same as scenario 1;<br>plus new policies<br>financed only by<br>participating<br>Member States | Scenario 1 further<br>simplified; new<br>own resources | In depth reform<br>beyond scenario 4;<br>new own resources<br>finance significant<br>share of the EU<br>budget |
|---------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
|---------|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|