



Brussels, 23 January 2015  
(OR. en)

5501/15

JAI 39  
DAPIX 11  
CRIMORG 10  
ENFOPOL 19

## OUTCOME OF PROCEEDINGS

---

From: General Secretariat of the Council  
To: Working Party on Information Exchange and Data Protection (DAPIX)  
Subject: Summary of discussions

---

### 1. Adoption of the agenda

The agenda was adopted as set out in doc. CM 1019/15 with, under point 3, the addition of an information point concerning IMS action No 2 (ADEP).

### 2. Information from the Presidency

The Presidency reminded delegations to submit by 11 February at the latest figures for DNA and FP data exchange statistics 2014 (see doc. CM 1041/15 and CM 1042/15) so that complete statistics could be drafted for the next DAPIX meeting.

The Presidency promised to inform delegations in due time on the definite timing of the meetings provisionally scheduled for 19 February (DNA/FP) and for 20 February (plenary meeting).

The Presidency gave the floor to the previous Presidency which informed about the PCCC conference in The Hague and Heerlen from 10 to 12 December 2014 where workshops focussed on the use of SIENA and EPE (Europol Platform for Experts) as well as on CEPOL's e-learning module on PCCCs. At the conference, the DE federal police presented the activities of the ISEC funded PCCC project which would end in November 2015.

### **3. Information Management Strategy (IMS)**

#### **3.1. Draft IMS action list No 4**

Delegations supported the outcome of questionnaire CM 5306/14 on the future IMS action list as set out in doc. 5237/15 DAPIX 8 CRIMORG 9 ENFOPOL 12, and the provisional draft action list (doc. DS 1025/15) containing seven actions.

Several delegations objected to the proposed merge of action 2 (Automation of data exchange processes - ADEP), 4 (Information Exchange Platform - IXP) and 5 (Universal Message Format - UMF) but stressed their complementarity and the need for close cooperation. Europol recalled the three main results delivered on action 4 and the Commission suggested to consider these results for action 2 and 5 and then drop action 4. FI introduced a scrutiny reservation concerning the continuation of action 6 on the overview of Prüm follow-up procedures.

The Presidency invited all delegations in the lead of suggested actions to submit further details on their actions (doc. DS 1025/15) by 31 January ([dapix@consilium.europa.eu](mailto:dapix@consilium.europa.eu)).

#### **3.2. IMS action III/1/ Manual on information exchange**

The GSC presented the draft manual (doc. DS 1023/15) aimed at serving SPOC operational and training purposes and explained how to use the future manual. In view of the currently increasing importance of information sharing the Presidency underlined its firm intention to finalise the manual during its term.

To that end, delegations were invited to ask their SPOCs for a first acceptance test of the operational checklists (part 1 of the manual), whose electronic version would have hyperlinks to key information for cross-border cooperation, and to inform DAPIX about the result at the next meeting. Delegations were also reminded to submit ([dapix@consilium.europa.eu](mailto:dapix@consilium.europa.eu)), if not yet done so, by 31 January the checked and updated info sheets on contact details and "information available" (part 3). Furthermore, DAPIX was invited to re-consider its current policy to withhold the disclosure of Prüm NCP details.

The general overview (part 2) would be further reviewed by the drafting group.

### 3.3 UMF 3 Interoperability coordination program

DE as the driver of the suggested UMF3 program informed about the state of play. The delegate was confident that the coordination of participating Member States would be fine-tuned in due time before the application deadline (23 January) for Commission funding. The UMF3 program was divided into three parts, i.e. (1) further developing the UMF standard, (2) defining of a governance structure, and (3) pilot projects for applying UMF in order to support its further development and the assessment of its added value.

Currently, 23 partners would cooperate with DE and seven pilot projects were envisaged. UMF3 would last around 30 months with costs amounting to 1.5 mio. Euros. The delegate underlined that the project was still open for the participation of further states or agencies.

### 3.4 EIXM - study on the implementation

The Commission orally presented the main results of the study on MS' compliance with its recommendations for the implementation of the European Information Exchange Model (EIXM). In-depth discussions would be held after the circulation of the final report to DAPIX.

In this context the Commission reminded delegations of the DG Home letter regarding the notification of the former third pillar acquis in the field of police cooperation, and invited them to respect the deadline of 15 March 2015

- a) for the update of their transposition records and formal upload in the MNE (Mesures Nationales d'Exécution) database of their national implementation measures in force concerning the instruments listed in the annex I, and
- b) for information on other legal instruments listed in annex II to the letter.

### 3.5 IMS action III/2/ ADEP

The FR and FI delegations informed about the state of play of the action and explained that an ADEP prototype would be developed by DE so as to conclude the action this year. According to the 2015 roadmap, workshops at the end of January would focus on the definition of the technical objectives, in April on the development of the requirements, and in September on the design of the prototype. Further progress reports would be given at future DAPIX meetings.

#### **4. "Prüm Council Decisions"**

##### **4.1 Implementation - *State of play***

The Presidency invited delegations to check the information set out in doc. 5010/15 JAI 1 DAPIX 1 ENFOPOL 1 CRIMORG 1, and to notify any further modifications to the Secretariat in order to enable the regular update of the overview. Special attention was drawn to the table on available national DNA analysis files (annex 3 bis) and delegations were invited to check and possibly update the table.

##### **4.2 Organisation of evaluation visits**

The indicative calendar for evaluation visits (doc. DS 1017/15) was complemented by CZ indicating to be ready for VRD evaluation in April.

##### **4.3 Implementation of the "Prüm Decisions" regarding fingerprints**

The Presidency invited delegations which have not yet done so to complete by 31 January the table on search capacities (doc. 5019/15 JAI 2 DAPIX 2 CRIMORG 2 ENFOPOL 2).

##### **4.4 On-going evaluation**

###### ***Poland / Fingerprints***

The meeting took note of

the ***evaluation visit report***

(doc. 5111/15 JAI 10 DAPIX 5 CRIMORG 5 ENFOPOL 5 ENFOCUSM 1)

and endorsed the

***overall evaluation report***

(doc. 5114/15 JAI 11 DAPIX 6 CRIMORG 6 ENFOPOL 6 ENFOCUSM 2)

as well as the ***draft Council Decision***

(doc. 5118/15 JAI 12 DAPIX 7 CRIMORG 8 ENFOPOL 7 ENFOCUSM 3)

with a view to submitting the latter documents to COREPER / Council.

#### 4.5 Declarations in accordance with Art. 36(2)

The meeting took note of the declarations of

*Cyprus* (doc. 16119/14 DAPIX 182 CRIMORG 128 ENFOPOL 411),

*Estonia* (doc. 5100/15 DAPIX 4 CRIMORG 4 ENFOPOL 4) and

*Malta* (doc. 16206/14 DAPIX 184 CRIMORG 131 ENFOPOL 417).

#### 5. Exchange of police information for administrative use

The IT delegation presented the outcome of a questionnaire on possibilities of police information exchange for administrative purposes (doc. 15617/14). With regard to the weak reaction on the questionnaire circulated in the framework of preventing and combating illegal infiltration in the legal economy, the Presidency invited delegations to consider replying to the previous Presidency in order to get a representative result on the issue.

#### 6. Presentation of the Italian SPOC

The presentation was postponed to a later meeting.

#### 7. Any other business

No issue was raised under this point.