



Council of the
European Union

068017/EU XXVI. GP
Eingelangt am 12/06/19

Brussels, 12 June 2019
(OR. en)

10129/19
ADD 1

JAI 668
COPEN 269
CYBER 200
DROIPEN 100
JAIEX 97
ENFOPOL 297
DAPIX 209
EJUSTICE 91
MI 509
TELECOM 255
DATAPROTECT 166
USA 46
RELEX 595
SERVICES 34

OUTCOME OF PROCEEDINGS

From: General Secretariat of the Council
To: Delegations

No. prev. doc.: 9664/19
No. Cion doc.: 6110/19 ADD1

Subject: ADDENDUM to the COUNCIL DECISION authorising the European Commission to participate, on behalf of the European Union, in negotiations on a Second Additional Protocol to the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime (CETS No. 185)

The Council (Justice and Home Affairs) adopted at its meeting held on 6 June 2019 the ADDENDUM to the COUNCIL DECISION authorising the European Commission to participate, on behalf of the European Union, in negotiations on a second Additional Protocol to the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime (CETS No. 185) as set out in the Annex.

ADDENDUM
to the COUNCIL DECISION
authorising the European Commission to participate,
on behalf of the European Union, in negotiations on a second Additional Protocol
to the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime (CETS No. 185)

I. OBJECTIVES

The Commission should, in the course of the negotiations, aim to achieve the objectives set out in detail below:

- (a) The negotiations should ensure that the provisions of the second Additional Protocols are compatible with EU law and Member States' obligations under it, in particular as regards investigatory powers granted to non-EU Parties.
- (b) In particular, the negotiations should ensure respect for the fundamental rights, freedoms and general principles of EU law as enshrined in the European Union Treaties and Charter of Fundamental Rights, including proportionality, procedural rights, the presumption of innocence and the rights of defence of persons subject to criminal proceedings as well as privacy and the protection of personal data and electronic communications data when such data is processed, including transfers to law enforcement authorities in countries outside the European Union, and any obligations incumbent on law enforcement and judicial authorities in this respect.

- (c) Furthermore, the Second Additional Protocol and the EU draft legislation on e-evidence should be compatible, including as this draft legislation evolves in the discussions with the co-legislators and prevent conflicts of laws. In particular, such a protocol should to the greatest extent possible reduce the risks of production orders issued under a future EU instrument creating conflicts with the laws of third countries that are Parties to the Second Additional Protocol. When accompanied by appropriate data protection and privacy safeguards, it would facilitate compliance by EU service providers with their obligations under EU data protection and privacy laws, insofar as such an international agreement could provide a legal basis for data transfers in reaction to production orders or requests issued by an authority from a non-EU Party to the Second Additional Protocol requiring a controller or processor to disclose personal data or electronic communications data.

II. SPECIFIC ISSUES

II.1. Relation with EU law and other (possible) agreements

- (a) It should be ensured that the Second Additional Protocol contains a clause providing that the Member States shall, in their mutual relations, continue to apply the rules of the European Union rather than the Second Additional Protocol.
- (b) The Second Additional Protocol may apply in the absence of other more specific international agreements binding the European Union or its Member States and other Parties to the Convention, or, where such international agreements exist, only to the extent that certain issues are not regulated by those agreements. Such more specific international agreements should thus take precedence over the Second Additional Protocol provided that they are consistent with the Convention's objectives and principles.

II.2. Provisions for more effective mutual legal assistance:

- (c) The provisions on the ‘languages of requests’ as currently drafted stipulate that requests should be made in a language acceptable to the requested Party or accompanied by a translation into such a language. The European Union should support the draft text and explanatory report preliminarily adopted.
- (d) The provisions on the ‘emergency mutual assistance’ as currently drafted enable mutual assistance to be sought on a rapidly expedited basis by sending such a request in electronic form where the requesting Party is of the view that an emergency exists, defined as a situation in which there is a significant and imminent risk to the life or safety of any natural person. The European Union should support the draft text and explanatory report preliminarily adopted. The scope of mutual assistance should be identical to that set forth in Article 25 of the Convention.
- (e) With regard to the provisions on ‘video conferencing’ the European Union should seek that the Second Additional Protocol is consistent with the corresponding provisions of the existing international agreements between European Union and its Member States and other Parties to the Convention, where possible. The provisions should allow Member States to ensure the respect of applicable procedural rights safeguards deriving from Union and national law.
- (f) With regard to the provisions on ‘endorsement model’ the European Union should seek that the draft text and explanatory memorandum include elements, such as mandatory maximum deadlines for decisions by national authorities, to ensure that its use results in swifter procedures; further, it should ensure that the burden on service providers is proportionate, and the remedies, where appropriate, shall apply;

II. 3 Provisions allowing for direct cooperation with service providers in other jurisdictions:

- (g) With regard to the provisions on ‘direct cooperation with providers across jurisdictions’, the European Union should ensure that the Second Additional Protocol is consistent with EU law, includes the appropriate safeguards and the burden on service providers is proportionate.
- (h) With regard to the provisions on ‘International productions orders’, the European Union should ensure that the Second Additional Protocol includes appropriate fundamental rights safeguards, taking into account the different level of sensitivity of the categories of data concerned, the unique requirements of transfers from competent authorities directly to service providers, and the safeguards included in the European Production Orders for the different categories of data.
- (i) With regard to the provisions on ‘International productions orders’, the European Union should not oppose the inclusion in the Second Additional Protocol of additional safeguards and grounds for refusal compared to the EU draft legislation on e-evidence, including as it evolves in the Union legislative procedure, such as a notification and consent by the state of the service provider and a prior review carried out either by a court or by an independent administrative body, as far as this does not disproportionately reduce the effectiveness of the instrument under the Second Additional Protocol (for example in cases of validly established urgency). Any additional safeguards and grounds for refusal should not affect the functioning of the EU’s e-evidence rules amongst Member States.

II.4. Stronger safeguards for existing practices of transborder access to data:

- (j) With regard to the provisions on ‘Extension of searches and access based on credentials’ and ‘Investigative Techniques’, the European Union should ensure that the Second Additional Protocol includes appropriate fundamental rights safeguards. Therefore, the draft text should also include the condition that the data stored in the connected computer system is lawfully accessible from the initial system and the access is necessary and proportionate and does not involve a breach of security measures in devices in line with the safeguards outlined below.
- (k) The European Union should also ensure that it does not restrict the possibilities for such access that are currently provided for in Member States.

II.5. Safeguards, including data protection requirements:

The European Union should ensure that the Second Additional Protocol includes appropriate data protection safeguards within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2016/680 and Regulation (EU) 2016/679 and Directive 2002/58/EC for the collection, transfer and subsequent use of personal data and electronic communications data included in the electronic evidence sought by the requesting authority. These safeguards should take into account those set out in EU agreements, such as the EU-US Umbrella Agreement and in the modernised Council of Europe Convention for the Protection of Individuals with regard to Automatic Processing of Personal Data (CETS No.108). These safeguards should address situations of processing of data in the context of both mutual assistance between law enforcement authorities and direct cooperation between law enforcement authorities and providers. The European Union should aim for these safeguards to apply to all investigatory powers.

III. TERRITORIAL APPLICATION, ENTRY INTO FORCE AND OTHER FINAL PROVISIONS

The final provisions of the Additional Protocol, including provisions on entry into force, reservations, denunciation etc. should be modelled where possible and appropriate along the provisions of the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime (CETS No.185). Provisions diverging from standard clauses should only be used where necessary to obtain the objectives or to reflect the specific circumstances of the Second Additional Protocol.

IV. PROCEDURE FOR NEGOTIATIONS

1. The negotiations must be prepared for well in advance. To this end, the Commission shall inform the Council of the schedule anticipated and the issues to be negotiated and shall share the relevant information as early as possible.
2. Where necessary or upon request of the Council the negotiating sessions shall be preceded by a meeting of the COPEN Working Party in order to identify the key issues, formulate opinions and establish guidance, as appropriate.
3. The Commission shall report to the COPEN Working Party on the outcome of the negotiations after each negotiating session.
4. The Commission shall inform the Council and consult the COPEN Working Party on any important issue that may arise during the negotiations.