

Brussels, 10 September 2019 (OR. en)

10836/19 ADD 2

EJUSTICE 100 JAI 765

NOTE

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Working Party on e-Law (e-Justice)
Subject:	Survey on the use of electronic tools in Member States' Courts
	- Table of results

Delegations will find in the annex a table summarising the results of the survey conducted between May and July 2019 on the use of IT tools in Member States' Courts.

											Respond	lents										
Questions	BG	CZ	DK	DE	EE	EL	ES	FI	FR	HR	IT	CY	LI	LU	MT	NL	AT	PT	SI	SK	SE	CJEU
Use of Case Management Systems	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partial, depending on the Land and application	Yes	Partial, depending on the Court	Yes	Yes	Partial, depending on the field (no mention of TASS and TPBR)	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Partial, limited to State prose -cution	Partial, all juris- dictions, except the Constitu -tional Court	Yes	To be deployed
Communi- cation tools between practitioners and Courts	Only for practi -tioners to receive information and documents from courts (with the exception of adminis -trative courts)	Yes, allowing sending and receiving documents	Yes, through dedicated tools for civil and criminal matters, by email for administra -tive courts	Yes	Yes	Partially, for lawyers to submit complaints, requests and relative documents	Yes, allowing for bi- directional communi -cation	Only to a limited extent (email or secure email)	Yes in civil matters and through emails in criminal matters	Yes, to be completely deployed in September 2019	Fully in civil matters, partially in criminal matters (practitio -ners cannot send documents in this case)	No	Yes	No, to be deployed in the future	Yes	Yes	Yes (obligatory)	Yes, through a web interface	No	Yes	No	Yes
Possibility for digital official filings	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes in civil matters and on authorisation in criminal matters	Yes	Yes in civil matters and no in criminal matters	No	Yes	No	Yes in civil matters	Partially	Yes	Yes, proceedings are digital by default	No	Yes	Partially	Yes
Access for citizens	Yes, except for adminis -trative courts	No, with a few exceptions	Yes, in civil matters	No	Yes	Partially, to case and requests monitoring tools	No, with some exceptions (cases where a lawyer is not required)	No	No, to be deployed in the future	Yes, through legal represen -tatives	Yes, for civil cases in first and second instance and no for criminal cases	No	Yes	No, to be deployed in the future	Yes in civil matters	No, with some exceptions	Yes	Yes, through a web portal	No	Yes	No	Partial, limited to original electronic documents, soon for all document types
Use of communi -cation systems for conducting procedures	No	Partial	Approxi -mately 90%	No data	100%	No data	100% for certain jurisdictions	95%	No data	Low numbers	No data	No	70% in civil law matters	No data	Very low numbers	20%	94% of civil cases and 76% of all enforcement cases	Aims at 100%	No	Approxi -mately 20%	No data	Between 75 and 91%
Unified CMS	No (3 CMS, at least)	No	Yes	No	Yes	No, but integration upcoming	No	No, unification upcoming	No	Yes	Yes, with rare exceptions	No	Yes	Unified in each branch (civil/admi -nistrative)	Integrated	Yes for first instance, no for upper levels	Yes	Yes	No, unified system only for prosecutors	Yes	Yes	Yes
Availability of systems, developers	External contractors	External contractors, licences could be developed	In-house	Partially in- house, partially external contractors	In- house	In-house (to be confirmed)	In-house, publicly available	Commer -cially available for its base components	In-house	External contractor, possibility of licencing	In-house, commercially available solutions for certain components	No	In-house	External contractor	Partially in- house, partially by external contractors	Partially inhouse, ongoing develop -ment oriented towards commercially available solutions	External contractor	Internal development	No data	Contractor	In-house	Currently inhouse, based on other products, in the future, based on a commercially available solution, to be customised by the Courts