

Brüssel, den 23. September 2019 (OR. en)

12352/19

FREMP 128 JAI 962 COHOM 104

VERMERK

Absender:	Vorsitz
Empfänger:	Ausschuss der Ständigen Vertreter/Rat
Betr.:	Grundrechte
	 Herausforderungen für die Grundrechte im Jahr 2020 und darüber hinaus

EINLEITUNG

Der Schutz der Bürgerinnen und Bürger und ihrer Freiheiten ist eine der Prioritäten der Strategischen Agenda 2019-2024. Entsprechend dieser Agenda muss Europa ein Ort sein, an dem sich die Menschen frei und sicher fühlen. Die EU wird die in den Verträgen verankerten grundlegenden Rechte und Freiheiten ihrer Bürgerinnen und Bürger verteidigen. In Artikel 2 des Vertrags über die Europäische Union (EUV) heißt es: "Die Werte, auf die sich die Union gründet, sind die Achtung der Menschenwürde, Freiheit, Demokratie, Gleichheit, Rechtsstaatlichkeit und die Wahrung der Menschenrechte einschließlich der Rechte der Personen, die Minderheiten angehören." Dem Rat kommt eine wichtige Rolle zu, wenn es darum geht, in Bezug auf die Prioritäten der neuen Strategischen Agenda und die Entschlossenheit der Union zur Verteidigung der Grundrechte und Freiheiten voranzukommen.

In den letzten Jahren waren sowohl Fortschritte als auch neue Herausforderungen auf dem Gebiet der Grundrechte zu verzeichnen. In der öffentlichen Diskussion entsteht allzu oft der Eindruck, als nützten die Rechte nur bestimmten Gruppen oder stünden nur einigen wenigen zu; dabei sind sie doch in unserem täglichen Leben sehr präsent und werden oft für selbstverständlich gehalten. Auch ändert sich die Welt um uns herum ständig, und wir müssen uns auf neue Phänomene einstellen und nach neuen Lösungen suchen, damit der Schutz unserer Rechte erhalten bleibt.

Die Aufgabe der Agentur der EU für Grundrechte (Fundamental Rights Agency – FRA) ist die unabhängige und faktengestützte Beratung der Mitgliedstaaten und EU-Organe. Aus den jüngsten Berichten der FRA geht hervor, dass es nach wie vor Herausforderungen gibt: So müssen beispielsweise die Bürgerinnen und Bürgern über die Vorteile der Grund- und Menschenrechte für uns alle und über die Herausforderungen infolge neuer technologischer Entwicklungen und der Digitalisierung aufgeklärt werden.

12352/19 JAI.A cho,bba/KWI/pg

DE

1

Vor diesem Hintergrund werden die Ministerinnen und Minister ersucht, mit dem Direktor der FRA einen Gedankenaustausch über das Thema der Herausforderungen für die Grundrechte im Jahr 2020 und darüber hinaus zu führen. Zur Strukturierung des Austauschs hat der Vorsitz die FRA gebeten, auf der Grundlage ihrer Untersuchungen und Daten Hintergrundinformationen zusammenzustellen. Der Beitrag der FRA ist diesem Vermerk als Anlage beigefügt; er stellt die unabhängige Analyse der Agentur zum Thema "Herausforderungen für die Grundrechte im Bereich der Justiz im Jahr 2020 und darüber hinaus" dar. Der Vorsitz bittet die Delegationen, insbesondere folgende Fragen zu erörtern:

Fragen an die Ministerinnen und Minister

- 1. Wie können wir die Botschaft, dass die Grund- und Menschenrechte allen zustehen, besser vermitteln und so dazu beitragen, dass sich die Bürgerinnen und Bürger mit diesen Rechten identifizieren?
- 2. Wie können wir uns besser auf den technologischen Wandel einstellen, wobei besonderer Nachdruck darauf gelegt werden soll, welche Chancen und Risiken in der Digitalisierung und der Nutzung automatisierter Tools für den Schutz der Grundrechte liegen?
- 3. Gibt es Ihrer Ansicht nach weitere wichtige Herausforderungen für die Grundrechte, die in den nächsten Jahren auf EU-Ebene angegangen werden sollten?

Anl.: Hintergrundinformationen der FRA zum Thema "Herausforderungen für die Grundrechte im Bereich der Justiz im Jahr 2020 und darüber hinaus"

12352/19 cho,bba/KWI/pg 2
JAI.A **DF**.



ANLAGE

3

Fundamental rights challenges in the area of justice in 2020 and beyond

The judicial system plays a central role in safeguarding democracy, fundamental rights and the rule of law. Societal developments across the EU - such as a declining consensus on shared values, the digital transformation or the more open manifestation of hatred will put this role to the test. This paper identifies challenges to fundamental rights that fall within the mandates of Ministers of Justice. This paper highlights specific areas of concern for the years to come, drawing on findings and analysis of the EU Agency for Fundamental Rights (FRA). Each section contains an overview of how FRA can assist the EU and its Member States in addressing those challenges.

Communicating fundamental rights as a shared value of society

Eurobarometer data show that trust in national institutions declined between spring 2018 and autumn 2018, with trust in the judiciary/judicial system declining from 53% to 51%¹. Evidence collected by FRA also indicates that respect for fundamental rights and the rule of law can backslide².

Decreasing acknowledgement of the value of human rights can manifest in reduced resources for bodies and structures set up to promote or defend human rights; 3 in shrinking space for civil society; 4 in attacks or pressure on journalists and other media actors; 5 or in discourses that portray human rights as favouring minorities over the general population. Such discourses are fuelled when authorities fail to communicate that human rights are for everyone and provide a basis for society to develop and flourish.

There is a risk that law- and policymakers become less willing to support and enforce human rights standards, due to an assumed lack of support for human rights within their constituencies. Safeguarding human rights is, however, not only a matter of international, EU and national law, but is of benefit to the national political system. A strong fundamental rights architecture, combined with a vibrant civil society standing up for human rights, guarantees that societies remain resilient against other threats, including hybrid threats, such as disinformation campaigns or electoral manipulations.

Decreasing trust in public institutions, especially in the judiciary, can have a knock-on effect on trust between legal systems across borders. Maintaining high levels of trust is key to upholding the European area of freedom, security and justice, which is founded on

12352/19 cho,bba/KWI/pg JAI.A DE

European Commission (2018), Standard Eurobarometer 90.

FRA (2017), Between promise and delivery: 10 years of fundamental rights in the EU.

FRA (Forthcoming, 2020), report on the situation of national human rights institutions in the EU.

⁴ European Commission (2018), <u>2018 Annual Colloquium on Fundamental Rights – Democracy in the EU</u>; FRA (2018), Challenges facing civil society organisations working on human rights in the EU; FRA (2018), Civil society space: views of organisations.

European Commission (2016), 2016 Annual Colloquium on Fundamental Rights - Media Pluralism and Democracy; FRA (2016), Violence, threats and pressures against journalists and other media actors in the European Union.



the premise that judicial procedures in Member States "meet the requirements of effective judicial protection, which include, in particular, the independence and impartiality of ... courts"⁶. In this context, the Court of Justice of the EU has stressed that the rights to effective judicial protection and to a fair trial are of "cardinal importance as a guarantee that all the rights which individuals derive from EU law will be protected"7. Non-judicial bodies also play an important role in fostering trust in institutions, raising public awareness of rights, and providing access to redress⁸.

- > FRA produced practical suggestions on how to communicate effectively on human rights⁹.
- > FRA provides legal practitioners with tools to raise their awareness on the application of the Charter of Fundamental Rights at the national level 10.
- > Upcoming findings from FRA's EU-wide Fundamental Rights Survey of 30,000 respondents will provide comparable data on people's experiences and opinions concerning what fundamental rights mean in practice for them in their daily lives, including questions related to the field of justice. The results provide a unique dataset
- > An upcoming FRA report will map the situation of National Human Rights Institutions in the EU and provide advice on how to strengthen them.
- FRA will provide simplified access to the findings of about 60 international human rights monitoring mechanisms through its new EU Fundamental Rights Information System (EFRIS).

Increasing reliance on artificial intelligence

Digitalisation and artificial intelligence (AI) increasingly govern decisions and processes concerning everyday life. This raises fundamental rights concerns with respect to data protection, non-discrimination and good administration. The digital transformation may also result in increased use of AI in decision-making in judicial systems.

One important aspect to consider here is the quality of the data used in automated decision-making systems. "Individuals and society must [...] be confident that AI systems will not cause any unintentional harm. Such systems should perform in a safe, secure and reliable manner, and safeguards should be foreseen to prevent any unintended adverse impacts," as the High-level Expert Group on AI, in which FRA participates, noted11. Users of AI-related technology therefore need to use data of the highest quality when developing tools for use in the judicial system. Algorithms based on poor data can

12352/19 cho,bba/KWI/pg JAI.A DE

4

CJEU (2018), Case C-216/18 PPU, judgement of 25 July 2018, para 58.

CJEU (2018), <u>Case C-216/18</u>, judgement of 24 June 2018, para 58.

See, for example, European Commission (2018) Commission Recommendation of 22.6.2018 on standards for equality bodies.

See: FRA (2018), 10 keys to effectively communicating human rights.

¹⁰ See: FRA (2018), <u>Applying the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union in law and</u> policymaking at national level - Guidance.

¹¹ Independent High-level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence set up by the European Commission (2018), Ethics guidelines for trustworthy AI.



negatively affect the right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial, 12 as FRA's report on this issue indicates 13.

Little information is available to date on the extent to which judicial systems in Member States rely on algorithms in decision making¹⁴. The "use of big data as an aid for judges [, however], heralds the emergence of predictive justice[,] where big data analysis tools are intended to provide support for prosecution, sentencing or compensation policies or help to anticipate the effects of a judgement."¹⁵ Any use of artificial intelligence in the judicial system will need to build in fundamental rights safeguards from the outset.

- > FRA has published contributions to inform the debate on the digital transformation, for example, as regards discrimination, ¹⁶ data quality ¹⁷ and facial recognition ¹⁸.
- From 2020 onwards, FRA will be able to provide relevant authorities with expertise on the fundamental rights compliant use and development of AI-related technologies.

Hatred thriving online

Media content and political discourse in Member States, whether online or offline, display incitement to discrimination, hatred or violence against LGBT persons, members of ethnic or religious minorities, migrants and women more generally, as FRA's evidence shows¹⁹. Antisemitism is a case in point: 88% of Jewish respondents to FRA's survey feel that antisemitism online has increased over the past five years. In addition, 89% of Jewish respondents assessed that antisemitism is most problematic online or on social media²⁰.

Growing reliance on social media and other online platforms as the main source of information enables the rapid spread of unverified statements that can incite hatred. Hate-filled content posted online can go viral almost instantly, making it difficult to challenge or remove. This can have a corrosive effect, as such content is amplified in echo chambers where alternative views are seldom, if ever, expressed. It reinforces a spiral of hate.

FRA's analysis shows that systems of checks and balances exist in Member States to assess whether statements made in the media or by political actors fall within the boundaries of the right to freedom of expression²¹. The European Court of Human Rights issued a number of judgements that can help prosecutors in determining what

12352/19 cho,bba/KWI/pg 5
JAI.A **DF**

¹² Article 47, Right to an effective remedy and to a fair trial, Charter of Fundamental Rights of the EU.

¹³ FRA (2019), <u>Data quality and artificial intelligence – mitigating bias and error to protect fundamental rights</u>.

European Commission for the Efficiency of Justice (CEPEJ) (2019), <u>European ethical Charter on the use of Artificial Intelligence in judicial systems and their environment</u>.

¹⁵ CEPEJ (2016), <u>Guidelines on how to drive change towards Cyberjustice</u>.

¹⁶ FRA (2018), #BigData: Discrimination in data-supported decision making.

¹⁷ FRA (2018), <u>Data quality and artificial intelligence</u> – <u>mitigating bias and error to protect fundamental rights</u>.

FRA (forthcoming), Fundamental rights implications of using facial recognition technology [Working title].

FRA (2016), <u>Incitement in media content and political discourse in Member States of the European Union.</u>

FRA (2018), Experiences and perceptions of antisemitism - Second survey on discrimination and hate crime against Jews in the EU.

FRA (2016), <u>Incitement in media content and political discourse in Member States of the European Union</u>. See also: FRA (2018), <u>Database 2012-2017 on anti-Muslim hatred</u>.



constitutes hate speech²². The anonymity of online users makes it difficult to identify perpetrators and bring them to justice, but this should not deter prosecutors. Another challenge to consider is that the extent of the liability of internet intermediaries²³ is not yet fully resolved²⁴.

- > In its annual Fundamental Rights Report, FRA provides regular analysis of legal and policy developments in the fight against online hatred, which can foster exchanges of practices on measures to combat the phenomenon.
- > FRA is exploring future possibilities for conducting research on online hatred targeting specific groups, which will be linked to FRA's current work on AI.

Lack of trust in authorities among victims of hatred

Specific population groups across the EU face acute challenges to their fundamental rights. However, official statistics only show the tip of the iceberg. EU-wide survey data collected by FRA indicate that, on average, only between 4% and 19% of victims of hatred report incidents they experience to any responsible authority or body. The most common reason for not reporting incidents is the perception that nothing would change as a result. This finding holds true for members of ethnic or religious minorities, migrants, LGBT persons, and for women victims of gender-based violence²⁵.

FRA's surveys illustrate that victims often do not trust the judicial system and other mechanisms to provide them with an effective remedy. Many victims of hatred are not aware of their rights, and do not know where to report an incident. As a result, perpetrators can carry out their actions with relative impunity, with hate crime remaining invisible and unprosecuted.

- > In the absence of EU-wide surveys, FRA provides unique, comparative evidence across different population groups on the extent and nature of people's experiences of crime, including data on the rate and reasons for non-reporting among victims of crime.
- > FRA provides assistance and expertise in the design of crime and victimisation surveys, targeting both specific population groups and the general population.
- > FRA, in cooperation with the OSCE Office for Democratic Institutions and Human Rights (ODIHR), provides assistance to Member States on how to improve hate crime recording and encourage reporting among victims.

12352/19 cho,bba/KWI/pg 6

JAI.A **DE**

²² European Court of Human Rights (2019), <u>Factsheet – Hate speech</u>.

²³ Council of Europe (2019), <u>Internet intermediaries</u>.

European Commission (2019), <u>Illegal content on online platforms</u>. See also the evolving jurisprudence of the European Court of Human Rights, notably: <u>Delfi AS v. Estonia</u>, 2015; <u>Magyar Tartalomszolgáltatók Egyesülete and Index.hu ZRT v. Hungary</u>, 2016; <u>Magyar Jeti ZRT v. Hungary</u>, 2018

See findings from FRA's comparative, EU-wide surveys: FRA (2018), Experiences and perceptions of antisemitism - Second survey on discrimination and hate crime against Jews in the EU; FRA (2017), Second European Union Minorities and Discrimination Survey - Main results; FRA (2014), EU LGBT survey - European Union lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender survey - Main results; FRA (2014), Violence against women: an EU-wide survey. Main results report.



> Forthcoming findings from FRA's Fundamental Rights Survey will produce EU-wide data on the general population's experiences of different types of crime – from online crime through to violent crime – and whether they reported incidents to the police.

Respecting the dignity of victims of violent crime

Comparative research conducted by FRA shows that legal proceedings tend to centre on prosecutors and defendants²⁶. Where this is the case, proceedings can deny victims their right to an effective remedy, by relegating them to the role of witnesses and denying them the possibility to act as parties to the proceedings. FRA's analysis further reveals that victims of violent crime would like to see sanctions that rehabilitate served to offenders. That is, sanctions shaped to help offenders understand that what they did was wrong and that would lead them to change their behaviour.

- > FRA provides expertise on how to address barriers to access to justice for victims of violent crime, drawing on comparative legal analysis and fieldwork on the experiences of victims and practitioners.
- > FRA publishes socio-legal studies to inform developments in access to justice, including as regards victim support services, ²⁷ the European Arrest Warrant, ²⁸ or criminal detention conditions. ²⁹
- ➤ In 2020, FRA will begin work on equal access to criminal justice for all victims of crimes.

Further FRA resources

Legal professionals and law- and policymakers can draw on numerous FRA resources to support their work, for example including:

- The <u>annual report</u> on the situation of fundamental rights in the EU;
- Handbooks for legal practitioners on case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union and of the European Court of Human Rights in different areas, including access to justice;
- A <u>database</u> compiling case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union and of the European Court of Human Rights that references the Charter of Fundamental Rights.
- <u>Charterpedia</u>, an online tool providing information on references on the Charter of fundamental rights.
- Opinions and conclusions on specific thematic topics.
- <u>EU-wide surveys</u> and accompanying <u>data visualisation</u> tools.

12352/19

cho,bba/KWI/pg

DE

JAI.A

²⁶ See FRA's four-part series of reports on justice for victims of violent crime, released in 2019: Part 1: <u>Victims' rights as standards of criminal justice</u>; Part 2: <u>Proceedings that do justice</u>; Part 3: <u>Sanctions that do justice</u>; Part 4: <u>Women as victims of partner violence</u>.

FRA (2018), <u>Database 2012-2017 on anti-Muslim hatred;</u> FRA (2015), <u>Victims of crime in the EU: the extent and nature of support for victims;</u> FRA (2014), <u>Mapping victims</u>' rights and support in the EU.

²⁸ FRA (2019), Rights in practice: access to a lawyer and procedural rights in criminal and European Arrest Warrant proceedings. This report will be published on 27 September 2019.

FRA (2019, forthcoming), Criminal detention conditions in the EU: rules and reality. FRA (2016), <u>Criminal detention and alternatives:</u> fundamental rights aspects in EU cross-border transfers.