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## Executive summary

This paper presents the overview quality report on Balance of Payments (BOP), International Trade in Services Statistics (ITSS) and Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) statistics for the year 2016 transmitted by Member States of the European Union (EU) as well as Iceland and Norway.

The quality assessment was carried out according to Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 184/2005 ${ }^{(1)}$. It only takes into account the data requirements introduced by Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012 ${ }^{(2)}$.

The report's structure, contents, periodicity and indicators have been as much as possible aligned with the proposal Task Force set up the Committee on Monetary, Financial and Balance of Payments statistics (CMFB TF) to harmonise the existing European Central Bank (ECB) and Eurostat quality reports in this area. The proposed structure and content are to a large extent based on previous quality reports released by Eurostat (Quality report on balance of payments, international trade in services and foreign direct investment) and the ECB ("Euro area balance of payments and international investment position statistics"). These reports follow the basic principles set out in the "European statistics code of practice" and the "Public commitment on European statistics by the ECB", respectively. Harmonisation is possible as the basic concepts set out in the two documents are identical.

The report assesses data for the following periods:

- monthly BOP data for September 2013 to August 2016;
- quarterly data on the BOP, the international investment position (IIP) and revaluations for Q1 of 2013 to Q2 of 2016;
- annual international trade in services statistics (ITSS) and FDI statistics for 2014 and 2015.

The assessment covers data transmitted by EU Member States as well as Iceland and Norway under Article 2(1) of Regulation (EC) No 184/2005. It uses data delivered by October 2016 and takes into account replies provided by Member States.

In compliance with Article 4(4) of Regulation (EC) 184/2005 Eurostat prepares this report for public dissemination and submits it to the European Parliament and the Council for information.
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## 1 <br> Notable issues and scope for improvement

## 1. Notable issues and scope for improvement

The results of this quality assessment meet the expectations. All Member States complied with the data requirements and methodology outlined in the $6^{\text {th }}$ edition of the Balance of Payments and International Investment Position Manual (BPM6), ${ }^{3}$ which is the reference manual for the BOP and IIP. The quality of BOP data is the most satisfactory, while improvements are most needed in the area of FDI statistics.In terms of quality criteria, the overall results are as follows:

## Timeliness and punctuality

Relevance

Accessibility and clarity

Accuracy

The punctuality of monthly and quarterly BOP, quarterly IIP and annual ITSS and FDI statistics improved compared with the previous quality report, with more countries delivering datasets before the deadline.

Completeness improved across all statistical domains, at $100 \%$ in almost all cases, with average EU-28 completeness for monthly and quarterly BOP and quarterly IIP statistics at $100 \%$ and for ITSS statistics at $98 \%$. The average EU completeness rate was estimated at $98 \%$ for FDI flows and $99 \%$ for FDI stocks.

The availability of data to final users was satisfactory, with 15 Member States having $100 \%$ of their main items publishable. However, some Member States continue to excessively flag their data as 'non-publishable' or 'confidential'.

Eurostat publishes monthly and quarterly BOP; quarterly IIP, and revaluations; annual ITSS and FDI data in its public database. Data are also available on national websites along with the relevant metadata information.

An analysis of the upwards revisions for the quarterly current account (world) showed that only three countries had values within the defined target of between 40 and $60 \%$. For the quarterly financial account total (world), only two countries recorded asset values within the target interval.

The directional reliability indicator had relatively high values (above the recommended $80 \%$ ) for almost all countries, with the average

[^1]for the EU-28 above 90 \% for all items.

## Comparability

## Coherence

The intra-EU asymmetries continue to remain an issue, being for the current account components on the similar level compared with the last year's report and relatively higher for direct investment flows.
The overall consistency with integrity rules improved slightly compared with last year. There were almost no discrepancies for quarterly and annual ITSS and FDI data.

Member States made significant efforts to reduce the size of errors and omissions, but in some cases these still remain substantial. Overall in the EU, consistency between BOP and international trade in goods (ITGS) data remains good, with discrepancies usually explained by methodological differences. There was full or very good consistency between the BOP current account and national accounts in a number of countries, although substantial differences still exist for a few countries.

The overall quality of data submitted under Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012 is good. However, all Member States as well as Iceland and Norway need to address the remaining deficiencies. On the basis of the current quality assessment, Eurostat recommends to the Member States to address especially the following issues:

- compilation and submission of the remaining missing data,
- possibly more conservative use of confidential and non-publishable flags,
- reduction of bilateral asymmetries,
- reduction of the size of errors and omissions,
- further alignment between balance of payments and national accounts data.


## 2 <br> Methodological soundness and statistical procedures

## 2. Methodological soundness and statistical procedures

The methodological soundness and statistical procedures, concepts, definitions and practices used to compile BOP, ITSS and FDI statistics are in broad conformity with the principles and guidelines outlined in BPM6, taking into consideration the particularities agreed at EU level regarding the compilation of euro area and EU aggregates.

### 2.1. Legal basis

The Regulation (EC) No 184/2005 sets out the common framework for the systematic production of the European Union statistics on BOP, ITSS and FDI. It was amended by Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012 which updated the data requirements and definitions to align them with those of BPM6. Article 4 of Regulation (EC) No 184/2005 provides the requirements for the quality reporting and assessment of BOP, ITSS and FDI.

The Regulation (EC) No 184/2005 was amended by Regulation (EU) 2016/1013 of the European Parliament and of the Council4. Following the entry into force of the Lisbon Treaty, the purpose of the amendment was to align Regulation (EC) No 184/2005 to Articles 290 and 291 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU). The amended Regulation includes changes relevant to the quality reporting exercise, particularly by introducing references to the European Statistical System Committee, which now assists the Commission in conducting this exercise, replacing the Balance of Payments Committee.
The quality assessment is carried out according to Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 European Parliament and the Council ${ }^{5}$, where Article 12 defines the exact quality criteria: relevance, accuracy, timeliness and punctuality, accessibility and clarity, comparability, and coherence. The results of the assessment are presented in the quality report prepared in line with the Handbook of the European Statistical System for Quality Reports ${ }^{6}$. The quality criteria, content and periodicity of the quality reports are specified in Commission Regulation (EU) No 1227/20107.
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### 2.2. Data collection practices

BOP as produced by Eurostat records all economic transactions between resident and non-resident entities of the EU or individual Member States during a given period. It provides harmonized information on international transactions which are part of the current account (with its components: goods, services, primary and secondary income), as well as on transactions which fall in the capital account and financial account. The BOP is based on a double entry system, therefore the sum of the balances of the current account, the capital account and the financial account should in theory equal to zero. In practice, however, given that in general the two entries involved in each transaction are obtained from different sources, with different levels of detail and even at different frequencies, it is rather impossible to have zero errors and omissions. Moreover, since errors and omissions can only be calculated in net terms, a higher figure does not necessarily mean lower quality in one period compared to other periods.
The international investment position (IIP) presents the value of financial assets held by residents in an economy vis-a-vis non-residents economy and liabilities of the economy to the rest of the world.
The compilation of BOP relies on numerous sources of information of different nature (surveys, administrative data, indirect estimates based on other statistics, estimates made in the framework of national accounts (NA)). Other related statistics covering external economic relations include ITGS, ITSS and FDI. ITGS measure the value and quantity of goods traded between the Member States and goods traded by the Member States of the EU with third countries but with methodological differences with BOP and NAs. ITSS record the international transactions of services between the EU and its main trading partners. FDI record the direct investment financial flows and income of the EU resident entities as well as the foreign direct investment positions. Securities statistics is used as input for the financial account of balance of payments. Items compiled specifically for balance of payments and national accounts include compensation of employees, investment income, current transfers and the capital account.
All these statistics are needed for the implementation of the economic and trade policies of the EU. BOP data are used in the compilation of national accounts as well as for producing various indicators. BOP is an important macro-economic indicator used to assess the position of an economy (of credit or debit for current and capital account, net acquisition of financial assets or net incurrence of liabilities for BOP financial account and IIP) towards the external world.

In the EU, the compilation of BOP at European level is a shared responsibility between the Commission (Eurostat) and the European Central Bank (ECB). While Eurostat is focusing on the BOP current account, the ECB looks closer into the BOP financial account/IIP and related investment income.

## Timeliness and punctuality

## 3. Timeliness and punctuality

The Regulation (EC) No 184/2005 as amended by Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012 defines the clear timeliness requirements and sets the deadlines for the data transmission to Eurostat (each year also published in the BOP Vademecum ${ }^{8}$ ). Punctuality is calculated as the actual date of data delivery minus the scheduled date of transmission to Eurostat. It shows how many calendar days behind (positive value) or ahead (negative value) of the legal deadline the data were submitted.
Punctuality of monthly BOP, quarterly BOP and quarterly IIP data slightly improved compared with the previous quality report, with the vast majority of datasets delivered before or on the deadline.

For ITSS punctuality of data transmission remained at the excellent level, with all countries delivering data before or on the deadline.

The overall punctuality of FDI data improved this year with 28 reporters having delivered their datasets on time ( $\mathrm{t}+9$ months), against 25 during the 2015 reporting cycle. Punctuality also improved for the delivery of FDI data by activity (T4.3 and T5.2 questionnaires), due at $\mathrm{t}+21$ months.

Finally, it should be pointed out that very few Member States made multiple deliveries (of the same data/questionnaire), a change from last year. This helped Eurostat compile the EU FDI aggregates on time.

Table 1: Overview of punctuality for monthly BOP, quarterly BOP and quarterly IIP (sum of 4 months/4 quarters)

| Date of transmission <br> notification | MBOP | QBOP | QIIP |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Before the deadline | 52 | 70 | 68 |
| On the deadline | 58 | 42 | 41 |
| After the deadline | 2 | 8 | 11 |

Table 2: Overview of punctuality for annual ITSS and FDI data transmission

| Date of transmission notification | ITSS | FDI |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Before/on the deadline | 30 | 28 |
| Within 1 week after the deadline | 0 | 1 |
| Later than 1 week after the deadline | 0 | 1 |

The timeliness of the observed data sets by Member States are presented in Annex 1, tables 1 and 2.
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## Data availability

## 4. Data availability

In the BOP, ITSS and FDI quality report this component of quality is measured in terms of the completeness of the BOP, ITSS and FDI data required by the Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012 and Commission Regulation (EU) No 2016/1013 and its availability to the final users.

### 4.1.Completeness

For all domains the method of calculating the availability for all requests considers the number of reported cells divided by the total number of requested cells according to the Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012 and Commission Regulation (EU) No 2016/1013.

The data completeness slightly improved compared with the situation in the previous quality report, most visibly for quarterly BOP and FDI data. A detailed presentation by Member States and datasets is included in the Annex 1, tables from 3 to 5 . It should be noted that for BOP and particularly for IIP requirements for euro area Member States are more detailed than for non-euro area countries.

## - Monthly BOP

As concerns monthly BOP requests for the 2016 reference months, all 28 EU Member States were 100 \% compliant with requirements under Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012, while Iceland and Norway were granted derogations.

- Quarterly BOP

The availability of quarterly BOP data further improved in the EU-28 Member States and Norway throughout the reference quarters of 2015 and 2016, reaching $100 \%$ in the four quarters from Q3 of 2015 and Q2 of 2016.

Graph 1: Quarterly BOP average data availability compared to the EU average, 2015Q3-2016Q2 (as reported in September 2016)


- Quarterly IIP

For quarterly IIP data, completeness was at $100 \%$ for all 30 countries in the four quarters from Q3 of 2015 to Q2 of 2016. Submission of data on revaluations was only mandatory for euro area Member States and all euro area countries except Malta met this requirement.

## - International Trade in Services Statistics (ITSS)

The completeness of ITSS data stood at $98 \%$ on average. Graph 2 shows the percentages of data provided by individual Member States for reference year 2015, and that 22 Member States sent in all data related to service items and partners required by the Regulation. It is worth noting that, from the rest of the Member States, four countries attained more than $94 \%$ of completeness while the percentages of the remaining two countries exceeded $80 \%$. A common issue affecting completeness for ITSS is that countries often leave empty cells that represent non-existent or negligible transactions. Eurostat has reminded Member States that these transactions should be clearly reported with zero values, in order to avoid the cells being considered as missing information.

Graph 2: Data availability for ITSS items, per country, compared to the EU average - reference year 2015


## - Foreign Direct Investment (FDI)

For the first time, there was almost full completeness achieved in the delivery of 2015 FDI data due at $t+9$ months. The EU average completeness rate was estimated at $98 \%$ for FDI flows and $99 \%$ for FDI stocks. The FDI data requested at $t+21$ months (reference year 2014) includes a breakdown by geographical region and activity. Even if the ratio observed at $t+9$ months was not reached this time, the EU coverage rate of FDI data by activity further improved this year to a very satisfactory level of around 94-95 \%, both for FDI flows and stocks. Improvement was even more marked for stocks data, where the EU average completeness rate went from $88 \%$ during the 2015 production cycle to $94 \%$ for the 2016 one.
Just like last year, half of Member States reported values different from zero for FDI held or hosted by resident special purpose entities. The other half were either not able to separately identify SPEs, or declared not being concerned by this issue when compiling their FDI statistics.

## - FDI Flows - 2015 (T+9)

Overall, $98 \%$ of FDI flow and income data requirements at $\mathrm{t}+9$ months have been satisfied, with 19 EU Member States having completely met the requirements.
This excellent result is not altered by the three countries (Finland, France and Slovakia) whose ratios are below the $95 \%$ threshold, almost exclusively due to treating missing or negligible transactions in a different way to what is suggested in the Vademecum (§ 4.2.9).

Graph 3: Completeness - FDI flows for 2015 (t+9)


- FDI Flows - 2014 (T+21)

Although they appear to be slightly low, the completeness rates at $t+21$ covering all official FDI requests (broken down by geographical region and activity) remain very good. The EU's average completeness rate was estimated at $96 \%$, up three percentage points from last year. Only Ireland and Finland still have completeness rates below $80 \%$ and this is due to them not reporting negligible transactions (missing ' 0 ') observed in some activity sub-sectors.

Graph 4: Completeness - FDI flows for 2014 (t+21)


- FDI Stocks - 2015 (T+9)

The EU overall availability ratio on FDI positions data reached an excellent level estimated at $99 \%$, in spite of the expanded data request on SPEs.

This is a direct result of the high number of reporting countries having been able to deliver complete datasets of their FDI positions at the end of 2015. More precisely, the completeness of FDI positions at t+9 months improved for all countries, with the ratio for 24 Member States at $100 \%$.
Only Malta is lagging behind other EU Member States (at $82 \%$ ), mainly because of under-coverage of requested information by functional category and for the reasons discussed in the section on FDI flows.

Graph 5: Completeness - FDI stocks for 2015 (t+9)


- FDI Stocks - 2014 (T+21)

In spite of the increase in coverage rate, requirements for the delivery of FDI positions at $t+21$ months (end of 2014) remained a bit more difficult to satisfy. Indeed, the overall EU completeness ratio average was estimated at $94 \%$, against $88 \%$ in the previous production cycle.
Four EU Member States (Ireland, Finland, Austria and Slovakia) still have completeness ratios below 80 \% (compared to eight Member States below $80 \%$ during the previous production cycle). However, Austria reported a sharp increase in the coverage rate of FDI position data at T+21 months, and is now close to the 80 \% threshold.

Graph 6: Completeness - FDI stocks for 2014 (t+21)


### 4.2. Accessibility

Accessibility refers to the conditions under which users can obtain, use and interpret data. It ultimately reflects how easy it is for users to access the data and the extent to which confidentiality constraints hamper data availability. Regulation 2015/759- of 29 April 2015, amending Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 on European statistics of 11 March 2009 [(recital 24 and Article 20(4))], stipulates the need to agree common principles and guidelines that ensure the confidentiality of data used for the production of European statistics and access to these data. In line with this legal framework, all submitted data must include a flag indicating their confidentiality level. There are clear guidelines on how to use these confidentiality flags; they are described in the BOP Vademecum.
See Annex 1, tables from 6 to 9 for the detailed evaluation of the data accessibility for the different Member States.
The quality report evaluates the proportion of observations marked as 'free for publication', assessing how much of the data sent to Eurostat are available to all users.

Due to national dissemination policies, seven EU Member States flagged full monthly BOP datasets as 'non-publishable' or 'confidential'. Ten EU Member States have made all their quarterly BOP data required under Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012 available to final users, and fourteen Member States have done so for quarterly IIP data.
Additionally, for quarterly BOP data ten Member States have a proportion of free cells that is higher than $90 \%$, while for IIP six countries have a proportion of free cells higher than $90 \%$.
For international trade in services in reference year 2015, seven Member States made all their data available to final users, another six made more than $90 \%$ of their data available and another seven made more than $80 \%$ available. The situation is similar for reference year 2014. In four EU Member States, namely Spain, Portugal, Finland and the United Kingdom, the amount of ITSS annual data made available to users is particularly low (below or equal to $7 \%$ ). Spain, Portugal and Finland made extensive use of the 'non-publishable' flag, while the United Kingdom flagged many items as 'confidential'. Iceland and Norway also have a low availability percentage ( $31 \%$ and $1 \%$, respectively). Finally, it is worth mentioning that some countries (Portugal, Slovakia and Finland) agreed to make some more ITSS data available (the data that had been flagged as 'non-publishable') after Eurostat's request. In fact, Slovakia made its whole dataset available for publication.

For FDI, six Member States allowed Eurostat to fully disclose their data - namely Bulgaria, Greece, Italy, Poland, Slovenia and Croatia. Additionally, four countries (Germany, the Netherlands, Denmark and Lithuania - apply the flagging system to a very limited extent, thus allowing Eurostat to widely disclose their annual FDI data. On the other hand and for various reasons, Eurostat discloses only a low percentage of FDI data provided by Austria, Finland, Luxembourg and Portugal. For Austria, note that detailed FDI data excluding those on special purpose entities are disclosed by the country itself and do not fall under the scope of Eurostat's FDI data dissemination policy. For Luxembourg, the high proportion of confidential figures is due to the sensitivity of FDI data. For Slovakia, Eurostat disclosed annual (BPM6) FDI data where this was feasible.

It is difficult to assess the accessibility of FDI data in other cases since Eurostat does not always know whether or not the distinction between the different confidential and non-publishable codes is made in accordance with the BOP Vademecum guidelines.

Data availability generally improves when the values of the flagged cells are taken into account in the total value of provided cells. Substantial differences can be observed between the proportion of flagged cells in total cells reported and the proportion of flagged values in total value reported. In 2015 for quarterly BOP data, the differences were most substantial for Spain, Malta, Portugal, Finland, Iceland and Norway, while for IIP data they were most substantial for Ireland, Spain, Cyprus, Luxembourg, Malta, Austria, Portugal, Finland and Iceland. The same pattern can generally be observed for ITSS and FDI data (although Portugal and Finland are exceptions for FDI, since their disclosure of data is doubly restricted both in terms of number and corresponding amounts). This can be explained by the fact that countries generally flag cells with smaller values. FDI data availability improved when measured on the basis of the value of flagged cells.

Finally, there can also be differences between the flagging patterns of quarterly and annual ITSS data. For example, an item may be flagged as confidential in the annual dataset and be available in the quarterly dataset (for all quarters). This is very confusing for users. Eurostat would therefore like to encourage Member States to intensify their efforts to align the confidentiality patterns of the two datasets as much as possible, within the framework of their various national constraints (e.g. dissemination calendars).
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#### Abstract

Main items A distinction is also made between flagging of main items and total flagging. Main items for Quarterly BOP include (for accounting entries credits/debits or net acquisition of assets/net incurrence of liabilities) goods, services, compensation of employees, direct investment income, portfolio investment income, other investment income, secondary income, capital account, direct investment, portfolio investment and other investment and net financial derivatives and employees stock options with partners Rest of the World, EU28, Extra-EU28, Euro Area 19 and Extra Euro Area 19. For annual International Trade in Services the main items are total services(S), manufacturing services on physical inputs owned by others (SA), maintenance and repair services n.i.e. (SB), transport (SC), travel (SD), construction services (SE), insurance and pension services (SF), financial services (SG), charges for the use of intellectual property n.i.e. (SH), telecommunications, computer and information services (SI), other business services (SJ), personal, cultural and recreational services (SK), and government goods and services n.i.e. (SL) with partners Total World, EU28, Extra-EU28, Euro Area 19, Extra Euro Area 19, Switzerland, Russia, USA, Canada, Brazil, Japan, India, China and Hong Kong. For FDI main geographical breakdown is identical to ITSS.

Looking only at main items (Annex 1, tables 8 and 9), the availability of quarterly BOP and ITSS data to final users is, as expected, higher than for all items. For quarterly BOP, 18 countries made all their data available; for quarterly IIP data, 21 countries did so. For ITSS, the availability of data on main items reached 100 \% for 13 EU Member States, and remained low for the countries that showed low availability percentages for data on all services. As mentioned above, ITSS data availability also improves for main items when the values of flagged cells are taken into account in the total value of provided cells. For FDI, the percentage of cells for which data can be disclosed is not systematically higher when calculated only for the main partners.


### 4.3. Clarity

Clarity refers to the modalities by which users can obtain, use and interpret data. This quality dimension examines the data's information environment, whether data are accompanied (publicly available) by appropriate metadata on revisions and major events.

Eurostat publishes monthly and quarterly BOP; quarterly IIP and revaluations; annual ITSS and FDI data in its public database (Eurobase), in the "Balance of payments - international transactions" domain. Data are accompanied by metadata and are disseminated under the following sub-domains:

- Balance of payments statistics and international investment position (BPM6),
- International trade in services, geographical breakdown (BPM6),
- European Union direct investments (BPM6),
- Balance of payments of the EU institutions,
- Separate table on "Personal transfers and compensation of employees".

The BOP related statistics are also accessible via the dedicated web section ${ }^{10}$, where the data are divided to 'Main tables':
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and 'Database':


For the BOP domain there is a methodology dedicated web section where users could find information on 'Methodologies and working papers' and 'Legal acts'. Additionally there are explanatory metadata files for the different data sets: Balance of payments - international transactions (BPM6) and International trade in services, geographical breakdown (BPM6).

The Table 10 in the Annex 1 provides information if monthly BOP, quarterly BOP, quarterly IIP, quarterly revaluations, annual ITSS and annual FDI were disseminated by data compilers on the national level. Data for quarterly BOP, quarterly IIP, annual ITSS (except one country) and annual FDI (except one country) are disseminated by all Member States. While monthly BOP is disseminated by twenty one countries, only nine countries publish revaluations.

## Accuracy and reliability

## 5. Accuracy and reliability (including stability)

Accuracy refers to the closeness of estimates to the unknown true values. In the BOP, ITSS and FDI quality report this component of quality is measured looking at the stability of the data that can be assessed looking at the size of the revisions. It is assumed that each revision takes the dataset closer to the true value.

Revisions do not mean that 'errors' have been made or that the quality of the data has been deteriorating over time. On the contrary, revisions are made when new data sources and better information become available and thus result in more accurate observations. A well established and publicly communicated revisions policy is a sign of the strength of the statistical system in question.

The size of revisions is, however, a measure of the quality of the first release of a specific dataset, compared with the latest vintage made available. There is a trade-off between timeliness and size of revisions: the earlier the first release of a dataset takes place, the higher the revisions that can be expected as later vintages of the same dataset are released.

### 5.1. Upwards revisions ratio

In principle, positive and negative revisions should occur with roughly the same frequency. For instance, if the revisions are systematically positive, this may point to under-coverage in early estimates, which needs to be corrected. A simple indicator for measuring this phenomenon is the ratio between upward revisions and the number of considered observations ( N ).

$$
\text { Upwards revision ratio }=(\# \text { upward revisions }) / N
$$

The prescriptive target for this indicator would be within $40 \%$ and $60 \%$.

The upwards revisions for the current account (world) are within the defined threshold interval:

- For credit and debit - Germany, Latvia and Lithuania;
- For credit - Czech Republic, France, Italy, Austria, Portugal, Slovenia and Slovakia;

The upwards revisions for the financial account total (world) have been outside the defined target between 40 and $60 \%$ for almost all the countries, only Romania and Finland recorded values within the target for the assets and close to the thresholds values for the liabilities.

Detailed data are presented in Annex 1, tables 11 and 12.

### 5.2. Directional reliability

The indicator on directional reliability measures the reliability of monthly BOP statistics analysing how often the first assessment correctly predicted an increase or decrease of the statistics in comparison with the successive estimates for the same period. The indicator measures the percentage of cases where the initial series correctly predicts the month-to-month changes of the latest figures and equals $100 \%$ when the early and subsequent estimates of monthly BOP statistics always have the same sign. The exact values are presented in the Annex 1, table 13.

The directional reliability indicator $(Q)$ is then defined as follows:

$$
Q=\frac{n_{11}+n_{22}}{N}
$$

When the changes either in the initial or latest assessments are near zero, these observations should be excluded from the calculation of the indicators. Near zero changes are defined in the same way as near zero revisions in the section on upwards revisions.

This coefficient $Q$ is equal to:

- 1 - the changes following the first and the latest estimates always have the same sign $\left(\mathrm{n}_{11}+\mathrm{n}_{22}=\mathrm{N}\right)$;
- $\mathbf{0}$ - there is a total dissociation: $\left(\mathrm{n}_{11}+\mathrm{n}_{22}=0\right)$.

Therefore, higher values of this indicator are preferred.
The prescriptive target for the directional reliability indicator is set at $80 \%$. This would mean that at least in 8 out of 10 cases the first assessments correctly predicted the movement of the series between two consecutive observations.

For the EU-28 the directional reliability is meeting the defined target exceeding $90 \%$ for goods, services, primary and secondary income.

Around 70\% of the EU-28 Member States are meeting the target for goods, services, primary income and direct investment and around $90 \%$ for portfolio and other investment. The lowest values are for the financial account having less than half of the countries meeting the prescriptive target.

The indicator shows that Ireland has the lowest levels for goods: 49 \% for credit and 63 \% for debit. For services, Slovakia, the Czech Republic and the United Kingdom have low values below 60\%, while for debit Ireland and Slovakia have the lowest values (below 70\%). For 'primary income, credit', Estonia and Slovenia have values of $57 \%$ and Lithuania has the lowest value for debit (60\%). The United Kingdom has the lowest value for 'secondary income, credit' (46\%), while for debit Luxembourg has the lowest figures ( $54 \%$ ). Luxembourg, Belgium and Spain have values below $55 \%$ for the net financial account. Malta and Cyprus have values below or equal to $60 \%$ for 'direct investment, assets' and for liabilities Cyprus scores $49 \%$. For portfolio investment, Belgium has the lowest value for assets ( $74 \%$ ) and Luxembourg for liabilities ( $66 \%$ ). For other investment, most countries have values of above $90 \%$.

### 5.3. Stability

### 5.3.1. Stability of monthly BOP, quarterly BOP and quarterly IIP data

For monthly balance of payments, quarterly balance of payments and quarterly international investment position data, tables 14 to 17 in Annex 1 present values for mean revisions in the last 36 months (from September 2013 to August 2016) and 14 quarters (from Q1 of 2013 to Q2 of 2016).

Mean revision values have been calculated as an average of the differences between initial and final available estimates from September 2013 to August 2016 (for monthly BOP) and from Q1 of 2013 to Q2 of 2016 (for quarterly BOP and IIP). These values should be interpreted with caution as they might be abnormally high when initial estimates are low. In particular, indicators of small economies are very sensitive due to this and in some cases show extreme values despite the fact that the absolute amounts of both first estimates and revisions are small.

Relatively small revisions were recorded on both the credit and debit side of the goods, services and secondary income accounts, for both monthly and quarterly BOP. The few exceptions in monthly BOP included: Ireland and Malta for 'goods, credits; Malta and Sweden for services (both credits and debits); for compensation of employees Croatia and Sweden for credit and Bulgaria and Malta for debit.

Ireland, Cyprus, Slovakia and Sweden recorded the highest revisions for secondary income.
The primary income account was more affected by revisions, especially due to direct investment income. FDI income data, particularly on equity, is usually only available after a long delay, as part of annual data, and therefore potentially substantial revisions are unavoidable for this item. For monthly and quarterly BOP data, higher mean values were recorded for revisions related to income on equity in the Czech Republic, Cyprus, Malta and Slovenia. For income on debt instruments higher mean values were recorded for Romania and Malta. This resulted in higher mean values for revisions in EU aggregates.

Mean revision values are generally higher for financial account items than for current account items, and significant for: net financial derivatives (Cyprus and Norway), direct investment assets (France and Netherlands), direct investment liabilities (Germany, and Poland), portfolio investment assets (Portugal), portfolio investment liabilities (Spain) other investment assets (Ireland and Luxembourg) and other investment liabilities (Belgium, Malta and the United Kingdom). For EU aggregates, the highest values were observed for net financial derivatives.

As could be expected, the size of revisions for main international investment position items is much less significant than for BOP, with the highest mean revision values recorded for: financial derivative assets (Bulgaria and Ireland), financial derivative liabilities (Ireland), and portfolio investment liabilities (Croatia).

Revisions of quarterly BOP data were generally lower, with the most significant revisions for compensation of employees for Bulgaria (for debit) and Sweden (for credit), direct investment income on equity for credit (Estonia, Poland and Romania) and debit (Lithuania), direct investment income on debt instruments, credit/debit (Cyprus), portfolio investment income on equity, debit (Czech Republic and Slovenia), portfolio investment income on debt securities, credit (Romania) and the capital account, debit (Bulgaria and Denmark).

### 5.3.2. Stability of annual ITSS and FDI data

For annual international trade in services and foreign direct investment, an analysis of the relative stability of revised data could be carried out for 2016 for reference years 2012, 2013 and 2014 for ITSS and for reference years 2013 and 2014 for FDI. The results are shown in Annex 1, tables 18 and 19.

For the assessment of annual data (ITSS, credit and debit; FDI net inward and outward flows; FDI, net inward and outward positions), the analysis focuses on the differences between the values as reported
in the two BM6-based annual data deliveries, expressed as ratios between two values (where 100\% means that no revisions took place).

Datasets related to reference years 2011, 2012 and 2013 were transmitted to Eurostat in 2014 and 2015. Each new data production cycle includes some revisions. While the individual quality reports show the size of the revisions made with each new data transmission, tables 18 (for ITSS) and 19 (for FDI) show the overall revisions observed when comparing the last two data sets transmitted for the same period. Therefore 2016/2013 values provide the relative impact between the first (made in 2015) and the second (made in 2016) data revisions related to 2013, whilst 2016/2014 values deal with the overall impact of the first 2014 data revisions observed when comparing the first (received in 2015) and the last (received in 2016) data transmissions.

Vintage analysis shows that limited revisions could be observed in ITSS: Bulgaria (2012 and 2013); France, Denmark, Malta, Germany, Cyprus and Sweden (2012, 2013 and 2014), Belgium and Czech Republic (2014); Italy and Portugal (2013 and 2014); Spain and Luxembourg (2013 and 2014); Ireland (2012 and 2014). Please note that for ITSS vintages analysis only back data sent by the countries were used; converted data (from available BPM5 data) by Eurostat (whether published or not) were not taken into account.

As expected, the revision process impacts more on FDI flows than on FDI stocks because of a greater "natural" volatility for the former type of statistics.
Data on FDI stocks have been relatively stable over time, except for Cyprus (both in 2013 and 2014) and, to some extent, Luxembourg (in 2014). However, the 2014 stability ratio for other EU reporters fluctuated within a relatively wide segment (93-111), thus highlighting the usefulness of carrying out at least one revision cycle as foreseen in the Regulation.

For FDI flows, the high volatility of available data at $t+9$ months compared to the first revisions at $t+21$ months is confirmed for almost all EU reporting countries as is clear from the very high (or very low) 2014 stability ratio. The instability remains even after the first revision process, as shown by the stability ratio for 2013, and fully justifies the need for some compilers to continue the revision process beyond the official deadline set in the Regulation.

### 5.4. Relative size

### 5.4.1. Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE)

As revisions can be positive or negative, it is appropriate to take the absolute value in order to avoid revisions of opposite signs cancelling each other out in the resulting indicator. If the average is calculated with the absolute values, the result is the mean absolute percentage error (MAPE), which is calculated as follow:

$$
M A P E_{\text {ratio of averages }}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left|x_{t}^{L}-x_{t}^{I}\right| / T}{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left|x_{t}^{T}\right| / T}
$$

MAPE had the highest values for current account (world), debit for Greece, Croatia and Cyprus. For both credit and debit the EU-28 average was 1. The highest values for goods (extra EU-28) were displayed for Ireland, Greece, Cyprus, Cyprus, Finland and Norway, while for services (extra EU-28): Latvia, Luxembourg and Malta. The debits of compensation of employees (Bulgaria) and capital account (Denmark) displayed extreme values.

Annex 1, tables 20 and 21 present detailed information for all the Member States.

### 5.4.2. Mean absolute comparative error (MACE)

To overcome the fact that transactions in financial assets and liabilities can be positive and negative, and therefore not usable in the denominator, revisions in financial assets and liabilities can be related to the respective IIP item for assessing their relative size. For strictly positive data, an average of the absolute value of this ratio can be taken over time in order to avoid revisions of opposite signs cancelling each other out in the resulting indicator.

The mean absolute comparative error (MACE) is defined as:

$$
M A C E_{\text {ratio of averages }}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left|x_{t}^{L}-x_{t}^{I}\right| / T}{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left|p_{t}^{L}\right| / T}
$$

Annex 1, table 20 presents detailed information for all the Member States. MACE values in relation to IIP are generally minor, except for financial derivatives and employee stock options where net values with often smaller denominators' figures are analysed.

### 5.4.3. Symmetric Mean Absolute Percentage Error (SMAPE)

The Symmetric Mean Absolute Percentage Error (SMAPE) was proposed in order to get a symmetric indicator:

$$
S M A P E=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left|x_{t}^{L}-x_{t}^{I}\right| / T}{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(\left|x_{t}^{L}\right|+\left|x_{t}^{I}\right|\right) / T}
$$

Compared to MAPE, this indicator fixes the issue of asymmetry and it is bounded between 0 and 1 (or $100 \%$ in percentage terms), while MAPE is not bounded in the upper side. However, SMAPE shows a different class of asymmetry. SMAPE gives relevance to the initial observation (the forecast of the initial estimates) while MAPE does not.

Greece and Croatia (for debit) and Cyprus (both for debit and credit) showed the highest value of 5\% for SMAPE for current account (world). The EU-average was $1 \%$ both for credit and debit. The SMAPE for goods (Extra EU-28) had values higher than 1\% for Belgium, Denmark, Ireland, Greece, Malta, Sweden and Norway (both for credit and debit); for Estonia, Cyprus, Luxembourg and Austria (credit) and for Hungary, Poland and Finland (debit). The indicator had extreme values for almost all the countries for income, capital account, financial derivatives, direct, portfolio and other investments.

Annex 1, tables 22 and 23 present detailed information for all the Member States.

### 5.4.4. Net relative revisions (NRR)

In the case of net/balance time series, revisions cannot be properly related to the series value itself because the observations may have different signs and the values of the series may often be close to zero. To enhance understanding of the size of the revisions for the net/balance items, the revisions can be related to average current account flows or the underlying stocks of financial assets/liabilities as applicable. The used indicators are named net relative revisions (NRR):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N R R_{C A}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{T}\left|x_{t}^{L}-x_{t}^{I}\right| / T}{\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^{T}\left(x_{t}^{\left.L^{\text {credit }}+x_{t}^{L^{\text {debit }}}\right) / T}\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Annex 1, tables 24 and 25 present detailed information for all the Member States.
Table 3 shows which measures of revisions for the BOP and IIP are to be used in the annual quality report.
Table 3: Measures of BOP and IIP revisions

|  | Credits | Debits | Balance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Current account | (S)MAPE | (S)MAPE | NRR |


|  | Assets | Liabilities | Net |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Financial account -transactions | MACE | MACE | NRR |
| Financial account - positions | (S)MAPE | (S)MAPE | NRR |

In the case of NRR the most affected for all the countries were compensation of employees, income (equity, debt instruments, equity and investment fund shares and other investment income) and capital account.

Internal consistency

## 6. Internal consistency

Internal consistency is measured by evaluating the respect of integrity rules, coherence between the quarterly and annual data and the size of errors and omissions.

### 6.1. Validation/Integrity rules

### 6.1.1. Consistency with integrity rules

Integrity rules state that the sum of the components should be equal to the aggregates. The integrity rules are defined by a set of equations included in the BOP Vademecum, which should be respected in the datasets transmitted to Eurostat. This section of the quality report should focus on the extent to which national data sets comply with the linear accounting constraints and consistency checks. See for details Annex 1, table 26.

Consistency is assessed excellent if no inconsistency was detected, and good if from 2 to 5 small inconsistencies solvable by Eurostat were noticed. In case of resending of data (marked with an asterisk in the table) due to irresolvable inconsistencies, the last transmission has been considered for assessment.

The overall internal consistency improved slightly - it was excellent for all the countries for monthly BOP and FDI flows and for ITSS (except Malta) and FDI stocks (except Belgium). Although the need for second delivery diminished in comparison to the last reporting cycle, there were still cases where Eurostat was not able to fix the problems, so the national compilers were asked to resend corrected datasets.

Note that serious inconsistencies or missing data in the datasets impact the timeliness of Eurostat's data treatment and dissemination. Therefore, Member States are strongly encouraged to check their datasets against the integrity rules before they submit them. EDIT tools are available to national compilers of FDI and ITSS data and Eurostat strongly encourages Member States to use them to improve the quality of their annual ITSS and FDI datasets. New version of EDIT specifically for BOP and IIP data has become available for the June 2017 quarterly production round.

### 6.1.2. Consistency between quarterly and annual data

In principle, when annual data are published, quarterly data should be adjusted accordingly. Each subsequent quarterly publication, which includes revisions of previous years, may introduce temporary discrepancies until the next delivery of annual data. Tables 27, 28 and 29 (see Annex 1) monitor the progress made in aligning quarterly and annual data.

## International Trade in Services statistics

In the datasets delivered at the end of September 2016, there were almost no discrepancies for quarterly and annual ITSS data, except for the Netherlands (where the central bank has decided to fully align trade in services in the quarterly BOP with the rest of the world account instead of the ITSS source data) and Norway. Please note that only back data sent by the reporting countries were used in the analysis of consistency between quarterly and annual ITSS data; data (from available BPM5 data) converted by Eurostat (whether published or not) were not taken into account.

## Foreign direct investment

Almost all Member States register zero or negligible discrepancies between the two datasets. For the 2015 reference year, some higher single discrepancies are observed for FDI flows (assets and liabilities) for Poland, Croatia, Denmark and the United Kingdom, while for FDI income for Ireland and Malta (only credit flows). In some cases, high ratios are linked with small amounts of corresponding FDI transactions and do not point to a significant inconsistency issue.
A possible reason for these inconsistencies is the delay in the update of the quarterly series following the annual surveys. Therefore, Member States are strongly encouraged to regularly check the consistency between the quarterly and annual datasets, and to provide any revisions to the BOP team in Eurostat in a timely manner.

### 6.1.3. Consistency between monthly and quarterly data

The monthly BOP is the initial assessment of the BOP figures. Full consistency between monthly and quarterly data is not required, since quarterly data are requested on a full accrual basis, whereas best estimates (e.g. partly on a cash basis) are accepted for the monthly BOP. Consistency between monthly and quarterly datasets is normally ensured by national compilers. However, some national compilers only produce monthly data for the compilation of the euro area and EU aggregates, usually following a simplified compilation approach (e.g. only partial accrual accounting). Therefore, in some periods, quarterly and monthly data are not necessarily fully reconciled.
Tables 30 and 31 (see Annex 1) show that apart from few exceptions, mainly for Croatia, Austria, Poland, Finland, Sweden and the United Kingdom consistency between monthly and quarterly figures have been ensured by the Member States.

### 6.1.4. Consistency between BOP and IIP data

Table 32 in Annex 1 presents an analysis of consistency between BOP financial account transactions and IIP. Generally value of IIP at the end of the analysed year (2015) should be equal to sum of IIP at the end of the previous year (2014), BOP financial account transactions in 2015, revaluations due to exchange rate changes in 2015, revaluations due to other price changes in 2015 and other changes in the volume of assets/liabilities in 2015 . Consistency was to be ensured on voluntary basis, as data for other changes in the volume of assets/liabilities are not required by Regulation (EC) No 184/2005 and for non-euro area countries also data for revaluations due to exchange rate changes and other price changes are to be provided on a voluntary basis. Therefore, for the countries that did not send revaluation data BOP/IIP consistency could not be properly analysed. The Czech Republic, Germany, Estonia, Ireland, Greece, Spain, France, Italy, Cyprus, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Austria, Portugal, Slovenia and Slovakia submitted fully consistent data, and data from Hungary and Romania had only minor inconsistencies.

### 6.2. Net errors and omissions (NEO)

In principle, the net financial account should be identical to the current and capital accounts balance, in practice this is not the case. Imbalances arise mostly from imperfections in source data and compilation practices.

Errors and Omissions (E\&O) is the residual BOP item and in theory should equal zero, although in practice this is rather impossible In practice errors and omissions are expected to be relatively small and not persistently positive or negative in the long run.

It is important to note that national compilers may put in place mechanisms for the correction of errors and omissions in their national data in order for national NEO to display certain properties. Therefore, national NEO values might not be comparable as they may be treated or calculated differently in various countries. The quality report contains information on error correction mechanisms used at national level and quantifies the results of these mechanisms to the extent possible.

### 6.2.1. Average relative error to current account (ARE)

Errors and omissions tend to be very volatile. In order to get an idea about its tendency, the Average Relative Error, ARE (EO) is calculated for each country. Errors and omissions can be caused by mismatches in entries in current, capital vis-a-vis a counterpart entry in financial account and, increasingly frequently and with often higher amounts and volatility mismatches among two entries that should be recorded in the financial account. Due to the lack of available data on gross financial flows in the BOP financial account the analysis below has been limited to the relation to the current account transactions and the IIP, despite that financial flows in most EU Member States were generally bigger than current account transactions. It is important to note also that errors and omissions in the financial account of the balance of payments do not necessarily imply errors and omissions in international investment position statistics.
Table 33 in Annex 1 shows ARE (EO) in relation to the current account in three different periods: 2011-2013, 2012-2014 and 2013-2015.
ARE (EO) is defined as follows:

$$
A R E(E O)=\frac{1}{N} \cdot \sum_{t=1}^{N}\left|\frac{E O_{t}}{\left.\mid[C A, t]_{C}^{W 11}+[C A, t]_{D}^{W 1}\right) / 2}\right|
$$

Where:
$E O_{t}$ are errors and omissions in reference quarter $t$,
$\mathrm{N}=$ is the number of the periods analyzed - 12 quarterly observations during 3 years, $[C A, t]_{C}^{W 1}$ is the current account (BOP item CA ) in reference quarter t , accounting entry - credit, partner World, and
$[C A, t]_{D}^{W 1}=$ current account in reference quarter t , accounting entry debit, partner World.
Denmark, Croatia, Cyprus, Finland, Sweden, Iceland and Norway were the countries with the highest values of the ARE (EO) in relation to the current account. ARE (EO) for the EU-28 was equal to 6 for all the observed periods.

### 6.2.2. Average relative error to IIP

The relative error $\operatorname{RE}(\mathrm{EO})$ in the relation to IIP which is calculated as follow:

$$
R E(E O)_{I P}=\left|\frac{E O_{t}}{\left(F A_{-} L E(a)_{t}+F A_{-} L E(l)_{t}\right) / 2}\right|
$$

Where,
EOt $=$ errors and omissions in reference quarter $t$
FA_LE(a)t = total international investment position, assets at the end of the reference quarter $t$
FA_LE(I)t = total international investment position, liabilities at the end of the reference quarter t
Significant efforts have been made in recent years by EU Member States to reduce the size of errors and omissions. As the values of the median and of quartiles show, the situation has remained on the similar level compared with the previous quality report. As shown in table 34 Bulgaria, Denmark, Croatia and Slovakia were the countries with the highest values of the ARE (EO) in relation to the IIP. The values of the indicator were above the median for all analysed time periods for Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Denmark, Croatia, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovenia, Slovakia, Finland, Sweden, Iceland and Norway.

### 6.2.3. Cumulative net errors and omissions in relation to the current account / IIP

The cumulated relative sum of E\&O is computed for each year as the cumulated sum of errors and omissions in this reference year divided by the total current account (sum of credit and debit). This indicator assesses the persistency of the sign of errors and omissions or the bias and should in the long run tend to zero.
It shows significantly lower values for Member States for which E\&O are changing signs, like Czech Republic, Spain, Italy, Cyprus, Austria, Portugal, Sweden and Iceland, as well as for the EU aggregates.

Cumulative relative error (CRE) can be expressed in the following manner:

$$
\operatorname{CRE}(E O)_{C A}^{T}=\frac{\sum_{t=1}^{N} E O_{t}}{\left([C A, T]_{c}^{W 1}+[C A, T]_{D}^{W 1}\right) / 2}
$$

where T is a given time period and CA the current account.
Table 35 presents values of the indicator for 2013, 2014 and 2015. For 2015 the highest values for the CRE were for Finland, Croatia, Denmark, Poland and Norway.

## External consistency

## 7. External consistency

External consistency is related to the coherence between BOP data and similar statistics belonging to different statistical frameworks.

An important aspect to note is that a discrepancy with other statistical bodies is not a-priori a sign of errors in the BOP data. Since the purpose of a quality report on BOP data is not to assess the quality of other bodies of data, a discrepancy may not be automatically considered symptomatic of precarious quality in BOP data.
For the purposes of this report, only indicators for consistency vis-à-vis international trade in goods statistics (ITGS) and sector accounts are presented.

### 7.1. Consistency between international trade in goods statistics (ITGS) and BOP

Overall consistency analysis between ITGS and BOP requires some resources to be performed, since both statistics are defined with reference to different concepts (these differences are documented in the BOP reference manual BPM6). When comparing the two datasets, methodological differences between the BOP and ITGS should be taken into account. Differences in concepts and definitions are generated by the fact that the BOP requires a 'change of ownership' in order to record a transaction, whereas ITGS record physical cross-border movements of goods. Differing treatment of specific transactions concern e.g. non-monetary gold that changes ownership without being physically transported to the country of the new owner; this gold is not included in ITGS but is included in the BOP. Transactions linked to merchanting are included only in BOP goods, as goods involved in transactions are not present in the compiling economy. After the methodological change introduced by the BPM6, transactions linked to goods sent abroad for processing have been removed from the BOP goods item, while still included in ITGS. In BOP only fees charged by the processor are recorded as service, under 'manufacturing services on physical inputs owned by others.' Differences in valuation occur because imports/debits are valued free on board (f.o.b.) in the BOP, but are valued cost, insurance and freight (c.i.f.) in ITGS. BOP compilers conduct, therefore, c.i.f./f.o.b. adjustments of ITGS figures for BOP purposes, with adjustment practices differing among the EU Member States.

The directional consistency indicator $\left(Q_{c}\right)$ assessing consistency between BOP and ITGS is defined as follows:

$$
Q_{C}=\frac{n_{11}+n_{22}}{N}
$$

where, n11 is the number of cases in which the positive development (increase of exports/import compared with the previous quarter) indicated by the international trade in goods statistics is
confirmed by a positive development in the BOP statistics, n22 is the number of cases where the negative development indicated by the international trade in goods statistics is confirmed by a negative development in the BOP statistics and $N$ is the number of periods analysed, that is 12 (quarterly data for 3 years). This coefficient (Qc), when multiplied by 100, equals $100 \%$ when the changes in the BOP series and the changes in the external trade statistics follow the same pattern; when there is a total dissociation it is equal to $0 \%$.

In order to have a fair assessment of consistency, discrepancies due to conceptual differences in international concepts of BOP and ITGS have to be removed. Due to limited resources and data requirements, only some (but not all) methodological discrepancies could be removed, i.e. the subitem 'merchandise trade on BOP basis' (which excludes merchanting and non-monetary gold) was used in the analysis instead of item 'goods.' The directional consistency indicator between BOP and ITGS may be lower only due to the methodological differences between two statistics and is not the indicator of higher or lower quality of BOP or ITGS data.
Annex 1, table 36 illustrates Qc for three different time spans: 2011-2013, 2012-2014 and 20132015. For the EU aggregates consistency for exports/credits for all the analysed time spans has been equal to $100 \%$, while the best result for imports/debits ( $92 \%$ ) could be observed for time spans 2013-2015. For exports ten and for imports six EU Member States had $100 \%$ for the consistency indicator for all three spans. Belgium, Estonia, Luxembourg and Cyprus (both imports and exports) and France (only for imports) show a lower value of Qc, that is explained by the above-mentioned methodological differences between the two statistics.

### 7.2. Consistency with national accounts

The analysis of consistency with the Rest of the World sector in national accounts has been introduced in the 2014 quality report. As the concepts for the BOP and to national accounts are now methodologically consistent with one another, this assessment of consistency aims to show how far these two accounting frameworks have been consolidated with each other. Table 37 shows consistency for goods, services, compensation of employees, investment income and secondary income (average for credits and debits), as calculated by dividing the absolute differences between the two statistics by the average of sums of values recorded in the BOP and national accounts in reference quarters from Q1 of 2013 to Q2 of 2016.
There was full consistency for the EU-average for goods and services and for the United Kingdom (for all items), and only very minor discrepancies for Estonia, Ireland, Spain, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Hungary, Malta, the Netherlands, Norway and Iceland. Consistency was generally highest for goods, while discrepancies were biggest for secondary income.

It should be noted that some presented differences between the two statistics can be due only to different vintages and the availability of revisions or back data in Eurostat.

Consistency for selected items (main current account components) was calculated by dividing absolute differences between BOP and sector accounts by the average of values recorded for both statistics over the given time period.

## 8

## Asymmetries

## 8. Asymmetries

Asymmetries are an essential characteristic of all statistics for which "mirror" data are collected. Asymmetries occur when one country's data do not correspond to the data for the same transaction reported by the counterpart country. In general, such discrepancies occur due to different data collection systems or compilation methods, errors in the classification of transactions, data processing practices (imputation, estimation), different revision practices or simply different treatments of complex transactions.

The graphs 7 and 8 below show total Intra-EU asymmetries based on quarterly BOP figures for periods from Q1 of 2007 until Q3 of 2016. Asymmetries for total current account mainly reflect fluctuations in asymmetries in trade in goods having positive imbalances (excess of recorded credits over debits). Asymmetries for services have been stable, also positive and lower than for goods. For primary and secondary income signs of imbalances have been changing; being quite low and without clear pattern for primary income and negative or around zero for secondary income. Current account asymmetries recorded a maximum value in Q1 of 2008 ( $3.6 \%$ of sum of credits and debits) and since then kept decreasing up to the first quarter of 2015 when a new pick was recorded. Starting from 2010 asymmetries have been around 1\% of sum of credits and debits, with some growth in 2015 and 2016, for which data can be still considered as preliminary. Seasonal pattern can be observed with generally highest asymmetries' values in the first quarters of each year.

Asymmetries for direct investment were generally relatively higher, particularly in the fourth quarter of 2012, the second half of 2013, the first quarter of 2015 and hitting the highest value in the third quarter of 2016. There had no clear sign pattern and were similarly high for both equity and debt instruments.

The national quality reports include tables dedicated to bilateral asymmetries, which are object of a separate, detailed analysis.

Graph 7: EU-28 total asymmetries for main current and capital account items


Graph 8: EU-28 total asymmetries for direct investment


## 9. Conclusions

The results of this quality assessment indicate that generally Member States satisfactorily complied with BPM6 data requirements and methodology. The situation regarding the quality of BOP data is satisfactory with regard to most of the quality dimensions except comparability and coherence where asymmetries and inconsistencies with NA data persist for several Member States. Improvements are needed in the area of FDI statistics.

In terms of quality criteria, the overall results are as follows:

Timeliness and punctuality

Relevance

Punctuality of monthly and quarterly BOP; quarterly IIP; annual ITSS and FDI improved compared with the previous quality report with more countries delivering datasets before the deadline.

For monthly BOP (for the period May-August 2016): all datasets transmitted before/on the deadline, except one delay for one day after the deadline and one delay for more than one day after the deadline; no monthly reporting (exemptions) - 2 EEA countries.

For quarterly BOP (for Q3 of 2015 to Q2 of 2016): all datasets transmitted before/on the deadline except two cases of delays for one day after the deadline and six cases of delays for more than one day after the deadline.

For quarterly IIP (for the period Q3 of 2015 to Q2 of 2016): all datasets transmitted before/on the deadline except two cases of delays for one day after the deadline and nine cases of delays for more than one day after the deadline.

For ITSS: before/on the deadline - 30 Member States.
For FDI: before/on the deadline - 28 Member States; within one week after the deadline - 1 Member State and later than one week after the deadline - 1 Member State.
Completeness improved in all domains, being $100 \%$ in almost all of the cases, with average EU-28 completeness for monthly and quarterly BOP and quarterly IIP of 100\%; and ITSS of $98 \%$ (6 Member States less than 100\%). The EU average rate of completeness was estimated at 98\% for FDI flows (10 Member States less than 100\%) and 99\% for FDI stocks (4 Member States less than $100 \%$ ).

Data availability to the final users was satisfactory, having 15 Member States with100\% of main items publishable. For quarterly

BOP 10 Member States and for quarterly IIP 14 EU Member States have made all their data required by the Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012 available to the final users.

For ITSS for reference year 2015, 7 Member States made all their data available to the users. For FDI: 6 Member States allow a full disclosure of their FDI data

However, some Member States continue the exceeding flagging of their data as 'non-publishable' or 'confidential'. Due to national dissemination policies, full monthly BOP datasets were flagged as "non-publishable" or "confidential" by 7 Member States (for 2 MSs data are become publishable after dissemination of the relevant quarter).
Eurostat publishes monthly and quarterly BOP; quarterly IIP, and revaluations; annual ITSS and FDI data in its public database. Data are also available on national websites and accompanied by the relevant metadata information.

## Accuracy

## Comparability

## Coherence

In the goods, services and secondary income accounts relatively small revisions were recorded for both monthly and quarterly Balance of Payments. The primary income account was more affected by revisions, especially due to direct investment income. Mean values of revisions were generally higher for financial account items than for current account items, while the size of revisions for main International Investment Position items was much less significant than in BOP.

The analysis of the upwards revisions for the quarterly current account (world) showed that only three countries had values within the defined target between 40 and $60 \%$, while for the quarterly financial account total (world) only two countries recorded for the assets values within the targeted interval.

The directional reliability indicator had rather high values (above the recommended $80 \%$ ) for almost all the countries, with average EU-28 above $90 \%$ for all the items.

For annual requests revisions were significant for foreign direct investment (FDI) flows and less substantial for ITSS and FDI positions data.
The intra-EU asymmetries continue to remain an issue, being for the current account components on the similar level compared with the last year's report and relatively higher for direct investment flows.

The overall consistency with integrity rules improved slightly compared with last year. There were almost no discrepancies for quarterly and annual ITSS and FDI data.

Significant efforts have been made by the Member States to reduce the size of errors and omissions; however, in some cases their size remains substantial. The overall EU situation for consistency between BOP and international trade in goods (ITGS) remains good, with discrepancies usually explained by methodological differences. Full or very good consistency between the current account of balance of payments and national accounts could be observed in a number of Member States, while for some other countries differences, sometimes substantial, exist.

The overall quality of data transmitted according to the requirements of Commission Regulation (EU) No $555 / 2012$ is good. However, all Member States as well as Iceland and Norway need to address the remaining deficiencies. Based on this quality assessment, Eurostat recommends that Member States address especially the following issues:

- Data completeness - compilation and submission of the remaining missing data.
- More conservative use of confidential and non-publishable flags - for the few countries which continue to flag a substantial share of the provided data as 'confidential' or 'non-publishable' a reduction in application of the confidentiality rules as laid down in Regulation (EC) No 223/2009 is recommended. The current situation decreases the value of statistical information provided to users and makes it difficult to carry out a complete policy analysis based on European statistics, especially for quarterly and annual data.
- Reductions of bilateral asymmetries - asymmetries remain an issue at European and global levels. Eurostat encourages Member States to increase their use of the FDI network and bilateral exercises to reconcile other BOP items. Since 2016, Eurostat has been facilitating bilateral exercises by giving sessions at meetings of the Balance of Payments Working Group and International Trade in Services Statistics Working Group. The workshops enable participating countries to bilaterally discuss the reasons behind the reported values in an informal way and to agree on specific future actions to minimise existing asymmetries.
- Reduction of the size of errors and omissions - this remains a substantial challenge for a number of countries. Eurostat encourages Member States to investigate the reasons for high errors and omissions and to take steps to eliminate them.
- Further alignment between balance of payments and national accounts data - efforts should be made to limit the effect of different vintages and availability of revisions or back data.


## Annexes

## Annex 1: Tables

Table 1: Punctuality of monthly BOP, quarterly BOP and quarterly IIP
(number of days before "-" or after "+" the deadline)

|  | MONTHLY BOP |  |  |  | QUARTERLY BOP |  |  |  | QUARTERLY IIP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016M05 | 2016M06 | 2016M07 | 2016M08 | 2015Q3 | 2015Q4 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2015Q3 | 2015Q4 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 |
| Belgium | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -5 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| Bulgaria | 0 | -1 | -1 | -4 | -9 | 0 | -1 | -4 | -9 | 0 | -1 | -4 |
| Czech Republic | -2 | -1 | 0 | -4 | -2 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -2 | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| Denmark | -3 | -3 | -5 | -7 | -12 | -14 | -15 | -15 | 20 | 7 | -1 | 4 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | -2 |
| Estonia | -1 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -12 | -6 | -11 | -10 | -12 | -6 | -11 | -10 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | -1 | -4 | -5 | -1 | 0 | -4 | -5 | -1 | 0 | -4 |
| Greece | 0 | -1 | 0 | -3 | -5 | -3 | -1 | 0 | -5 | -3 | -1 | 0 |
| Spain | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| France | -6 | -2 | -5 | -7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 |
| Croatia | -1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -4 | 11 | 0 | 1 | -4 | 11 |
| Italy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -8 |
| Cyprus | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Latvia | 0 | -1 | -1 | -6 | -19 | -15 | -14 | -11 | -19 | -15 | -14 | -11 |
| Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -1 | 0 | -4 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -4 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | -1 | -3 | -1 | -4 | -2 | -1 | -3 | 0 | -2 | -1 | -3 | 0 |
| Hungary | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Malta | 0 | 0 | 0 | -4 | -7 | 0 | -2 | -7 | -7 | 0 | -2 | -7 |
| Netherlands | -2 | -3 | -5 | -7 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -2 | -1 | -2 | -3 | -2 |
| Austria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Poland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -7 | -1 | -1 | 0 | -7 |
| Portugal | 0 | 0 | -1 | -4 | -20 | -7 | -8 | -11 | -20 | -7 | -8 | -11 |
| Romania | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slovenia | 0 | 0 | 0 | -3 | -9 | -9 | -11 | -10 | -9 | -9 | -11 | -10 |
| Slovakia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | -5 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -5 | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| Finland | -3 | -3 | -5 | -6 | -10 | -7 | -10 | -18 | -10 | -7 | -10 | -18 |
| Sweden | -2 | -2 | -1 | -4 | -15 | -16 | -14 | -4 | -8 | -16 | -14 | -4 |
| United Kingdom | -2 | -3 | -5 | -4 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 7 |
| Iceland | : | : | : | : | -13 | -17 | -20 | -14 | -13 | -17 | -20 | -14 |
| Norway | : | : | : | : | 14 | -10 | 1 | -12 | 14 | -10 | 1 | -12 |

Table 2: Punctuality of annual ITSS and FDI (number of days before "-"" or after ""+" the deadline)

|  | ITSS | FDI flows | FDI stocks |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Belgium | -2 | 0 | 5 |
| Bulgaria | -14 | -4 | -4 |
| Czech Republic | -14 | -8 | -8 |
| Denmark | -7 | -8 | -8 |
| Germany | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Estonia | -16 | -16 | -16 |
| Ireland | -11 | -1 | -1 |
| Greece | -7 | -7 | 0 |
| Spain | -15 | 0 | 0 |
| France | -2 | -60 | -60 |
| Croatia | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Italy | -2 | -21 | -2 |
| Cyprus | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| Latvia | -18 | -8 | -8 |
| Lithuania | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Luxembourg | -7 | -7 | -7 |
| Hungary | -7 | -3 | -3 |
| Malta | 0 | -7 | -7 |
| Netherlands | -16 | 4 | 4 |
| Austria | -3 | -3 | -3 |
| Poland | -14 | -2 | -2 |
| Portugal | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| Romania | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| Slovenia | -78 | -69 | -69 |
| Slovakia | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Finland | -21 | -22 | -22 |
| Sweden | -1 | 0 | 0 |
| United Kingdom | 0 | -3 | -3 |
| Iceland | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Norway | -7 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 3: Data availability for monthly and quarterly BOP (\%)

|  | MONTHLY BOP |  |  |  | QUARTERLY BOP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2016M05 | 2016M06 | 2016M07 | 2016M08 | 2015Q3 | 2015Q4 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 |
| EU average | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Belgium | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Bulgaria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Denmark | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Germany | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Estonia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ireland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Greece | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Spain | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| France | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Croatia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cyprus | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Latvia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Lithuania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Luxembourg | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Hungary | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Malta | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Netherlands | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Austria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Poland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Portugal | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Romania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovakia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Finland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sweden | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| United Kingdom | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Iceland | : | : | : | : | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| Norway | . | . | : | : | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Table 4: Data availability for quarterly IIP and revaluations (\%)

|  | QUARTERLY IIP |  |  |  | QUARTERLY REVALUATIONS* |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2015Q3 | 2015Q4 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2015Q3 | 2015Q4 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 |
| EU average | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95 | 95 | 95 | 95 |
| Belgium | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Bulgaria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| Czech Republic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| Denmark | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| Germany | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Estonia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ireland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Greece | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Spain | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| France | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Croatia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cyprus | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Latvia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Lithuania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Luxembourg | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Hungary | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| Malta | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Netherlands | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Austria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Poland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| Portugal | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Romania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| Slovenia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovakia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Finland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Sweden | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| United Kingdom | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| Iceland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |
| Norway | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | : | : | : | : |

*EU average of 19 Euro Aarea countries

Table 5: Data availability for ITSS, FDI flows and stocks (\%)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { ITSS } \\ & 2015 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FDI flows t+9 } \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | FDI flows t+21 2014 | FDI stocks t+9 2015 | $\begin{gathered} \text { FDI stocks t+21 } \\ 2014 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-28 | 98 | 98 | 96 | 99 | 94 |
| Belgium | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 |
| Bulgaria | 100 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Denmark | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 99 |
| Germany | 94 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Estonia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ireland | 100 | 100 | 69 | 91 | 50 |
| Greece | 100 | 100 | 96 | 100 | 96 |
| Spain | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| France | 100 | 82 | 85 | 94 | 89 |
| Croatia | 81 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cyprus | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Latvia | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Lithuania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Luxembourg | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Hungary | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Malta | 89 | 96 | 98 | 82 | 94 |
| Netherlands | 100 | 96 | 96 | 100 | 100 |
| Austria | 100 | 100 | 83 | 100 | 74 |
| Poland | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 99 |
| Portugal | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
| Romania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovakia | 99 | 92 | 96 | 100 | 78 |
| Finland | 95 | 94 | 70 | 100 | 57 |
| Sweden | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| United Kingdom | 98 | 98 | 99 | 98 | 99 |
| Iceland | 78 |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 100 | 28 | 83 | 60 | 84 |

Table 6: Proportion of cells flagged as "free for publication" (available to final users) for monthly BOP, quarterly BOP and quarterly IIP, all items (\%)

|  | MONTHLY BOP |  |  |  | QUARTERLY BOP |  |  |  | QUARTERLY IIP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | provided cells |  | value |  | provided cells |  | value |  | provided cells |  | value |  |
|  | 2016M07 | 2016M08 | 2016M07 | 2016M08 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 |
| Belgium | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 99 | 98 | 98 |
| Bulgaria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95 | 95 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Denmark | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 97 | 93 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Germany | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Estonia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 93 | 94 | 91 | 98 | 73 | 73 | 97 | 97 |
| Greece | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Spain | 17 | 17 | 37 | 34 | 11 | 11 | 41 | 42 | 26 | 26 | 58 | 58 |
| France | 94 | 94 | 98 | 92 | 90 | 90 | 96 | 97 | 82 | 82 | 88 | 88 |
| Croatia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cyprus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 86 | 90 | 86 | 85 | 85 | 98 | 97 |
| Latvia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Lithuania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 96 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 |
| Luxembourg | 18 | 18 | 33 | 34 | 28 | 41 | 43 | 50 | 4 | 15 | 46 | 50 |
| Hungary | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Malta | 98 | 98 | 82 | 83 | 60 | 61 | 92 | 89 | 62 | 62 | 99 | 99 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Austria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 66 | 77 | 72 | 60 | 60 | 81 | 81 |
| Poland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Portugal | 38 | 38 | 86 | 84 | 17 | 17 | 54 | 55 | 10 | 10 | 40 | 40 |
| Romania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 95 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovakia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Finland | 7 | 7 | 29 | 25 | 5 | 5 | 28 | 20 | 6 | 6 | 32 | 33 |
| Sweden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 96 | 95 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 100 | 100 |
| United Kingdom | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 | 61 | 47 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Iceland | : | : | : | : | 9 | 9 | 31 | 50 | 14 | 14 | 85 | 85 |
| Norway | : | : | : | : | 8 | 8 | 40 | 41 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 |

Table 7: Proportion of flagged as "free for publication" (available to final users) for ITSS, FDI flows, income and stocks, all items (\%)

|  | ITSS |  |  |  | FDI flows and income |  |  |  | FDI stocks |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | provided cells |  | value |  | provided cells |  | value |  | provided cells |  | value |  |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Belgium | 80 | 80 | 96 | 97 | 80 | 81 | 92 | 96 | 84 | 88 | 99 | 99 |
| Bulgaria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 95 | 95 | 100 | 100 | 88 | 91 | 81 | 88 | 90 | 91 | 90 | 85 |
| Denmark | 86 | 86 | 97 | 97 | 97 | 99 | 88 | 95 | 98 | 99 | 97 | 99 |
| Germany | 97 | 97 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 88 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Estonia | 89 | 89 | 100 | 100 | 88 | 91 | 99 | 100 | 90 | 93 | 99 | 100 |
| Ireland | 94 | 93 | 93 | 93 | 72 | 83 | 87 | 88 | 75 | 84 | 96 | 97 |
| Greece | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Spain | 1 | 1 | 39 | 39 | 10 | 9 | 56 | 47 | 15 | 18 | 66 | 66 |
| France | 45 | 45 | 90 | 90 | 41 | 59 | 75 | 81 | 58 | 77 | 100 | 100 |
| Croatia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cyprus | 83 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 89 | 83 | 78 | 85 | 87 | 81 | 80 | 84 |
| Latvia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 89 | 89 | 95 | 97 | 91 | 89 | 99 | 100 |
| Lithuania | 99 | 98 | 100 | 99 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 92 | 94 | 99 | 99 |
| Luxembourg | 36 | 36 | 90 | 91 | 11 | 12 | 59 | 64 | 9 | 11 | 63 | 69 |
| Hungary | 87 | 86 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 90 | 99 | 99 | 91 | 89 | 99 | 100 |
| Malta | 70 | 71 | 20 | 26 | 81 | 71 | 98 | 97 | 84 | 66 | 100 | 99 |
| Netherlands | 89 | 86 | 96 | 96 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 97 | 100 | 100 |
| Austria | 74 | 74 | 98 | 98 | 3 | 5 | 27 | 51 | 2 | 4 | 33 | 53 |
| Poland | 97 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Portugal | 5 | 5 | 42 | 42 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 25 |
| Romania | 85 | 84 | 100 | 100 | 83 | 83 | 90 | 93 | 90 | 87 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovakia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 99 | 100 |
| Finland | 2 | 2 | 19 | 19 | 8 | 9 | 28 | 28 | 5 | 7 | 38 | 38 |
| Sweden | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 89 | 79 | 88 | 88 | 78 | 79 | 95 | 99 |
| United Kingdom | 7 | 7 | 37 | 37 | 70 | 80 | 67 | 85 | 80 | 84 | 95 | 98 |
| Iceland | 31 | 31 | 82 | 84 | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Norway | 1 | 1 | 12 | 12 | 70 | 51 | 94 | 93 | 80 | 60 | 97 | 98 |

Table 8: Proportion of cells flagged as "free for publication" (available to final users) for monthly BOP, quarterly BOP and quarterly IIP, main items (\%)

|  | MONTHLY BOP |  |  |  | QUARTERLY BOP |  |  |  | QUARTERLY IIP |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | provided cells |  | value |  | provided cells |  | value |  | provided cells |  | value |  |
|  | 2016M07 | 2016M08 | 2016M07 | 2016M08 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 |
| Belgium | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Bulgaria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Denmark | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Germany | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Estonia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ireland | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Greece | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Spain | 17 | 17 | 27 | 22 | 92 | 92 | 99 | 99 | 78 | 78 | 96 | 95 |
| France | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Croatia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cyprus | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 98 | 100 | 100 |
| Latvia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Lithuania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Luxembourg | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 91 | 82 | 80 | 50 | 50 | 69 | 69 |
| Hungary | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Malta | 76 | 76 | 50 | 48 | 92 | 92 | 83 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Netherlands | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Austria | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 84 | 68 | 60 | 78 | 78 | 78 | 78 |
| Poland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Portugal | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 75 | 90 | 91 | 56 | 56 | 67 | 67 |
| Romania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 88 | 100 | 97 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovakia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Finland | 25 | 25 | 62 | 50 | 18 | 18 | 35 | 31 | 22 | 22 | 39 | 39 |
| Sweden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| United Kingdom | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 77 | 85 | 80 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Iceland | : | : | : | : | 73 | 73 | 81 | 67 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Norway | : | . | : | . | 29 | 29 | 53 | 52 | 75 | 75 | 100 | 100 |

Table 9: Proportion of cells flagged as "free for publication" (available to final users) for ITSS, FDI flows, income and stocks, main items (\%)

|  | ITSS |  |  |  | FDI flows and income |  |  |  | FDI stocks |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | provided cells |  | value |  | provided cells |  | value |  | provided cells |  | value |  |
|  | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 | 2015 |
| Belgium | 97 | 97 | 100 | 99 | 81 | 89 | 97 | 100 | 95 | 95 | 100 | 99 |
| Bulgaria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 86 | 89 | 77 | 95 | 87 | 92 | 95 | 97 |
| Denmark | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 95 | 98 | 87 | 99 | 95 | 98 | 97 | 99 |
| Germany | 95 | 95 | 99 | 99 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 88 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Estonia | 93 | 93 | 100 | 100 | 87 | 91 | 100 | 100 | 88 | 93 | 99 | 100 |
| Ireland | 88 | 88 | 99 | 99 | 62 | 81 | 89 | 94 | 65 | 81 | 97 | 98 |
| Greece | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Spain | 25 | 25 | 92 | 92 | 17 | 21 | 60 | 50 | 24 | 34 | 68 | 69 |
| France | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 52 | 92 | 77 | 87 | 70 | 96 | 100 | 100 |
| Croatia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cyprus | 86 | 90 | 96 | 97 | 81 | 76 | 86 | 97 | 79 | 71 | 99 | 99 |
| Latvia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 87 | 88 | 98 | 100 | 90 | 88 | 100 | 100 |
| Lithuania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 95 | 100 | 100 |
| Luxembourg | 60 | 60 | 99 | 99 | 30 | 32 | 84 | 87 | 26 | 33 | 84 | 89 |
| Hungary | 96 | 89 | 100 | 100 | 89 | 89 | 99 | 100 | 89 | 91 | 100 | 100 |
| Malta | 63 | 63 | 18 | 24 | 84 | 53 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 53 | 100 | 100 |
| Netherlands | 90 | 92 | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Austria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 12 | 36 | 54 | 89 | 10 | 34 | 53 | 82 |
| Poland | 92 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Portugal | 40 | 40 | 53 | 53 | 2 | 7 | 20 | 30 | 2 | 7 | 22 | 32 |
| Romania | 87 | 85 | 100 | 100 | 84 | 81 | 93 | 94 | 89 | 86 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovakia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 93 | 100 | 100 |
| Finland | 13 | 13 | 61 | 61 | 19 | 43 | 91 | 91 | 24 | 36 | 98 | 98 |
| Sweden | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 78 | 93 | 97 | 74 | 83 | 96 | 99 |
| United Kingdom | 35 | 35 | 74 | 74 | 59 | 79 | 71 | 91 | 76 | 89 | 97 | 99 |
| Iceland | 51 | 54 | 96 | 97 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 3 | 3 | 43 | 43 | 75 | 60 | 97 | 98 | 86 | 71 | 99 | 99 |

Table 10: Dissemination of monthly BOP, quarterly IIP, quarterly revaluations, annual ITSS and annual FDI on national level

|  | MBOP | QBOP | QIIP | QREV | ITSS | FDI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes |
| Bulgaria | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Czech Republic | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Denmark | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Germany | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Estonia | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Ireland | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Greece | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Spain | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| France | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Croatia | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Italy | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Cyprus | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Latvia | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Lithuania | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Luxembourg | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No |
| Hungary | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Malta | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Netherlands | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Austria | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Poland | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Portugal | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Romania | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Slovenia | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Slovakia | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Finland | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Sweden | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| United Kingdom | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Iceland | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Norway | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |

Table 11: Upwards revisions quarterly BOP data (\%)


Table 12: Upwards revisions quarterly IIP data (\%)

|  | EU-28 |  | Belgium |  | Bulgaria |  | Czech Republic |  | Denmark |  | Germany |  | Estonia |  | Ireland |  | Greece |  | Spain |  | France |  | Croatia |  | Italy |  | Cyprus |  | Latvia |  | Lithuania |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\#$ 0 0 0 0 $\vdots$ 0 0 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{y}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & 0 \\ & \hline 0 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\stackrel{n}{0}$ <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> 0 <br> $\vdots$ <br> 0 <br> 0 |  |
| Financial account total (World) |  |  | 69 | 62 | 77 | 85 | 8 | 38 | 77 | 77 | 62 | 69 | 77 | 100 | 62 | 62 | 62 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 69 | 69 | 62 | 69 | 92 | 77 | 108 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 92 | 100 |
| Direct investment (FA-D-F) |  |  | 77 | 69 | 46 | 85 | 54 | 38 | 31 | 69 | 85 | 0 | 100 | 77 | 23 | 92 | 8 | 62 | 100 | 69 | 31 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 38 | 100 | 69 | 31 | 31 | 62 | 92 |
| Portfolio investment (FA-P-F) |  |  | 15 | 62 | 23 | 69 | 8 | 8 | 62 | 31 | 31 | 8 | 15 | 23 | 31 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 54 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 62 | 100 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 38 | 92 | 31 | 38 |
| Financial derivatives (FA-F-F7) |  |  | 8 | 0 | 54 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 62 | 38 | 46 | 15 | 23 | 92 | 92 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 92 | 69 | 62 | 8 | 8 | 38 | 38 | 69 | 23 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 23 |
| Other investment (FA-O-F) |  |  | 54 | 46 | 92 | 100 | 8 | 38 | 100 | 46 | 8 | 100 | 85 | 100 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 31 | 54 | 77 | 54 | 8 |  |  | 100 | 54 | 77 | 69 | 8 | 8 | 69 | 92 |
|  | Luxembourg |  | Hungary |  | Malta |  | Netherland |  | Austria |  | Poland |  | Portugal |  | Romania |  | Slovenia |  | Slovakia |  | Finland |  | Sweden |  | United Kingdom |  | Iceland |  | Norway |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial account total (World) | 100 | 100 | 15 | 31 | 31 | 38 | 100 | 92 | 15 | 0 | 85 | 100 | 85 | 77 | 54 | 38 | 38 | 100 | 100 | 31 | 46 | 69 | 85 | 69 | 92 | 92 | 23 | 15 | 77 | 77 |  |  |
| Direct investment (FA-D-F) | 100 | 100 | 92 | 31 | 77 | 69 | 31 | 46 | 23 | 0 | 62 | 38 | 85 | 54 | 0 | 46 | 100 | 77 | 100 | 15 | 0 | 54 | 31 | 69 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Portfolio investment (FA-P-F) | 77 | 31 | 54 | 54 | 69 | 62 | 15 | 46 | 8 | 15 | 62 | 69 | 85 | 15 | 46 | 38 | 31 | 100 | 38 | 0 | 85 | 69 | 69 | 46 |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Financial derivatives (FA-F-F7) | 62 | 69 | 46 | 69 | 31 | 23 | 23 | 46 | 8 | 31 | 46 | 77 | 46 | 54 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 46 | 8 | 23 | 15 | 38 | 23 | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other investment (FA-O-F) | 31 | 31 | 31 | 100 | 31 | 77 | 77 | 69 | 23 | 77 | 92 | 69 | 54 | 85 | 23 | 15 | 54 | 15 | 62 | 69 | 38 | 38 | 23 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 13: Directional reliability (\%)

|  | Goods |  | Services |  | Primary income |  | Secondary income |  | Financial account <br> N | Direct Investment |  | Portfiloi Investment |  | Other Investment |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | C | D | C | D | C | D | C | D |  | A | L | A | L | A | L |
| EU-28 | 94 | 94 | 91 | 94 | 91 | 94 | 91 | 91 | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Belgium | 89 | 86 | 83 | 80 | 66 | 71 | 77 | 86 | 51 | 71 | 80 | 74 | 97 | 83 | 86 |
| Bulgaria | 94 | 100 | 89 | 83 | 89 | 77 | 94 | 97 | 83 | 66 | 80 | 91 | 97 | 100 | 80 |
| Czech Republic | 97 | 89 | 57 | 77 | 94 | 94 | 91 | 89 | 80 | 97 | 91 | 94 | 100 | 94 | 94 |
| Denmark | 83 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 77 | 89 | 89 | 83 | 86 | 83 | 80 | 86 | 83 | 100 | 94 |
| Germany | 97 | 90 | 100 | 89 | 86 | 97 | 94 | 80 | 71 | 80 | 89 | 94 | 97 | 100 | 97 |
| Estonia | 71 | 86 | 97 | 74 | 57 | 74 | 77 | 74 | 86 | 86 | 77 | 100 | 97 | 91 | 94 |
| Ireland | 49 | 63 | 74 | 63 | 92 | 83 | 71 | 80 | 86 | 90 | 81 | 86 | 67 | 76 | 86 |
| Greece | 60 | 71 | 97 | 89 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 89 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 97 |
| Spain | 94 | 89 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 83 | 86 | 86 | 54 | 89 | 89 | 86 | 86 | 91 | 94 |
| France | 100 | 97 | 94 | 74 | 90 | 97 | 100 | 89 | 73 | 83 | 71 | 77 | 83 | 97 | 100 |
| Croatia | 97 | 94 | 97 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 83 | 89 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Italy | 97 | 97 | 83 | 86 | 91 | 94 | 83 | 83 | 71 | 69 | 80 | 100 | 94 | 97 | 100 |
| Cyprus | 80 | 69 | 97 | 94 | 91 | 91 | 100 | 100 | 69 | 57 | 49 | 83 | 86 | 83 | 86 |
| Latvia | 100 | 86 | 97 | 91 | 97 | 86 | 94 | 100 | 86 | 86 | 89 | 100 | 94 | 100 | 100 |
| Lithuania | 97 | 97 | 86 | 86 | 80 | 60 | 74 | 71 | 66 | 66 | 69 | 100 | 86 | 91 | 97 |
| Luxembourg | 94 | 89 | 77 | 86 | 86 | 91 | 86 | 54 | 49 | 69 | 74 | 83 | 66 | 77 | 89 |
| Hungary | 80 | 80 | 89 | 83 | 89 | 83 | 71 | 86 | 86 | 83 | 83 | 89 | 97 | 89 | 94 |
| Malta | 86 | 89 | 74 | 74 | 66 | 74 | 91 | 83 | 77 | 60 | 89 | 97 | 74 | 97 | 100 |
| Netherlands | 91 | 80 | 86 | 80 | 80 | 86 | 89 | 80 | 66 | 86 | 80 | 94 | 91 | 83 | 77 |
| Austria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 100 |
| Poland | 77 | 86 | 74 | 71 | 94 | 83 | 66 | 94 | 74 | 89 | 71 | 100 | 94 | 97 | 94 |
| Portugal | 86 | 97 | 94 | 100 | 89 | 89 | 100 | 89 | 71 | 71 | 69 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 97 |
| Romania | 89 | 100 | 74 | 83 | 86 | 80 | 89 | 91 | 83 | 89 | 77 | 89 | 89 | 91 | 91 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 94 | 97 | 100 | 57 | 74 | 100 | 100 | 91 | 97 | 89 | 100 | 89 | 97 | 100 |
| Slovakia | 89 | 83 | 51 | 66 | 94 | 86 | 74 | 89 | 71 | 89 | 83 | 94 | 100 | 91 | 86 |
| Finland | 91 | 86 | 83 | 86 | 74 | 86 | 89 | 94 | 89 | 89 | 94 | 97 | 97 | 100 | 100 |
| Sweden | 80 | 74 | 91 | 86 | 97 | 86 | 83 | 71 | 74 | 100 | 74 | 100 | 89 | 89 | 91 |
| United Kingdom | 86 | 69 | 57 | 83 | 66 | 66 | 46 | 74 | : | : | : | : | . | : | : |
| Iceland | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | . | : | : |
| Norway | : | : | : | : | : | . | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |

Table 14: Mean values of revisions for main monthly BOP items (\%)

|  | Goods |  | Services |  | Comp of empl. |  | Dlinc. equity |  | Dl inc. debt |  | Plinc. equity |  | Pl inc. debt |  | Ol inc. |  | Sec. income |  | Capital acc. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit |
| EU-28 | 3.0 | -4.1 | 6.7 | 10.8 | 11.7 | 1.2 | 4.6 | 11.7 | 16.0 | 6.4 | 10.1 | -12.2 | -4.4 | -42.6 | -1.1 | -3.8 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 23.0 | 142.3 |
| Belgium | -7.1 | -3.0 | 9.2 | 7.5 | 3.1 | -0.3 | 155.5 | 29.2 | 53.4 | 185.7 | 36.0 | 119.8 | 5.0 | 7.0 | -69.1 | -67.7 | 17.9 | 6.7 | -33.3 | 17.3 |
| Bulgaria | -0.6 | 0.1 | 18.9 | 12.1 | -0.1 | 5011.3 | -229.0 | 3429.6 | -0.7 | -0.5 | 143.4 | 0.0 | 120.4 | -3.4 | -2.3 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 66.6 | 111.4 |
| Czech Republic | -0.3 | -1.5 | 4.3 | -3.0 | -1.8 | -7.0 | -157.7 | -193.0 | 61.4 | 27.4 | 0.5 | 18123.3 | -0.1 | 10.9 | 29.7 | 54.3 | -0.5 | -8.7 | 527.8 | 181.4 |
| Denmark | 11.9 | 6.8 | -0.2 | 3.5 | 25.3 | 7.1 | 11.5 | 9.4 | 37.5 | -1.0 | 11.0 | -2.3 | -1.6 | -8.2 | 48.8 | 12.0 | 33.1 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 1374.4 |
| Germany | 0.1 | -1.4 | 4.0 | 2.5 | 8.5 | 5.1 | 21.9 | -14.2 | 35.2 | -4.6 | -1.0 | 5.0 | 16.2 | 60.1 | 8.7 | -8.8 | 1.2 | -0.3 | 10.2 | 16.2 |
| Estonia | -13.6 | -1.1 | -0.4 | -1.7 | 17.6 | -2.3 | 667.3 | 12.6 | 5.5 | -2.3 | -13.3 | 100.3 | -5.6 | -4.9 | 8.9 | 0.8 | -11.3 | 24.2 | 386.4 | 5152.8 |
| Ireland | 30.5 | 4.6 | 9.8 | 23.1 | -5.0 | -4.5 | -44.8 | 22.5 | -28.1 | -9.8 | 11.4 | 25.5 | -6.2 | -10.1 | 88.1 | -7.1 | -47.1 | -27.6 | 2358.2 | : |
| Greece | 15.8 | 8.1 | -0.4 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96.7 | -15.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spain | -0.2 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.6 | 8.2 | 14.8 | 50.2 | 16.2 | 78.4 | -45.9 | 6.9 | 0.4 | 48.6 | -0.2 | 8.3 | 40.3 | 7.9 | 0.9 | 187.2 | 76.5 |
| France | -0.5 | 0.4 | 2.8 | -1.9 | 2.5 | 21.3 | 4.9 | 4.6 | 9.9 | 2.2 | -35.7 | 22.2 | -57.5 | -5.9 | 32.3 | -0.7 | 5.0 | 5.4 | -15.3 | 409.7 |
| Croatia | -3.4 | -3.4 | 0.2 | 0.5 | -551.3 | -23.7 | -468.1 | 127.0 | 500.0 | -48.5 | 0.0 | 72.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.7 | -2.2 | : | : |
| Italy | 0.4 | 1.9 | -1.3 | -2.9 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 52.1 | 93.5 | -1.4 | -2.5 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 9.7 | -0.1 | -17.7 | -12.0 | 4.7 | 2.8 | 4.1 | 0.9 |
| Cyprus | 14.2 | -3.2 | 5.2 | 3.7 | -40.4 | -8.2 | 69.5 | -67.6 | 403.9 | 527.8 | -257.9 | -122.5 | 32.4 | 77.9 | 55.8 | 92.9 | -33.9 | -32.0 | -77.8 | -100.0 |
| Latvia | 0.6 | -4.6 | -10.5 | -17.0 | 17.6 | -38.6 | 30.7 | 15.7 | 6.7 | -28.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 7.4 | 6.4 | -11.6 | -5.8 | 0.0 | : |
| Lithuania | -0.3 | -3.8 | -2.1 | 5.0 | -34.6 | -23.6 | -156.7 | -85.0 | -33.0 | -32.8 | -35.5 | -40.8 | -48.2 | -31.9 | -59.6 | -42.9 | 8.8 | -14.1 | 83.9 | : |
| Luxembourg | 8.3 | 7.9 | 1.8 | 9.9 | -5.4 | 30.4 | 252.9 | 84.0 | 110.9 | 196.6 | 0.4 | 4.3 | -0.6 | 6.1 | -12.9 | -11.0 | 5.5 | 4.5 | -100.0 | 15.2 |
| Hungary | -2.8 | -4.8 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 4.8 | 35.2 | -9.2 | 12.5 | 3.4 | 2.6 | -0.2 | 1.8 | -2.9 | 0.4 | -10.7 | -4.2 | -10.7 | 10.8 | 1037838.1 | 643657.4 |
| Malta | -151.0 | 8.1 | 70.5 | 75.7 | 0.5 | 118.1 | 4.0 | 1609.4 | 256.0 | -17.8 | 30515.5 | 18.2 | 0.8 | 676.0 | 52.5 | 98.8 | 21.5 | 21.4 | 89.1 | -16.7 |
| Netherlands | -2.6 | -0.3 | -5.3 | 1.6 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 12.7 | 19.1 | 2.9 | 31.3 | -2.5 | 10.3 | 13.8 | 14.8 | 2.5 | -2.0 | -23.0 | -15.6 | 132.2 | 157.0 |
| Austria | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Poland | -2.2 | 0.1 | 5.6 | 1.5 | 12.5 | 12.8 | -179.7 | -0.4 | -2.3 | -15.9 | -10.7 | -11.1 | 20.1 | 0.2 | -41.9 | -46.5 | 11.4 | 18.1 | 166.1 | -3.9 |
| Portugal | -1.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | -2.6 | 6.5 | -8.6 | -4.9 | -33.3 | 47.5 | -29.2 | 6.4 | -3.0 | 22.9 | -0.6 | -13.4 | 21.0 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.4 | -7.5 |
| Romania | 0.2 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 50.2 | 31.1 | -2650.7 | 69.3 | -75.8 | 370.9 | -32.5 | -43.7 | 127.3 | 2087.8 | -18.7 | 6.5 | 0.9 | 9.1 | 0.2 | -6.5 |
| Slovenia | -0.2 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 3.0 | : | 0.9 | -76.8 | 30.1 | 0.3 | -4.7 | 1.3 | 297.8 | -5.5 | 0.4 | 18.8 | 7.9 | 8.8 | 4.1 | -1.1 | 22.2 |
| Slovakia | 1.4 | 3.6 | 13.1 | 3.7 | -42.1 | -31.8 | 158.2 | -2.4 | 344.3 | 372.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.7 | 6.1 | 21.2 | 431.4 | 37.0 | -8.2 | -100.0 | 9.9 |
| Finland | 0.6 | -18.7 | 1.2 | 2.8 | -3.4 | -13.8 | -4.9 | 30.7 | 4.3 | -2.8 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 | -61.0 | 9.9 | -13.7 | -15.3 | -28.3 | 52.5 |
| Sweden | 14.8 | 3.9 | 54.9 | 219.5 | 240.6 | -75.6 | -9.1 | 72.5 | 3.5 | 5.7 | -4.2 | 0.1 | 25.5 | 71.7 | -1.5 | -32.1 | 39.3 | 25.1 | 2.3 | 52.8 |
| United Kingdom | 0.4 | 2.9 | -0.8 | 6.6 | 3.9 | 4.6 | 3.9 | -2.8 | -8.9 | -14.9 | 0.4 | -3.8 | -0.7 | -5.1 | -3.6 | 3.5 | -2.2 | -9.1 | -65.6 | 71.0 |
| Iceland | : |  |  | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |  | : |  | : | : | : |
| Norway | : |  |  |  |  | . | . | . |  | . | . | : | : | : | . |  |  |  | : | : |

Table 15: Mean values of revisions for main quarterly bop current and capital account items (\%)

|  | Current Account* |  | Goods(W1) |  | Goods(D5) |  | Services(W1) |  | Services(D5 |  | Comp of empl. |  | Dl inc. equity |  | Dl inc. debt |  | Plinc. equity |  | Plinc. debt |  | Ol inc. |  | Sec. income |  | Capital acc. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit |
| EU-28 | 0.8 | 1.3 | - |  | 0.4 | 0.2 |  | - | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 8.8 | 5.5 | 1.5 | -0.2 | 3.3 | -2.6 | 4.9 | -1.9 | -2.1 | 1.5 | 0.8 | 5.2 | 41.8 |
| Belgium | 0.4 | 1.7 | -2.1 | -1.4 | -7.9 | -3.2 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 4.0 | 1.7 | 0.4 | 155.1 | 14.5 | 58.3 | 46.9 | 39.4 | 91.6 | 3.4 | 8.0 | -53.7 | -61.6 | 7.6 | 1.8 | 34.6 | 22.2 |
| Bulgaria | -2.1 | -0.5 | -0.3 | -0.1 | -0.7 | 0.0 | -8.8 | -12.7 | -0.7 | -2.9 | -0.1 | 3383.0 | 13.8 | 133.4 | -0.4 | -0.5 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 13.8 | -1.8 | -3.5 | 0.7 | 1.0 | -0.6 | 45.4 | 1029.5 |
| Czech Republic | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -0.9 | 0.5 | 0.3 | -0.9 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -7.2 | -9.3 | 36.4 | 76.7 | 7.4 | 0.3 | 602.1 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 9.3 | -2.7 | -9.1 | 305.3 | -0.4 |
| Denmark | 4.3 | 1.4 | 5.8 | 2.3 | 10.2 | 6.2 | 2.3 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 3.0 | 21.2 | 1.1 | 7.0 | 14.8 | 5.8 | -1.2 | -3.6 | -0.1 | -1.8 | -6.9 | 38.1 | -0.4 | 31.0 | 2.9 | -0.9 | 1443.3 |
| Germany | 0.1 | 0.1 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 4.1 | 1.5 | 3.2 | 1.8 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 18.3 | -18.2 | 31.4 | -1.6 | -2.7 | 1.5 | -6.4 | -4.5 | 3.1 | -9.9 | 3.4 | 1.2 | 8.7 | 13.4 |
| Estonia | -0.6 | -1.1 | -2.5 | -2.4 | -9.9 | -0.6 | 1.9 | 0.1 | 1.0 | -1.2 | 23.4 | 0.0 | 616.0 | 19.1 | 13.0 | 6.0 | -1.3 | -1.3 | 1.6 | -0.7 | 12.0 | 4.8 | -6.1 | 15.0 | 228.1 | 699.3 |
| Ireland | 6.0 | 6.1 | 14.1 | 12.6 | 12.5 | 11.2 | 2.9 | 4.5 | 5.4 | 6.3 | 0.0 | -5.4 | -33.0 | 16.0 | -14.4 | 12.1 | -1.0 | 0.7 | -5.4 | -6.0 | -19.8 | -2.6 | -21.8 | -0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Greece | 5.8 | 10.5 | 11.5 | 12.7 | 16.2 | 8.4 | -0.2 | 6.9 | -0.2 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 77.9 | 54.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.3 |
| Spain | 1.5 | 0.8 | -0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.6 | -0.8 | -1.0 | -1.1 | -1.4 | 2.7 | 12.1 | 36.3 | 34.8 | 57.1 | -32.8 | 5.9 | 3.2 | -32.8 | 0.2 | 10.4 | 64.9 | 2.9 | 1.2 | -17.3 | 23.6 |
| France | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.3 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.3 | 1.1 | -3.7 | 1.9 | -3.2 | -1.0 | -6.8 | 16.9 | 6.8 | -4.6 | 4.7 | -2.4 | 1.2 | 26.0 | -1.6 | 2.8 | 2.5 | -15.0 | 1.8 |
| Croatia | 1.0 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 0.1 | -92.3 | -91.8 | 3.3 | 2.4 | -92.3 | -92.4 | -92.3 | -92.3 | -100.0 | -100.0 |  |  |  | : |  |  |  |  | -92.4 | -92.3 | -100.0 | -100.0 |
| Italy | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.6 | 2.1 | -1.2 | 0.4 | -1.8 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 1.6 | 48.5 | 79.9 | -4.3 | -4.3 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 0.0 | -15.7 | -4.7 | 3.6 | -0.1 | -1.1 | -1.1 |
| Cyprus | 9.2 | 7.1 | 0.9 | -1.4 | -2.5 | -2.9 | 4.9 | -0.3 | 8.6 | 0.8 | -2.4 | 9.1 | 126.1 | 44.2 | 850.0 | 577.7 | -87.9 | -137.0 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 26.7 | 38.0 | 2.4 | 16.8 | -4.8 | -100.0 |
| Latvia | 0.2 | -0.4 | 0.6 | -0.1 | 0.2 | -2.8 | 0.1 | 0.0 | -6.9 | -14.8 | 12.5 | -28.6 | 13.8 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.5 | -0.7 | -9.2 | -4.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | -0.1 | -0.7 | -0.3 | -0.7 | -0.1 | -3.0 | -0.3 | -0.3 | -0.7 | -1.9 | 0.4 | 0.4 | -117.5 | -1291.5 | -5.8 | 3.7 | -14.0 | 2.8 | -8.8 | -0.1 | 8.2 | 15.2 | -1.1 | 0.9 | 38.8 | -100.0 |
| Luxembourg | 4.1 | 4.1 | 10.6 | 1.0 | 10.2 | 1.1 | 0.8 | 9.1 | -1.5 | 10.4 | -6.5 | 0.9 | 25.3 | 37.7 | 28.6 | 13.9 | -1.2 | 4.6 | -1.6 | 4.6 | -10.6 | -13.2 | -11.1 | -1.2 | -66.1 | 15.4 |
| Hungary | -1.6 | -0.3 | -2.2 | -1.8 | -1.1 | -3.8 | 2.8 | 3.7 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 0.3 | 33.9 | -1.6 | 12.0 | -4.5 | -1.0 | -0.2 | 1.5 | -2.2 | 0.4 | -11.4 | -3.9 | -18.5 | -1.5 | 35.8 | 32.5 |
| Malta | 6.6 | 7.3 | 0.5 | 4.0 | -0.4 | 2.5 | 1.9 | 1.4 | 15.6 | 9.1 | 0.2 | 80.9 | -15.4 | 100.6 | -34.5 | -15.5 | 5.0 | 11.1 | 0.2 | 19.6 | 18.6 | 97.8 | 17.0 | 16.9 | 124.2 | -23.9 |
| Netherlands | 2.5 | 3.2 | 0.1 | 0.3 | -0.1 | 0.3 | 1.1 | 0.0 | -2.4 | 1.3 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 9.2 | 10.1 | -10.3 | 14.3 | -0.5 | -2.7 | 9.4 | 1.1 | -8.4 | -26.7 | 10.7 | -2.3 | 25.0 | 174.9 |
| Austria | -0.4 | -1.4 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 4.8 | -1.2 | 0.1 | 2.6 | -0.5 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 6.5 | -42.5 | -112.7 | 3.8 | 12.1 | 0.7 | 24.8 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 11.8 | 6.2 | 41.4 | 17.7 | -6.4 | 0.0 |
| Poland | 0.8 | 1.5 | 0.4 | 1.2 | -0.7 | -1.8 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 4.7 | 1.6 | 21.4 | -1.1 | 687.9 | -4.0 | 0.2 | 1.0 | -3.2 | -15.8 | 0.1 | -0.1 | -18.4 | -1.6 | 1.5 | -0.1 | 12.2 | -2.5 |
| Portugal | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | -1.9 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 2.4 | -1.5 | 6.1 | -8.5 | -16.9 | -30.2 | 126.1 | -13.5 | 0.6 | -3.1 | 45.9 | 0.8 | -15.3 | 15.9 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 3.3 | -4.2 |
| Romania | -0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | -0.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 15.1 | 1.5 | -4221.7 | 114.4 | 61.5 | 99.2 | -32.9 | 9.2 | 1691.1 | 53.0 | -15.9 | 9.0 | -1.1 | 1.7 | 4.9 | 0.2 |
| Slovenia | 0.1 | 1.0 | -0.2 | 0.3 | -0.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 1.7 | 0.8 | 3.0 |  | -7.9 | -67.1 | 15.7 | -1.2 | -1.5 | -0.6 | 445.0 | -1.4 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 3.6 | 6.8 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 33.8 |
| Slovakia | 0.0 | -0.8 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -0.2 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1.4 | 10.0 | 1.7 | -27.2 | -21.1 | -390.9 | 60.6 | -18.1 | 12.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -100.0 | 0.0 |
| Finland | 2.3 | 2.6 | 0.9 | 1.7 | 0.6 | -10.2 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 5.3 | 1.6 | -3.1 | -22.7 | -2.3 | 8.0 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 5.7 | 12.4 | -2.2 | -19.0 | -21.8 | -40.0 | -35.4 |
| Sweden | 1.4 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 2.6 | 4.5 | 2.2 | 5.6 | 3.9 | 5.6 | 1009.3 | -25.2 | -9.3 | 54.7 | -0.9 | -2.5 | -3.8 | -0.2 | 28.0 | 59.5 | 1.0 | -0.6 | 26.0 | 6.6 | 28.1 | 5.5 |
| United Kingdom | 1.0 | 0.7 | -0.1 | 1.3 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 2.8 | 4.9 | 0.9 | 6.3 | 3.7 | 1.3 | 13.1 | -4.9 | -7.1 | -10.8 | -1.1 | -1.3 | -6.3 | -3.6 | -1.5 | 2.5 | 1.4 | -1.7 | -9.2 | 53.9 |
| Iceland | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 |  |  | 1.1 | 1.1 | 2.8 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.1 | : | 1.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Norway | 1.8 | -0.6 | 0.9 | -0.4 | -12.9 | -8.4 | 0.7 | 1.5 | -0.9 | 1.0 | -0.1 | -54.2 | 16.5 | -31.5 | -21.1 | -25.5 | -8.2 | -37.8 |  |  | 48.8 | -5.5 | -8.5 | -8.1 | 0.0 | 46.2 |

Table 16: Mean values of revisions for main quarterly BOP, financial account items (\%)

|  | DI assets | DI liabilities | Pl assets | PI Liabilities | Fin deriv. | Ol assets | Ol liabilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-28 | -61.6 | -64.3 | -19.8 | -45.3 | 120.5 | -57.5 | -96.6 |
| Belgium | 679.6 | 57.7 | -21.8 | -27.1 | -19.8 | 41.9 | 224.0 |
| Bulgaria | -76.2 | -40.9 | 5.5 | 5.5 | -67.9 | -1.5 | -6.8 |
| Czech Republic | -254.2 | 118.4 | 0.4 | -0.5 | 0.0 | 20.8 | -21.6 |
| Denmark | -12.2 | 8.6 | -23.1 | 40.0 | 29.8 | -9.4 | -14.5 |
| Germany | 103.0 | -578.8 | 0.1 | 12.3 | 17.8 | -0.5 | -69.4 |
| Estonia | 1.4 | 11.3 | 2.6 | 11.3 | 23.0 | -70.3 | 7.1 |
| Ireland | 27.9 | -403.0 | -17.1 | 21.5 | -1.4 | 4303.6 | -63.1 |
| Greece | 144.0 | 39.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 233.2 | 6.3 |
| Spain | 411.3 | 68.9 | -21.1 | 190.2 | -66.6 | -54.0 | 2.3 |
| France | 1722.3 | 28.0 | -24.4 | -9.2 | -14.0 | 12.6 | -0.6 |
| Croatia | -100.0 | -100.0 | : | 11.5 | 28.7 | -33.3 | -20.4 |
| Italy | -13.3 | 48.5 | -2.2 | 0.0 | -20.1 | -58.2 | 1.0 |
| Cyprus | 71.9 | 80.2 | 13.0 | -17.7 | 216.3 | 61.4 | 38.2 |
| Latvia | -0.4 | 55.2 | -0.3 | -3.2 | 60.9 | -6.6 | 3.9 |
| Lithuania | 294.0 | 98.3 | -1.3 | 0.0 | -65.4 | 1.2 | -31.0 |
| Luxembourg | -2.1 | -4.1 | 17.3 | -13.8 | -15.3 | 21748.5 | 32.0 |
| Hungary | -15.2 | -18.9 | 13.4 | -2.2 | 3.8 | -0.9 | 33.1 |
| Malta | -13.1 | -142.6 | 5.2 | 62.6 | 7.6 | 46.4 | 3557.7 |
| Netherlands | -1033.5 | -253.9 | 32.5 | 4.6 | 28.3 | -8.2 | -27.8 |
| Austria | -38.3 | -135.2 | -1.3 | 10.5 | 2.2 | -14.1 | 1.5 |
| Poland | -3.5 | 730.1 | -0.6 | 8.9 | -24.7 | 14.3 | -2.6 |
| Portugal | -87.1 | 36.7 | 2142.5 | 48.2 | 0.1 | 34.4 | -43.2 |
| Romania | -392.3 | -157.6 | 3.1 | 4.2 | 21.2 | 9.4 | -95.4 |
| Slovenia | 24.0 | 67.7 | -0.8 | -13.7 | 2.2 | 26.8 | 17.4 |
| Slovakia | -289.0 | 1.4 | 39.3 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 2.7 |
| Finland | -5.7 | 16.1 | -25.2 | 0.9 | 1.5 | 1.3 | -9.2 |
| Sweden | 70.3 | 23.8 | 5.5 | -56.1 | 1.3 | -14.0 | -4.6 |
| United Kingdom | -368.5 | -252.1 | -15.6 | 43.7 | 8.3 | -5.6 | 568.8 |
| Iceland | : | : | : | -3.0 | -1.4 | -696.2 | -9.0 |
| Norway | 29.5 | -39.8 | 8.7 | -11.2 | -100.0 | -527.7 | 90.0 |

Table 17: Mean values of revisions for IIP, main items (\%)

|  | FA assets | FA liabilities | Dl assets | DI liabilities | Pl assets | PI Liabilities | Fin deriv. assets | Fin deriv. liabilities | Ol assets | Ol liabilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | 0.7 | 0.5 | 3.1 | 1.4 | -2.3 | 0.1 | -13.7 | -20.2 | 0.9 | 0.4 |
| Bulgaria | 1.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 3.2 | -1.8 | 0.4 | 1294.5 | 3.1 | 9.6 | 7.0 |
| Czech Republic | -1.3 | 0.0 | 10.2 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0.2 |
| Denmark | 3.4 | 3.1 | -1.3 | 5.6 | 1.1 | -0.5 | 92.9 | -25.1 | 2.1 | 0.1 |
| Germany | 0.1 | 2.0 | 1.9 | -3.0 | 0.1 | -3.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -0.4 | 1.1 |
| Estonia | 0.3 | 2.0 | 7.9 | 2.7 | -0.4 | -1.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 1.5 | 0.9 |
| Ireland | 3.1 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 68.9 | -3.2 | -2.2 | 265182.0 | 2030.2 | -14.6 | 5.2 |
| Greece | 1.2 | 3.2 | -3.7 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | : | : | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Spain | 2.5 | 1.4 | 6.1 | 0.4 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 5.7 | 2.3 | -3.2 | -0.8 |
| France | 0.7 | 1.0 | -1.0 | 0.1 | -1.7 | -0.2 | 1.0 | 0.7 | 0.1 | -0.4 |
| Croatia | 0.2 | -0.6 | : | : | : | 327.5 | : | : | : | : |
| Italy | 2.8 | 0.3 | -0.7 | -3.7 | 6.6 | -0.1 | -4.9 | -0.2 | 4.6 | 0.3 |
| Cyprus | 86.2 | 65.3 | 201.5 | 21.3 | 8.4 | 16.5 | 51.8 | 40.0 | 2.3 | 11.7 |
| Latvia | 0.5 | 1.1 | -7.0 | -10.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -2.5 | -2.3 |
| Lithuania | 1.7 | 1.8 | 5.8 | 3.1 | -7.0 | 0.0 | -36.5 | -35.3 | 121.1 | 122.2 |
| Luxembourg | 4.9 | 5.5 | 18.3 | 20.2 | 0.4 | -0.3 | -5.3 | -1.1 | -8.2 | 0.0 |
| Hungary | -1.8 | -1.0 | 14.1 | -2.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 1.5 | -0.7 | 0.9 |
| Malta | -1.6 | -8.3 | 33.9 | 99.0 | 0.1 | -4.0 | 0.2 | 44.2 | -16.8 | 20.5 |
| Netherlands | 1.2 | 1.5 | -1.4 | -0.3 | -1.9 | 0.1 | -8.0 | -0.6 | 2.1 | 1.4 |
| Austria | -0.6 | -0.7 | -3.5 | -3.6 | -0.1 | -0.2 | -11.7 | -15.0 | -0.1 | 0.3 |
| Poland | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.7 | -0.4 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.1 |
| Portugal | 1.6 | 1.1 | 19.9 | -2.7 | 2.9 | -2.1 | 29.5 | -4.7 | -0.1 | 0.5 |
| Romania | -0.2 | 0.1 | -208.0 | -2.3 | -1.0 | 0.5 | -42.3 | 0.0 | -2.0 | -0.3 |
| Slovenia | -1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | 1.6 | -0.3 | 0.9 | -5.9 | -0.8 | -1.9 | 0.1 |
| Slovakia | 2.6 | -1.9 | 25.5 | -17.8 | -4.7 | -0.1 | -4.3 | -4.6 | -0.8 | 1.2 |
| Finland | -0.2 | 0.3 | -6.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | -0.5 | -0.7 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Sweden | 0.9 | 0.6 | -7.0 | -5.2 | -0.9 | 0.5 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| United Kingdom | 1.2 | 1.0 | : | : | : | 3.5 | : | : | : | : |
| Iceland | -0.6 | -0.5 | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Norway | 0.3 | 0.4 | : | : | : | 0.3 | : | : | : | : |

Table 18: Vintages for International Trade in Services Statistics for years 2016/2012, 2016/2013 and 2016/2014 (\%)

|  | Year 2016/2012 |  | Year 2016/2013 |  | Year 2016/2014 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit | Credit | Debit |
| Belgium | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 98 |
| Bulgaria | 97 | 89 | 98 | 90 | 100 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 98 |
| Denmark | 100 | 102 | 100 | 104 | 101 | 104 |
| Germany | 103 | 101 | 101 | 101 | 104 | 102 |
| Estonia | : | : | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Ireland | 102 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 108 | 101 |
| Greece | : | : | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Spain | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 101 | 103 |
| France | 101 | 101 | 98 | 95 | 99 | 94 |
| Croatia | : | : | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 101 | 101 | 98 | 101 |
| Cyprus | 100 | 105 | 101 | 100 | 109 | 104 |
| Latvia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 89 | 74 |
| Lithuania | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Luxembourg | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 102 | 101 |
| Hungary | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 102 |
| Malta | 98 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 88 | 88 |
| Netherlands | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Austria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 101 |
| Poland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 104 | 100 |
| Portugal | 100 | 100 | 101 | 99 | 98 | 96 |
| Romania | : | : | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 103 | 100 | 102 |
| Slovakia | : | : | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Finland | : | : | 101 | 100 | 104 | 100 |
| Sweden | 104 | 109 | 105 | 105 | 103 | 106 |
| United Kingdom | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Iceland | : | : | 101 | 102 | 100 | 100 |
| Norway | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 78 | 88 |

Table 19: Vintages for Foreign Direct Investment flows and positions for years 2016/2013 and 2016/2014 (\%)

|  | FDI flows |  |  |  | FDI positions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year 2016/2013 |  | Year 2016/2014 |  | Year 2016/2013 |  | Year 2016/2014 |  |
|  | DO-NO-FA-D-F | DI-NI- FA-D-F | DO-NO-FA-D-F | DI-NI- FA-D-F | DO-NO-FA-D-F | DI-NI- FA-D-F | DO-NO-FA-D-F | DI-NI- FA-D-F |
| Belgium | 162 | 184 | -23 | -245 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 97 |
| Bulgaria | 100 | 100 | 103 | 118 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Denmark | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Germany | 123 | 55 | 95 | 138 | 101 | 101 | 98 | 102 |
| Estonia | 137 | 127 | 69 | 119 | 102 | 105 | 103 | 105 |
| Ireland | 101 | 104 | 96 | 120 | 99 | 104 | 98 | 110 |
| Greece | 100 | 100 | 333 | 161 | 100 | 100 | 97 | 96 |
| Spain | 93 | 114 | 103 | 112 | 104 | 100 | 102 | 106 |
| France | 81 | 80 | 112 | 2 | 97 | 96 | 97 | 93 |
| Croatia | 100 | 100 | 99 | 81 | 100 | 100 | 107 | 96 |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 100 | 118 | 100 | 100 | 101 | 104 |
| Cyprus | 63 | 52 | -88 | 239 | 124 | 120 | 122 | 120 |
| Latvia | 100 | 100 | 101 | 131 | 100 | 100 | 96 | 102 |
| Lithuania | 100 | 100 | -50 | 15 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 99 |
| Luxembourg | 69 | 70 | 104 | 99 | 101 | 101 | 114 | 125 |
| Hungary | 105 | 100 | 294 | 166 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 102 |
| Malta | 100 | 99 | 101 | 96 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Netherlands | 128 | 120 | 120 | 152 | 102 | 103 | 101 | 102 |
| Austria | 100 | 100 | -42 | 0 | 100 | 100 | 98 | 97 |
| Poland | 100 | 100 | 193 | 115 | 100 | 100 | 110 | 102 |
| Portugal | 119 | 138 | 142 | 125 | 103 | 100 | 118 | 111 |
| Romania | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 100 | 104 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 101 |
| Slovakia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Finland | 100 | 100 | -210 | 106 | 100 | 100 | 99 | 98 |
| Sweden | 104 | 130 | 64 | 31 | 104 | 102 | 104 | 102 |
| United Kingdom | : | 110 | 102 | 84 | 107 | 101 | 105 | 93 |
| Iceland | 100 | 100 | 124 | 96 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 94 |
| Norway | 128 | 131 | : | : | 92 | 98 | 70 | 76 |

Table 20: Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) quarterly BOP, current and capital account and Mean absolute comparative error (MACE), quarterly BOP, financial account (\%)


Table 21: Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) quarterly IIP (\%)

Table 22：Symmetric mean absolute percentage error（SMAPE）quarterly BOP（\％）

|  | EU－28＊ |  | Belgium |  | Bulgaria |  | CzechRepublic |  | Denmark |  | Germany |  | Estonia |  | Ireland |  | Greece |  | Spain |  | France |  | Croatia |  | Italy |  | Cyprus |  | Latvia |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Current account（World） | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Goods（World） |  |  | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Goods（Extra EU－28） | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| Services（World） | ： |  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Services（Extra EU28） | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 8 |
| Compensation of employees（D1） | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 17 |
| Income－equity（ D 4 S －D－F5 DI） | 4 | 6 | 37 | 25 | 35 | 25 | 25 | 22 | 6 | 15 | 8 | 10 | 29 | 8 | 21 | 8 | 30 | 60 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 26 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 7 | 6 |
| Income－debt instruments（D4Q－D－FL DI） | 3 | 4 | 23 | 32 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 10 | 2 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 8 | 6 | 100 | 100 | 2 | 2 | 68 | 41 | 4 | 3 |
| Income－equity and investment fund shares（D4S－P－F5 PI） | 1 | 2 | 13 | 28 | 2 | 94 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 100 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 41 | 47 | 0 | 2 |
| Income－debt securities（D41－P－F3 PI） | 1 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Other investment income（D4P－O－F） | 2 | 3 | 40 | 49 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 11 | 11 |  |  | 11 | 9 | 14 | 15 | 1 | 1 |
| Secondary income（IN2） | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Capital account（KA） | 3 | 11 | 15 | 12 | 19 | 37 | 64 | 9 | 0 | 88 | 5 | 7 | 37 | 40 | 65 | 57 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 5 | 100 | 100 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 100 | 0 | 0 |
| Direct investment（FA－D－F） | 77 | 71 | 34 | 13 | 12 | 19 | 31 | 19 | 16 | 20 | 12 | 15 | 9 | 4 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 6 | 19 | 16 | 13 | 10 | 55 | 81 | 14 | 14 | 36 | 72 | 7 | 9 |
| Portfolio investment（FA－P－F） | 18 | 59 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 19 | 19 | 12 | 100 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| Financial derivatives，Net（FA－F－F7） |  | 51 |  | 29 |  | 13 |  | 0 |  | 20 |  | 6 |  | 6 |  | 13 |  | 0 |  | 18 |  | 14 |  | 11 |  | 5 |  | 41 |  | 13 |
| Other investment（FA－O－F） | 63 | 85 | 14 | 12 | 10 | 20 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 25 | 14 | 9 | 5 | 19 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 11 |  | 12 | 4 | 36 | 19 | 5 | 6 |


| 02 | S1 | $\downarrow$ | $\dagger$ | Lz | 6 | $\checkmark$ | $\varepsilon$ | z | 1 | z | z | 9 | \＆ı | z | $\angle$ | $L$ | てı | 9 | 8 | t | － | ゅ | 01 | 9 | 9 | $\dagger$ | 9 | 91 | $9 \varepsilon$ | 2 | $\downarrow$ |  <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 001 |  | 0 |  | zz |  | 1 |  | $\varepsilon$ |  | 1 |  | $\angle$ |  | 29 |  | $\dagger$ |  | $\varepsilon$ |  | z |  | $\angle \varepsilon$ |  | $\varepsilon \tau$ |  | $\angle$ |  | OL |  | sı |  |  |
| $\varepsilon \downarrow$ | 8 | 1 | ： | $L$ | 81 | ゅ | 2 | $\angle$ | 9 | 0 | 91 | z | z | ＋ | s | ゅ | $\angle 2$ | 2 | 0 | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | ャて | s | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | ¢ | zz | $L$ | 0 | 1 |  |
| 61 | レて | ： | ： | $8{ }^{8}$ | 19 | て1 | $\varepsilon \downarrow$ | $\angle$ | い | $\angle 2$ | 82 | て1 | ャて | $\downarrow \varepsilon$ | $9 \varepsilon$ | st | $9+$ | t | $9 \varepsilon$ | 69 | s 9 | zz | 61 | 09 | 01 | 81 | $6 \varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon 乙$ | $\varepsilon \tau$ | 61 | $\angle$ |  <br>  |
| 8 | ： | ： | ： | 02 | $\angle 2$ | z | てı | 99 | 92 | 0 | 001 | $\angle 1$ | $\varepsilon$ | 9 | $\downarrow$ | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | z |  | 0 | s | 9 | 9 | 0¢ | 12 | $\varepsilon 1$ | 81 | 91 | 92 | 001 | $0 z$ |  |
| s | 8 | ： | ： | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | $L$ | £ | sı | 0 | 0 | z | $\varepsilon$ | 乙 | z | 1 | 0 | z | 1 | 8 | 92 | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | $\angle$ | $L$ | z | zz | $\varepsilon$ | 9 | 1 | 1 | （ZNI）әшоэи！¢ıериоээs |
| $\llcorner$ | 81 |  | ： | z | 1 | 1 | 1 | $L$ | s | 0 | 0 | $\varepsilon$ | z | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | 8 | $\downarrow$ | 9 | 02 | s | s | ャて | ゅし | 82 | 8 | z | 01 | 6 | 6 | 2ı | $\angle$ |  |
|  |  | 1 | ： | $\downarrow$ | $\varepsilon$ | 81 | い | z | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 99 |  | てl | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | $\checkmark$ | s | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\varepsilon$ | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 8 |  |
| 9 t | い | 6 | ： | $\downarrow$ | z | 0 | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $9 \varepsilon$ | 1 | 82 | $\varepsilon 乙$ | s | 6 | zl | 9 | 9 | 1 | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | 0 | z | 1 | 1 | 9 |  |
| 4 | $\varepsilon \downarrow$ |  |  | 6 | 6 | 1 | $\downarrow$ | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ | 8 | 11 | ＋ | 1 | $\varepsilon t$ | 01 | 12 | $\stackrel{\square}{t}$ | 1 | 0 | $\checkmark$ | z | 6 | 1 | 61 | zL | z | $\varepsilon$ | い | 6 | 01 | 8 |  |
| $9 \downarrow$ | $\angle 1$ |  |  | t | 9 | 02 | 9 | 92 | ¢ | 92 | \＆t | zz | 02 | 89 | $\varepsilon 6$ | $\varepsilon \varepsilon$ | 61 | 61 | 02 | zs | z¢ | 81 | 6 | sz | てt | 9 | 6 | てı | Sı | 92 | 99 |  |
| 6 t | 0 |  |  | $\varepsilon$ | z | 乙 | 92 | 2 | z | 61 | 62 |  | 0 | 1 | 9 | $\checkmark$ | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | 8 | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | 1 | z | st | 0 | ャレ | 9 | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | 1 | 1 |  |
| 1 | 乙 | z | $\downarrow$ | $\varepsilon$ | z | z | z | $\varepsilon$ | 乙 | $\varepsilon$ | s | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 | z | $\downarrow$ | 1 | z | z | ¢ | $L$ | 1 | $\downarrow$ | 2 | z | $\varepsilon$ | $\downarrow$ | （82ก］enxa）seopnas |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | $\downarrow$ | 2 | 2 | $\varepsilon$ | $\downarrow$ | z | $\varepsilon$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 0 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 | z | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 0 | （Pıom）sejnas |
| 01 | ゅし |  | ： | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 乙 | $\varepsilon$ | 8 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\downarrow$ | z | 0 | 1 | 乙 | 0 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | z | z | $\stackrel{1}{1}$ | $\downarrow$ | 9 | z | 0 | （8z－ne enxal spoos |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | 0 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 0 | z | 2 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\angle$ | 0 | 0 | （phom）spoos |
| 1 | $\downarrow$ | 0 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 1 | $\tau$ | 1 | 1 | $\tau$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\downarrow$ | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | z | $\tau$ | $\varepsilon$ | $\varepsilon$ | 0 | 1 | $\dagger$ |  | 1 | 0 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| кemion |  | риеөэ |  | шорби！्र рฆ！！uก |  | иәрәмs |  | puraut |  | в！หелоі： |  | е!иәлоІя |  | घ！uemoy |  | le6nyod |  | puepod |  | ع！！п sn |  | риецечıә |  | Ey｜w |  | К土e6un ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  | 6ュnoqшәхпา |  | ย！иепчџา |  |  |

Table 23: Symmetric mean absolute percentage error (SMAPE) quarterly IIP (\%)

Table 24: Net relative revisions (NRR) quarterly BOP (\%)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { à } \\ & \underset{\sim}{\omega} \\ & \underset{\sim}{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{E}{5} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \hline \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\pi}{\check{y}} \\ & \stackrel{y}{3} \\ & \ddot{W} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \ddot{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \\ & \stackrel{0}{0} \end{aligned}$ | $\frac{\frac{5}{10}}{\frac{0}{0}}$ |  |  |  | $0$ | $\sum_{\beth}^{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{\stackrel{\pi}{5}}{\frac{1}{5}}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 坒 } \\ & \frac{y}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \bar{W} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{3} \\ & \vdots \\ & \vdots \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{2} \\ & \frac{\stackrel{\pi}{0}}{\omega} \\ & \frac{0}{\omega} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { D } \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \frac{5}{4} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\pi}{n} \\ & \stackrel{3}{0} \\ & \frac{2}{2} \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current account (World) | 1 | . | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Goods (World) |  | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Goods (Extra EU-28) | 1 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 17 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 4 |  | 7 |
| Services (World) |  | 2 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Services (Extra EU28) | 1 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Compensation of employees (D1) | 3 | 4 | 57 | 16 | 17 | 8 | 26 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 28 | 2 | 13 | 20 | 29 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 20 | 19 | 133 | 6 | 87 | 8 |  | 256 |
| Income - equity (D4S-D-F5 DI) | 8 | 108 | 116 | 89 | 21 | 32 | 36 | 39 | 70 | 32 | 33 | -7 | 86 | 46 | 23 | 140 | 16 | 16 | 80 | 11 | 74 | 82 | 53 | -1291 | 414 | 109 | 56 | 28 | 17 |  | 142 |
| Income - debt instruments (D4Q-D-FL DI) | 8 | 57 | 1 | 20 | 8 | 24 | 14 | 33 | 0 | 80 | 18 | 117 | 3 | 48 | 8 | 9 | 14 | 11 | 91 | 30 | 7 | 2 | 134 | 199 | 5 | 29 | 6 | 3 | 20 |  | 31 |
| Income - equity and investment fund shares (D4S-P-F5 PI) | 5 | 74 | 20 | 27 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 76 | 1 | 158 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 10 | 16 | 52 | 21 | 119 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 |  | 55 |
| Income-debt securities (D41-P-F3 PI) | 7 | 14 | 21 | 7 | 8 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 12 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 9 | 50 | 12 |  |  |
| Other investment income (D4P-O-F) | 3 | 77 | 6 | 5 | 43 | 12 | 8 | 22 | 0 | 17 | 27 |  | 31 | 19 | 3 | 39 | 15 | 7 | 23 | 54 | 14 | 21 | 30 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 5 |  | 48 |
| Secondary income ( IN2) $^{\text {a }}$ | 2 | 7 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 2 | 17 | 18 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 17 | 10 | 2 | 10 | 22 | 0 | 14 | 16 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 76 | 6 | 5 |  | 9 |
| Capital account (KA) | 27 | 43 | 93 | 150 | 175 | 10 | 63 | 98 | 1 | 41 | 19 |  | 2 | 10 | 0 | 73 | 62 | 39 | 133 | 17 | 1 | 11 | 15 | 4 | 48 | 11 | 836 | 11 | 53 |  |  |
| Direct investment (FA-D-F) |  | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 |  |  | . |
| Portfolio investment (FA-P-F) |  | 0 | 1. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Financial derivatives, Net (FA-F-F7) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | . |
| Other investment (FA-O-F) | : | : 4 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 |  | 3 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | . |  | : |

Table 25: Net relative revisions (NRR) quarterly IIP (\%)


Table 26: Consistency with integrity rules

|  | MBOP | QBOP | QIIP | QREV | ITSS | FDI flows | FDI stocks |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Belgium | EXCELLENT | GOOD | GOOD | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | GOOD |
| Bulgaria | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | : | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Czech Republic | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | . | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Denmark | EXCELLENT | GOOD | EXCELLENT | . | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Germany | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Estonia | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Ireland | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Greece | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Spain | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| France | EXCELLENT | GOOD | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Croatia | EXCELLENT | GOOD | GOOD | : | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Italy | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Cyprus | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Latvia | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Lithuania | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Luxembourg | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Hungary | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | : | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Malta | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | : | GOOD | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Netherlands | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Austria | EXCELLENT | GOOD | GOOD | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Poland | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | : | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Portugal | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Romania | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | - | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Slovenia | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Slovakia | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Finland | EXCELLENT | GOOD | GOOD | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Sweden | EXCELLENT | GOOD | EXCELLENT | : | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| United Kingdom | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | : | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Iceland | : | GOOD | GOOD | : | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |
| Norway | : | GOOD | GOOD | : | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT | EXCELLENT |

Table 27: Inconsistencies between quarterly and annual ITSS (\%)

|  | CREDIT |  |  |  | DEBIT |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| Belgium | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bulgaria | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Czech Republic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denmark | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Germany | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Estonia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ireland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Greece | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spain | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| France | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Croatia | 0.0 | 1.9 | 0.0 | -0.7 | 2.4 | -0.2 |
| Italy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cyprus | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Latvia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Luxembourg | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hungary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Malta | -0.9 | -1.3 | -0.9 | -0.2 | -0.4 | -0.5 |
| Netherlands | 31.9 | 24.3 | 21.9 | -8.9 | 11.6 | 15.2 |
| Austria | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Poland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Portugal | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Romania | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Slovenia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Slovakia | 0.1 | 0.1 | 3.1 | 0.1 | -0.1 | 0.7 |
| Finland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweden | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| United Kingdom | 0.3 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | -3.9 | 0.0 |
| Iceland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Norway | -18.4 | -27.6 | -40.0 | -24.2 | -12.2 | -14.3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 28: Inconsistencies between quarterly and annual data FDI flows (\%)

|  | ASSETS |  |  | LIABILITIES |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| Belgium | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bulgaria | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | $\mathbf{- 2 0 3 . 2}$ | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Czech Republic | 0.0 | -303.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -248.8 | 0.0 |
| Denmark | 1.3 | -16.6 | -5.2 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 16.6 |
| Germany | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Estonia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ireland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Greece | -0.2 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Spain | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| France | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Croatia | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Italy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cyprus | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Latvia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | 3.4 | -1.8 | 0.8 | 17.2 | 0.5 | 0.1 |
| Luxembourg | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hungary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Malta | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | -0.1 | 0.0 |
| Netherlands | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Austria | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Poland | -33.2 | -6.3 | -26.9 | 0.9 | 19.5 | -121.2 |
| Portugal | 0.1 | 0.1 | -0.4 | -1.6 | -0.3 | -1.4 |
| Romania | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Slovenia | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Slovakia | 886.5 | -95.7 | 0.0 | 319.2 | 27.6 | 0.0 |
| Finland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweden | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| United Kingdom | 48.3 | 56.9 | -367.0 | 50.4 | 23.5 | 47.8 |
| Iceland | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |
| Norway | 71.8 | $:$ | $:$ | 142.5 | $:$ | $:$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 29: Inconsistencies between quarterly and annual data FDI income (\%)

|  | CREDIT |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| Belgium | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 |
| Bulgaria | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -115.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Czech Republic | 0.0 | 19.9 | 6.4 | 0.0 | -3.2 | 0.4 |
| Denmark | 0.0 | 7.9 | -2.5 | 0.0 | -13.1 | 13.5 |
| Germany | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.1 | -5.0 | -4.3 | -4.6 |
| Estonia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ireland | -41.2 | -40.3 | -408.5 | -12.3 | -11.6 | -8.0 |
| Greece | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | -1.6 | 0.5 | 1.0 |
| Spain | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| France | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Croatia | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |  | $:$ | $:$ |
| Italy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cyprus | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Latvia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | -30.6 | -8.1 | -4.6 | 2.7 | 0.2 | -0.3 |
| Luxembourg | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hungary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Malta | 0.0 | 0.0 | -39.3 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Netherlands | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Austria | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| Poland | 1.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 10.2 | -1.1 | -0.2 |
| Portugal | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.8 |
| Romania | 2.0 | -0.1 | 11.4 | 1.5 | 0.0 | -0.3 |
| Slovenia | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Slovakia | -4.9 | 21.1 | -0.2 | 13.5 | 17.9 | 0.0 |
| Finland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Sweden | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| United Kingdom | -0.3 | -0.2 | 0.1 | -0.4 | -0.3 | 0.2 |
| Iceland | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ | $:$ |
| Norway | 0.7 | -1.9 | $:$ | 1.1 | 67.8 | $:$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 30: Inconsistencies between monthly and quarterly BOP, goods and services (\%)

|  | GOODS |  |  |  | SERVICES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CREDIT |  | DEBIT |  | CREDIT |  | DEBIT |  |
|  | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 |
| Belgium | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bulgaria | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Czech Republic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denmark | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Germany | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Estonia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Ireland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Greece | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 |
| Spain | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| France | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Croatia | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | -3.9 | -30.1 | -20.8 | -19.0 |
| Italy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cyprus | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Latvia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Luxembourg | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hungary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Malta | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Netherlands | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Austria | -2.7 | 0.4 | 15.1 | 13.1 | -3.7 | -4.6 | -10.1 | -5.8 |
| Poland | 0.1 | 14.6 | -0.3 | 1.6 | 7.4 | 14.8 | 1.2 | 1.9 |
| Portugal | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| Romania | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Slovenia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Slovakia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Finland | -5.3 | -0.4 | 3.6 | 3.2 | -6.8 | -1.2 | -1.4 | -10.6 |
| Sweden | -11.1 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 |
| United Kingdom | 4.5 | -2.1 | -0.3 | -6.4 | 2.7 | -4.5 | -1.3 | 1.0 |
| Iceland | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Norway | : | : |  | : |  | : | : | : |

Table 31: Inconsistencies between monthly and quarterly BOP, primary and secondary income (\%)

|  | PRIMARY INCOME |  |  |  | SECONDARY INCOME |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CREDIT |  | DEBIT |  | CREDIT |  | DEBIT |  |
|  | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 | 2016Q1 | 2016Q2 |
| Belgium | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Bulgaria | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Czech Republic | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Denmark | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Germany | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Estonia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -2.7 | -0.8 |
| Ireland | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Greece | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -1.6 | 0.0 | -1.2 |
| Spain | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| France | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Croatia | 0.0 | -1.6 | 0.0 | -0.1 | -13.7 | -119.0 | -125.9 | -70.3 |
| Italy | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Cyprus | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Latvia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -0.1 | -0.6 |
| Luxembourg | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |
| Hungary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Malta | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Netherlands | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Austria | 8.3 | 7.5 | -0.3 | -0.2 | 64.7 | 42.9 | 4.0 | 27.0 |
| Poland | -3.1 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 14.5 | -0.1 | 27.3 | -1.8 | 11.8 |
| Portugal | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Romania | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Slovenia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Slovakia | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Finland | -11.1 | -0.9 | -12.8 | 5.3 | 7.4 | 3.7 | -21.2 | -20.5 |
| Sweden | 3.6 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 0.0 |
| United Kingdom | 8.5 | 5.6 | -1.9 | -9.7 | 0.9 | 8.7 | -0.1 | 5.9 |
| Iceland | : | : | : | : | : | : | : | : |
| Norway | : | . | : | : | : | : | . | : |

Table 32: Consistency between BOP and IIP data-unexplained changes (millions of national currency) (discrepancies of less than 10 mln are due to rounding and are not treated as unexplained changes)

|  | Assets |  | Liabilities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | By functional category | By instrument | By functional category | By instrument |
| Belgium | 16572 | 16545 | -19 766 | -19 801 |
| Bulgaria | 468 | 417 | -1 262 | -1 375 |
| Czech Republic | 0 | -5 | - 1 | -2 |
| Denmark | 14506 | 14564 | 4933 | 4886 |
| Germany | 1 | -6 | - 3 | -1 |
| Estonia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Ireland | -8 | -10 | -7 | -2 |
| Greece | -2 | -1 | 0 | 3 |
| Spain | 0 | -3 | 1 | - 5 |
| France | 0 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Croatia | -5 363 | -8673 | -3 396 | 12632 |
| Italy | 0 | -6 | -1 | 0 |
| Cyprus | 0 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| Latvia | 1 | -5 | 1 | 3 |
| Lithuania | 1 | 5 | 0 | 3 |
| Luxembourg | 2 | -6 | -2 | -1 |
| Hungary | 0 | -6 553 | 0 | -15978 |
| Malta | -6 542 | -6 548 | -5 054 | -5 145 |
| Netherlands | 3 | 444 | -1 | -39 |
| Austria | 0 | -2 | -2 | 4 |
| Poland | -10 789 | -12 873 | 50344 | 66224 |
| Portugal | 1 | -6 | 2 | -4 |
| Romania | -14 | -19 | 0 | 0 |
| Slovenia | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Slovakia | 0 | -1 | 0 | -2 |
| Finland | -25 697 | -20 075 | -5 050 | -7 725 |
| Sweden | 68437 | 65884 | 126506 | 124463 |
| United Kingdom | -42333 | -42765 | 110499 | 110554 |
| Iceland | 83003 | 92292 | 3462191 | 204709 |
| Norway | -912654 | -826 329 | -182984 | -1512 431 |

Table 33: Average relative error in relation to the current account (\%)

|  | 2011-2013 | 2012-2014 | 2013-2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75\% | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| median | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 25\% | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| EU-28 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Belgium | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Bulgaria | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Czech Republic | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Denmark | 12 | 14 | 15 |
| Germany | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Estonia | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ireland | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Greece | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Spain | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| France | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Croatia | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Italy | 6 | 7 | 5 |
| Cyprus | 12 | 12 | 11 |
| Latvia | 2 | 3 | 3 |
| Lithuania | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| Luxembourg | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hungary | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Malta | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Netherlands | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Austria | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Poland | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Portugal | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Romania | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Slovenia | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Slovakia | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| Finland | 15 | 14 | 14 |
| Sweden | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| United Kingdom | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Iceland | 15 | 9 | 9 |
| Norway | 8 | 10 | 8 |

Table 34: Average relative error in relation to the IIP (\%)

|  | 2011-2013 | 2012-2014 | 2013-2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75\% | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.48 |
| median | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.26 |
| 25\% | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.13 |
| Belgium | 0.04 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| Bulgaria | 0.59 | 0.58 | 0.71 |
| Czech Republic | 0.44 | 0.38 | 0.33 |
| Denmark | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.84 |
| Germany | 0.26 | 0.17 | 0.16 |
| Estonia | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.19 |
| Ireland | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.06 |
| Greece | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.23 |
| Spain | 0.22 | 0.25 | 0.30 |
| France | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.13 |
| Croatia | 1.05 | 1.03 | 1.05 |
| Italy | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.30 |
| Cyprus | 0.19 | 0.18 | 0.17 |
| Latvia | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.39 |
| Lithuania | 0.24 | 0.76 | 0.98 |
| Luxembourg | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Hungary | 0.18 | 0.16 | 0.15 |
| Malta | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.13 |
| Netherlands | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.05 |
| Austria | 0.22 | 0.20 | 0.19 |
| Poland | 0.53 | 0.48 | 0.54 |
| Portugal | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.08 |
| Romania | 0.55 | 0.53 | 0.48 |
| Slovenia | 0.53 | 0.41 | 0.34 |
| Slovakia | 0.75 | 0.79 | 0.86 |
| Finland | 0.51 | 0.50 | 0.47 |
| Sweden | 0.51 | 0.55 | 0.50 |
| United Kingdom | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.07 |
| Iceland | 0.45 | 0.28 | 0.32 |
| Norway | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.30 |

Table 35: Cumulative relative errors and omissions in relation to current account (\%)

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 75\% | -3.3 | -2.6 | -2.2 |
| median | -0.4 | -0.5 | -0.3 |
| $25 \%$ | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.6 |
| EU-28 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |
| Belgium | -0.1 | -0.2 | -0.1 |
| Bulgaria | 3.8 | 2.5 | 1.7 |
| Czech Republic | -0.3 | 0.0 | 0.2 |
| Denmark | -6.0 | -4.2 | -3.9 |
| Germany | -2.7 | -2.5 | -1.6 |
| Estonia | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Ireland | -3.4 | -2.6 | -1.8 |
| Greece | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 |
| Spain | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.3 |
| France | -2.9 | -2.4 | -1.7 |
| Croatia | -5.7 | -4.9 | -4.3 |
| Italy | -3.8 | -2.8 | -2.3 |
| Cyprus | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.8 |
| Latvia | 2.1 | 1.9 | 1.7 |
| Lithuania | -0.7 | -0.9 | -1.2 |
| Luxembourg | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Hungary | -1.6 | -1.3 | -1.2 |
| Malta | 0.5 | 0.3 | -0.1 |
| Netherlands | -1.2 | -0.8 | -0.5 |
| Austria | -0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Poland | -4.6 | -3.7 | -3.5 |
| Portugal | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Romania | 1.9 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| Slovenia | -3.7 | -3.4 | -3.0 |
| Slovakia | -1.4 | -1.8 | -2.2 |
| Finland | -5.9 | -8.2 | -7.0 |
| Sweden | -0.4 | -1.8 | -2.1 |
| United Kingdom | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Iceland | 4.0 | 2.7 | 2.7 |
| Norway | -2.9 | -3.8 | -3.9 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 36: BOP (total goods)/ITGS (G1) directional consistency, counterpart area Extra EU-28 (\%)

|  | Exports/Goods Credits |  |  | Imports/Goods Debits |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011-2013 | 2012-2014 | 2013-2015 | 2011-2013 | 2012-2014 | 2013-2015 |
| median | 96 | 100 | 92 | 91 | 88 | 87 |
| EU-28 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 83 | 75 | 92 |
| Belgium | 75 | 88 | 83 | 75 | 88 | 75 |
| Bulgaria | 100 | 100 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Czech Republic | 100 | 92 | 92 | 75 | 83 | 67 |
| Denmark | 83 | 83 | 75 | 92 | 100 | 100 |
| Germany | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Estonia | 75 | 88 | 92 | 50 | 50 | 67 |
| Ireland | 88 | 92 | 75 | 100 | 92 | 83 |
| Greece | 92 | 92 | 92 | 83 | 67 | 75 |
| Spain | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 88 | 75 |
| France | 100 | 100 | 100 | 63 | 50 | 58 |
| Croatia | 100 | 100 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 92 |
| Italy | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Cyprus | 75 | 83 | 92 | 67 | 83 | 67 |
| Latvia | 75 | 88 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Lithuania | 100 | 100 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Luxembourg | 58 | 58 | 67 | 75 | 83 | 75 |
| Hungary | 58 | 75 | 92 | 92 | 100 | 100 |
| Malta | 83 | 75 | 67 | 67 | 75 | 67 |
| Netherlands | 67 | 75 | 75 | 83 | 83 | 75 |
| Austria | 100 | 100 | 100 | 50 | 50 | 42 |
| Poland | 92 | 100 | 100 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Portugal | 100 | 100 | 100 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Romania | 100 | 100 | 92 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Slovenia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 83 | 58 |
| Slovakia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 75 | 88 | 92 |
| Finland | 100 | 100 | 100 | 92 | 92 | 100 |
| Sweden | 100 | 100 | 100 | 83 | 83 | 92 |
| United Kingdom | 83 | 75 | 83 | 58 | 58 | 67 |
| Iceland | : | 100 | 100 | : | 75 | 88 |
| Norway | 50 | 58 | 75 | 63 | 75 | 83 |

Table 37: Inconsistencies between BOP and NA (\%)

|  | Goods | Services | Compensation of employees | Investment income | Secondary income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EU-28 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 0.7 | 16.5 |
| Belgium | -1.5 | -0.5 | -0.4 | 2.8 | 8.8 |
| Bulgaria | -1.0 | -10.8 | 16.6 | 4.6 | 13.7 |
| Czech Republic | 0.0 | -0.1 | 2.1 | -9.2 | 6.7 |
| Denmark | 2.4 | 3.9 | 0.6 | -0.8 | 4.2 |
| Germany | 0.0 | -0.3 | 8.5 | 0.1 | 0.5 |
| Estonia | 0.0 | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | -3.1 |
| Ireland | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 |
| Greece | -6.6 | 9.9 | -13.0 | 14.2 | 4.7 |
| Spain | 0.0 | -0.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 2.2 |
| France | -1.3 | 11.8 | 5.5 | -3.8 | -23.0 |
| Croatia | 0.1 | 0.5 | -13.8 | -5.2 | 4.1 |
| Italy | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 |
| Cyprus | 0.0 | 2.9 | 3.7 | -8.5 | 2.2 |
| Latvia | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 0.0 |
| Lithuania | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -1.4 | -0.8 |
| Luxembourg | -5.3 | -13.9 | : | : | : |
| Hungary | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | -1.6 |
| Malta | -1.7 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | : |
| Netherlands | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -1.3 | 1.2 |
| Austria | 0.3 | 0.2 | -1.8 | -0.1 | 1.4 |
| Poland | 0.0 | 1.2 | -0.1 | 3.1 | -26.9 |
| Portugal | -4.4 | 18.5 | 0.0 | 5.2 | -12.1 |
| Romania | -0.6 | 2.3 | -66.5 | -8.6 | -2.0 |
| Slovenia | 0.0 | -0.3 | 0.1 | -1.7 | 11.3 |
| Slovakia | -0.1 | -0.9 | -5.3 | -10.6 | -35.6 |
| Finland | 0.1 | -0.8 | -6.8 | -4.1 | -6.2 |
| Sweden | -0.5 | -2.5 | 0.0 | -2.1 | 5.4 |
| United Kingdom | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Iceland | 0.0 | -0.2 | -1.6 | 0.2 | -0.5 |
| Norway | -1.1 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 |

## Annex 2: List of abbreviations and codes

| Abbreviations |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| BOP | Balance of Payments |
| MBOP | Monthly BOP |
| QBOP | Quarterly BOP |
| IIP | International Investment Position |
| ITSS | International Trade in Services Statistics |
| FDI | Foreign Direct Investment |
| ITGS | International Trade in Goods Statistics |
| BPM | Balance of Payments Manual of the International Monetary Fund |
| Geographical aggregates and country codes |  |
| EU28 | European Union of 28 Member States |
| EU | European Union |
| EA | Euro Area |
| BE | Belgium |
| BG | Bulgaria |
| CZ | Czech Republic |
| DK | Denmark |
| DE | Germany |
| EE | Estonia |
| IE | Ireland |
| EL | Greece |
| ES | Spain |
| FR | France |
| HR | Croatia |
| IT | Italy |
| CY | Cyprus |
| LV | Latvia |
| LT | Lithuania |
| LU | Luxembourg |
| HU | Hungary |
| MT | Malta |
| NL | Netherlands |
| AT | Austria |
| PL | Poland |


| PT | Portugal |
| :--- | :--- |
| RO | Romania |
| SI | Slovenia |
| SK | Slovakia |
| FI | Finland |
| SE | Sweden |
| UK | United Kingdom |
| IS | Iceland |
| NO | Norway |

## Annex 3: Glossary

| Current account | The current account shows flows of goods, services, primary and secondary income between residents and non-residents. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Goods | The goods component of BOP covers moveable goods for which a change of ownership occurs between residents and non-residents. |
| Services | Services are the result of a production activity that changes the conditions of the consuming units, or facilitates the exchange of products or financial assets. Services are not generally separate items over which ownership rights can be established and cannot generally be separated from their production. |
| Primary income | Primary income represents the return that accrues to institutional units for their contribution to the production process, or for the provision of financial assets or from renting natural resources to other institutional units. It comprises Compensation of employees, Investment income and Other primary income. |
| Compensation of employees | Compensation of employees is recorded when the employer (the producing unit) and the employee are resident in different economies. |
| Investment income | Investment income is derived from a resident's ownership of an external financial asset (credit) and symmetrically, income derived from a nonresident's ownership of a domestic financial asset (debit). Investment income includes income on equity (dividends, withdrawals from income of quasi-corporations, reinvested earnings) and on debt (interest), and investment income attributable to policyholders in insurance, pension schemes, and standardised guarantee schemes. |
| Secondary income | The secondary income account shows current transfers between residents and non-residents. A transfer is an entry that corresponds to the provision of a good, service, financial asset, or other non-produced asset by an institutional unit to another institutional unit where there is no corresponding return of an item of economic value. Current transfers consist of all transfers that are not capital transfers. |
| Capital account | The capital account covers the acquisition/disposal of non-produced nonfinancial assets (natural resources; contracts, leases and licences; marketing assets, e.g. brand names, trademark; goodwill) and capital transfers (transfers of ownership of fixed assets; transfers of funds linked to, or conditional upon, the acquisition or disposal of fixed assets; the cancellation of liabilities by creditors without any consideration being received in return). |
| Financial account | Financial account records transactions that involve financial assets and liabilities that have taken place between residents and non-residents. The financial account shows transactions in net terms: net acquisitions of financial assets correspond to acquisitions of assets less reductions in assets. |
| Direct investment | Direct investment is associated with a resident in one economy (direct investor) having control or a significant degree of influence on the management of an enterprise that is resident in another economy (direct investment enterprise). Following the international standards, the direct or indirect ownership of $10 \%$ or more of the voting power of an enterprise resident in one economy by an investor resident in another economy is evidence of such a relationship. |
| Portfolio investment | Portfolio investment includes transactions and positions involving debt or equity securities, other than those included in direct investment or reserve assets. Portfolio investment includes equity securities, investment fund shares and debt securities, unless they are categorised either as direct investment or as reserve assets. Transactions such as repurchase agreements and securities lending are excluded from portfolio investment. |
| Financial derivatives and | A financial derivative contract is a financial instrument that is linked |


| employee stock options | another specific financial instrument or indicator or commodity and through <br> which specific financial risks (such as interest rate risk, foreign exchange <br> risk, equity and commodity price risks and credit risk) can be traded in their |
| :--- | :--- |
| own right in financial markets. Employee stock options are options to buy |  |
| the equity of a company offered to employees of the company as a form of |  |
| remuneration. |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Regulation (EC) No 184/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 12 January 2005 on Community statistics concerning balance of payments, international trade in services and foreign direct investment (OJ L 35, 8.2.2005, p. 23).
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Commission Regulation (EU) No 555/2012 of 22 June 2012 amending Regulation (EC) No 184/2005 of the European Parliament and of the Council on Community statistics concerning balance of payments, international trade in services and foreign direct investment, as regards the update of data requirements and definitions (OJ L 166, 27.6.2012, p. 22).

[^1]:    ${ }^{3} \mathrm{https}: / / \mathrm{www} . i m f . o r g /$ external/pubs/ft/bop/2007/pdf/bpm6.pdf.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Regulation (EU) 2016/1013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 amending Regulation (EC) No $184 / 2005$ on Community statistics concerning balance of payments, international trade in services and foreign direct investment (Text with EEA relevance) (OJ L 171, 29.6.2016, p. 144).
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