EN



Brussels, 6 December 2022 (OR. en)

15617/22 ADD 1

SAN 649

COVER NOTE

From:	Secretary-General of the European Commission, signed by Ms Martine DEPREZ, Director				
date of receipt:	30 November 2022				
То:	Ms Thérèse BLANCHET, Secretary-General of the Council of the European Union				
No. Cion doc.:	SWD(2022) 376 final				
Subject:	COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT Accompanying the document REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT, THE COUNCIL, THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COMMITTEE AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS on the implementation of Directive 2010/53/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2010 on standards of quality and safety of human organs intended for transplantation				

Delegations will find attached document SWD(2022) 376 final.

Encl.: SWD(2022) 376 final

15617/22 ADD 1 KB/ar LIFE.5



Brussels, 30.11.2022 SWD(2022) 376 final

COMMISSION STAFF WORKING DOCUMENT

Accompanying the document

REPORT FROM THE COMMISSION TO THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT, THE COUNCIL, THE EUROPEAN ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL COMMITTEE AND THE COMMITTEE OF THE REGIONS

on the implementation of Directive 2010/53/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 7 July 2010 on standards of quality and safety of human organs intended for transplantation

{COM(2022) 671 final}

EN EN

1. Designation and Obligations of the Competent Authorities

	1. Have there been any changes to the structures/designations of your competent authorities since the last survey (2014)?	What are these changes? Please provide details (including to which competent authority they relate, if applicable)
AT	No	
BE	No	
BG	Yes	Executive Agency for Transplantation is merged with Executive Agency for Medical Audit. Now we are part of a larger structure, dealing with donation, transplantation and control and audit of the medical establishments. The name of the Directorate, dealing with donation and transplantation activities is 'Management and Control of the Transplantation and the Assisted Reproduction'.
CY	No	
CZ	No	
DE	No	
DK	Yes	The CA has been Danish Patient Safety Authority since 2016 when this body was established.
EE	Yes	New Procurement, Handling and Transplantation of Cells, Tissues and Organs Act came in force in 2015. The National transplantation agency was established and some tasks of it were delegated to Tartu University Hospital, such as organisation of traceability and biovigilance of the procurement, handling and transplantation of organs, maintenance of waiting lists and distribution and international exchange of organs to be transplanted. Estonia joined Scandiatransplant.
EL	No	
ES	No	
FI	No	
FR	No	
HR HU	Yes Yes	HNBTS developed and manages the Hungarian National Transplant Follow up Registry for which all relevant health care service providers provide data according to the SOP of the HNBTS by law.
ΙE	No	
IT	No	
LT	No	

LV	No	
NL	No	
PL	No	
PT	No	
SE	No	
SI	Yes	The new law based on the Directive 53/2010 was
		adopted in 2015.
SK	No	

	2. Do you participate in EOEO	Do you have an agreement with an EOEO
	activities?	(Article 21 of the Directive)?
AT	Yes	Yes
BE	No	
BG	Yes	Yes
CY	No	
CZ	Yes	Yes
DE	Yes	Yes
DK	Yes	No
EE	Yes	Yes
EL	Yes	Yes
ES	No	
FI	No	
FR	Yes	Yes
HR	Yes	Yes
HU	Yes	Yes
IE	Yes	Yes
IT	Yes	No
LT	Yes	Yes
LV	Yes	Yes
NL	Yes	Yes
PL	Yes	Yes
PT	Yes	Yes
SE	Yes	Yes
SI	Yes	Yes
SK	Yes	Yes

2.1 Does your country exchange	2.2 Does your country exchange organs with
zii zoes jour country chemange	212 2 des jour country chemange organs with

	organs with other EU Member	third countries?
	States?	
AT	Yes	Yes
BE	Yes	No
BG	Yes	No
CY	Yes	Yes
CZ	Yes	No
DE	Yes	No
DK	Yes	Yes
EE	Yes	No
EL	Yes	No
ES	Yes	No
FI	Yes	No
FR	Yes	Yes
HR	Yes	No
HU	Yes	No
ΙE	Yes	Yes
IT	Yes	Yes
LT	Yes	Yes
LV	Yes	No
NL	Yes	No
PL	Yes	No
PT	Yes	Yes
SE	Yes	Yes
SI	Yes	No
SK	Yes	No

	2.3 Would your country be interested in increasing exchanges of organs with other Member States in any of the following cases? Please select all that apply.		Please specify in which cases you would be interested.	Please specify the reasons why you see an interest in exchanging organs with other Member States in this case.
AT	ABO-incompatibility			
	Less transplanted organs			
	Children			
	Other			
	None	X		
BE	ABO-incompatibility			
	Less transplanted organs			
	Children			
	Other			
	None	X		
BG	ABO-incompatibility	X		To be given a chance for

	Less transplanted organs	X		treatment of these specific
	Children	X	1	patients that cannot be
	Other	X	Lack of transplant	transplanted in Bulgaria in
		11	program in our country	the particular moment.
			- ABO incompatibility	
			- Children	
			- Urgent cases	
	None			1
CY	ABO-incompatibility	X		Increase the donor pool,
	Less transplanted organs		7	especially for small
	Children		7	countries like our country
	Other	X	HLA hypersensitized	and increasing the
		11	patients	possibility for ABO
	None			incompatible and
	- 1,5-1-5			hypersensitized patients to
				get transplanted
CZ	ABO-incompatibility			Deficit of organs
	Less transplanted organs			
	Children	X		
	Other			
	None			
DE	ABO-incompatibility			
	Less transplanted organs	X		
	Children	X		
	Other			
	None			
DK	ABO-incompatibility			See above - an eventual
	Less transplanted organs			increase of collaboration
	Children			would probably and
	Other	X	DK is collaborating in	primarily be organised
			all these areas through	through Scandiatransplant.
			Scandiatransplant and	
			has done this for more	
			than 50 years.	
			Exchange of organs	
			with other countries	
			happens, but only on	
			rare occasions.	
	None	X		
EE	ABO-incompatibility			To increase the donor pool.
	Less transplanted organs	X		
	Children	X		
	Other			
	None]	
EL	ABO-incompatibility			

	Less transplanted organs		
	Children	X	
	Other		
	None		
ES	ABO-incompatibility		The recipients belonging the
-~	Less transplanted organs	X	selected categories have
	Children	X	more difficulties in finding
	Other	71	the adequate donor and
	None		organ, due to size, weight,
	Trone		hyperimmunized status,
			frailty of the organ itself, or
			any other reasons.
			The selection of these two
			categories is justified
			because exchanging organs
			theoretically increases the
			probability of receiving the
			necessary organ and getting
			out from the waiting list
			(expansion of the donor
			pool).
FI	ABO-incompatibility		
1.1	Less transplanted organs		
	Children		
	Other		
	None	v	
FR	ABO-incompatibility	X	
TK	Less transplanted organs	X	
	Children	1	
	Other	X	
	None		
HR	ABO-incompatibility		Due to the lack of expertise
1111	Less transplanted organs		of our expert team in
	Children	X	transplant treatment for
	Other	Λ	small children
	None		
HU	ABO-incompatibility		
	Less transplanted organs		
	Children		
	Other		
	None	X	
IE	ABO-incompatibility	X	Ensure availability of
115	7100-mcompanomity	Λ	Ensure availability of

	Less transplanted organs			organs is optimised for
	Children	X	-	those on national waiting
	Other	71	-	list for solid organ
	None		-	transplantation
IT	ABO-incompatibility	X		Accessing larger pools of
11	Less transplanted organs	 		genetically inhomogeneous
	Children	X	-	patients/donors, where
	Other	X	A surgeon of i on al	difficult-to-transplant
	Other	X	A supranational program for	patients may find proper
			hyperimmunized	compatibility in an easier
			patient for transplant	way
			from deceased donor.	way
			from deceased donor.	
			International kidney-	
			paired donation	
			programs for transplant	
			from living donors	
	None			
LT	ABO-incompatibility	X		
	Less transplanted organs	X		
	Children			
	Other			
	None			
LV	ABO-incompatibility	X		
	Less transplanted organs	X		
	Children	X		
	Other			
	None			
NL	ABO-incompatibility			
	Less transplanted organs			
	Children			
	Other			
	None	X		
PL	ABO-incompatibility			
	Less transplanted organs			Children: needs related to
	Children	X		the small number of
	Other	X	Paediatric and Urgent	paediatric deceased donors.
			potential recipients.	
	None			Urgent cases: needs for
	INOHE			directly life-saving
				treatment in the country
				with average donation rates.
PT	ABO-incompatibility			

	Less transplanted organs		
	Children		
	Other		
	None	X	
SE	ABO-incompatibility		
	Less transplanted organs		
	Children		
	Other		
	None	X	
SI	ABO-incompatibility		Actually, we are interested
	Less transplanted organs		in exchanging practices as it
	Children	X	is. Namely, in Slovenia we
	Other		have been already
	None		cooperating for more than
			20 years with Italy and
			Austria in the field of liver
			and kidney transplant
			program for small children
			because the number of cases
			is too low to keep the
			programs on the highest
			quality level.
SK	ABO-incompatibility	X	For kidney in the case of
	Less transplanted organs	X	ABO incompatibility there
	Children	X	are not enough pairs for
	Other		exchange, and it is better
	None		chance to get suitable pairs.
			In our country is missing
			program for lung
			transplantation, now we
			have agreement with Czech
			Republic. We have not
			program for liver
			transplantation for children
			and sometimes is a problem
			with very small children for
			kidney transplantation.

3. In the reporting period, was there collaboration between your competent authority/delegated body and authorities and stakeholders with adjacent areas of expertise in your Member State?

If yes: To what extent does your competent authority/delegated body collaborate with authorities and stakeholders with adjacent areas of expertise in your Member State?

AT:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood					X
Tissues and					X
Cells					
Medicinal					X
Products					
Medical					X
Devices					
Others					X

BG:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood				X	
Tissues and					X
Cells					
Medicinal			X		
Products					
Medical				X	
Devices					
Others		X			
Please specify	which other co	mpetent author	rities you collab	orate with:	

National Health Insurance Fund

CZ:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood			X		
Tissues and			X		
Cells					
Medicinal			X		
Products					
Medical					X
Devices					
Others					X

DE:

	To a great extent	To some extent	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable (e.g., same CA)
	CATCH	CAICIII			(e.g., same CA)
Blood			X		
Tissues and	X				
Cells					
Medicinal				X	
Products					
Medical			X		
Devices					
Others			X		

Please specify which other competent authorities you collaborate with:

Competent Authorities:

- Tissue and Cells: Ministry of Health extend occasionally
- Medical Devices: Ministry of Health extend occasionally

Delegated Bodies:

- Tissue and Cells: Paul Ehrlich Institute to some extent Stakeholders:
- Blood: DRK-Blutspendedienst Baden-Württemberg Hessen; Institut für
 Transfusionsmedizin und Immunhämatologie Frankfurt am Main gGmbH To some extent
 Tissues and Cells: Individual Tissue banks great extend

DK:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood					X
Tissues and					X
Cells					
Medicinal			X		
Products					
Medical			X		
Devices					
Others				X	

EE:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood					X
Tissues and					X
Cells					
Medicinal					X
Products					
Medical			X		
Devices					
Others			X		

Please specify which other competent authorities you collaborate with:

Occasionally collaboration with Data Protection Agency and Health Board has been required.

ES:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood		X			
Tissues and					X
Cells					
Medicinal			X		
Products					
Medical			X		
Devices					
Others		X			

Please specify which other competent authorities you collaborate with:

Competent authority on Assisted Human Reproduction, different from the competent authority on T&C (ONT)

FR:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood					X
Tissues and		X			
Cells					
Medicinal					X
Products					
Medical					X
Devices					
Others					X

HR:

	To a great extent	To some extent	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable (e.g., same CA)
Blood	X	CARCOLL			(e.g., same err)
Tissues and	X				
Cells					
Medicinal			X		
Products					
Medical				X	
Devices					
Others					X

HU:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood			X		
Tissues and			X		
Cells					
Medicinal				X	
Products					
Medical				X	
Devices					
Others				X	

IE:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood				X	
Tissues and			X		
Cells					
Medicinal				X	
Products					
Medical				X	
Devices					
Others				X	

IT:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood	X				
Tissues and					X
Cells					
Medicinal			X		
Products					
Medical			X		
Devices					
Others			X		

Please specify which other competent authorities you collaborate with:

Italian National Blood Centre, AIFA, Italian Health Ministry Office for Medical Devices, Italian Health

Ministry Office for Prevention of Infectious Diseases, Italian National Institute of Health

LV:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood					X
Tissues and					X
Cells					
Medicinal					X
Products					
Medical					X
Devices					
Others	X				

Please specify which other competent authorities you collaborate with:

P. Stradin's University Hospital which is also delegated body for tasks regarding Article 5,6, 7 of Directive 2012/25/EU

NL:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood					X
Tissues and	X				
Cells					
Medicinal					X
Products					
Medical					X
Devices					
Others					X

PL:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood			X		
Tissues and	X				
Cells					
Medicinal			X		
Products					
Medical				X	
Devices					
Others				X	

PT:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood		X			
Tissues and		X			
Cells					
Medicinal		X			
Products					
Medical			X		
Devices					
Others					X

SE:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood		X			
Tissues and		X			
Cells					
Medicinal		X			
Products					
Medical		X			
Devices					
Others		X			

Please specify which other competent authorities you collaborate with:

The Health and Social Care Inspectorate (IVO) and the Medical Products Agency

SI:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood		X			
Tissues and	X				
Cells					
Medicinal		X			
Products					
Medical		X			
Devices					
Others		X			
TD1 10			4.4		

Please specify which other competent authorities you collaborate with:

NIJZ- National institute of public health to exchange and follow epidemiological data

SK:

	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood		X			
Tissues and	X				
Cells					
Medicinal			X		
Products					
Medical				X	
Devices					
Others				X	

	Which of the following topic(s) have been subject to such collaborative interactions? Please select a that apply.		Please specify which other topics have been subject to collaborative interactions
AT	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability	X	
	Donor protection	X	
	Other		
	None		
BE			
BG	Vigilance		
	Traceability		
	Donor protection		
	Other		
	None	X	
CY			

CZ	Vigilance		
	Traceability		
	Donor protection	X	
	Other	A	
	None		
DE	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability	X	
	Donor protection	X	
	Other	Λ	
	None		
DK			
DK	Vigilance		
	Traceability		
	Donor protection		
	Other		
	None	X	
EE	Vigilance	$\perp \perp \mid$	
	Traceability	X	
	Donor protection	X	
	Other		
	None		
EL			
ES	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability		
	Donor protection		
	Other		
	None		
FI			
FR	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability	X	
	Donor protection	X	
	Other		
	None		
HR	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability	X	
	Donor protection	X	
	Other	14	
	None	+	
HU	Vigilance	X	
110	Traceability	+ - 1	
	Donor protection	X	
	Other	+	
		+	
IE	None Visitana		
IE	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability	X	
	Donor protection		

	Other		
	None		
IT	Vigilance	X	
11	Traceability	1	
	Donor protection	X	
	Other	Λ	
	None	-	
LT	None		
LV	Vigilance	***	
LV	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability	-	
	Donor protection	X	
	Other	X	Collaboration with P. Stradin's
			University Hospital which is
			also delegated body for tasks
			regarding Article 5,6, 7 of
	N		Directive 2012/25/EU
	None		
NL	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability	X	
	Donor protection		
	Other		
	None		
PL	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability		
	Donor protection		
	Other	X	Tissue donation and banking.
			Maintaining national potential
			bone marrow donor registry.
			Centres accreditation.
			Construction of registries related
			to transplantation medicine.
	None		
PT	Vigilance		
	Traceability		
	Donor protection	1	
	Other	X	Cells and solid organ exchange.
	None		6
SE	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability	X	
	Donor protection	X	
	Other	11	
	None	1	
SI	Vigilance	X	
51	Traceability	1	•
	•	X	
	Donor protection	X	

	Other	X	Besides vigilance, traceability
			and donor protection is very
			important promotion of donation
			based on fundamental ethical
			principles, altruism, and non-
			profit approach. Furthermore,
			cooperation with other
			Competent authorities is also
			important to ensure transparency
			in the field of using parts of
			human body for the purposes of
			treatment or research. With NIJZ
			we are exchanging
			epidemiological data.
	None		
SK	Vigilance	X	
	Traceability	X	
	Donor protection		
	Other		
	None		

	3.1 Are there (other) areas in which your country would be interested to collaborate (more)?	Please specify which area/authority you would consider relevant:
AT	No	
BE	No	
BG	No	
CY	No	
CZ	No	
DE	No	
DK	No	
EE	No	
EL	Yes	Paediatric tx
ES	Yes	Interactions and boundaries between Organs, Tissues & Cells, on one side, and healthcare products and medicines, on the other side. ONT is particularly concerned about Donor protection in the mentioned context. Profit in the context of voluntary unpaid donation is also of interest for the ONT:
FI	No	
FR	Yes	Harmonisation of practices
HR	No	
HU	No	
ΙE	Yes	Further collaboration in relation to medical

		devices / tissues for all listed categories
IT	Yes	Educational programs and communication
		initiatives
LT	No	
LV	Yes	Lung transplantation and paediatric liver
		transplantation for LV patients
NL	No	
PL	No	
PT	No	
SE	No	
SI	Yes	We are cooperating in the field of tissues and
		cells with Institute for Transfusion medicine
		and Public Agency for Drugs and Medical
		products on an almost daily basis. To our
		opinion, it should stay as it is until now.
		Existing legislation is very useful and serves to
		realize all important requirements in the field.
		We would like to intensify cooperation with
		the National institute of public health.
SK	No	

<u>UK(NI):</u>

1. Have there been any changes to the structures/designations of your competent authorities since the last survey (2014)?	ne	What are these changes? Please provide details (including to which competent authority they relate, if applicable):		
No 2. Do you participate in EOEO		Do you have an agreement with an EOEO		
activities?		(Article 21 of the Directive)?		
Yes		Yes		
2.1 Does your country exchange organs with other EU Member States?		2.2 Does your country exchange organs with third countries?		
Yes		Yes		
2.3 Would your country be interested		Please specify in	Please specify the reasons	
in increasing exchanges of organs w	ith	which cases you	why you see an interest in	
other Member States in any of the		would be	exchanging organs with	
following cases? Please select all that		interested:	other Member States in this	
apply.			case:	
ABO-incompatibility				
Less transplanted organs				
Children				

Other		х		at this le potential donation Kidney is part of been int of Irelan	dy exchanges organs evel. There is all to increase living and the UK Living Sharing Scheme. NI of that and there has exerest from Republic and although ons are in early
None				stages.	
	ing period	was the	re collaboration	hetwee	en your competent
_	· •				adjacent areas of
expertise in your M	•		s and starthold	icis Willi	i aujacent areas or
If yes: To what exte			t authority/deleg	ated hody	collaborate with
authorities and stak					
addition and but	To a great	To some	Occasionally	Never	Not applicable
	extent	extent			(e.g., same CA)
Blood		X			
Tissues and Cells	X				
Medicinal Products	X				
Medical Devices		X			
Others					X
Which of the follow collaborative intera				topics l	specify which other nave been subject to rative interactions
Vigilance		X			
Traceability		X			
Donor protection		X			
Other					
None					
3.1 Are there (other) areas in which your country would be interested to collaborate (more)? No				specify which thority you would relevant:	

2. Donor and recipient follow-up

	4. Is a register or record of	When was this register or record established?
	living donors kept in your	Please provide the year of establishment and, if
	country?	possible, the month:
AT	Yes	12/2017 Data may be retrospectively from 01/2017
BE	Yes	July 2012
BG	Yes	Year - 2010
CY	Yes	1/2011
CZ	Yes	Since 2004 - the establishment of our organization
DE	Yes	Registry on QA 2006, Transplantation Registry 2019
DK	Yes	1995, January
EE	Yes	We use Scandiatransplant YASWA database since
		2017
EL	Yes	2011
ES	Yes	January 2010.
FI	Yes	since 1964, new register platform 2015 ->
FR	Yes	2004
HR	Yes	register is kept by transplant centres
HU	Yes	2018
ΙE	Yes	July 1972
IT	Yes	2001
LT	No	
LV	Yes	1973
NL	Yes	2002
PL	Yes	Jan 1st 2007
PT	Yes	July 1969
SE	No	
SI	Yes	Since the first kidney transplantation -1970.
SK	Yes	2007

	Please describe how this register or record works and by which authority it is hosted (for example: is it kept at hospital/national/international level? Is it an IT
	tool, or shared excel sheets, or any other system?)
AT	After a living donation has been made, certain parameters (before donation, shortly after donation, etc.) are entered in pseudonymised form in a central database hosted by the Austrian Public Health by the procurement centre. After 3 months respectively a year, an automated reminder is sent to the procurement centre with a request to carry out the next follow-up check on the living donor in question and to enter the data. The same process then takes place every 2 years for the kidneys, so that a long-term follow-up of all living donors in Austria is guaranteed.
BE	We are working with Eurotransplant, every transplantation centre gives the follow-up data to the register
BG	Shared excel sheets
CY	Hosted by the Transplant Clinic in Nicosia General Hospital, it is on an excel sheet

CZ	It is kept in our organization generally for CZ, also in TC for their own.
DE	IT tool + excel sheets On the national level the register on liver and kidney living donation as part of the mandatory quality assurance measures covered by Section 137a of the Fifth Book of the Social Code is presently hosted by the Institut für Qualitätssicherung und Transparenz im Gesundheitswesen (IQTIG) under the responsibility of the Federal Joint Committee.
	In addition, the national Transplantation Registry was established in 2019. The Gesundheitsforen Leipzig GmbH have been assigned by the Central Federal Association of the Health Insurance Funds, the German Medical Association, and the German Hospital Federation to host the national Transplantation Registry under Section 5b of the Transplant Act. The registry is presently being built up.
	On the hospital level all transplant centres are obliged under para. 10 subsection 2 of the Transplant Act to record all living donations performed in their centre.
DK	The register is hosted and managed by Scandiatransplant (in Aarhus, Denmark) in a dedicated IT-tool.
	This system is used by all transplant centres in Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland, Iceland, and Estonia.
	Regarding follow up information - there is a delay in data entry of follow up information (see below)
EE	Data is collected and entered by Transplantation centre and the register belongs to Scandiatransplant.
EL	It is kept at a national and hospital level
ES	The staff of the hospitals that make living donations upload the information in a database that may be the ONT's or a regional one which loads in a second step in the ONT's database. The ONT make the data management, the record linkage, the statistical analyses, and the periodical reports.
FI	Transplant surgery is centralized nationally in the Helsinki University Hospital. Register is kept by this hospital (organ transplantation centre, at national level).
FR	Hosted by Agence de la Biomédecine. The data is provided by hospitals.
HR	IT tool
HU	It managed centrally and nationally by the HNBTS
	It is a dedicated module of the national organ donor registry
IE	It is kept at National kidney Transplantation unit Beaumont Hospital. It is an IT database.
IT	The register is held at national level, it is a part of the national transplant info system, hosted by the Italian Ministry of Health, the information gathered include data about follow-up of living donor and the reporting of serious adverse events if linked to donation (e.g.: infection, death of donor)
LT	
LV	There is only one transplantation centre in Latvia (P. Stradin's University Hospital).

	The register contains information about all cases of donation/transplantation (date, relation between donor and recipient) since the beginning in 1973. The register currently is in electronic format (Excel) and is kept by the Latvian Transplantation centre. Medical follow-up records are kept in electronic hospital system. Follow-up is organised according to Latvian Transplantation centre's quality and safety
	procedures.
NL	It is a section of the follow up registry of the Dutch Transplant Foundation: the National Organ Transplantation Registry (NOTR)
PL	Concept of organ donor registry is achieved with the use of a tele-informatic tool (www.rejestrytx.gov.pl). Donation centres are obligated to collect donors' data (demographic and medical) peri-, and post-donation and long-term every year follow-up).
	The administrators of the register are Ministry of Health and Poltransplant.
	The database consists of sections containing information on the living kidney donor, the recipient, and the relationship between the donor and the recipient. Donor and recipient data include: name, surname, personal identification number (PESEL), date of birth, age, sex, place of residence, and date of death if applicable. Information on the date and centre of procurement and transplantation were also included in the database.
	The registry currently handles completed data from 2008 (records and follow up) and all historical procurements
PT	Electronic health records
SE	
SI	It is stored at the University Medical centre at Department for nephrology, where kidney transplants are performed. Documents are kept as paper folders.
SK	Regional (transplant centres) full medical documentation
	National Transplant organization record and follow up

Among all living donors who	Among all living donors	The data
donated an organ during the	who donated an organ	reported for
last year for which you have	during the last year for	living donors

	complete data (2021, otherwise	which you have complete	relate to the
	2020) in your country, which	data (2021, otherwise 2020)	year:
	percentage: are included in	in your country, which	
	your register/record? Report	percentage: have a correct	
	percentage	set of completed follow-up	
		data? Report percentage	
AT	100	the completeness of follow up	2020
		data will be calculated for the	
		first time in 2022 - data can	
		be submitted in May 2022	
BE	see Eurotransplant	see Eurotransplant	2020
BG	No	No	2021
CY	100	100	2021
CZ	100	100	2021
DE	No Data presently available	No Data presently available	2020
DK	100%	70%	2020
EL	100%	100%	2021
EE	100	100	2021
ES	100	72	2020
FI	100	100	2021
FR	100%	n/a	2021
HR	100	100	2020
HU	100	Few	2021
ΙE	100	100	2021
IT	94%	71%	2020
LT			
LV	100	100	2021
NL	67%	67%	2020
PL	100%	98%	2020
PT	100%	50%	2021
SE			
SI	100	100	2020
SK	100	50	2020

5. Were there	Please specify the changes to the follow-up of living donors:
any changes to	
the follow-up of	
living donors	

	since 2014? (For example, in the frequency or duration of follow-up?	
AT	Yes	By starting the living donor registry defined and standardized examination times have been determined and established (before donation, shortly after donation, 3 months after donation and then every 2 years)
		The registry also covers the field of stem cell donors, also starting in December 2017, examination periods there is 2017 to 2020 at the moment. Also, there defined and standardized examination times have been determined and established (before donation, day 1 to 30 after donation, 1 year after donation). Initially, annual follow-ups were planned, since 2021 follow ups of stem cell donors are planned every 5 years instead.
BE	No	
BG	No	
CY	No	
CZ	No	
DE	No	
DK	No	
EE	Yes	Annual check-up system was established in 2017.
EL	No	
ES	Yes	In 2010, this registry was integrated into the ONT information systems', deriving in a general improvement in terms of governance, record linkage and data base operations. Variables and follow-up are essentially the same.
FI	No	
FR	Yes	Better long-term follow up.
HR	No	
HU	Yes	The implementation itself
ΙE	No	
IT	No	
LT	No	
LV	No	
NL	No	
PL	No	
PT	Yes	The National Programme for non-directed or anonymous renal donation has been implemented. Specific rules were defined for the psychological and/or psychiatric follow-up of these donors.
SE	No	
SI	No	
SK	No	

	6. Is a register or record of organ recipients kept in your country?	When was this register or record established? Please provide the year of establishment and, if possible, the month:
AT	No	
BE	No	
BG	Yes	Year 2010
CY	Yes	1/2011
CZ	Yes	Since 2004 - the establishment of our organization
DE	Yes	Registry on QA 2006, Transplantation Registry 2019
DK	Yes	1995, January
EE	Yes	2017
EL	Yes	2011
ES	Yes	Liver started in 2005, while kidneys in 2010.
FI	Yes	Since 1965, new register platform 2015->
FR	Yes	1996
HR	Yes	on transplant centres level
HU	Yes	2018
ΙE	Yes	July 1972
IT	Yes	2002
LT	Yes	Heart, Lungs
LV	Yes	Kidneys and pancreas 1973, heart 2002, liver 2018.
NL	Yes	NOTR exists since 2002
PL	Yes	2006
PT	Yes	2018
SE		
SI	Yes	2018
SK	Yes	1995

	For which organs does such a register or record exist? Please select all that apply.	Please indicate for which other(s) organ(s) there is a register or record:
AT		
BE		

BG	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	Heart
CY	Kidneys	X	
	Livers		
	Others		
CZ	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others		
DE	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	Hearts, Lungs, Pancreas,
			Intestines
DK	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	Heart
			Lung
			Pancreas
			Pancreatic islet
			Intestine
EE	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	All recipient records are kept in
			YASWA, but there is an
			additional national register for
T.I	77' 1		kidneys.
EL	Kidneys	X	<u> </u>
	Livers		
EC	Others		
ES	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
FI	Others Vidnovs		
LI	Kidneys Livers	X	
	Others	X	All transplant recipients are
	Others	X	registered: kidney, pancreas,
			liver, heart, lung, intestine, face.
FR	Kidneys	X	inver, neart, rung, mitestine, race.
110	Livers	X	
	Others	X	All transplantable organs
HR	Kidneys	X	- III wandplantation of guild
1111	Livers	X	
	Others	X	heart, lung, pancreas
HU	Kidneys	X	, 12119, panetous
	Livers	X	
	Livers	X	

	Others	X	Lungs, pancreas
ΙE	Kidneys	X	
	Livers		
	Others		
IT	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	heart, lung, small bowel, pancreas
LT	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	1
	Others		1
LV	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	1
	Others	X	Heart and pancreas.
NL	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	heart, lungs
PL	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	hearts, lungs, pancreas, vascularized tissue allografts
PT	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	heart, lung, and pancreas
SE			
SI	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	hearts, lungs, pancreas in
			combination with kidneys
SK	Kidneys	X	
	Livers	X	
	Others	X	Heart, pancreas

	Please describe how this register or record works and by which authority it is hosted (for example: is it kept at hospital/national/international level? Is it an IT tool, or shared excel sheets, or any other system?)
AT	
BE	
BG	National level – IT tool and Excel sheets, kept by Executive Agency 'Medical
	Supervision' and the hospitals

CY	Hosted by the Transplant Clinic in Nicosia General Hospital, it is on an excel sheet	
CZ	It is kept in our organization generally for CZ, also in TC for their own.	
	IT tool + excel sheets	
DE	On the national level the register on kidney, liver, heart, and lung donation as part of the mandatory quality assurance measures covered by Section 137a of the Fifth Book of the Social Code is presently hosted by the Institut für Qualitätssicherung und Transparenz im Gesundheitswesen (IQTIG) under the responsibility of the Federal Joint Committee.	
	In addition, the national Transplantation Registry was established in 2019. The Gesundheitsforen Leipzig GmbH have been assigned by the Central Federal Association of the Health Insurance Funds, the German Medical Association, and the German Hospital Federation to host the national Transplantation Registry under Section 5b of the Transplant Act. The registry is presently being built up.	
DK	The register is hosted and managed by Scandiatransplant in a dedicated IT-tool.	
	Additionally, all liver, heart, and lung transplantations before 1995 have been reconstructed in the database.	
	There are not follow up registries on all organ type within Scandiatransplant, but graft and patient survival are updated on all (95%)	
EE	Data is collected and entered by Transplantation centre and the register belongs to Scandiatransplant. Scandiatransplant is responsible for hosting.	
EL	It is kept at a national and hospital level	
ES	These systems are integrated into the "National Donation and Transplant Information System" which, in accordance with Royal Decree 1723/2012, is hosted, maintained, developed, and safeguarded by the ONT. Also, the operating procedures are agreed upon between the ONT and the autonomous communities. The system is uploaded with information coming from the hospitals, directly or indirectly (uploaded from an autonomous community). The data are periodically analysed, and the corresponding exports are made public annually.	
	The systems fully respect the data protection regulation.	
FI	Transplant surgery is centralized nationally in the Helsinki University Hospital. Register is kept by this hospital (organ transplantation centre, at national level)	
FR	Register hosted by the Agence de la Biomédecine. It is an IT tool comprised of several different applications.	
HR	IT tool	
HU	It managed centrally and nationally by the HNBTS	
	There is a separate module of the national organ donor registry called transplantation follow up registry	
IE	It is kept at National kidney Transplantation unit Beaumont Hospital. It is an IT	
	database.	
IT	The register is held at national level, it is part of the national transplant info system, hosted by the Italian Ministry of Health	

LT	National, IT tool
LV	In Latvia we use living donors only for kidney transplantation and only in the one and only transplantation centre of P. Stradin's University Hospital. The register contains information about all cases of donation/transplantation (date, relation between donor and recipient) since its establishment in 1973. The register currently is in electronic format (Excel), kept by the Latvian Transplantation centre.
	Medical follow-up records are kept in electronic hospital system.
	Follow-up is organised according to Latvian Transplantation centre's quality and safety procedures.
	Register for all transplanted kidneys and pancreas exists since 1973 (paper format for 1973-2014, electronic since 2014), heart - since 2002, liver - since 2018.
NL	National level, hosted by the DTF, IT tool, data are collected form the transplant centres
PL	In 2006 National Transplants Registry was created in Poland for proper monitoring and evaluation of transplantations performed in Poland [1,2]. Transplants Registry has two main functions: (1) gathering information on every organ transplantation performed within the country (registration function) and (2) monitoring of quality of performed transplantations by collecting data on graft function and recipient's survival in the short- and long-term follow-up (in the day of transplantation, 3 and 12 months after transplantation and every following year till graft loss or recipient death) (follow-up function).
	According to current legal regulations the entity responsible for administering of Transplants Registry is Polish Transplant Coordinating Centre Poltransplant (national competent authority in donation and transplantation), which cares about personal data safety, prevents data loss or destruction, and performs statistical analysis.
PT	The register is made using a digital platform (RPT) from the donor institutions to the transplant units and centralized in the Portuguese Transplant Coordination.
SE	
SI	It is kept at hospital level, but the system serves as the national level because we have only one University Medical centre where transplants of solid organs are performed. Excel sheets and paper folders on the national level and IT system used at Eurotransplant- completeness at ET is about 70-80% (2018).
SK	National level National transplant registry, waiting list for organs, donor register, transplantation register.
	Detailed medical records at transplant centres.

Among all recipients who	Among all recipients who	The data reported
received an organ during the	received an organ during the	for recipients
last year for which you have	last year for which you have	relate to the year:

	complete data (2021, otherwise 2020) in your country, which percentage: are included in your register/record? Please give a percentage:	complete data (2021, otherwise 2020) in your country, which percentage: have a correct set of completed follow-up data? Please give a percentage:	
AT			
BE			
BG	100%	No	2021
CY	100	100	2021
CZ	100	100	2021
DE	No Data presently available	No Data presently available	2020
DK	100%	95%	2020
EE	100	100	2021
EL	100%	100%	2021
ES	100	100	2020
FI	100	100	2021
FR	100%	65% for kidney recipients	2021
		100% for liver recipients 41% for heart recipients 100 % for lung recipients	
HR	100	100	2020
HU	100	100	2021
ΙE	100	100	2021
IT	99,5%	74%	2020
LT	22,2	100	2021
LV	100	100	2021
NL	87-96 %	87-96%	2020
PL	100%	100%	2020
PT	100%	100%	2021
SE			
SI	100	100	2020
SK	100	70	2020

UK(NI):

4. Is a register or record of	When was this register or record established? Please	
living donors kept in your	provide the year of establishment and, if possible, the	
country?	month:	
Yes	June 1976. Maintained by NHSBT.	
Please describe how this register or record works and by which authority it is hosted (for		
example: is it kept at hospital/national/international level? Is it an IT tool, or shared		
excel sheets, or any other system?)		

It is a national registry stored in an oracle database with HTA requirement to report all living				
donors in a timely manner. Follow-up is collected at 1 year, 5 years and every 5 years				
thereafter for living donors. Number in registry for NI is 53 in 2021.				
Among all living donors	Among all living donors		The data reported for living	
who donated an organ	who donated	an organ	donors relate to the year:	
during the last year for	during the las	t year for		
which you have complete	which you ha	ve complete		
data (2021, otherwise 2020)	data (2021, ot	herwise 2020)		
in your country, which	in your count	ry, which		
percentage: are included in	percentage: h	ave a correct		
your register/record?	set of complet	ed follow-up		
Please give a percentage	data? Please g	give a		
	percentage			
We expect this is 100% of	We have 100%	follow-up	2021	
transplants as there is a	immediately p	ost-transplant,		
regulation that all transplants	but for the maj			
must be reported to NHSBT.	follow-up will	not yet be due.		
5. Were there any changes to	the follow-up	Please specify	the changes to the follow-up	
of living donors since 2014? (For example,	of living donor	rs:	
in the frequency or duration	of follow-up?			
Yes		We started the	We started the expanded paired/pooled and	
		altruistic donor schemes in the UK on 1 April		
		2015 and so started collecting additional		
		information are	information around these transplants. Also	
		introduced coll	ection of DROMS and	
		DREMS in No	vember 2019.	
6. Is a register or record of or	gan	When was this	s register or record	
recipients kept in your country?			lease provide the year of	
		establishment	and, if possible, the month:	
Yes		August 1970		
For which organs does such a	For which organs does such a register or		Please indicate for which other(s) organ(s)	
record exist? Please select all	that apply.	there is a regis	ster or record:	
Kidneys	X			
Livers	X			
Others	X	Heart		
		Lung		
		Pancreas		
		Intestinal		
	Please describe how this register or record works and by which authority it is hosted (for			
example: is it kept at hospital	example: is it kept at hospital/national/international level? Is it an IT tool, or shared			
excel sheets, or any other system?)				
It is a national registry stored in an oracle database with HTA requirement to report all				
recipients of a transplant in a timely manner. Follow-up is collected at 3 months, 12 months,				
and every year thereafter for transplant recipients. Number on registry for NI in 2021 is 106.				
Among all recipients who Among all recipients who The data				
received an organ during the last received an organ during the last reported for				

year for which you have complete data (2021, otherwise 2020) in your country, which percentage: are included in your register/record? Please give a percentage:	year for which you have complete data (2021, otherwise 2020) in your country, which percentage: have a correct set of completed follow-up data? Please give a percentage:	recipients relate to the year:
This is 100% of transplants as there is a regulation that all transplants must be reported to NHSBT.	We have 100% follow-up immediately post-transplant, but for the majority, 1 year follow-up will not yet be due.	2021

3. Biovigilance

	7. Were there any changes to the reporting system for information concerning SARE since the last report?	7.1 Please briefly outline how your reporting system works in practice. Please explain at what level the system is organised (e.g., EOEO, regional, or other):
AT	No	The implementation works in line with the legal framework, between EOEO (Eurotransplant), the Competent Authority and the
DE	NT.	transplantation centres.
BE	No	Reporting to Eurotransplant
BG	No	Every establishment (procurement organizations or transplantation centres), that has license to work in the field of transplantation of organs, tissues and cells has the obligation to report if a serious adverse reaction (SAR) or incident (SAE) occurs. All establishments send reports to the Medical Supervision Executive Agency, which is the competent authority in the field of organ, tissue and cell transplantation and assisted reproduction for Bulgaria. The Agency shall register, investigate, and inspect each individual case of SAR or SAE. If other Member States are involved, this case is reported through the RATC system (Rapid Alert Tissues Cells). Our system is organized at a national level.
CY	No	Regional
CZ	No	regional and national cooperation
DE	No	The German organ procurement organization (Deutsche Stiftung Organtransplantation (DSO)) in close cooperation with Eurotransplant is responsible at the national level for reporting, investigating, documenting, and transmitting relevant and necessary information concerning serious adverse events and serious adverse reactions that may influence the quality and safety of organs in

DV	Vac	accordance with para.9 and para. 10 of the Regulation on the Quality and Safety of Organs under the Transplant Act. In case of cross-border organ exchange all centres from the different countries that received an organ are involved. The initial and final report is provided by the Competent Authority / Delegated Body of the respective donor country.
DK	Yes	The SARE reporting are handled through the Scandiatransplant systems and reported to centres and CAs
EE	Yes	All the SARE reports and final investigation reports are sent to State Agency of Medicines, where they are assessed and registered. Relevant information that may influence the quality and safety of organs is exchanged between transplant centres. All this must be covered with handler's biovigilance system. This is a national system and requirements are outlined in the law.
		For organs, which are sent to other countries notification system was established by Scandiatransplant, so all transplant centres and competent authorities receive the first notification at the same time. Further communication and investigation depend on the countries involved.
EL	No	National level
ES	No	The system is organized at three levels, as a mirror of the transplantation system and the healthcare system in the country. Cases appear at the hospital level but are transmitted bottom up for analysis and evaluation at the regional / national level, depending on the geographical and administrative distribution of the cases affected (whether it is within a particular region or affects several regions). The immediate measures are taken at hospital level and reported. The measures are agreed upon by the affected levels. The follow up contacts are made as per a national protocol agreed upon by all regions. A final report of every case is delivered. The system is advised by a committee of experts.
FI	No	FIMEA receives national SARE-reports from organ transplantation centre (Helsinki University Hospital) and all Scandinavian SARE-reports from Scandiatransplant.
FR	Yes	In 2016, the Agence de la Biomédecine became the CA for Organs vigilance. The system is a national one: the CA coordinates vigilance organization at national level, develops tools, provides help for SAREs investigations, publishes an annual report, organizes training Locally, there are local biovigilance coordinators (MD or a nurse under the responsibility of a MD) located in each health establishment in charge of organs procurement and transplantation. These vigilance coordinators must collect and notify to the CA, SAREs that occur along the chain from the selection of donors to the follow-up of the recipients. They are implicated in the investigations and whenever possible in the management of correctives measures.

HR	No	There is another system for alerts. It is a 24/24 system managed by ABM (at CA level) in charge of allocation of the procured organs. There are operational links between vigilance system and alert system since an alert can lead to a vigilance notification (e.g., following a bacterial or viral contamination of the graft, following tumour identified on the graft). The purpose of both systems is complementary, one (ALERT) gives information to the clinician in charge of the recipients to help them quickly adapt the recipient care, second (VIGILANCE) is to improve the system (process, organization, etc.) and avoid recurrence of deleterious events. EOEO, national level
HU	No	Nationally and internationally as well in cooperation with
110	110	Eurotransplant
IE	No	The CA function is delegated through Department of Health to Organ Donation Transplant Ireland (Clinical) Health Product regulatory authority (Non-Clinical). Dual reporting to which then determine clinical/non-clinical status. Clinical reports are managed through the National Organ Donation Transplantation Advisory Group which oversees investigations, corrective/preventative actions and continuous improvement measures agreed.
IT	No	An ad-hoc reporting function has been developed in the national transplant info system, where hospitals can report to Clinical Risk Manager and Regional Transplant Centre. A national protocol has been adopted for the purpose of reporting and managing adverse events and reactions.
LT	No	National and international
LV	No	National, through the delegated body - P. Stradin's University Hospital, National Transplant Coordination Department
NL	No	First alert sent by Eurotransplant; final report by Dutch Transplant Foundation for Dutch donors
PL	No	Polish legal regulations are adapted to Directive. The regulations define SARE and the procedures by which SARE should be monitored (in organ donation, procurement, testing, preservation, distribution, and transplantation), impose registration requirements on donation and transplant centres and require reporting of SARE with detailed information describing events or reactions as well as the procedures enacted to resolve and prevent future problems. Poltransplant is the authority that refers and manages the data on SARE related to organ transplantation in web-netted tool. The National Transplantation Council appointed by the Minister of Health analyses the aggregate data on SAREs to evaluate its effect on the quality and safety of the transplantation system and submits proposals for improving the quality of the current system.
PT	No	National
SE	No	-
		1

SI	No	EOEO-reporting, collecting data and cases investigation, co- preparing corrective measures
		National: 24/7 reactivity on the level of Slovenija transplant, reporting to and from donor and transplant centres, collecting data, investigation of cases, preparing corrective measures to increase quality and safety for patients in cooperation with clinicians, issuing annual reports,
SK	No	System is organized at national level. Due to law all medical providers must report SARE to National transplant organization.

AT	8. Do you have operating procedures in place for the notification, in due time, of any SARE to the Competent Authorities and to the concerned procurement organisation or transplantatio n centre?	8.1 Do you have operating procedures in place for the notification, in due time, of the managemen t measures with regards to SARE to the Competent Authority?	8.2 Please explain how this notification system works in practice: Notification of SARE must be done immediately with the
			report of the EOEO (Eurotransplant) and within three working days to the delegated body. Additionally, there is an exchange of information in case of involvement of tissue procurement.
BE	Yes	Yes	Each SARE coming in from Eurotransplant is discussed in a national workgroup
B G	Yes	Yes	In Bulgaria we have published normative acts in which it is defined in which case it is reported, there are published serious adverse reaction or event (SAR/E) forms, there are certain responsible persons who are responsible for reporting, deadlines and the sequence of actions are determined.
C Y	Yes	Yes	Reports are sent to MOH

CZ	Yes	Yes	SARE have been implemented into Czech legislation through Regulation No. 111/2013 Coll. (on setting requirements for working procedures to ensure the system of quality and safety of human organs intended for
			transplantation) as of April 30, 2013. SARE has been implemented into practical procedure of reporting serious details of an adverse reactions/effects (SARE) on the special forms - reporting measures taken in respect of the
			incident. Both forms have been distributed to individual transplant centres, the centres were instructed how to report, and filled-in reports are being regularly checked by KST. Apart from that, empty forms are easily accessible
			on the website of KST. The Ministry of Health is informed about the most serious events.
DE	Yes	Yes	The DSO has – based on the German Transplant Act – issued guidelines for the reporting of SAEs and SARs by donor hospitals, laboratories/pathologies, and transplant centres to the DSO. After the initial reporting the DSO
D	Yes	Yes	takes care of the work-up of the cases as described und 7.1 All the transplant centres in the Scandiatransplant area are
K			in direct contact with each other 24/7 by phone, e-mail
			etc. as follows:
			Non-urgent: E-mail is sent out the day after reporting at 10.00 to CAs and transplant coordinators
			Urgent: E-mail is sent to CAs and E-mail + SMS is sent to all transplant coordinators at time of reporting
EE	Yes	Yes	Please see answer to question 7.1.
EL	Yes	Yes	Direct and immediate reporting to any involved professional and authority
ES	Yes	Yes	The answer considers notifications bottom up, and top down. The donor transplant coordinator detects a possible
			case and makes an initial notification triggering the
			system. Initial measures are decided by the hospital and notified bottom up. Those include a notification to the
			centres possibly affected and to the Tissues and cells
			system. The biovigilance contact person fills up a
			predesigned form. After the analysis of the case at an
			upper level, the measures to be taken are decided, agreed upon, notified top down, and then carried out. The case is
			supervised and followed up as per a national protocol. A
-	**	***	final report is made and issued to all the centres affected.
FI	Yes	Yes	Organ donation hospitals report all events to organ transplantation centre (Helsinki University Hospital).
			Organ transplantation centre reports all SARE to FIMEA

			(CA). Operating procedures for the notification are inspected regularly during official inspection.
FR	Yes	Yes	ABM's IT application centralizes SAREs notifications and allows national analysis and publishes annual national vigilance report
			In the IT system, the local biovigilance coordinator (LBC) describes SAR or SAE. The CA can identify the donor or the recipient and can access us with additional information (like medical history) through living donors registry, deceased donors' registry, or recipients' registry. The application informs the concerned procurement or transplant centre that can follow each step of the investigation.
			In the application, the LBC can assess imputability and severity for SAR. For the SAE, the LBC can detail the steps where the SAE occurred and mention possible causes.
			Each LBC needs to provide an annual report summarizing relevant corrective measures implemented in their establishment.
			Since 2021, CA organises training on vigilance for the LBCs. We also published a guide to help them in their biovigilance tasks.
H R	Yes	Yes	Rapid alert from donor or transplant centres to NCA and Eurotransplant
H U	Yes	Yes	All identified SAE/R cases are reported to the HNBTS, which investigate all cases, prepare reports that are sent to the Ministry of Human Capacities
ΙΕ	Yes	Yes	Currently notification is through the National Organ Donation Transplant Advisory Group and local centre procedures. The biovigilance system is currently undergoing review. Objective to further align with latest guidance and best practice.
IT	Yes	Yes	All adverse events/reactions should be reported and classified in terms of seriousness/likelihood of recurrence. Actions by regional and national CAs are requested on the basis of higher scores. A panel of 6 experts at national level revises the self-evaluated scores and takes decisions about remedial action and audits (if necessary).
LT	Yes	Yes	If SARE happens, centre must inform competent authority

			and institution which took, distributed, or received organ, tissue, or cells without any delay. After that, centre should
			carry out an investigation and notify the competent authority with reasons of SARE and conclusion.
LV	Yes	Yes	We have only one procurement organization - P. Stradin's University Hospital, National Transplant Coordination Department, which manages all reports and SARE investigations. P. Stradin's University Hospital is also delegated body for tasks regarding Article 5,6, 7 of Directive 2012/25/EU including SARE reports) and acts as a 24/7 contact-point required by Directive 2012/25/EU (http://www.txcontactlist.eu/index.php?action=details&id=lv). In order to provide information also for State Agency of medicines (SAM) as the competent authority in the field of organ transplantation as per Directive 2010/53/EU Article 17, SAM maintains online SARE reporting (Annex I, and II of Directive 2012/25/EU; bilingual - Latvian, English) tool with possibility to download report in PDF after submitting and subsequently to send it to other transplant centres and procurement organizations in case of organ exchanges (https://dati.zva.gov.lv/biovg/?&t=org-bn-s and https://dati.zva.gov.lv/biovg/?&t=org-bn-f).
NL	Yes	Yes	Written procedure and national registration of incoming SAER; short annual report
PL	Yes	Yes	As it is described in 7,1
PT	Yes	Yes	Notified in the digital platform (Biovigilância), with a specific codex, to be analysed and validated by the competent authority.
SE	No	No	-
SI	Yes	Yes	Usually, Slovenija transplant is receiving first info. Based on the nature of case or situation the first report is sent to the target centre in Slovenia or to Eurotransplant. The collection of data is starting in cooperation with clinicians. If the situation is not urgent then we are collecting data and preparing final report in due time. If the situation is urgent, we send alarm to target centres and Eurotransplant to stop using organs, send to pathology and based on the results prepare the report. Our communication with all involved experts and centres is made on the non-blaming principle and we try to be precise and correct as much as it is possible.
SK	Yes	Yes	National transplant organization is working at 24/365 basis. In case of SARE the duty office can connect every procurement and transplant centre. Also is in connection

	with transplant coordinators. Management goes on in
	close coordination with relevant medical and other actors.

	9. Is an interconnection in place between the reporting system for organ transplantation of Directive 2010/53/EU and the notification system established for the transplantation of	Please specify how this interconnection is organised:
	tissues and cells in	
	accordance with Article 11(1) of Directive 2004/23/EC?	
AT	Yes	The information exchange is between the delegated body organs and tissue vigilance and is done by email.
BE	Yes	tissue banks are informed by the transplantation centre
BG	Yes	All establishments (procurement organizations or transplantation centres) send reports to the Medical Supervision Executive Agency, which is the competent authority in the field of organ, tissue and cell transplantation and assisted reproduction for Bulgaria. The Agency is one institution (Competent Authority) for organs, tissues and cells and there is no need to make a connection between them. We don't have connection with Blood sector.
CY	No	
CZ	No	
DE	Yes	According to para 40 subsection 3 of the Drug and Active Ingredient Manufacturing Regulation the tissue establishments are obliged to report SAE/SAR to the DSO, in case the tissue or cell donor had also donated organs. Respectively the DSO is obliged according to para. 9 subsection 3 of the Regulation on the Quality and Safety of Organs under the Transplant Act to report SAE/SAR to the tissue establishment in case the organ donor had also donated tissues or cells. For this purpose, a designated system has been established to allow a reliable linkage between the organ procurement organization and the different tissue banks in case a donor donated both organs and tissues or cells.
DK	Yes	There is not an "automated electronic" link. Relevant information will be passed through phone or mail (see above).
EE	Yes	It's the same reporting system with the principal

		requirements outlined in the law. If SARE that may affect	
		organs is found by tissue handlers, organ transplantation centre is also notified.	
EL	No	centre is also notified.	
ES	Yes	The CA is the same, so, as soon as a case is reported,	
LS	165	possible affected T&C recipients or tissue establishments are	
		identified and notified as well as organ transplantation	
		centres.	
FI	Yes	All SARE (organ/tissue) reports are reviewed by same	
		authority (same register) and same official.	
FR	Yes	Agence de la Biomédecine is the CA for organs, tissues and	
		cells, and the same biovigilance tool is used in all those	
		fields.	
HR	No		
HU	Yes	As many of organ donors are also tissue donors, we involve all tissue establishments, if they procured tissue	
ΙE	Yes	There is an arrangement between both functions which will	
		be further developed as a result of service review.	
IT	Yes	The Competent Authority in charge of both notification	
		systems is the same.	
		A flow short has been developed to ensure proper	
		A flow chart has been developed to ensure proper notification between different involved actors.	
LT	Yes	Same procedure of reporting SARE between organs and	
	103	tissues and cells	
LV	Yes	It is managed by the same biovigilance officers in SAM.	
NL	No		
PL	Yes	Tissue donation from organ donors is coordinated by the	
		same institution (Poltransplant). Info on SARE in organs are	
		referred to Poltransplant and via this institution to tissue	
		entities - and vice versa.	
PT	No		
SE	No		
SI	Yes	We have a common 24/7 on-call team for organs and tissues	
		and cells vigilance system. In this way, the system is very	
		rational and practical. For Slovenia is only realistic to organize a common system in order to cover requirements	
SK	Yes	for being vigilant and able to react in all urgent cases. National transplant organization has the register of deceased	
	100	and living donors of tissue and can connect the TE and	
		transfer information and manage the SARE.	
	I.		

10. Please describe the	procedure for you to	contact	10.1 Please describe the

	competent authorities/delegated bodies of other Member States in case of a related SARE within your country that might affect other Member States:	procedure for you to be contacted by competent authorities/delegated bodies from other Member State(s) in case of a related SARE within the other Member State(s) that might affect your Member State:
AT	The information exchange is done via EOEO (Eurotransplant).	The information exchange is done via EOEO (Eurotransplant).
BE	The transplantation/donor centre informs Eurotransplant which in turn the other centre or country	Eurotransplant inform me which SARE from which country and I send the information to the transplant/donor centre
BG	The Medical Supervision Executive Agency will report by the RATC system (Rapid Alert Tissues Cells). The Agency is going to create a new signal to the other affected Member States.	When we receive a signal through the RATC system that includes our country, we register it, analyse it, investigate it, and take action, if necessary, in each case.
CY	Communication between Cyprus MOH and the Competent Authority of the other member state regarding SARE	
DE	s. 7.1 – The key element guaranteeing the information and involvement of the CAs of all countries that either donated or received an organ in case of an SAE/SAR is the international organ allocation office Eurotransplant (Leiden/The Netherlands) Eurotransplant is responsible for the allocation of the organs and the documentation of all parties involved, allowing full linkage between donor and recipient. The individual donor country is responsible for the work-up of the case. Eurotransplant facilitates the process, so that the DBs/CAs of all countries are involved. The procedure is regulated in para. 10 of the Regulation on the Quality and Safety of Organs under the Transplant Act.	s. 7.1
DK	This is rarely - if ever - needed because the transplant centres in the Scandiatransplant area have well established procedures for such events. If needed the CAs can contact each other individually by phone or mail.	Ensure that the relevant transplant centre is also informed in a relevant manner.
EE	For organs, which are sent to other countries notification system was established by Scandiatransplant, so all transplantation centres and competent authorities receive the first notification at the same time. Further communication and	Competent authorities/delegated bodies from other Member States will be contacted if there is a need for it. The decision to contact other Member States is based on the

	investigation depend on the countries involved.	information in the initial report.
EL	E-mail	E-mail
ES	It is established that the CA of other MS are notified, and any information transmitted as for a national	According to a national protocol,
	centre. The procedure stated in Directive 2012/25/EU is fulfilled.	It is established that MS different from Portugal should contact and inform OCATT and this one informs ONT as NCA.
	Whenever an organ from a donor in Spain is transplanted abroad (EU MS) and a case of vigilance might affect this case, the biovigilance team at the ONT notifies the case to the corresponding competent	Portugal must contact directly ONT as NCA to exchange information.
	authority. Updates of the situation as per protocol are communicated to the CA of the other MS. The other CA is also asked actively for information for a comprehensive analysis of the case. The final report is transmitted to the CA of the MS transplanting the organ.	ONT issues the information to the regions / hospitals, except Catalonia, which is informed by OCATT.
FI	FIMEA informs Scandiatransplant which organize all organ exchange between Finland, Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Iceland, and Estonia.	FIMEA receives all SARE reports from Scandiatransplant.
FR	We have no specific procedure regarding vigilance information that must be shared with other competent authorities. The majority of organs are collected and transplanted in France. If needed, we contact directly known colleagues in the country concerned. It was the case notably during the COVID-19 pandemic crisis. A system like RATC/RAB might be a useful tool for	It might be the same informal circuit described in the question 10.
	information sharing between competent authorities regarding alert and vigilance events in the field of organs.	
HR	Rapid alert from our donor or transplant centres to Eurotransplant	Also, rapid alert from Eurotransplant through SARE national contact person who immediately reports our donor or transplant centres
HU	It is always centrally managed by Eurotransplant. All initial and final reports are sent to them.	It is also managed centrally via Eurotransplant
IE	When an organ has a SARE reported, ODTI reports this out to the impacted transplant centres for immediate action. When further action is deemed necessary it is reported to the relevant competent authority in conjunction with the recipient centre.	Reverse of 10 above.
IT	The list of Competent Authorities for SARE linked to organs, available at http://txcontactlist.eu/,	The contacts of Italian National Transplant centre are available at

	all area to along other CA that areal the effects the	http://txcontactlist.eu/
	allows to alert other CA, that could be affected by a SARE within our country.	
LT	Other Member states about related SARE would be informed directly by phone and email	Other Member states about related SARE would inform us directly by phone and email
LV	http://www.txcontactlist.eu/index.php?action=details&id=lv	http://www.txcontactlist.eu/index.p hp?action=details&id=lv
	RATC/RAB bilateral inquiry.	RATC/RAB bilateral inquiry.
NL	SAER alert is sent to Eurotransplant; Eurotransplant send the first alert SAER to each transplant centre that received an organ	see 10
PL	Phone and/or mail. The list of competent authorities is accessible on Poltransplant pages: https://ec.europa.eu/health/system/files/2020-02/competentauthorities_organs_en_0.pdf	Phone and/or mail to Poltransplant coordinating office working 24/7
PT	Portugal has an organ exchange agreement with a member state (Spain). This agreement follows Directive 2012/25/EU of October 9th, 2012, regarding information procedures for the exchange of organs including the report of serious adverse events and reactions. These procedures are also applied to the crossover kidney donation under the South Alliance for Transplantation (SAT).	1) Through ONT. 2)
SE	-	-
SI	Notification goes via Eurotransplant, which is in charge to inform target country or countries. All next steps go as on the national level in all directions and with cooperation between responsible clinicians via competent authorities and Eurotransplant.	The circulation of info goes in the same way if Slovenian patients are affected, or our country is only in the position to transfer info and data of the case. Sometimes we have just to check our patients if the results are ok and at the end, we distribute the results.
SK	National transplant organization is responsible for contact of other member states if it is the case.	The contact place is national transplant organization. www.nto.sk

UK(NI):

system for information concerning SARE since the last report? No			7.1 Please briefly outline how your reporting system works in practice. Please explain at what level the system is organised (e.g., EOEO, regional, or other): All incidents must be reported to NHSBT who undertake an assisted function role on behalf of HTA. NHSBT reports any SAE or SAR that meet the relevant legislative criteria to HTA. We have regular meetings to ensure quality and governance of this process. This is organised at a national level.		
8. Do you have operating	8.1 Do you have	organised	8.2 Please explain how this		
procedures in place for the	operating proced	lures in	notification system works in		
notification, in due time, of	place for the noti		practice:		
any SARE to the Competent	in due time, of th		P		
Authorities and to the	management me				
concerned procurement	with regards to S	SARE to			
organisation or	the Competent				
transplantation centre?	Authority?				
Yes	Yes	, n	There is a template national operating procedure which each transplant centre adapts to reflect their local practice and defines how to report incidents to NHSBT. NHSBT has standard operating procedures of their own which set out how they must report SAE and SAR to the HTA.		
9. Is an interconnection in place		Please specify how this			
reporting system for organ tra Directive 2010/53/EU and the	•	intercon	nection is organised:		
system established for the tran					
tissues and cells in accordance	•				
11(1) of Directive 2004/23/EC?					
Yes		This deta	il is set out in the Service Level		
		Agreeme HTA rep involving whether a donor. So teams loo transplan	ent for example, ensuring the orting system for SAE or SARs g tissues and cells records a tissue donor was also an organ ome HTA staff work across the oking at SAE & SARs for organ tation and tissue and cells, so n ability to link relevant cases.		

10. Please describe the procedure for you to contact competent authorities/delegated bodies of other Member States in case of a related SARE within your country that might affect other Member States:	10.1 Please describe the procedure for you to be contacted by competent authorities/delegated bodies from other Member State(s) in case of a related SARE within the other Member State(s) that might affect your Member State:
As part of NHSBT's assisted function, NHSBT will ensure that the information transmitted relating to	NHSBT would be contacted by the relevant Member State to follow up any cases of a related SAEAR within that might affect NI.
the reporting of SAEARs, following the exchange of organs between Member States, is carried out in	
accordance with Article 4 of the Implementing Directive.	

4. COVID-19

		Facilitate logistics, including those required for cross-border exchange of organs and travel?			Strengthen capacities and skills of critical care professionals, donor coordinators, transplant professionals, organ procurement organisations and/or inter/national transplant organisations to deal with these changes and challenges?			None	*Please specify which other steps you have taken:
AT		X							
BE	X	X	X	X	X				
BG	X	X		X	X				
CY	X	X			X				
CZ	X	X	X		X				
DE	X	X	X		X	X			
DK							X		The countries in the

								Scandiatransplant area were very early in the pandemic able to test thoroughly for Covid and take the derived issues into account. Most of the transplant activities therefore continued as usual without any greater or
								sustained drop of transplant activities.
EE	X	X	X	X	X	X		
EL	X	X			X			
ES		X			X	X	X	ONT has been working thoroughly on this situation from the very beginning.
								Transplantation was declared to be a healthcare priority, so donation should be prioritized whenever possible.
								A specific data collection was

		launched regarding COVID-19 to gradually acquire knowledge of the disease in the area
		of D&T. A task group composed of professionals in
		donation and transplantation /D&T), and infectious diseases, was created to
		discuss and establish measures to prevent / mitigate any SARS-COV-2
		transmissions in the field of transplantation. These protocol and recommendations
		are updated regularly according to the bibliography and the results.
		A donation recovery protocol was drawn up with different phases for

									the gradual incorporation of the D&T activity.
									A protocol for the vaccination of those transplanted has been developed and kept up to date.
									No transmissions of SARS-COV-2 have been detected so far.
									Please note the question does not match the answers (beyond MS).
FI	X							X	
FR									
HR	X	X	X		X				
HU	X	X			X				
ΙE			X	X					
IT	X	X	X		X	X	X		Organization of webinars for patients/transplant recipients, setting up a platform for monitoring mortality risk for transplant recipients,

					1	T			
									periodical update of
									safety protocols
									based on pandemic
									evolution, issuing
									of a national
									protocol for use of
									COVID-19-positive
									donors, indications
									for vaccination of
									immunosuppressed
									patients,
									identification of
									COVID-free
									pathways inside
									hospitals
LT	X					X			
LV	X				X				
NL	X	X			X	X			
PL	X	X		X			X		Adopting legal
									procedures of
									centres
									accreditation to on-
									line forms.
PT	X				X	X			
SE								X	
SI	X	X	X	X	X	X			
SK	X	X							

	11.1 Which of those items remain relevant to your country?
AT	Every information regarding crossing the border and entering the country.
BE	following the ECDC guidelines
BG	- Facilitate logistics, including those required for cross-border exchange of organs and
ВО	travel,
	- Implement digital solutions for EU-wide data collection and monitoring of post-
	transplant outcomes and Vigilance,
	- Implement digital solutions for EU-wide data collection and monitoring of post-
	transplant outcomes and Vigilance,
	- Strengthen capacities and skills of critical care professionals, donor coordinators,
	transplant professionals, organ procurement organisations and/or inter/national transplant
CTT	organisations to deal with these changes.
CY	1,3,5
CZ	Strengthen capacities and skills of critical care professionals.
DE	As long as the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is ongoing, monitoring and if
DIZ	necessary/appropriate adaptation of the above indicated aspects remain relevant.
DK	(See above for this and the following)
EE	At the moment all the ticked items remain relevant.
EL	All the mentioned above
ES	All of them.
FI	1. Protocols are based on the first pandemic wave and basically all test positive donors
	were not used for organ tx, as well as recipients positive for covid were not operated unless for vital indications.
FR	None
HR	safety and quality protocols
HU	Advanced organ preservation technology: Machine Perfusion
IE	All of the above
IT	All of the above
LT	All previously mentioned
LV	In line with the planned collaboration with Scandiatransplant, all our procedures will be
- 1	harmonized according to Scandiatransplant procedures.
NL	harmonization of criteria, procedures and exchange of best practices
	common rules and practices between Eurotransplant countries
PL	Streamline harmonized safety and quality protocols and standards across Member States,
	also to allow for the cross-border exchange of organs, based on guidance such as that
	from ECDC on testing protocols?
PT	1) Definition of national contingency protocols.
	2) All-year professional courses and clinical/technical updates.
SE	The covid-19 pandemic has not had a negative impact on transplantation frequency in
	Sweden; in 2021 we had a record number of transplantations.
SI	1,2,3,4,5,6
SK	For Slovakia remains a/ implementation for organ preservation technologies, b/
	strengthen capacities of ICU professionals and donor coordinators, c/strengthen common

research in transplantation not only the communicable diseases

	11.2 Which challenges have you food in the centext of implementing measures
	11.2 Which challenges have you faced in the context of implementing measures responding to COVID-19?
AT	Lack of collaboration and information exchange with the national crises management.
	Rapidly changing situations and measures.
BE	making guidelines and protocols regarding covid
BG	- Organ shortage.
	- Difficulties in finding solutions for our patients, for which we do not have a transplant program.
CY	Shortage of donors because ICU units were full of Covid 19 patients, difficulties in having operation theatres available for surgeries during the pandemic, less hospital beds for patients and donors
CZ	collaboration with laboratories
DE	The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic is characterized by extreme dynamics both due to the
	changes in the characteristics of the virus (virus variants) and the continuous advances in scientific knowledge about the medical aspects (epidemics, clinical manifestation, impact on society, donor hospitals, transplant centres, organ donors and transplant recipients). Therefore, national, and international (Eurotransplant countries) standing working groups had to be established to monitor the most recent developments and to adapt policies and procedures where necessary.
DI	adapt policies and procedures where necessary.
DK	The intermedianal transport lasistics has been much lamatic. Since there are a let of
EE	The international transport logistics has been problematic. Since there are a lot of
EI	COVID-19 cases, some risk-based decisions must be made.
EL	Time-consuming transportations, donor/ recipient screenings
ES	All the former mentioned measures obey to a systematic approach of the problems found over time. Still there are others that cannot be forgotten:
	The lack of information at the beginning.
	The fear of transmitting the disease to transplant patients, who are undergoing
	immunosuppression therapy.
	The exhaustion of the personnel of the critical care units.
	The shortage of critical equipment and beds, mostly destined for the COVID patient.
FI	Lack of proper scientific data at the moment when would have needed that (first pandemic wave).
FR	PCR negative donors, Covid-free hospital circuits.
	In France, an expert group provided recommendations on donor testing in order to avoid transmission of COVID to the recipients. These recommendations are taken into account the nature of the organs (vital versus non vital organs). The main difficulties were the territorial location of the laboratories able to test the donors and provide results in due

evolving
Cvorving
lack of
partments
e deceased.
S
D impacted
········ 1)
was 1)
s the
g up
g up
CR test is
CIT (CSC 1 5
vities
cols
finitions,
the
1
g and
ep
ountries
onsible
d to
on,
on, l of the
it was
een
siderable
ents,

	40 3371.1
	12. Which aspect(s) do you think should be prioritised to ensure that detrimental
	effects of COVID-19 in the organ sector are mitigated and the sector is strengthened in the long term?
AT	Raising awareness of decision-makers, that organ donation and transplantation is a life
	saving measure.
	saving measure.
	Development and maintenance of an information network with cross border partners.
BE	EU related guidelines and protocols
BG	- Streamline harmonized safety and quality protocols and standards across Member
DO	States, also to allow for the cross-border exchange of organs, based on guidance such as
	that from ECDC on testing protocols,
	that from Bebe on testing protocols,
	- Facilitate logistics, including those required for cross-border exchange of organs and
	travel,
	- Support the implementation of organ preservation technologies that allow longer ex-
	vivo time windows to facilitate transplants when logistics are more complex, e.g., in the
	case of local outbreaks,
	- Implement digital solutions for EU-wide data collection and monitoring of post-
	transplant outcomes and Vigilance,
	- Strengthen capacities and skills of critical care professionals, donor coordinators,
	transplant professionals, organ procurement organisations and/or inter/national transplant
	organisations to deal with these changes and challenges.
CY	Developing safety protocols in order to assure that transplant activity will not be
	decreased again in case of a new pandemic wave
CZ	close cooperation with regional transplant centres
DE	The SARS-CoV-2 pandemic had direct and indirect effects on organ donation and
	transplantation. Direct effects are linked to the medical risk related to the disease for
	transplant recipients and the medical staff (nurses and doctors) involved in donor
	management, organ procurement and transplantation.
	International scientific cooperation including comprehensive data collection and
	exchange would help to speed up developing evidence-based approaches to this and
	potential future pandemics. Many recommendations in this field were and are still based
	on expert opinion, scattered small case series etc. Indirect effects derive a) from the
	availability of resources (perfusion fluids, medical devices (testing)). Another indirect
	effect of the pandemic was the extreme burden for the health care personal.
DK	
EE	Problems in international organ exchange and logistics should be addressed. An updated
T7*	guide from ECDC would be very helpful.
EL	Procedures' harmonisation / digital harmonisation
ES	More resources.

	Rapid recommendations and a space to share them with the NCA and allow them to put
	national recommendations in common for comments or suggestions.
FI	Cooperation of experts - common recommendations
FR	N/A
HR	Contingency plans need to be drawn up and Sops implemented in practice
HU	Studies that exclude or prove organ specific SARS-CoV-2 transmission to maximise
	organ transplantation maintaining quality and safety.
ΙE	- Streamlined protocols across member state jurisdiction
	-Strengthen capacity and capability of donation and transplantation personnel and
	organisations to deal with necessary changes and challenges
	- support for ring fencing of donation and transplantation services in member states.
IT	Need to establish emergency plan to cope with possible epidemic; need to increase the
	number of ICU-dedicated staff and ICU beds; widespread implementation of
TT	telemedicine tools to ensure remote follow-up of patients
LT	European recommendations Commendations Commendations
LV	Common strategies and practices in EU MS regarding COVID19 positive donors, HCV
NL	positive donors and similar situations in donation and transplantation.
NL	availability of ICU beds, for patients and potential donors, vaccines for both professional and patients and materials to protect professionals
PL	and patients and materials to protect professionals
PT	Broaden allocation criteria for solid organs, based upon scientific evidence,
FI	broaden anocation criteria for sond organs, based upon scientific evidence,
	Professional on-going educative courses.
SE	Difficult to say, as we did not experience a dip in transplantations.
SI	Topical information and constant refreshing of knowledge to keep HCP motivated and
	dedicated to work.
SK	The priority in our country is strengthening the capacities of critical care professionals
	and ICU. The second is additional hospitalization capacity for COVID-19 transplanted
	patients at transplant centres.

	12.1 Which aspects do you think would benefit from further EU coordination?
AT	Implementation of EU-wide recommendations from EU experts, e.g., from ECDC.
BE	Registration of weak positive donors, recover covid donors, follow-up of recipients who
	received organs of recovered donors with covid
BG	Organ exchange
CY	Usage of grafts from Covid 19 positive patients based on experience from some EU
	countries, facilitating logistics within EU
CZ	No opinion
DE	S. 12. Indirect effects of the pandemic derive from the availability of resources
	(perfusion fluids, medical devices (testing)). Many of these products come from outside
	the EU, it might be advisable for the EU to be more self-sufficient in this area. Another
	indirect effect of the pandemic was the extreme burden for the health care personal.

	European Strategies to address the challenges in this area should be considered.
DK	Zuzopomi zumogras to manatas into enumongos in timo moto snouze of constautou.
EE	Updated and harmonised guidelines would be useful. Common EU risk analysis on utilisation of COVID-19-positive donors and COVID-19 positive recipient management would be appreciated.
EL	Exchange experience and knowledge
ES	Increasing the donor pool for those with difficulties in reaching an organ.
FI	Strengthen role of ECDC?
	Strengthen common research to answer questions on the effects of communicable diseases on transplantation?
FR	Harmonization of donor testing measures
HR	In order how to respond to a critical situation, to avoid or minimize damage and to provide direction on staffing, resources and communication, the help of further EU coordination and support in this time of crises (contingency plan) is more than welcomed.
HU	Sharing real-time the changes in national organ donation protocols regarding COVID-19, preferably in English.
IE	 Member state rapid response forum as a resource to inform on updates to guidance as they happen, Streamlined protocols across member state jurisdiction,
	- support for ring fencing of donation and transplantation services in member states.
IT	Strengthen common research to answer questions on the effects of communicable diseases on transplantation
LT	European recommendations of donation and transplantation with past or active COVID-19
LV	all aspects, mentioned in Q11
NL	synchronization of measurement, same conditions for cross border exchange
PL	
PT	Big-data platforms can improve scientific knowledge, Harmonization and standardization of some procedures and/or criteria, Improve donation and transplantation efficacy due to allowing access to a much larger number of individuals.
SE	Recommendations and guidelines for testing and deferral of donors.
SI	Financial support of education, presenting of good clinical practices, composing working groups to collect topical info and news, building on on-line EU office with relevant experts on call 24/7 or at least during the day to help different experts in conflicting situations
SK	The recommendations and guidelines are a great help in management of procurement and transplantation.

UK(NI):

11. Has your co	untry taken	steps to adapt yo	ur national j	procedures for organ	donation and trai	ısplantati	on in	
your country in	response to	COVID-19 and t	the associate	d needs? Please selec	t all that apply:			
streamline harmonized safety and quality protocols and standards across Member States, also to allow for the cross-border exchange of organs, based	Facilitate logistics, including those required for cross-border exchange of organs and travel?	Support the implementation of organ preservation technologies that allow longer ex-vivo time windows to facilitate transplants when logistics	Implement digital solutions for EU-wide data collection and monitorin g of post-transplant outcomes and	Strengthen capacities and skills of critical care professionals, donor coordinators, transplant professionals, organ procurement organisations and/or inter/national transplant	Strengthen common research to answer questions on the effects of communicable diseases on transplantation?	Others*	None	*Please specify which other steps you have taken:
on guidance such as that from ECDC on testing protocols?		are more complex, e.g., in the case of local outbreaks?	vigilance?	organisations to deal with these changes and challenges?				
Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y			

11.1 Which of those items remain relevant to your country?

Sharing of policies and procedures / any changes to practice amongst Member States and being able to share deceased donor and transplant related data across Member States.

11.2 Which challenges have you faced in the context of implementing measures responding to COVID-19?

Capacity of critical care units, transplant units and access to ICU beds to care for recipients have been a challenge. This has been due to periods of high critical care bed occupancy from COVID-19+ve patients over winter January 2021 but more latterly an impact of NHS staffing within winter December - January 2022.

We have good, clear communication pathways externally within NHSBT from the Clinical Team, Chairs of Advisory groups and recipient coordinators enabling implementation of any changes.

12.1 Which aspects do you think would benefit from further EU coordination?

Continued clarity of Member States testing for SARS-CoV-2 upper / lower respiratory tract samples for example if centre in NI accept an organ, are they clear on what tests have been undertaken?

5. Open Comments

	13. Have you encountered any difficulties when implementing the requirements in accordance with Directive	Please describe your difficulties:
	2010/53/EU?	
AT	Yes	Because of the federal structure of Austria various of disseminated stakeholders are involved and the coordination is complex.
BE	No	
BG	No	
CY	No	
CZ	No	
DE	No	
DK	No	
EE	No	
EL	No	
ES	No	
FI	No	
FR	No	
HR	No	
HU	No	
IE	Yes	This was a large undertaking at National level as it required collaboration and consolidation of a number of sectors in order ensure continuity of services. As the quality framework and regulations were being introduced to the area for the first time. Introducing regulators and QMS was challenging and led to an extensive learning phase. This is only now maturing to allow move to next level. QMS focus needs to focus on continuous improvement and International Council for Harmonisation Q10 focus as opposed to traditional quality model.
IT	No	
LT	No	
LV	No	
NL	No	
PL	No	
PT	No	
SE	No	
SI	No	
SK	No	

	14. Have you encountered any difficulties when interpreting the requirements in accordance with Directive 2010/53/EU?	Please describe your difficulties:
AT	Yes	There should be clear and legally written definitions, e.g., supervision.
BE	No	
BG	No	
CY	No	
CZ	No	
DE	No	
DK	No	
EE	No	
EL	Yes	
ES	No	
FI	No	
FR	No	
HR	No	
HU	No	
ΙE	No	
IT	No	
LT	No	
LV	No	
NL	No	
PL	No	
PT	No	
SE	No	
SI	Yes	Actually not. We tried to meet all requirements and principles in line we our capacities and availability of facilities and HCP. Very helpful was a fact that we succeeded in putting in place already in 2002 the competent authority with many tasks defined later in the directive 53/2010. All those tasks were defined in our transplant law from 2020.
SK	No	

15. The Directive	Please specify in which respect or on which aspects:
13. THE DIFFCUVE	I lease specify in which respect of on which aspects.

	does not prevent any Member States from maintaining or introducing more stringent rules. Has your Member State maintained or introduced more stringent rules?	
AT	No	
BE	No	
BG	No	
CY	No	
CZ	No	
DE	No	
DK	No	
EE	No	
EL	Yes	
ES	No	
FI	No	
FR	No	
HR	No	
HU	Yes	Hungary annually evaluates the national organ donation and transplantation programme, which is publicly available as Annual Reports. The national organ donation registry collects data about all discarded organs (via pathology reports), and quality reports of the organs at procurement and transplantation phase, and Hungary has hospital level quality assurance programme for organ donation
IE	No	
IT	No	
LT	No	
LV	No	
NL	No	
PL	No	
PT	No	
SE	No	
SI	No	
SK	No	

16 4 41	Diagram of for subtaken successive
10. Are there aspects	Please specify which aspects:

	of the revision of the framework for Blood, Tissues, and Cells that you find relevant to the organ sector?	
AT	No	
BE	No	
BG	No	
CY	No	
CZ	No	
DE	No	
DK	Yes	Deceased organ donors are occasionally also tissue donors. A clearer link between the T&C and organ legislation e.g., with respect to SAREs would be welcome.
EE	Yes	It is difficult to say since the adoption of the revision has not been completed, but it is stated in Directive 2010/53/EU that quality and safety requirements for organs should complement and be linked with the existing Union system for tissues and cells, so revision of Blood, Tissues, and Cells directives can have an impact to organ regulation.
EL	No	
ES	Yes	As said before, - donor protection for other purposes other than transplantation. - profit and affordability of the health care systems (costs for the healthcare system from new therapies, or costs derived from new requisites, e.g., transport containers with a limited number of uses)
FI	Yes	Should the infection test requirements be the same (serological tests and PCR tests for HIV, HBV and HCV) for all allogenic donors?
FR	Yes	Harmonization of preventive measures for the transmission of pathogens would be good. The role of ECDC might be reinforced in that perspective.
HR	Yes	living donor protection and follow up, especially in light of commercialisation and growing demand, biovigilance, traceability etc.
HU	No	
IE	Yes	The involvement of European Expert Bodies to draft guidelines, share knowledge would be of benefit. This could lead to resources being available for training programmes provided to key personnel and teams. Stakeholder meetings and workshops to build channels for communication and for gathering information etc.

IT	No	
LT	No	
LV	Yes	No commercialisation of SoHO field.
NL	Yes	concerning 16: is already put forward, but not published yet
PL	No	
PT	No	
SE	No	
SI	Yes	I think that revision shouldn't go in the way that all three segments would be completely separated. There are the same principles that should be covered by the legislation for organs, tissues and cells and to some extent even for blood as donation principles and promotion activities of altruistic donation. Transparency, traceability, quality and safety of organs, tissue and cells require absolutely the same principles and should be covered by one legislation, and this is of immense importance for development in the future. If the field of tissues and cells will be joint only with the blood issues and will be distanced from organs, commercialization of the field might come on the scene and donation of human body parts for the purpose of treatment will become a subject of processing and profit-making matters. Why? The substrate for processing will be interpreted as a technical issue and not as part of a human being which requires strong focus on dignity and taking care of human rights more than just for technical substrates.
SK	No	

	Do you have any other comment not covered in the previous questions?
AT	
BE	
BG	
CY	
CZ	
DE	
DK	Regarding 2.2: Does your country exchange organs with third countries?
	There has previously existed occasional collaboration with Great Britain. How this will proceed is currently unknown.
	Regarding: 2.1 Does your country exchange organs with other EU Member States?
	Yes - Norway on regular basis and Schweitz on rare occasions.
	However - both countries have collaboration agreements with the EU

	Scandiatransplant is a collaboration between transplant centres in Denmark, Sweden, Norway, Finland, Iceland, and Estonia - for exchange of organs. Scandiatransplant host an IT-system which include a dedicated IT-tool. This system is hosted and managed by Scandiatransplant (in Aarhus, Denmark).
	The core Scandiatransplant transplantation registry was established January 1995. Basic data on all living donors from this date and forward are found in the Scandiatransplant living donor.
	For further information see: www.scandiatransplant.org
EE	-
EL	
ES	Thank you for your attention. Kind regards.
FI	Thank you for your accontion. Time regards.
FR	The BTC directive appears to implement decisions that would reinforce the role of
	ECDC, which should allow for a better harmonisation of biological qualification of
	donors. A harmonised organ qualification approach would also encourage exchange
	among the EU countries, especially taken into account that organ donors might as well
	be cell and/or tissue donors.
HR	ee con and or abbae donors.
HU	
IE	Nil
IT	111
LT	
LV	
NL	
PL	
\vdash	
SE	NΛ
SE	NA Places note that I put in the encycer "no" as default on every question in section 2. This is
	Please note that I put in the answer "no" as default on every question in section 3. This is not the true answers, but I have no other answers to give, as these questions are under the responsibility of another authority, and they do not have the possibility to participate in this survey.
SI	Please note that I put in the answer "no" as default on every question in section 3. This is not the true answers, but I have no other answers to give, as these questions are under the responsibility of another authority, and they do not have the possibility to participate in
SI	Please note that I put in the answer "no" as default on every question in section 3. This is not the true answers, but I have no other answers to give, as these questions are under the responsibility of another authority, and they do not have the possibility to participate in this survey.
SI	Please note that I put in the answer "no" as default on every question in section 3. This is not the true answers, but I have no other answers to give, as these questions are under the responsibility of another authority, and they do not have the possibility to participate in this survey. I hope that the suggestions given found out of this survey and of other discussions will be taken into account for the future steps related to preparing of refreshed EU legislation. We all, who are working at the moment in the field of using human body parts for the

UK(NI):

13. Have you encountered any difficulties when implementing the requirements in	Please describe your difficulties:
accordance with Directive 2010/53/EU?	
No	
14. Have you encountered any difficulties	Please describe your difficulties:
when interpreting the requirements in	·
accordance with Directive 2010/53/EU?	
No	
15. The Directive does not prevent any	Please specify in which respect or on which
Member States from maintaining or	aspects:
introducing more stringent rules. Has	
your Member State maintained or	
introduced more stringent rules?	
No	71 10 11 1
16. Are there aspects of the revision of the	Please specify which aspects:
framework for Blood, Tissues, and Cells	
that you find relevant to the organ sector?	
Yes	Based on our understanding of the proposals
	being considered, some of these would also be relevant to the organs sector. For example,
	unequal approaches to oversight across EU
	Member States leading to barriers to exchange,
	legislation that lags behind innovation, supply
	vulnerabilities and the evaluation and clinical
	efficacy of novel products (in the organs sector
	this could be relevant to novel organ
	transplants). We would value an opportunity to
	review early any changes that may be
	considered to impact on the organs sector.
Do you have any other comment not covered in the previous questions?	
Do you have any other comment not covere	ed in the previous questions?
For ease and clarity, we are submitting one joint applicable to NI for 2015-2021.	