

Brussels, 7 April 2021 (OR. en)

7461/21

JAI 347 COPEN 155 EJN 30

NOTE

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Delegations
Subject:	Peer evaluation of the European Judicial Network (EJN): final report and action plan

Delegations will find attached the above mentioned document, which is also available on the website of the EJN (see here).

7461/21 SC/mj 1
JAI.2 EN

EJN/2021/1



PEER EVALUATION OF THE EUROPEAN JUDICIAL NETWORK

FINAL REPORT

March - 2021

Contents

	Introduction		3
l.	Methodolog	y of the Evaluation	4
1.	The EIN P	eer Evaluation Questionnaire	4
2.	Phone inte	erviews	5
II.	Evaluation	outcome	5
1.	Functionin	ng of the EJN Contact Points	5
2.		ct Points and cooperation on cases	
	2.1. 2.2.	Cooperation within the European Union	
3.	Functionin	ng of the EJN	
	3.1	EJN meetings	
	3.2	Training for EJN Contact Points	
	3.3	Awareness	10
	3.4	EJN Secretariat	10
4.	EJN websi	te	11
V.	Conclusio	ns	12
	EV. EINI Dani	Fuelvetions Astion Plan	



I. INTRODUCTION

Following the sixth round of mutual evaluations on EJN and Eurojust (6RME), the EJN Work Programme prioritised the actions to be taken by the EJN in response to the recommendations addressed to the EJN provided by the 6RME. In this context, the EJN Secretariat prepared an Action Plan¹ for the implementation of the recommendations regarding the EJN. One of the proposed measures for further improving the functioning of the EJN and the EJN Contact Points was the performance of the "EJN Peer Evaluation" exercise with the active participation of the EJN Contact Points.

After discussions during the 11th EJN National Correspondents Meeting in October 2019 and the 53rd EJN Plenary meeting in Helsinki in November 2019, the EJN decided to perform the peer evaluation exercise in 2020, by forming a Working Group composed of EJN Contact Points and the EJN Secretariat to execute the evaluation.

The objective of the assessment was to evaluate the functioning of the Network with a special focus on its **operational functions and support to judicial cooperation**. It serves as an assessment of the working methods within the Network and a basis for proposal recommendations for further improving the functioning of the EJN and the cooperation within the Network and with other partner networks and EU bodies.

The following report aims to present the findings from the EJN Peer Evaluations exercise and the actions to be taken by the EJN to further improve the good cooperation within the Network and ensure the active engagement of the EJN Contact Points. As a result of the evaluation, the EJN Working Group adopted an Action Plan with activities the EJN should implement.

3

¹ Action Plan for the implementation of the recommendations regarding the European Judicial Network (EJN) from the country reports and the Final report of the sixth round of mutual evaluations

II. METHODOLOGY OF THE EVALUATION

The EJN Peer Evaluation was conducted by the EJN Working Group on Peer Evaluation, composed of 11 EJN Contact Points from different EU Member States and the EJN Secretariat. The evaluation was based on contributions and input from the EJN Contact Points in the EU Member States, EU candidate countries² and EJN associated countries³. It was conducted in two stages, namely the EJN Peer Evaluation Questionnaire and subsequent phone interviews with EJN Contact Points. The replies to the questionnaire provided an overall assessment of the functioning of the Network, while the phone interviews were aiming to further analyse particular focus areas identified by the EJN Working Group on Peer Evaluations.

The outcomes and findings of the peer evaluations are addressed in the current report and an Action Plan for further improving the good cooperation within the Network.

1. The EJN Peer Evaluation Questionnaire

The EJN Peer Evaluation Questionnaire was prepared with the aim to assess various areas of relevance for the proper functioning of the EJN, such as:

- the function of the EJN and the EJN Contact Points;
- the number and specialisation of EJN Contact Points;
- the level of support provided by the EJN Secretariat to the EJN Contact Points;
- the training needs of the EJN Contact Points;
- the relations/contacts with national judicial authorities;
- · the relations/cooperation with EU partners and other partner judicial networks;
- the use and functionality of the EJN website;
- EJN awareness.

As a result of the questionnaire, **126 replies** were received from EJN Contact Points from 23 EU Member States and 3 EU candidate and associated countries.

² Albania, North Macedonia, Turkey, Serbia and Montenegro

³ Norway, Switzerland, Lichtenstein and Iceland



2. Phone interviews

The high number of contributions to the EJN Peer Evaluations Questionnaire enabled the EJN Working Group to make an elaborate assessment and identify specific areas in which further analysis or action would be required, such as operational work and cooperation on cases, cooperation with third countries and judicial networks, awareness, training, the appointment of Contact Points and specialisations, Contact Points lists on the EJN website and secure telecommunication. With the aim to collect more information and experiences, the EJN Working Group on Peer Evaluations adopted a set of questions to be addressed via phone interviews with volunteering 13 EJN Contact Points⁴.

III. EVALUATION OUTCOME

From the contributions received by the EJN Contact Points, it could be concluded that the EJN Contact Points and judicial practitioners find it indispensable to use the EJN and the resources on the EJN website when dealing with their investigations with cross-border elements. The evaluation has demonstrated that the EJN continues to be a reliable channel for facilitating the execution of judicial cooperation requests and support the exchange of case-related information. The EJN Contact Points reported more than 90 000 cases in recent years and, with this experience in mind, they indicated that the assistance of their EJN colleagues has provided a success rate of 84.2% for their cases. In this regard, the EJN has accumulated years of practical experience and best practice in the application of the EU legal instruments, contributing to the fight against cross-border crime.

The following sections will address the evaluation outcomes per distinct areas as well as the proposed follow-up actions in this regard.

1. Functioning of the EJN Contact Points

From the replies provided by the EJN Contact Points, it is evident that the majority have a high appreciation for being an EJN Contact Point. They indicated that they mostly value the direct and smooth cooperation between the Contact Points, speeding up the execution of their requests, full access to the resources on the EJN website and access to a large amount of up-to-date information on judicial cooperation as well as the participation in EJN meetings.

Additionally, many EJN Contact Points indicated that they find it rewarding to be able to assist the national judicial authorities in their countries after acquiring additional knowledge and experience with the EJN. The vast majority indicated that their practical experience and

⁴ Contact Points from Italy, Denmark, Finland, Sweden, Portugal, Czech Republic, Austria, Hungary, Slovenia, Slovakia, Malta, Croatia and Bulgaria

position within the national authorities allow them to fulfil their duties as EJN Contact Points. In this regard, they assessed that they usually **do not experience difficulties in receiving replies and information from the judicial authorities from their home country.** The majority of the Contact Points reported that the EJN is a well-established and -known channel for cooperation and this contributes to the swift communication. However, they acknowledged that sometimes it takes more time to obtain information from another public authority within their country - i.e. information from the Prosecutor's Office to the Court or the Prosecutor's Office to the Ministry of Justice. This also underlines the importance of having EJN Contact Points in all branches of the judicial authorities – Ministry of Justice, Prosecution Offices and Courts.

From the replies to the questionnaire as well as from the practical experience of the Contact Points, it could be observed that among the EJN Contact Points in one country, there is a different level of engagement in the EJN-related work. It was noted that one of the reasons for this is the fact that the EJN Contact Points are very often **not allocated additional time and resources on national** level that allows them to fulfil their additional EJN-related obligations. Another aspect that becomes apparent is that – while taking institutional needs into consideration – in some countries, the number of EJN Contact Points is significant and, therefore, the networking aspect of the EJN difficult to achieve.

As addressed in the Action Plan, the EJN will undertake actions to ensure the allocation of additional time and resources for the Contact Points is addressed at national level and considered at the time of appointment of the EJN Contact Points.

2. EJN Contact Points and cooperation on cases

2.1. Cooperation within the European Union

From the contributions received as a reply to the Questionnaire and phone interviews, it is evident that the cooperation within the Network is very good and efficient. The Contact Points rarely experience difficulties in obtaining replies to their requests for cooperation. The vast majority of the replies show that replies are received in 93.65% of the cases, and in approximately 90% of the cases, the reply was received in the desired timeframe. Consequently, the EJN Contact Points frequently use the EJN channel of cooperation and often cooperate with their colleagues from another Member State or third country. Approximately 40% of the Contact Points reported that they use the EJN as a channel for cooperation on a weekly basis, while approximately 10% reported daily cooperation via the EJN. The good cooperation within the Network results in approximately 10 000 cases per year that are supported by the EJN.

The EJN Contact Points indicated that they choose whom to contact mainly based on previously established (personal) contacts. The Contact Points underlined the **importance** of establishing as many contacts as possible with Contact Points from other countries and stressed the added value of the EJN meetings in this respect. The Contact Points gave several examples in which good personal contact has led to numerous successfully resolved cases. Apart from the personal contacts, the Contact Points also take into consideration geographic area or jurisdiction, competences and specialisations and the function (prosecutor/judge) depending on the criminal proceedings' stage.

6



The Questionnaire and phone interviews also collected information on the challenges the Contact Points are facing in their cooperation. The issues most reported by the Contact Points relate to not receiving replies to requests within the desired timeframe or at all when cooperating with certain countries. The Contact Points further pointed out that in some of the cases, they do not receive confirmation that the request was received and will be dealt with. Furthermore, the replies to the questionnaire and the phone interviews showed that in a few cases, they experienced a language barrier when cooperating with some countries and they do not receive replies in English. Also, the Contact Points find it confusing if there are too many EJN Contact Points in one country as they are not sure whom to address.

The Working Group observed that, in some countries, there are good practices in place in terms of how to handle communication on EJN cases – e.g. delivery of receipt and confirmation of the national authority handling the case, timely replies and notifications in situations in which the requested EJN Contact Point is expecting a delay in the reply or when they are not competent to handle the request. To overcome and further diminish the situations in which the Contact Points are facing challenges in the cooperation, the EJN will take steps to encourage the application of the identified good practice by all Contact Points and develop a **document to provide guidance for the EJN Contact Points** on how to handle EJN case-related communication. The EJN will also address the Member States where there is a lower response rate in order to look for solutions and improve the cooperation.

The Working Group noted the comments of some EJN Contact Points that the EJN could **improve the structuring of the list of EJN Contact Points** on the EJN website to clearly indicate the regional competence and specialisation of the Contact Point. From the replies to the questionnaire, it seems this is particularly relevant for the bigger EU Member States. The EJN could work on a more standardised format with this information indicated on the website.

2.2. Cooperation with EU candidate countries, EJN associated countries and third countries

The EJN Contact Points stressed the important role of the EJN in connecting them to judicial practitioners not only in the European Union but also across the world. Ever since the establishment of the EJN in 1998, the Network has been working towards creating contacts with the countries closely connected to the European Union, namely the EU candidate countries and EFTA countries but also with third countries and other regional judicial networks. The EJN is handling approximately 400 cases with third countries a year.

2.2.1. Cooperation with EU candidate countries

The EJN Contact Points assessed that their experience and cooperation with the EU candidate countries is often as good as with an EU Member State. In addition, the Contact Points have reported that the structural participation of EU candidate countries in the EJN meetings and the establishment of personal contacts has significantly contributed to the successful outcome of numerous cases. The EJN creates opportunities for the exchange of

7

best practice. The EJN is organising yearly meetings, particularly with the Western Balkan Contact Points, to increase the understanding and case-related exchange of best practice.

2.2.2. Cooperation with EJN associated countries

The EJN Contact Points reported a very good experience in their cooperation with the EJN associated countries. The Contact Points from these countries are deeply involved with the Network and are taking part in a large number of EJN meetings. Associated countries' EJN Contact Points are also actively involved in many operational projects, which also creates a possibility to share experiences and address challenges in judicial cooperation.

2.2.3. Cooperation with third countries and judicial networks

The EJN Contact Points reported that cooperation with third countries should be strengthened. To support the investigations of cross-border crime, in particular serious crime, the EJN also needs to rely on contacts in third countries. The most successful cooperation examples to date were, in general, provided with the support of other networks such as the ones under the umbrella of the UNODC; IberRed; Commonwealth; Council of Europe, and others. The Contact Points also reported many successful cases with the EJN Contact Points in third countries.

As indicated in the Action Plan, the EJN will take steps to reinforce the cooperation with the EJN Contact Points in third countries and with regional networks by looking in opportunities for closer cooperation, exchange of best practice, organising meetings and looking for possibilities to conclude Memorandums of Understanding.

With regards to the cooperation with the UK as a third country, the EJN Contact Points underlined that it is essential that the EJN Contact Points keep a close cooperation for their cases with the UK authorities. The EJN Secretariat will also need to update the EJN Atlas and other tools to support EU practitioners. The Contact Points report on both positive and negative experiences – i.e. the Contact Points in Scotland cooperate the most while in the rest of UK the cooperation seemed not consistently satisfactory.

2.2.4. Cooperation with Eurojust

In line with the guidelines⁵ on the distribution of cases, the EJN Contact Points reported that they have contacts with their National Desk at Eurojust where necessary. The EJN Contact Points are often contacted by Eurojust in their capacity of representatives of the national judicial authorities. The EJN Contact Points contact the Eurojust National Desks to transfer cases that are of Eurojust competence, when there is extreme urgency or to set up joint investigation teams (JITs).

⁵ Joint EJN-Eurojust report on the "Assessment of allocation of cases to Eurojust and to the European Judicial Network" and Joint EJN-Eurojust Paper "Assistance in International Cooperation in Criminal Matters for Practitioners"



As indicated in the Action Plan, the EJN will maintain and further improve the proper allocation of cases between the EJN and Eurojust by advising the practitioners of situations in which their request should be referred to Eurojust due to the need for coordination.

3. Functioning of the EJN

3.1. EJN meetings

The vast majority of the Contact Points, **87.3%**, **find the EJN meetings to be a valuable experience**. The Contact Points elaborated that the EJN meetings provided an opportunity for the Contact Points to meet their colleagues from the other Member States face to face. For them, this greatly **improves daily cooperation in individual cases**. In addition, the Contact Points assess the topics discussed at the EJN meetings of practical interest for them. They also reported that the discussions in real time between the participants are more stimulating and richer in outcome than the opinion expressed in writing upon request.

The Contact Points underlined the importance of the meetings for the proper functioning of the EJN. The EJN Contact Points confirmed that the networking element of the meetings, the exchange of information and the face-to-face discussion of cases, is one of the reasons for the successful cooperation within the Network. In addition, the EJN Contact Points underlined that the meetings give them the opportunity to update their knowledge in certain areas they don't encounter often in their daily work and cases.

The Contact Points stressed that **participation in meetings is one of the key elements for creating more engagement** in the work of the EJN and that each Member State should find a balanced system of permanent presence as well as an opportunity for rotating participation for the EJN Contact Points in the meetings.

The EJN will continue organising the EJN meetings by addressing appropriate practical discussion topics on judicial cooperation, with dedicated time and space for discussions as well as an environment for networking and establishing contacts. In addition, the EJN will further stimulate the organisation of national and regional EJN meetings to ensure the engagement of even more Contact Points.

3.2. Training for EJN Contact Points

From the replies, it becomes evident that the EJN Contact Points rely heavily on the EJN to acquire additional knowledge on judicial cooperation due to the fact that **the Network is a centre of expertise and information** on the subject matter. Many Contact Points indicated that by attending meetings and trainings organised by the EJN, they can gain additional knowledge and increase their expertise. The Contact Points expressed **wishes to have more trainings and meetings on judicial cooperation matters organised by the EJN** that would give the opportunity for more Contact Points to be involved. They also confirmed the need for the Legal English training that is organised yearly. Another aspect the EJN Contact Points expressed is the need for training on the instruments on judicial cooperation, on filling in the requests for legal assistance, the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), e-evidence and the use of the tools on the EJN website.

9

In addition, the Contact Points underlined the importance of **training specially dedicated to the newly nominated EJN Contact Points** that would give them a better insight into the work of the EJN, the EJN Secretariat and EJN website as it will give them an opportunity to meet and start establishing personal contacts.

As indicated in the Action Plan, the EJN will continue organising the yearly EJN language training and will look for possibilities to organise trainings on the topics identified by the Contact Points. In addition, the EJN will look for possibilities to cooperate more closely with the European Judicial Training Network (EJTN) in organising trainings for the EJN Contact Points.

3.3. Awareness

The EJN Contact Points observed that the EJN is a well-known channel for facilitating judicial cooperation on criminal matters. Nevertheless, they noted that the judicial practitioners in the European Union would greatly benefit from the EJN reinforcing its efforts on raising awareness about the work of the Network with wider training on the EJN website to national authorities in the Member States.

The EJN is aiming to support the national judicial authorities to the furthest extent possible and will take additional steps in raising awareness in the EU Member States by actively promoting the function and activities of the Network as well as the resources available on the EJN website. With the support of the EJN National Correspondents, the Network will seek opportunities to establish contacts with the national schools of Magistrates/Institutes of Justice and regularly present its activities there. Furthermore, additional steps for promoting the EJN website and the EJN Contact Points within the judicial authorities in the EU Member States will be taken.

3.4. EJN Secretariat

The replies of the Contact Points showed they highly value the support provided by the EJN Secretariat to the Network. Contact Points particularly mentioned the active role of the Secretariat in the preparation of meetings, drafting documents and reports, representing the Network vis-a-vis the EU institutions and partners as well as ensuring the strong functioning of the EJN in general. They also appreciate the up-to-date information on the EJN website, the development of the tools and sharing the most relevant news on judicial cooperation. The Contact Points acknowledged the support from the EJN Secretariat in obtaining contacts with judicial practitioners in third countries.

The overall impression is that the EJN Secretariat has been developing over time and it should be ensured that it receives adequate human and financial resources to continue supporting the EJN activities, functioning and website.

4. EJN website

The EJN website remains the most specialised online repository for information related to judicial cooperation on criminal matters. With approximately 3 million yearly visits, the website provides information on the competent authorities to execute requests for judicial cooperation, the status of the implementation of the legal instruments and the national



notifications, forms, information on the law and legal systems in the EU Member States, relevant reports, handbooks, case law and more.

The vast majority of the contributions from the EJN Contact Points, 92.86%, indicated that they always find the information that they need on the EJN website.

The EJN will continue to develop the EJN website and maintain the level of resources to collect and update information on judicial cooperation in criminal matters.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

- The EJN Peer Evaluation confirms the highly effective cooperation on cases within the Network. For more than 20 years, since its establishment, the EJN has proven an essential partner for the national judicial authorities in their cross-border investigations. With more than 90 000 cases in the past 10 years, the EJN is undeniably identified as a group of highly skilled experts in judicial cooperation in criminal matters. The added operational value of the Network is confirmed by the EJN Contact Points, who assessed the success rate of the cooperation within the EJN to be 84.2%. The EJN will take further steps to fine-tune the functioning of the Network
- The EJN meetings are essential for the Network, especially the EJN Plenary
 meetings. The EJN regional and national meetings offer an excellent complement,
 so the EJN and the Secretariat will continue to encourage the organisation of these
 meetings to create even more engagement for the EJN in the Member States.
- The EJN website is a one-stop-shop for information on international cooperation in criminal matters and provides several practical e-tools. The website has progressively become an indispensable, practical and useful instrument for the day-to-day operation of all practitioners, as it has been continuously adapted to the EU legislative changes and the needs of the practitioners. To continue building the EJN website as the most specialised repository for information on judicial cooperation and to meet the demands of the judicial practitioners, adequate human and financial resources should be allocated to the website.
- The EJN should continue to develop cooperation with third countries through established relations with other judicial networks around the world and the appointment of Contact Points in non-EU countries.
- The privileged relations between the EJN and Eurojust should be further developed to ensure both entities are dealing with cases for which they are best suited.
- To continue improving the EJN and the support it provides to the national judicial
 authorities, the EJN should be allocated the necessary resources to perform its
 function, both at national level and at EJN Secretariat and the related EJN budget
 level. The EJN Contact Points should be allocated dedicated time to work on their
 EJN cases and other EJN-related tasks such as training.
- With the aim of maintaining the high level of effective cooperation and to improve even further, the EJN has reflected on the potential areas for development, for which a detailed Action Plan has been adopted (see Annex).

ANNEX: EJN PEER EVALUATIONS ACTION PLAN

Action category ed – action required with immediate impact on the functioning of the EJN

Action category green – action on an ongoing basis

Action category yellow- action requiring further assessment

Area		Proposed actions/Outcomes from evaluation	Activities	Actors involved
Cooperation on cases	.2	The EJN should maintain a high level of effective cooperation among the Contact Points.	a) The EJN Contact Points should share good practice	EJN Contact Points
			b) The EJN Contact Points should inform the EJN National Correspondent and/or the EJN Secretariat if	EJN National Correspondents (NCs)
			they are facing problems with the cooperation in particular cases in order to find a solutions.	EJN Secretariat
	E	The EJN should develop a catalogue of best practice on handling EJN cases incorporating the best practice EJN Peer Evaluation Working already existing within the Network. The Guidelines Group should draft a	a) The EJN Secretariat and the EJN Peer Evaluation Working Group should draft a	EJN Secretariat
		should include also an illustration (<i>graph</i>) of the " EJN case cycle".	catalogue of best practice on handling EJN cases and EJN case cycle:	

JAI.2

7461/21 ANNEX

c) In cooperation with UNODC, CoE and the other judicial	networks, the EJN should contribute to the	development of a template	for requests for assistance	between the networks;	u) IIIe DIN Secietaliat Siloula	work ruttner on making accessible on the EJN website	the contact details of the	Contact Points of the other	networks, to the EIN Contact	Points;		networks by sharing best		f) The EJN should raise	S	Ended Catalogue of	International	Networks of Operational	Relevance in the Fight	against Transnational	Organised Crime" and	publish it on the EJN website;	
--	--	---------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------------------	---------------------------------	--	----------------------------	-----------------------------	------------------------------	---------	--	--------------------------	--	-------------------------	---	--------------------	---------------	-------------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	--------------------------------	--

ANNEX 7461/21

	2. Functioning i. The of the EJN for engagement of the Contact Points	
	The EJN National Correspondents are encouraged to review regularly the nominated EJN Contact Points for their countries in order to ensure adequate representation that meets the operational needs of the Network.	
G G		Û
address the relevant NCs of the countries and share with them the findings from the questionnaire and interviews; The NCs concerned should agree with the EIN Secretariat on a plan to improve cooperation and address the difficulties in cooperation with these countries.	The EJN National Correspondents should regularly review the number of Contact Points and the profiles (specialisations) of the Contact Points and ensure adequate regional spread of Contact Points in their countries; The review under point a) should considerations of an adequate representation of judges, prosecutors and MoJ officials to provide easier access for all practitioners;	Based on the review under
EJN Peer Evaluations Working Group EJN Secretariat	EJN National Correspondents	

7461/21 ANNEX

JAI.2

7461/21 ANNEX

		to be able to finance bigger meetings, allowing the majority of the national CPs to attend; b) The EJN Secretariat should send recommendations for the organisation of national meetings to the NCs of the countries that did not organise regional/national meetings in the past few years to stimulate the engagement of the Network in this country.	Correspondents
; ,	The EJN should analyse whether it would be a necessary and feasible to introduce a 24/7 availability function of the Contact Points.	a) The EJN NCs should make an assessment in their Member State about the needs and resources for 24/7 availability.	EJN National Correspondents
ii.	The newly appointed EJN National Correspondents and EJN Tools Correspondents should be introduced to the Network by dedicating a special area in the EJN Newsletter. Other Contact Points who wish to be introduced could also be included.	a) The EJN Secretariat should dedicate a section in the EJN Newsletter for new NCs/TCs/CPs introductions; b) The notice of a new NC should include brief information about the background and goals for the	EJN Secretariat

JAI.2

3. Training	:	The EIN should establish a scoreboard on the training needs of the EIN Contact Points and the role of the EIN in training activities on the national	ê	The EJN will set up a working group with the mandate to propose an EJN Scoreboard	EJN Secretariat
		and international level.		on training.	EJN Working Group on training
	i	The EJN Secretariat should keep organising the yearly English language training for EJN Contact Points to improve the level of knowledge of legal terminology in English.	a a	The EJN Secretariat should identify substantive topics that will be included in the yearly English language	EJN Secretariat
	Ħ	The EJN should seek opportunities for organising additional trainings for the EJN CPs on the legal intermediate trainings for the Library for the legal intermediate.	(e) (a)	training and identify appropriate speakers. The EJN Secretariat should assess the possibility and the recovered to the contract to contain the contained	EJN Secretariat
		requests for legal assistance, the jurisprudence of the Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU), e-evidence and the use of the tools on the EIN website.	<u> </u>	person trainings and/or online information sessions; The EIN Secretariat should	
	4		-	speakers for these trainings.	
	≥	The EJN should seek opportunities to organise trainings for the EJN Contact Points in cooperation with the European Judicial Training Network	<u> </u>	the EJN secretariat should continue the dialogues with the EJTN and discuss quotas	EJN Secretariat
		(EJTN), European Institute of Public Administration (EIPA), European Research Area (ERA) and other relevant		for participation of EJN Contact Points in the EJTN	
		entities specialised in training of judicial practitioners.	Q	trainings; The EJN should bring up	
				topics of interest to	

			c) The EIN Secretariat should look for opportunities to establish contacts with EIPA	
			and ERA to seek opportunities for joint training activities.	V 4
	>	The EJN Contact Points and National Correspondents	a) The EJN Contact Points and EJN National Correspondents	EJN National
		for EJN Contact Points in which they can also discuss	should seek opportunities to	
		cases and exchange best practice.	organise national trainings for EJN Contact Points where	_
			they can discuss cases and	EJN Contact Points
			instruments:	
			b) If requested, the EJN	EJN Secretariat
			Secretariat should support	_
			national trainings by	
			presenting the functionalities	
			projects and conclusions:	
	_		c) If requested, the EIN	
				2.61
			materials.	-
4. Meetings	<u>.</u>	meetings by addressing appropriate practical	a) The EJN Presidencies, supported by the EJN	, EJN Presidencies
		ics on judicial cooperation		

	dedicated time and space for discussions as well as an environment for networking and establishing contacts.	appropriate topics; b) The EJN Secretariat together with the EJN Presidencies, should periodically evaluate the effectiveness of the new methodology of organising the EJN meetings and when needed address Eurojust for	DIN Secretariat
ı ≓	The EJN should continue to encourage the preparation of regional and national EJN meetings and revise the guidelines with the aim to create more opportunities for the Member States to organise bigger meetings and to dedicate them primarily to enhancing	assessing resources. Supra point 2 (v)	
ii	The IUI should seek opportunities to organise joint The ELIN should seek opportunities to organise joint meetings with the other judicial networks to enhance the operational capabilities and exchange best practice on the functioning of the networks	Supra point 1 (iii)	
<u>.≥</u>	The EJN should promote a balanced system of permanent presence as well as an opportunity for rotating participation for the EJN Contact Points in the meetings.	a) The EJN NCs should create a balanced system of permanent presence as well as an opportunity for rotating participation for the EJN Contact Points in the EJN	

7461/21 ANNEX

25

7461/21 ANNEX

			order to p	order to promote the good	pod	
			practices of the EIN;	the EIN;		
		(q	If request	the	E Z	
			Contact F	Contact Points, the EJN	Z	
			Secretariat	could provi	ide	
			them suppo	them supporting information	ion	
			on the fu	on the functioning of the	the	
			Network	Network (e.g. statistical	ical	
			overview	of cases,	ses,	
			information	information on projects etc.);	.c.);	
		Û	The EJN	The EJN Contact Points	nts	
			should in	should inform the EJN	Z	
			Secretariat	Secretariat of EJN-relevant	ant	
			initiatives	initiatives in which the	the	
			Network G	Network can take part (e.g.	e.g.	
			intra-instit	intra-institutional projects,	cts,	
			working gr	working groups, trainings on	no	
			judicial cooperation).	peration).		
iv. The	The EJN Contact Points should regularly report their	(a)	The EJN Contact	Contact Points		EJN Contact Points
E	Venses in the EJN Reporting Tool as this information		should	observe	the	
is	s presented to the EU institutions.		Guidelines	Guidelines for Reporting and	pue	
			regularly re	regularly report their cases;		Succession Morking
		9	The EJN sho	The EJN should set up an EJN		WOINING GIORD
			Working	Working Group on the	-	on the case reporting
			Reporting	and Reporting	ing	
			Tool to	streamline t	the	
			reporting	reporting process and look		EJN National
			for ways	to improve the		Correspondents
			process;			

JAI.2

ANNEX

27

			presenta materials leaflets).	presentations and provide materials (e.g. brochures and leaflets).	
Website	a-i	The EJN should continue to develop the EJN website and maintain the level of resources to collect and update information on judicial cooperation in criminal matters.	a) The EJN ensure informat cooperal updated b) The EJ sufficien financial allocated developments.	The EJN Secretariat should ensure all the relevant information on judicial cooperation is present and updated on the EJN website; The EJN should ensure sufficient human and financial resources are allocated to the regular development of the EJN website.	EJN Secretariat
	ı i	The EJN Tools Correspondents, with support of the EJN Contact Points, should actively contribute to the update of the tools and information on the EJN website.	a) The Corresponding their conversite by The Corresponding Corresponding to the contact relevant relevant pages of the corresponding to	Correspondents should regularly assess the accuracy of their countries in the EJN website tools. The EJN Tools Correspondents and the EJN Contact Points should submit relevant information to be uploaded in the relevant pages of the EJN website (e.g.	EJN Tools Correspondents EJN Contact Points EJN Secretariat

=	iii. The EIN should assess the need to translate more a) The EIN sho	more a	Th (e EN	sho
	parts of the EJN website in the national languages of	les of	ne	need and	pu
	the EU Member States.		tra	translate add	ado
			the	e EN	3
			na	national lange	ang
		Ф	b) Th	The EJN Sec	Sec
			Ĕ	make an asse	asse
			pa	pages	that
			tra	translated	-

The EIN	should assess the need to translate more a) The EJN should assess the EJN National	a)	The EJN should assess the	EN National
parts of th	the EJN website in the national languages of		need and possibility to Correspondents	Corresponde
the EU N	ember States.		translate additional parts of	
			the EJN website in the	
			national languages;	
		(q	b) The EIN Secretariat should EIN Secretariat	EJN Secretaria
			make an assessment on the	
			pages that could be	
			translated.	

