



Brussels, 17 June 2021  
(OR. en)

9907/21

COPEN 280  
EUROJUST 68  
EJN 49

**NOTE**

---

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Delegations
No. prev. doc.:	5344/1/20 REV 1
Subject:	Implementation of Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgments and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions - Information provided to the General Secretariat

---

Delegations will find attached updated information about the state of play concerning the implementation of Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgments and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions (OJ L 337, 16.12.2008, p. 102).

The information is based on notifications by Member States to the General Secretariat, to which reference is made in the last column.

In accordance with its Article 25, the Framework Decision should have been implemented by 6 December 2011.

According to the information received by the General Secretariat, so far 26 Member States (BE, BG, CZ, DE, DK, EL, ES, EE, FR, HR, IE, IT, CY, LT, LV, LU, HU, NL, AT, PL, PT, RO, SI, SK, SE, FI) have implemented the Framework Decision in their national legal order.<sup>1</sup> The UK does not anymore take part in this instrument.

The information in the table is a summary of the notified information: delegations are invited to consult the documents referred to in the last column in order to obtain more detailed information.

The information provided in the table is up-to-date as at 17 June 2021. Any comments or suggestions for improvement should be sent to [steven.cras@consilium.europa.eu](mailto:steven.cras@consilium.europa.eu)

Please note that all information relating to the implementation of the Framework Decision can also be found on the [website](#) of the European Judicial Network (EJN).

---

---

<sup>1</sup> From the EJN website, it appears that MT has also already implemented the Framework Decision (by Act XXIII of 2012 - Probation Act – Cap 446).  
In respect of certain Member States, some information is missing.

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
AUSTRIA	Implemented Entry into force: 1 August 2013	- AT as an issuing State: District and Regional Courts - AT as an executing State: Regional Courts (addresses provided)		Monitoring can be approved if because of specific circumstances ties exist between the sentenced person and Austria of such intensity that it can be assumed that monitoring in Austria will help facilitate the social rehabilitation and reintegration of the sentenced person.		AT refuses to assume responsibility to take subsequent measures in the cases described in Art. 14(3)(a) and (c)	German. Other languages accepted on basis of reciprocity.		YES 15116/13

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
BELGIUM	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 23 June 2013	- BE as issuing State: Public prosecutor's office - BE as executing State: Public prosecutor's office MoJ is competent for giving prior agreement for forwarding a judgement ex. Art. 5(2).					Dutch French German English		YES 12718/13

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

<b>Member State</b>	<b>State/date of implementation of Framework Decision</b>	<b>Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)</b>	<b>(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.</b>
BULGARIA	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 28 April 2012	- BG as issuing State: first-instance courts - BG as executing State: provincial courts or Sofia City Court.					Bulgarian		YES 11438/12

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
CROATIA	Implemented as part of the <i>acquis</i> - 1 July 2013.	- HR as issuing State: competent courts - HR as executing State: competent county courts (list provided)	The domestic competent authorities, on the basis of a recognised foreign probation measure or alternative sanction, will enforce in respect of a convicted person only such types of probation measures and alternative sanctions as are provided for in the criminal legislation of the Republic of Croatia	In cases where, in addition to the agreement of the convicted person, the agreement of the ministry with responsibility for judicial affairs is required for the transmission of a judgment imposing probation measures or alternative sanctions to HR for recognition, the ministry will, when giving that agreement, pay particular attention to facilitating the social rehabilitation of the convicted person and the reintegration of the convicted person into society		A competent court will not take subsequent decisions in three cases (please consult doc 12335/14, page 8)	Croatian ; English (on reciprocal basis)		YES 12335/14

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
CYPRUS	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 23 May 2014	- CY as issuing State: The assize court or the district court  - CY as executing State: 1) The territorial competent district court (enforcement) 2) The competent Ministry, section or service (ensuing measures)		The competent executing authority of CY may consent to the forwarding of a judgment issued in another Member State and, where applicable, the probation decision, only when the sentenced person is lawfully and ordinarily resident in the Republic of Cyprus and has returned or wants to return there.		CY will not assume responsibility for taking any subsequent decision in the event of the sentenced person's non-compliance with a probation measure or alternative sanction or if he commits a new criminal offence. In such cases jurisdiction will be transferred back to the competent authority of the issuing Member State.	Greek and English  In addition, CY also accepts certificates drawn up in both Greek and Turkish, being the two official languages of the country.		YES 11315/14

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
CZECH REPUBLIC	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 1 January 2014	- CZ as issuing State: District courts, regional courts, Prague and Brno Municipal Courts. 1) CZ as executing State: 2) the locally competent district courts. 3) regional courts decide as regards legal remedies (addresses provided)		CZ competent executing authorities may agree to acknowledge the decision of another MS in accordance with Art. 5(2) of the FD, if the person to whom the decision is addressed requests that the recognition and execution of that decision be acknowledged and if that person is resident on the territory of CZ or if it may reasonably be supposed that the person intends to reside there and if the acknowledgement	CZ will not apply Article 10(1) of the FD	In the cases referred to in Article 14(3)(a), CZ will not, in the event of a breach of imposed obligations and restrictions, adopt any subsequent decision and it will be for another EU state to adopt binding measures in accordance with its domestic law.	- Czech (or accompanied by a translation into Czech). - With respect to the Slovak Republic, the Czech Republic will accept certificates produced in Slovak.		YES 7044/1/14 REV 1



**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
				of that decision for recognition and execution in CZ may be considered as appropriate and effective for purposes of ensuring the successful integration of that person in society.					

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
DENMARK	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 5 December 2011	Minister of Justice or his/her representative is competent regarding recognition.  Department of Prisons and Probation is competent for adaptation / supervision	Decisions on intensive monitoring at the sentenced person's place of residence	Certificates must be issued by the Minister of Justice or his/her representative		DK authorities will not take a decision on execution of a custodial sentence in cases referred to in Art. 29 n (2) and (3) of national act.	Danish		YES 7601/12
ESTONIA	??	Ministry of Justice	Estonia will supervise the probation referred to in Article 4(1)				Estonian English		YES 6664/16

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
FINLAND	Implemented	FI as an issuing and executing State:  Central administrative office of the Criminal Sanctions Agency  District courts are competent to take subsequent decisions as referred to in Art. 14(1)(b) and (c).		Where probation in Finland because of particular personal circumstances or other special reason would favour chances of adjusting to society.		In certain circumstances, Finland will not take subsequent decisions in cases referred to in Art. 14(1)(b) and (c).	Finnish Swedish English	Act on cooperation between Finland and other Nordic States will continue to apply.	YES 7382/12

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
FRANCE	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 1 October 2015	FR as executing State, and FR as issuing State: the public prosecutor's office.	FR is prepared to supervise the following additional types of measures: - a ban on carrying out any professional or volunteer activity which involves regular contact with minors; - a driving ban; - a ban on possessing or carrying a weapon for which a licence is required.	Where recognition of the decision is subject to the consent of the executing State: - Where the person concerned has FR nationality, it is for the public prosecutor to notify France's consent. - In other cases, consent is a matter for the Minister for Justice, who determines whether there are exceptional grounds justifying the execution of the decision in FR. To that end, the Minister shall take into account the extent to which his	FR will not agree to execute a sentence or probation decision if it is based on acts that are not criminalised in FR.	FR declares that, as an executing State, it will not assume responsibility for taking decisions where the judgment does not contain a custodial sentence or measure involving deprivation of liberty to be enforced in case of non-compliance with that sentence, and where non-compliance with the alternative sanction does not in itself	French (no declaration)		YES 6233/20 + ADD 1

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
				or her decision is in the interests of the proper administration of justice, the existence of any personal or family ties in FR, and the absence of a risk of disruption of public order.		constitute an offence under French law. FR declares that, as an executing State, it will not assume responsibility for taking decisions where the conditional sentence does not have a fixed deadline for compliance with the conditions.			

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
GERMANY	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 25 July 2015	DE as issuing State: 1) Public prosecutor's offices in the regional courts 2) Local courts DE as executing State: 1) Public prosecutor's offices in the regional courts 2) Regional courts	DE is willing to supervise certain other constraints which satisfactorily make amends for wrongs committed. The same applies to instructions which aim to prevent a specific lifestyle of the sentenced person.	DE may consent to the forwarding of a judgment under Art. 5(2) if the sentenced person is a DE national.	DE will not apply Article 10(1) FD.	DE refuses to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions provided for in Art. 14(1)(b) and (c) in the cases mentioned in Art. 14(3)(a), (b) and (c).  DE also refuses to assume responsibility in some other cases. See doc. 5901/16.			YES 5901/16

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
GREECE	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 15 November 2014	EL as issuing State: - the court issuing the decision.  EL as executing State: - the Public Prosecutor of First Instance of the place where the person has the habitual residence.	None.						YES 5981/1/20 REV 1

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
HUNGARY	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 1 January 2013	- HU as issuing State: court that imposed the measure or alternative sanction  - HU as executing State: District courts, or the Central District Court of Buda (see 9720/16)		HU consents to forwarding if the sentenced person requests execution of the judgment or the probation decision by the HU authorities and provides proof of close family, cultural or economic connections with Hungary.	HU will not apply Article 10(1) of the FD.				YES 14288/13 9720/16



**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	(poss.) Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
IRELAND	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 23 September 2019	The Minister for Justice and Equality is the competent authority where IE is either the issuing or executing State.  The Circuit Court, Central Criminal Court or the High Court could also be the competent authority.  (see 5659/20, also for con-tact details)	IE does not undertake to supervise any sanctions or probation measures in addition to those referred in Article 4(1).	The IE competent authority may consent to the forwarding of a judgment in a case where the sentenced person is not lawfully and ordinarily residing in IE if the person is an Irish citizen, or in the opinion of the Minister for Justice and Equality, the person has close ties with the State and it is in the interests of the person's social rehabilitation to do so.	IE will not apply paragraph 10(1) on double criminality.	IE as an executing State will not assume responsibility for subsequent decisions where a sentenced person is on conditional release and in the issuing state a judicial hearing must be held before revoking the decision made on their conditional release.	Irish English		YES 5659/20

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
ITALY	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 29 March 2016	IT as issuing State: public prosecutor IT as executing State: court of appeal		Forwarding to the competent authority of a member State other than the member State where the sentenced person is lawfully and ordinarily residing is allowed, on condition that this latter authority gives its consent.			Italian		YES 5919/17
LATVIA	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 1 July 2012	A "municipal (district) court" Central authority is Min. of Justice					Latvian		YES 14271/12 14363/13

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

<b>Member State</b>	<b>State/date of implementation of Framework Decision</b>	<b>Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)</b>	<b>(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.</b>
LITHUANIA	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 1 April 2015	- LT as issuing State: District courts - LT as executing State: District courts and Probation services See for more information 12827/15.	LT will recognise and execute the probation measures and alternative sanctions referred to in Article 4(1) only.	In the cases referred to in Article 5(2), the LT court will generally agree to take over the execution of the measure if the sentenced person is studying, working or has been granted an employment contract in LT or if a family member of the sentenced person is resident in LT or if there are other compelling reasons for taking over the execution of the alternative sanction or probation measure.	LT will not apply Article 10(1).		Lithuanian		YES 12827/15

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
LUXEMBOURG	Implemented. Entry into force: .... 2015 (law of 12 April 2015)		(No additional types of measures. If a new measure is added to the list, LU will communicate that to the GSC)	LU will forward a request to the competent authorities of a MS other than that in which the sentenced person is ordinarily residing, on condition that  -the sentenced person has requested that, and  - the authority of that State has consented to such forwarding.			French German English	(LU will notify the GSC and COM of the conclusion of any agreement or arrangement with another MS)	YES 6749/1/20 REV 1
<b>MALTA</b>									

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

<b>Member State</b>	<b>State/date of implementation of Framework Decision</b>	<b>Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)</b>	<b>(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.</b>
NETHERLANDS	Implemented. Entry into force: 1 November 2012	Public Prosecutor in Haarlem.	Decision on electronic supervision.		NL will not apply Art. 10(1) of the FD.	In certain cases, NL will refuse to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions referred to in Art. 14(1)(b) and (c), see 13964/12.	Dutch English		YES 13964/12

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
POLAND	Implemented.	- PL as issuing State: District or Regional Courts - PL as executing State: Regional Courts with local jurisdiction - PL as exec. State in Art. 5(2) cases : Regional Court of Warsaw.		Where the PL authorities deem that this would better serve the educational or preventive aims of the sanction.	PL will not apply Art.10(1).	PL as an executing State will refuse assuming the responsibility provided for in Art. 14(1)(b) and (c) in the cases mentioned in Art. 14(3)(a) and (b).	Polish		YES 7600/12

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
PORTUGAL	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 17 December 2015	PT as issuing State: the public prosecutor's office.  PT as executing State: the court of appeal.  The authority competent for the execution of the judgment imposing sanctions other than custodial sentence is the local court.  The authority competent for the execution of the probation decision and the supervision of probation measures is the		The PT authority may forward the judgment or decision imposing sanctions other than a custodial sentence and the judgment or probation decision to the competent authority of the MS in which the sentenced person is lawfully and ordinarily residing, if the sentenced person has returned or intends to return to that MS.  The PT authority may also, upon request of the sentenced person, forward the judgment or decision imposing					YES 7858/20

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
		court for enforcement of sentences.		sanctions other than a custodial sentence and the judgment or probation decision to a competent authority of a MS other than the MS in which the sentenced person is lawfully and ordinarily residing, on condition that this latter authority has consented to such forwarding.					



**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
ROMANIA	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 26 December 2013	RO as executing state: district court. (Probation service supervises compliance with recognised probation measures or alternative sanctions.) RO as issuing state: court (that delivered at first instance the judgment of which recognition is sought). When the judgment was delivered by the High Court of	Where it is the executing state, RO monitors probation measures and alternative sanctions as referred to in Article 4(1)	RO authorities can recognize judgments and probation decisions not only when the convicted person is a Romanian national and he/she lives or is going to live in Romania, but also in cases when the convicted person is not a Romanian national, but he/she either is a resident of Romania or one of his/her family members is a Romanian national or resident, or he/she proves that he/she is going to engage in a professional	Romania will make use of the possibility granted by paragraph (3), therefore the recognition of the judgment or probation decision will be subject to a double criminality check	In cases when, after a judgment or a probation decision is recognized, the convicted person fails to comply with the supervision measures or the alternative sanction, or commits a new offence during the probation period, if the foreign decision referred to conditional release or an alternative sanction, without expressly mentioning the	Romanian		YES 5681/14 6662/20

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

<b>Member State</b>	<b>State/date of implementation of Framework Decision</b>	<b>Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)</b>	<b>(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.</b>
		Cassation and Justice, competence lies with Bucharest District Court.		activity, studying or training in Romania		custodial sentence which is to be imposed in this case, Romania will not assume jurisdiction and the issuing state will be given the competence to revoke the sanction			

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.
SLOVAKIA	Implemented. Entry into force: 1 February 2012	- SK as an issuing State: The court that issued the decision.  - SK as an executing State: The district court in whose area or jurisdiction the sentenced person ordinarily resides.		Upon request of the sentenced person, the court may forward the judgment and, where applicable, the probation decision to a competent authority of a MS other than the MS in which the sentenced person ordinarily resides, on condition that the executing judicial authority gives its consent. The execution of a decision may be transferred to only one MS at a time			Slovak.  In respect of the Czech Republic: also in Czech. (doc.: 6883/14)	Special arrangement with CZ, see 11323/15.	YES 5314/14 See also 6883/14 and 11323/15

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

<b>Member State</b>	<b>State/date of implementation of Framework Decision</b>	<b>Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)</b>	<b>(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.</b>
SLOVENIA	Implemented. Entry into force: 20 September 2013	SI as issuing and executing state:  District courts which are territorially competent, depending on the residence of the person concerned. If the competent court cannot be determined, the competent court is the District Court in Ljubljana				SI will refuse to assume responsibility for the subsequent decisions referred to in Article 14(1)(b) and (c) of the Framework Decision in two cases (see doc 5473/14).	Slovenian English		YES 5473/14

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

<b>Member State</b>	<b>State/date of implementation of Framework Decision</b>	<b>Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)</b>	<b>(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)</b>	<b>(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)</b>	<b>Notification re Article 25 (Implementation) + Doc nr.</b>
SPAIN	Implemented. Entry into force of legislation: 21 November 2014	- ES as issuing state: The competent judge or court - ES as executing state: The Central Criminal Court, or the Central Juvenile Court Judge		The conditions contained in Article 101(2)(b) of the Spanish Law 23/2014 should be fulfilled.  See 8716/15.		ES declares that the issuing authority, rather than the Spanish Court responsible for enforcement, will be competent for subsequent decisions in relation to the three scenarios set out in Article 14(3).			YES 8716/15

**Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgements and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions**

Member State	State/date of implementation of Framework Decision	Notification re Article 3(1) (Competent Authorities)	(poss.) Notification re Article 4(2) (Additional types of probation measures and alternative sanctions)	Notification re Article 5(4) (Conditions of forwarding a judgment / probation decision)	(poss.) Declaration re Article 10(4) (Double criminality)	(poss.) Notification re Article 14(3) (refusal to assume responsibility for subsequent decisions)	(poss.) Notification re Article 21 (Acceptance of certificate being drawn up in other languages)	(poss.) Notification re Article 23 (Other agreements and arrangements)	Notification re Article 25 (Implementation)  + Doc nr.
SWEDEN	Implemented. Entry into force: 1 January 2016	- SE as issuing State: 1) Prison and Probation Service 2) Parole boards and ordinary courts - SE as executing State: Prison and Probation Service. (details provided)	SE will not supervise any sanctions or probation measures in addition to those referred to in Article 4(1).	SE authorities may consent to a decision being forwarded to Sweden if the social rehabilitation of the sentenced person is facilitated by the fact that enforcement is transferred to Sweden and is also appropriate.		SE as an executing State will in no case assume jurisdiction to take subsequent decisions as referred to in Article 14(1)(b) and (c).	Swedish Danish Norwegian English		YES 5221/16