

Brussels, 4 March 2022 (OR. en)

5769/1/21 REV 1

COPEN 49 ENFOPOL 36 ENV 54 CRIMORG 9 JAI 88 CATS 8

## **NOTE**

From:	Portuguese Delegation
To:	Delegations
Subject:	8th Round of Mutual Evaluations - 'The practical implementation and operation of European policies on preventing and combating Environmental Crime'
	Follow-up to the Report on Portugal

As a follow-up to each Round of Mutual evaluations, each Member-State is requested to inform the General Secretariat of the Council of the actions it has taken on the recommendations given to it.

A follow-up report should be submitted within 18 months from the adoption of the evaluation report concerned.

Delegations will find in the Annex the revised follow-up report of Portugal regarding the recommendations that were made in the report ST 9098/19 for the Eighth Round of Mutual Evaluations.

5769/1/21 REV 1 MB/ns
JAI.B

## EIGHTH ROUND OF MUTUAL EVALUATIONS ON ENVIRONMENTAL CRIME -

## FOLLOW-UP TO REPORT ON THE PORTUGUESE REPUBLIC

In the context of the eighth round of Mutual Evaluations on "the practical implementation and operation of the European polices on preventing and combating environmental crime". The evaluation report on THE PORTUGUESE REPUBLIC as set out in doc. ST 09098/19, from the 8<sup>th</sup> of May 2019, was adopted by the LEWP/COPEN WP on the 14<sup>th</sup> of June 2019. We hereby submit, according the procedures set out in doc. 15538/4/15, our report on the follow-up to the recommendations made to THE PORTUGUESE REPUBLIC on the above evaluation report.

## Follow-up report on recommendations made to Portugal:

**Recommendation n. 1** – "is encouraged to review its system of administrative penalties, the grounds for their application and its capacity for a more efficient enforcement of final decisions (of criminal and administrative penalties)"

**Portuguese Comments and developments**: Law 50/2006 of 29 <sup>th</sup> August (Framework Law on Environmental Offences), already referred in the Report, was amended by Law 25/2019 of 26 <sup>th</sup> March 2019. In particular, we refer to the amendment to Article 18 of the LQCA, "Right of Access", which, although with exceptions, has, as a general rule, in its no. 1 "The procedures of environmental inspection and surveillance should not be preceded by communication or notification to the entities concerned or to those responsible for the facilities or places to be inspected".

This amendment coupled with the provisions of Article 42 of the Framework Law on Environmental Offences, "cautionary seizure", that allows the seizing for evidentiary and/or precautionary purposes, will lead to greater effectiveness in detecting irregularities and, consequently, to strengthening the investigation process, particularly as regards the evidence. So, as we know, if the evidence is strong, it will make the whole conviction procedure more easy and secure and will strengthens the capacity for more effective enforcement of final decisions.

IGAMAOT established internal criteria to support the inspection application of Article 279-A of the Penal Code, in terms of transboundary movements of waste. APA (coordinator), PGR, IGAMAOT and PJ are also developing a document with a technical- scientific methodology for the identification of substantial environmental damage on water resources, that could also allow a differentiation between administrative offense and environmental crime.

After obtaining the results of this methodology, it is intended to reconsider the extension of the development of the identification of environmental damage and differentiation between administrative offense and environmental crime to other areas such as the control of atmospheric emissions, waste management, and or other components environmental issues.

The National Republican Guard (GNR) as the national environmental police through SEPNA as law enforcement authority, being in this sense the entity with the highest number of environmental crimes and contraordinations recorded in Portugal contributed to a greater effectiveness of the final decisions that culminate in sanctions for offenders, even though it is believed that there may be more administrative convictions.

Seeking greater efficiency and effectiveness in law enforcement, the Public Security Police (PSP) has increased the number of enforcement ations carried out by itself or in collaboration with the administrative authorities and has also participated in various legislative processes and integrated working groups to adapt Portuguese law to European law.

**Recommendation n. 2 -** "is recommended to continue working on collecting more comprehensive and integrated statistics comprising environmental crime and administrative violations so that the development of this phenomenon can be made more visible,"

Portuguese Comments and developments: Much of the data referred is contained in the Annual Internal Security Report, a document prepared based on information collected and centralized by DGPJ, through the results obtained from disaggregated and detailed information submitted by each of the bodies and services of law enforcement considered for the preparation of the report. However, these results are silent regarding the activity of other entities, with emphasis on the matter in question, namely IGAMAOT, APA, ICNF and DGRM¹. Thus, the creation of a platform that aggregates all data related to the activity of monitoring and combating environmental crime is being studied.

Regarding the collection and compilation of statistical data, GNR through SEPNA, being the entity with the highest number of environmental offences and crimes recorded in Portugal, offered to collect and centralise all the statistics related to environmental crimes. Similarly, the PSP also has a national system in which are recorded all criminal and administrative offences, monitoring their status until their final dispatch to the competent judicial and administrative authorities.

With regard to criminal proceedings at the investigation stage, improvements have already been implemented in the support system for the processing of cases in the courts of the 1st instance in order to be able to collect and disseminate disaggregated information, by type of crime (in particular with regard to environmental crimes). Data quality analyses are under way with a view to assessing the possibility that such data may be disseminated in the future. Data on procedural species corresponding to administrative appeals are already collected. In order to also ensure the collection of data characterizing these appeals with regard to the type of infringement in question, in particular those of an environmental nature, a detailed analysis of the changes to be made to the system to support the processing of proceedings in the courts of the 1st instance was carried out in order to enable the production of indicators with this degree of disaggregation. This analysis is now under political discussion.

<sup>1</sup> Directorate-General for Natural Resources, Safety and Maritime Services

\_

In addition, IGAMAOT has adopted an information management system, which allows collecting information on the environmental offenses applied and the criminal investigation processes delegated by the Public Ministry to IGAMAOT. IGAMAOT is also working on an IT Platform with the register of all national environmental administrative offenses, sanctioning and preventive measures, and court decisions, according to articles 62° to 68° of the Law 50/2006 of 29 th August (Framework Law on Environmental Offences).

**Recommendation n. 3 -** "should encourage prosecutors and judges to play a more active role at EU level, in particular by joining the ENPE and the EUFJE,"

**Portuguese Comments and developments**: The Public Prosecution Service is a member of ENPE since April 2019, taking part in its activities and disseminating the respective information and work documents, on a regular basis, internally and, where appropriate, externally. Additionally, the High Judicial Council in articulation with the following contacts with IGAMAOT and EUFJE decided, in February 2019, to inform directly the Judges of the Judicial Judiciary of the EUFJE registration form and statutes in order to, if they wish, personally, made their accession to the EUFJE.

**Recommendation n. 4** – "should enhance its training capacity to encompass a wide variety of practitioners involved in fighting environmental crime (such as law enforcement authority officers, prosecutors and judges)"

**Portuguese Comments and developments**: In 2019, PSP organised two theoretical and practical courses (55 trainees) on Environmental Protection, in which also participated public attorneys and police officers from the National Police (Spain), Maritime Police (Portugal) and Federal Police (Brazil). PSP is also developing an informal cooperation of training and research with the University of Évora and the Project "Life Lines", for the protection of native wildlife. In 2020 and 2021, despite the constraints caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, the PSP promoted several online and face-to-face awareness and training actions, including in the field of handling reptiles and waste (as a result of recent amendments to the law).

At the same time, a partnership has been established with the Animal Rights Studies Nucleus of the Faculty of Law of the University of Lisbon to promote knowledge sharing, both for practical application and to suggest possible amendments to existing legislation. In addition, GNR/SEPNA has promoted several coordination meetings, inspection and training actions involving enforcement authority officers, prosecutors and judges in order to guarantee a more effective coordination in the fight against environmental crime.

Considering that in environmental crime, the fight against forest fires is a national goal, GNR has been conducting several courses since 2017 to investigate forest fire crime, ensuring the specialization of researchers.

With regard to the Judicial Police (PJ), this subject is included in the initial training – Trainee Inspectors' Course – as well as in training for Criminal Investigation Coordinators.

Similarly, given that the crime of "Pollution with Common Danger" falls within the investigative competence of the PJ, there is the need to implement training actions specifically targeted to the needs of professionals. Due to the constraints arising from the pandemic, these training actions have not yet been carried out over, these trainings will be promoted as soon as possible. To this end, contacts have already been made with IGAMAOT and a collaboration protocol was established in this area.

On 11 <sup>th</sup> February 2021, IGAMAOT and Judiciary Police (PJ) signed a join <u>protocol</u> to share experiences and cooperation in the area of environmental crimes. Under this Protocol, PJ and IGAMAOT shall, pursuant to their attributions and competences:

- (i) Collaborate in the prevention and detection of crimes and well as in criminal investigation;
- (ii) Implement technical ongoing cooperation, through the exchange of information and knowledge;
- (iii) Perform exams, gather evidence and perform other diligences, whenever necessary;
- (iv) Cooperate in the training and exchange of knowledge and experiences through PJ School.

The High Judicial Council, over the last two years, has provided training actions, at the request of national and international entities, by the judicial magistrates, inviting them to participate in them. Since the publication of the last evaluation report, the Centre for Judicial Studies (CEJ) has provided specialized training on environmental issues, through its annual training plans. There were two training actions between 2019 and 2020 (Protection of diffuse interests and Urbanism and the Environment) and one initiative is planned for 2020/2021 (Environment and Protection of Habitats).

In addition, IGAMAOT through signed protocols in 2014/2015, consolidated cooperation, under PGR direction, in many concrete case investigations. Close collaboration with PGR, includes the protocol signed with IMPEL National Network. The IMPEL National Network includes other authorities, namely APA, the Commission of Coordination and Regional Development (CCDR), GNR and PSP. In 2021 PJ also integrated this Network.

For a more effective articulation with IGAMAOT, PGR issued the Instruction n.º 1/2019, from 20 th march 2019, that ensures articulation mechanisms, especially in procedures during judicial appeals of environmental contraventions.

Working sessions between the Prosecutor General's Office/Central Department of State Litigation and Collective and Diffuse Interests (PGR/DCCEIDC) and IGAMAOT, on waste and spatial planning have been agreed upon (suspended due to the pandemic), for knowledge sharing and technical discussion. In 2021, PGR and IGAMAOT organized an event, concerning wastes, with 250 participants.

The Public Prosecution Service has impressed upon CEJ the importance and need of having training contents dedicated to environmental topics included in the initial and ongoing training of judges sitting in courts of law and of Public Prosecutors, so as to increase the ability to understand and to respond to environmental crimes, be it in the administrative offences field, be it in the criminal field.

In the context of the project "Waste Force - Waste Enforcement Forensics and Capacity Building"<sup>2</sup>, IGAMAOT, as beneficiary, with CEJ and PGR, carried out a training in 2019, which aimed to boost the activities and capacities of the national authorities involved in combating illegal waste trade and management, including APA, CCDR, AT, GNR, PSP, with international participants and trainers from IMPEL, ENPE and EUFJE.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The WasteForce project is funded by the European Union's Internal Security Fund — Police (ISFP/2017/AG/ENV/821345)

In October 2021, a First Conference on Environmental Law of the Setúbal District was held in Setúbal, organised by the Setubal County Court, with the collaboration of IGAMAOT and ICNF, with the aim to disseminate, promote and discuss issues related to Environmental Law.

**Recommendation n. 5** – "should strengthen its capacity to fight environmental crime more effectively by establishing a formal and permanent platform at national level for cooperation and for coordinating the work of the relevant authorities, including public prosecutors, and should enhance the exchange of information between the competent authorities,"

**Portuguese Comments and developments**: Following recommendations by INTERPOL, resulting from a resolution taken at the INTERPOL Centennial Summit, in November 2014, in Monaco, GNR through SEPNA suggested the creation of a national structure called the National Environmental Security Taskforce (NEST) that aims to promote the articulation between the services and bodies with responsibilities in matters related to environmental crime and crime against nature, as well as to provide a forum for discussion on these matters in order to support the Government in the definition and evaluation of instruments to fight environmental crime.

This group envisages its organization based on an Executive Committee, providing in its composition several entities suggesting the GNR/SEPNA as coordinating entity whose capillarity and proximity to the sectorial entities is of great significance, bearing in mind that it is up to GNR to ensure compliance with the legal and regulatory provisions regarding the protection and conservation of nature and the environment, placing it in a unique position to assume such responsibilities. GNR expresses its readiness for the creation and coordination of NEST, considering that it can greatly benefit from all interinstitutional cooperation in the fight against environmental crimes.

At European level the AMBITUS project, of which GNR is the national focal point, aims to build a system similar to NEST, GNR believes it can guarantee a short-term solution.

At the level of the Ministry of the Environment, a Working Group was created with the purpose to specifically combat criminal and administrative offences related to Waste from Electric and Electronic Equipments (WEEE's), with the participation of several entities including the security forces.

IGAMAOT considers the importance of a coordinating platform which aggregates the entities with responsibilities in the implementation of the environmental law - including administrative entities, judicial authorities and law enforcement authorities, commissioned to promote actions aimed at harmonising criteria and practices at national level, in conjunction with international counterparts, to ensure information sharing and sustained collaboration in the deployment, investigation and effective repression of environmental illicits. Some actions in this direction are already in place, namely an informal working group under IMPEL National Network, and more recently a subgroup with APA (coordinator), PGR, IGAMAOT and PJ that are developing a document with a technical-scientific methodology for the identification of substantial environmental damage on water resources, that could also allow a differentiation between administrative offense and environmental crime.

In addition, we inform that the Public Prosecution Service is a member of IMPEL National Network, set up and operating under the auspices of IGAMAOT.

**Recommendation n. 6** – "is encouraged to invest in the focal point established by the GNR and to extend it to a platform supporting and stimulating a multidisciplinary approach towards environmental crime, and to make the focal point a more active partner at international level (e.g. at Interpol, Europol and EU level),"

**Portuguese Comments and developments:** As a National Contact Point for environmental crime, GNR will continue to play an important role in the coordination of EMPACT activities during the next cycle and will maintain its action of coordination and organization of international operations aimed at combating environmental crime. At the same time, the centralisation of various requests related to environmental crime within GNR has been increased with the other Security Forces.

**Recommendation n. 7 -** "should establish multiannual programmes/plans setting out a clear and realistic approach to be taken by the law enforcement authorities and environmental agencies fighting environmental crime, and to issue annual reports on enforcement at national level,"

Portuguese Comments and developments: IGAMAOT, APA and CCDR stablished annually National Plans for Environmental Inspection and Monitoring - PNFIA, which in terms of inspection (IGAMAOT) includes the priorities defined on environmental crime. In 2020, the Directorate-General for Energy and Geology (DGEG) was also integrated in PNFIA. Environmental inspections from IGAMAOT cover environmental legislation, the selection of targets is carried out based on risk analyses, and include participation in programs and projects to prevent and combat environmental crime, also across borders, namely the EMPACT and IMPEL - Transfrontiers Shipments of Waste (TFS and SWEAP) operational actions, and also participates in the international "30 Days of Action" and "30 Days of Sea" operations organized by INTERPOL.

In addition, a close relationship with the Public Ministry was promoted, within the scope of Protocols signed between IGAMAOT and the Prosecutor General's Office, in matters related to criminal investigation and administrative offenses.

The Prosecutor General's Office, following the publication of Law No 55/2020 of 27 th August 2020, which «Defines the aims, priorities and criminal policy guidelines for the biennium 2020-2022, in compliance with Law No 17/2006 of 23 rd May 2006, which approves the Criminal Policy Framework Law», as well as of previous self-binding instruments, issued Directive No. 1/2021 that drew a distinction between segments of environmental crime in conformity with national and international guidelines in order to foster better articulation with international fora and with national areas of action (PNFIA). This Directive is available. and inspection plans https://www.ministeriopublico.pt/iframe/diretivas, highlighting the point "P) Forest fire crime and crimes against the environment and trafficking in protected species."

The Directive 1/2021 from PGR, underlines, concerning methodology, the need to concentrate the investigation within a nucleus of prosecutors to reach specialization; the core competences of IGAMAOT as the police authority with criminal competences to support investigations; the convenience of the constitution of mixed investigation teams; the convenience of internal articulation between different jurisdictions within the Public Prosecution Service; and the cooperation between police authorities.

GNR/SEPNA as environmental police, with powers to monitor, supervise, report and investigate all breaches of legislation aimed at protecting nature, the environment and the natural heritage, throughout the national territory, in addition to routine monitoring and investigation actions, has a number of thematic operations relating to the environment and nature conservation planned in order to address the most problematic spectrum of illicit environmental offences. Similarly, PSP performs, on its own or in collaboration with the administrative authorities, national, regional and local operations and inspections in areas such as waste, polluting emissions or protected species.

**Recommendation n. 8** – "is recommended to work on guidelines clarifying the existing legislation applicable for fighting environmental crime, focusing on the terms used therein, such as 'substantial damage', or 'organised crime', for easy use by the law enforcement authorities and environmental agencies,"

**Portuguese Comments and developments**: As already mentioned in the Report, Article 279(6) of the Penal Code covers the densification of what is the 'substantial damage' and Article 299(5), the meaning of 'criminal association'. However, in the area of environmental crime and clarification of the concepts of the existing law, there is no single aggregating instrument, with clarifications of the particularly applicable legislation, the truth is that there are a number of guiding instruments, in particular case law.

Thus, within the framework of the case-law, the judgment of the Oporto Court of Appeal of 9 April 2014 expressly refers to the substantial damage: "(...) damage must be "substantial" (within the concept defined by the law itself), in such a way that they can be reflected either on collective or individual rights, affecting social well-being in substance.'; "As a crime of concrete danger other than a crime of common danger, it is sufficient to fill in such elements merely to endanger the legal assets mentioned therein, regardless of the occurrence of a danger situation for an undifferentiated and indeterminate number of objects of action sustained by legal property".

With regard to the concept of "criminal association", the jurisprudence is relatively extensive, standing out, as examples:

- Judgment of the Coimbra Court of Appeal of 27 <sup>th</sup> November 2013: "The crime of criminal association requires the congregation of three essential elements: an organisational element, an element of associative stability and an element of criminal purpose;
  It is consummated with the founding of the association for the purpose of committing crimes, or in relation to non-founding associates with subsequent accession, the agent being punished independently of the crimes committed by the associates and in royal competition with them.'
- Judgment of the Court of Appeal in Évora of 11 <sup>th</sup> October 2016: 'For the existence of the crime of criminal association (...), there must be a plurality of individuals, with the minimum organizational structure and with a common sense of attachment of its members to any process of formation of the collective will; Thus, this crime occurs when two or more people decided to create a permanent, organised and stable structure with a view to committing themselves to crime (...), and the existence of any process of formation of collective will; ...'
- Judgment of the Court of Appeal of Coimbra of 14 <sup>th</sup> December 2017: "I Crime of criminal association requires the congregation to: an organizational element; an element of associative stability, and an element of criminal purpose II depending on what the association is to: last in time (even if not determined in order to achieve its criminal end); have a minimum organizational structure that gives a certain stability; to highlight a process of formation of collective will; to show a common sense of connection to an autonomous reality. III There is a group of people who come together to commit various crimes against the heritage, who plan and execute them in a given period of time, but where there is neither a leader nor a command structure and a process of formation of the collective will we are facing a gang".

Beside this, as already mentioned, work is ongoing on the definition of a technical-scientific methodology for differentiation of substantial damage that could allow to distinguish between administrative offences and environmental crimes concerning pollution of water resources. These guiding documents could be used by environmental authorities, namely at the moment when an infringement is noticed.

**Recommendation n. 9** – "is encouraged to improve IGAMAOT's inspection efficiency by strengthening the human resources earmarked for that purpose,"

**Portuguese Comments and developments**: For the performance of inspection actions, IGAMAOT reinforced its human resources, with Senior Officers and Inspectors, through: (inter)career mobility and opening of internal recruitment procedures.

**Recommendation n. 10** – "should consider designating specialised prosecutors and facilitating their work by establishing a network for the exchange of knowledge and experience."

**Portuguese Comments and developments**: The Prosecutor General's Office, following the publication of Law No 55/2020 of 27 <sup>th</sup> August 2020 which «Defines the aims, priorities and criminal policy guidelines for the biennium 2020-2022, in compliance with Law No 17/2006 of 23 <sup>rd</sup> May 2006, which approves the Criminal Policy Framework Law», as well as of previous self-binding instruments, issued Directive 1/2021, with a view to a concentrated distribution of criminal investigations to certain magistrates, in order to promote the specialization of knowledge, the interlocution with supervisory bodies and the creation of a national network of prosecutors in environmental crime, as is clear from point P) ii of the Directive, already mentioned in the response to Recommendation 7.