



Brussels, 25 March 2022
(OR. en)

7349/22

Interinstitutional File:
2020/0267(COD)

CODEC 320
EF 84
ECOFIN 242
PE 26

INFORMATION NOTE

From: General Secretariat of the Council
To: Permanent Representatives Committee/Council
Subject: Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on a pilot regime for market infrastructures based on distributed ledger technology
- Outcome of the European Parliament's first reading
(Brussels, 23 to 24 March 2022)

I. INTRODUCTION

In accordance with the provisions of Article 294 of the TFEU and the Joint declaration on practical arrangements for the codecision procedure¹, a number of informal contacts have taken place between the Council, the European Parliament and the Commission with a view to reaching an agreement on this file at first reading.

In this context, the rapporteur, Johan VAN OVERTVELDT (ECR, BE) presented a compromise amendment (amendment number 2) to the abovementioned proposal for a Regulation on behalf of the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs. This amendment had been agreed during the informal contacts referred to above.

¹ OJ C 145, 30.6.2007, p. 5.

II. VOTE

When it voted on 24 March 2022, the plenary adopted the compromise amendment (amendment number 2) to the abovementioned proposal for a Regulation. The Commission's proposal as thus amended constitutes the Parliament's first-reading position which is contained in its legislative resolution as set out in the Annex hereto².

The Parliament's position reflects what had been previously agreed between the institutions. The Council should therefore be in a position to approve the Parliament's position.

The act would then be adopted in the wording which corresponds to the Parliament's position.

² The version of the Parliament's position in the legislative resolution has been marked up to indicate the changes made by the amendments to the Commission's proposal. Additions to the Commission's text are highlighted in ***bold and italics***. The symbol "█" indicates deleted text.

P9_TA(2022)0088

Pilot regime for market infrastructures based on distributed ledger technology
*****I**

European Parliament legislative resolution of 24 March 2022 on the proposal for a regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council on a pilot regime for market infrastructures based on distributed ledger technology (COM(2020)0594 – C9-0305/2020 – 2020/0267(COD))

(Ordinary legislative procedure: first reading)

The European Parliament,

- having regard to the Commission proposal to Parliament and the Council (COM(2020)0594),
- having regard to Article 294(2) and Article 114 of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, pursuant to which the Commission submitted the proposal to Parliament (C9-0305/2020),
- having regard to Article 294(3) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
- Having regard to the opinion of the European Central Bank of 28 April 2021³,
- Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee of 24 February 2021⁴,
- having regard to the provisional agreement approved by the committee responsible under Rule 74(4) of its Rules of Procedure and the undertaking given by the Council representative by letter of 21 December 2021 to approve Parliament's position, in accordance with Article 294(4) of the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union,
- having regard to Rule 59 of its Rules of Procedure,
- having regard to the report of the Committee on Economic and Monetary Affairs (A9-0240/2021),
 1. Adopts its position at first reading hereinafter set out;
 2. Calls on the Commission to refer the matter to Parliament again if it replaces, substantially amends or intends to substantially amend its proposal;
 3. Instructs its President to forward its position to the Council, the Commission and the national parliaments.

³ OJ C 244, 22.6.2021, p. 4.

⁴ OJ C 155, 30.4.2021, p. 31.

Position of the European Parliament adopted at first reading on 24 March 2022 with a view to the adoption of Regulation (EU) 2022/... of the European Parliament and of the Council on a pilot regime for market infrastructures based on distributed ledger technology, and amending Regulations (EU) No 600/2014 and (EU) No 909/2014 and Directive 2014/65/EU

(Text with EEA relevance)

THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,

Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 114 thereof,

Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,

After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Central Bank⁵,

Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee⁶,

Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure⁷,

Whereas:

⁵ OJ C 244, 22.6.2021, p. 4.

⁶ OJ C 155, 30.4.2021, p. 31.

⁷ Position of the European Parliament of 24 March 2022.

(1) ***It is important*** to ensure that Union financial services legislation is fit for the digital age and contributes to a future-proof economy that works for citizens, including by enabling the use of innovative technologies. The Union has a policy interest in ***exploring***, developing and promoting the uptake of transformative technologies in the financial sector, ***including*** the uptake of distributed ledger technology (DLT). Crypto-assets are one of the main applications of distributed ledger technology in the financial sector.

(2) Most crypto-assets fall outside the scope of Union financial services legislation and create challenges in terms of, among other things, investor protection, market integrity, **energy consumption** and financial stability. Such crypto-assets therefore require a dedicated regulatory framework at Union level. By contrast, other crypto-assets qualify as financial instruments within the meaning of Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council⁸. Insofar as crypto-assets qualify as financial instruments under that Directive, a full set of Union financial services legislation, including Regulations (EU) No 236/2012⁹, (EU) No 596/2014¹⁰, (EU) No 909/2014¹¹ and (EU) 2017/1129¹² and Directives 98/26/EC¹³ and 2013/50/EU¹⁴ of the European Parliament and of the Council potentially apply to issuers of such crypto-assets and to firms conducting activities related to such crypto-assets.

⁸ Directive 2014/65/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on markets in financial instruments and amending Directive 2002/92/EC and Directive 2011/61/EU (OJ L 173, 12.6.2014, p. 349).

⁹ Regulation (EU) No 236/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 March 2012 on short selling and certain aspects of credit default swaps (OJ L 86, 24.3.2012, p. 1).

¹⁰ Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 April 2014 on market abuse (market abuse regulation) and repealing Directive 2003/6/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Commission Directives 2003/124/EC, 2003/125/EC and 2004/72/EC (OJ L 173, 12.6.2014, p. 1).

¹¹ Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 July 2014 on improving securities settlement in the European Union and on central securities depositories and amending Directives 98/26/EC and 2014/65/EU and Regulation (EU) No 236/2012 (OJ L 257, 28.8.2014, p. 1).

¹² Regulation (EU) 2017/1129 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 June 2017 on the prospectus to be published when securities are offered to the public or admitted to trading on a regulated market, and repealing Directive 2003/71/EC (OJ L 168, 30.6.2017, p. 12).

¹³ Directive 98/26/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 May 1998 on settlement finality in payment and securities settlement systems (OJ L 166, 11.6.1998, p. 45).

¹⁴ Directive 2013/50/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October 2013 amending Directive 2004/109/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the harmonisation of transparency requirements in relation to information about issuers whose securities are admitted to trading on a regulated market, Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council on the prospectus to be published when securities are offered to the public or admitted to trading and Commission Directive 2007/14/EC laying down detailed rules for the implementation of certain provisions of Directive 2004/109/EC (OJ L 294, 6.11.2013, p. 13).

(3) The so-called ‘tokenisation’ of financial instruments, that is to say, the *digital representation of financial instruments on distributed ledgers or the issuance of traditional asset classes in tokenised form* to enable them to be issued, stored and transferred on a distributed ledger, is expected to open up opportunities for efficiency improvements in the [redacted] trading and post-trading process. *However, as fundamental trade-offs involving credit risk and liquidity remain in a tokenised world, the success of token-based systems will depend on how well they interact with traditional account-based systems, at least in the interim.*

(4) Union financial services legislation was not designed with distributed ledger technology and crypto-assets in mind, and contains provisions that potentially preclude or limit the use of distributed ledger technology in the issuance, trading and settlement of crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments. *Currently, there is also a lack of authorised financial market infrastructures which use distributed ledger technology to provide trading or settlement services, or a combination of such services, for crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments. The development of a secondary market for such crypto-assets could bring multiple benefits, such as enhanced efficiency, transparency and competition in relation to trading and settlement activities.*

(5) At the same time, regulatory gaps exist due to legal, technological and operational specificities related to the use of distributed ledger technology and to crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments. For instance, there are no transparency, reliability or safety requirements imposed on the protocols and ‘smart contracts’ that underpin crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments. The underlying technology could also raise some novel forms of risk that are not adequately addressed by the existing rules. Several projects for the trading of crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments and related post-trading services and activities have been developed in the Union, but few are already in operation, and those that are in operation are of limited scale. *Furthermore, as highlighted by the European Central Bank’s (ECB) Advisory Group on Market Infrastructures for Securities and Collateral and its Advisory Group on Market Infrastructures for Payments, the use of distributed ledger technology would entail similar challenges to those faced by conventional technology, such as fragmentation and interoperability issues, and would potentially also create new issues, for instance in relation to the legal validity of tokens.* Given the limited experience as regards the trading of crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments and related post-trading services and activities, it is currently premature to significantly modify Union financial services legislation to enable the full deployment of such crypto-assets and their underlying technology. At the same time, the creation of financial market infrastructure for crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments is currently constrained by requirements embedded in Union financial services legislation that *are not* well suited to crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments or the use of distributed ledger technology. For instance, platforms for trading crypto-assets usually give direct access to retail investors, whereas traditional trading venues usually give access to retail investors only through financial intermediaries.

(6) In order to allow for the development of crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments and for the development *of* distributed ledger technology, while preserving a high level of investor protection, market integrity, financial stability and transparency, and *avoiding regulatory arbitrage and loopholes*, it would be useful to create a pilot regime for market infrastructures based on distributed ledger technology *to test* such DLT market infrastructures (the ‘pilot regime’). The pilot regime should allow for certain DLT market infrastructures to be temporarily exempted from some of the specific requirements of Union financial services legislation that could otherwise prevent operators from developing solutions for the trading and settlement of transactions in crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments, *without weakening any existing requirements or safeguards applied to traditional market infrastructures. DLT market infrastructures and their operators should have in place adequate safeguards related to the use of distributed ledger technology to ensure the effective protection of investors, including clearly defined chains of liability to clients for any losses due to operational failures*. The pilot regime should also enable the European Supervisory Authority (European Securities and Markets Authority) established by *Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council*¹⁵ (ESMA) and competent authorities to *draw lessons from the pilot regime and to gain experience of the opportunities and specific risks relating to* crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments and *to* their underlying technologies. *The experience gained with the pilot regime should help identify possible practical proposals for a suitable regulatory framework in order to make targeted adjustments to Union law as regards the issuance, safekeeping and asset servicing, trading and settlement of DLT financial instruments.*

¹⁵ *Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 24 November 2010 establishing a European Supervisory Authority (European Securities and Markets Authority), amending Decision No 716/2009/EC and repealing Commission Decision 2009/77/EC (OJ L 331, 15.12.2010, p. 84).*

(7) To meet the objectives of the pilot regime, a new Union status as DLT market infrastructure should be created *in order to ensure that the Union is able to play a leading role regarding financial instruments in tokenised form and to contribute to the development of a secondary market for such assets*. The status as DLT market infrastructure should be optional and should not prevent financial market infrastructures, such as trading venues, central securities depositories (CSDs) and central counterparties (CCPs), from developing trading and post-trading services and activities for crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments, or are based on distributed ledger technology, under existing Union financial services legislation.

(8) *DLT market infrastructures* should only admit to trading or record DLT *financial instruments on a* distributed ledger. DLT *financial instruments* should be crypto-assets that qualify as *financial instruments* and which are issued, transferred and stored on a distributed ledger.

(9) *Union legislation on financial services is intended to be neutral as regards the use of any particular technology over another. Therefore, references to a specific type of distributed ledger technology are to be avoided. Operators of DLT market infrastructures should ensure that they are able to comply with all applicable requirements, irrespective of the technology used.*

(10) *When applying this Regulation, the principles of technology neutrality, proportionality, the level playing field, and ‘same activity, same risks, same rules’ should be taken into account in order to ensure that market participants have the regulatory space to innovate, in order to uphold the values of transparency, fairness, stability, investor protection, accountability and market integrity, and in order to ensure the protection of privacy and personal data as guaranteed by Articles 7 and 8 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union.*

(11) *Access to the pilot regime should not be limited to incumbents but should also be open to new entrants. An entity that is not authorised under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 or Directive 2014/65/EU could apply for authorisation under that Regulation or under that Directive, respectively, and, simultaneously, for a specific permission under this Regulation. In such cases, the competent authority should not assess whether such an entity fulfils the requirements of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 or Directive 2014/65/EU in respect of which an exemption has been requested under this Regulation. Such entities should only be able to operate DLT market infrastructures in accordance with this Regulation, and their authorisation should be revoked once their specific permission has expired, unless the entities submit a complete request for authorisation under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 or under Directive 2014/65/EU.*

(12) The concept of DLT market infrastructure comprises DLT multilateral trading facilities (DLT MTF), *DLT settlement systems (DLT SS) and DLT trading and* settlement systems (DLT TSS). *DLT market infrastructures should be able to cooperate with other market participants in order to test innovative solutions based on distributed ledger technology in different segments of the value chain for financial services.*

(13) A DLT MTF should be a multilateral trading facility that is operated by an investment firm or a market operator authorised under Directive 2014/65/EU and [redacted] that has received a specific permission under this Regulation. A credit institution authorised under Directive 2013/36/EU that provides investment services or performs investment activities should only be allowed to operate a DLT MTF when authorised as an investment firm or market operator under Directive 2014/65/EU. [redacted] DLT MTFs **and their operators** should be subject to all requirements that apply to multilateral trading facilities **and their operators** under Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁶, under Directive 2014/65/EU or under any other **applicable Union** financial services legislation, except **for requirements in respect of which an exemption has been granted by the** competent authority in accordance with this Regulation [redacted].

¹⁶ Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 15 May 2014 on markets in financial instruments and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 (OJ L 173, 12.6.2014, p. 84).

(14) The use of distributed ledger technology, by which all transactions are recorded on a *distributed* ledger, can expedite and combine trading and settlement in near real-time and could enable the combination of trading and post-trading services and activities. However, the *combination of trading and post-trading activities within a single entity is not envisaged by the existing rules, irrespective of the technology used, due to policy choices related to risk specialisation and unbundling for the purposes of encouraging competition. The pilot regime should not be a precedent to justify a fundamental overhaul of the separation of trading and post-trading activities or of the landscape of financial market infrastructures. However, in view of the potential benefits of distributed ledger technology in terms of combining trading and settlement, it is justified to provide for a dedicated DLT market infrastructure in the pilot regime, namely, the DLT TSS, which combines the activities normally performed by multilateral trading facilities and securities settlement systems.*

(15) A DLT TSS should be either a DLT MTF that combines the services performed by a DLT MTF and by a DLT SS, and should be operated by an investment firm or market operator that has received a specific permission to operate a DLT TSS under this Regulation, or should be a DLT SS that combines the services performed by a DLT MTF and by a DLT SS, and should be operated by a CSD that has received a specific permission to operate a DLT TSS under this Regulation. A credit institution authorised under Directive 2013/36/EU that provides investment services or performs investment activities should only be allowed to operate a DLT TSS when authorised as an investment firm or market operator under Directive 2014/65/EU. An investment firm or market operator operating a DLT TSS should be subject to the requirements that apply to a DLT MTF, and a CSD operating a DLT TSS should be subject to the requirements that apply to a DLT SS. Since a DLT TSS would enable an investment firm or market operator also to provide settlement services, and would enable a CSD also to provide trading services, it is necessary that investment firms or market operators also comply with the requirements that apply to a DLT SS, and that CSDs comply with the requirements that apply to a DLT MTF. Since CSDs are not subject to certain authorisation and organisational requirements under Directive 2014/65/EU when providing investment services or activities in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, it is appropriate to take a similar approach in the pilot regime both for investment firms and market operators and for CSDs operating a DLT TSS. Therefore, an investment firm or market operator operating a DLT TSS should be exempted from a limited set of authorisation and organisational requirements under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, since the investment firm or market operator will be required to comply with the authorisation and organisational requirements under Directive 2014/65/EU. Conversely, a CSD operating a DLT TSS should be exempted from a limited set of authorisation and organisational requirements under Directive 2014/65/EU, since the CSD will be required to comply with the authorisation and organisational requirements under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014. Such exemptions should be temporary and should not apply to a DLT market infrastructure operating outside the pilot regime. ESMA should be able to assess technical standards on record-keeping and operational risks

adopted pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 with a view to ensuring that they are applied proportionately to investment firms or market operators operating a DLT TSS.

(16) *Operators of DLT TSSs should be able to request the same exemptions as those available to operators of DLT MTFs and of DLT SSs, provided that they comply with the conditions attached to the exemptions and with any compensatory measures required by the competent authorities. Considerations similar to those that apply to DLT MTFs and DLT SSs should apply to the exemptions that are available to DLT TSSs, to any conditions attached to those exemptions, and to compensatory measures .*

(17) *In order to provide for additional flexibility in the application of certain requirements of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 to investment firms or market operators operating a DLT TSS while ensuring a level playing field with CSDs providing settlement services under the pilot regime, certain exemptions from the requirements of that Regulation concerning measures to prevent and address settlement fails, from requirements for participation and transparency, and from requirements to use certain communication procedures with participants and other market infrastructures, should be available to CSDs operating a DLT SS or a DLT TSS, and to investment firms or market operators operating a DLT TSS. Those exemptions should be subject to conditions attached to them, including certain minimum requirements, and any compensatory measures required by the competent authority, in order to meet the objectives of the provisions of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 in respect of which an exemption is requested or in order to safeguard investor protection, market integrity or financial stability. The operator of a DLT TSS should demonstrate that the exemption requested is proportionate and justified by the use of distributed ledger technology.*

(18) A DLT SS should be a settlement system operated by a CSD authorised under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 that has received a specific permission to operate a DLT SS under this Regulation. A DLT SS, and the CSD which operates it, should be subject to *all* relevant requirements under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, *and any other applicable Union financial services legislation, except for requirements in respect of which an exemption has been granted* in accordance with this Regulation.

(19) *Where the ECB and national central banks, or other institutions run by Member States that perform similar functions, or other public bodies charged with or intervening in the management of public debt in the Union, operate a DLT SS, they should not be required to seek a specific permission from a competent authority in order to benefit from an exemption under this Regulation, since such entities are not required to report to competent authorities or to comply with their instructions and are subject to a limited set of requirements under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014.*

(20) *The creation of the pilot regime should be without prejudice to the tasks and responsibilities of the ECB and the national central banks in the European System of Central Banks, set out in the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union and in Protocol No 4 on the Statute of the European System of Central Banks and of the European Central Bank, to promote the smooth operation of payment systems and to ensure efficient and sound clearing and payment systems within the Union and with third countries.*

(21) *The assignment of supervisory responsibilities provided for in this Regulation is justified by the specific characteristics and risks of the pilot regime. Therefore, the supervisory architecture of the pilot regime should not be understood as setting a precedent for any future act of Union financial services legislation.*

(22) *Operators of DLT market infrastructures should be liable in the case of a loss of funds, of collateral or of a DLT financial instrument. The liability of the operator of a DLT market infrastructure should be limited to the market value of the asset lost as of the time when the loss was incurred. The operator of a DLT market infrastructure should not be liable for events that are not attributable to the operator, in particular any event that the operator demonstrates occurred independently of its operations, including problems arising as a result of an external event beyond its reasonable control.*

(23) In order to allow for innovation and experimentation in a sound regulatory environment while preserving *investor protection*, market integrity and financial stability, the types of *financial instrument* admitted to trading [or recorded on [a DLT *market infrastructure* should be limited to *shares, bonds, and units in collective investment undertakings that benefit from the execution-only exemption under Directive 2014/65/EU*. This Regulation should set value thresholds *that could be lowered in certain situations. In particular*, to avoid any risk to financial stability, the aggregate market value of DLT *financial instruments* admitted to trading [or recorded *on* a DLT market infrastructure [should be *limited*.

(24) In order to *move closer to* a level playing field for *financial instruments* admitted to trading on traditional trading venues within the meaning of Directive 2014/65/EU *and in order to ensure high levels of investor protection, market integrity and financial stability*, DLT *financial instruments* admitted to trading on a DLT MTF *or on a DLT TSS* should be subject to the provisions prohibiting market abuse under Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 [].

(25) At the request of *an operator of* a DLT MTF, the competent authorities should be allowed to grant one or several exemptions on a temporary basis, if the operator complies with the conditions attached to such exemptions and with any additional requirements set by this Regulation to address novel forms of risks raised by the use of distributed ledger technology. An operator of a DLT MTF should also comply with any compensatory measure required by the competent authority in order to meet the objectives of the provision in respect of which an exemption has been requested, *or in order to safeguard investor protection, market integrity or financial stability*.

|

(26) At the request of an operator of a DLT MTF, the competent authorities **should be** allowed to grant an exemption from the obligation of intermediation under Directive 2014/65/EU. At present, traditional multilateral trading facilities **are allowed to** admit as members or participants only investment firms, credit institutions and other persons who have a sufficient level of trading ability and competence and who **maintain** adequate organisational arrangements and resources. By contrast, many platforms for trading crypto-assets offer disintermediated access and provide direct access for retail investors. Accordingly, one potential regulatory obstacle to the development of multilateral trading facilities for DLT **financial instruments** could be the obligation of intermediation under Directive 2014/65/EU. At the request of an operator of a DLT MTF, the competent authority **should therefore be** allowed to grant a temporary exemption **from** that obligation of intermediation in order to provide direct access for retail investors **and to enable them to deal on their own account**, provided that adequate safeguards regarding investor protection are in place and that such retail investors **fulfil certain conditions and that operator complies with any possible additional investor protection measures that the competent authority requires. Retail investors that have direct access to a DLT MTF as members or participants under an exemption from the obligation of intermediation should not be considered to be investment firms within the meaning of Directive 2014/65/EU solely by virtue of being members of, or participants in, a DLT MTF.**

(27) *At the request of an operator of a DLT MTF, the competent authorities should also be allowed to grant an exemption from the transaction reporting requirements under Regulation (EU) No 600/2014, provided that the DLT MTF fulfils certain conditions.*

(28) In order to be eligible for an exemption under this Regulation, an operator of a DLT MTF should demonstrate that the *requested* exemption is proportionate and limited to the use of distributed ledger technology as described in its business plan, and that the *requested* exemption is limited to the DLT MTF and does not extend to any other multilateral trading facility operated by the same investment firm or market operator.

(29) At the request of a CSD operating a DLT SS, competent authorities should be allowed to grant one or more exemptions on a temporary basis if it complies with the conditions attached to such exemptions and with any additional requirements set by this Regulation to address novel forms of risks raised by the use of distributed ledger technology. The CSD operating the DLT SS should also comply with any compensatory measure required by the competent authority in order to meet the objectives of the provision in respect of which the exemption was requested *or in order to safeguard investor protection, market integrity or financial stability.*

(30) It should be allowed to exempt CSDs operating a *DLT SS* from *certain* provisions of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 that are likely to create regulatory obstacles for the development of *DLT SSs*. For instance, exemptions should be possible to the extent that the rules of that Regulation applicable to CSDs and which refer to the terms ‘dematerialised form’, ‘securities account’ or ‘transfer orders’ do not apply to CSDs operating a DLT SS, with the exception of the requirements for CSD links which should apply *mutatis mutandis*. With respect to the term ‘securities account’, the exemption would cover the rules on the recording of securities, integrity of issue and segregation of accounts. Whereas CSDs operate securities settlement systems by crediting and debiting the securities accounts of their participants, double-entry or multiple-entry book keeping securities accounts might not always be feasible in a DLT SS. Therefore, an exemption should also be possible for a CSD operating a DLT SS from the rules in that Regulation that refer to the term ‘book-entry form’ where such an exemption is necessary to allow for the recording of DLT *financial instruments* on a distributed ledger. *However, a CSD operating a DLT SS should still ensure the integrity of the DLT financial instruments* issue on the distributed ledger and the segregation of the DLT *financial instruments* belonging to the various participants.

(31) *A CSD operating a DLT SS should always remain subject to the provisions of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, pursuant to which a CSD that outsources services or activities to a third party remains fully responsible for discharging all of its obligations under that Regulation and is required to ensure that any outsourcing does not result in the delegation of its responsibility. Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 only permits CSDs operating a DLT SS to outsource a core service or activity after receiving authorisation from the competent authority. A CSD operating a DLT SS should therefore be able to request an exemption from that authorisation requirement where the CSD demonstrates that the requirement is incompatible with the use of distributed ledger technology as envisaged in its business plan. The delegation of tasks pertaining to the functioning of a DLT SS, or to the use of distributed ledger technology, to perform settlement, should not be considered to be outsourcing within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014.*

(32) The obligation of intermediation through a credit institution or an investment firm in order to prevent retail investors from obtaining direct access to the settlement and delivery systems operated by a CSD could create a regulatory obstacle to the development of alternative models of settlement based on distributed ledger technology that allows direct access by retail investors. Therefore, an exemption should be allowed for CSDs operating a **DLT SS** in the sense that the term 'participant' in Directive 98/26/EC is deemed to include, under certain conditions, persons other than those referred to in that Directive. When seeking an exemption from the obligation of intermediation of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, the CSD operating a **DLT SS** should ensure that the persons to be admitted as participants *fulfil certain conditions*. A CSD operating a **DLT SS** should ensure that its participants have a sufficient level of ability, competence, experience and knowledge of post-trading activities and the functioning of distributed ledger technology.

(33) Entities that are eligible to participate in a CSD under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 [] correspond to the entities that are eligible to participate in a securities settlement system that is designated and notified in accordance with Directive 98/26/EC, [] because Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 [] requires securities settlement systems operated by CSDs to be designated and notified under Directive 98/26/EC. Accordingly, an operator of a [] securities settlement system ***based on distributed ledger technology*** that requests to be exempted from the participation requirements of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 [] would as a result not comply with the participation requirements of Directive 98/26/EC. Consequently, that [] securities settlement system cannot be designated and notified under that Directive ***and for that reason is not referred to as a 'DLT securities settlement system' in this Regulation but rather as a DLT SS.*** This Regulation ***should allow a CSD to operate a DLT SS that does not qualify as a securities settlement system designated under Directive 98/26/EC, and an exemption from the rules on settlement finality in Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 should be available, subject to certain compensatory measures, including specific compensatory measures to mitigate risks arising from insolvency, as insolvency protection measures under Directive 98/26/EC do not apply.*** However, such an exemption would not preclude a DLT [] SS that complies with all the requirements of Directive 98/26/EC from being designated and notified as a securities settlement system in accordance with that Directive.

(34) Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 [encourages the settlement of transactions in central bank money. Where the settlement of cash payments in central bank money is not practical and available, it should be possible for settlement to take place *through the CSD's own accounts in accordance with that Regulation or through accounts opened with a credit institution ('commercial bank money')*. That rule can be difficult to apply for a CSD operating a DLT [SS, however, because the CSD would have to effect movements in cash accounts at the same time as the delivery of securities recorded on the distributed ledger. A temporary exemption should therefore be allowed for CSDs operating a DLT [SS from the provision of that Regulation [on cash settlement in order to develop innovative solutions *under the pilot regime by facilitating access to commercial bank money, or the use of 'e-money tokens'. Settlement in central bank money could be considered as not practical and available if settlement in central bank money on a distributed ledger is not available.*

(35) *Other than the requirements that have proven to be impractical in a distributed ledger technology environment, the requirements linked to cash settlement under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 continue to apply outside the pilot regime. Operators of DLT market infrastructures should therefore describe in their business plans how they intend to comply with Title IV of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 in the event that they eventually exit the pilot regime.*

(36) Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 requires that a CSD give access to another CSD, or to other market infrastructures, *on a non-discriminatory and transparent basis*. Giving access to a CSD operating a DLT SS *can be technically more challenging*, burdensome or difficult to achieve, as the interoperability of legacy systems with distributed ledger technology has not yet been tested. It should therefore also be possible to grant a DLT SS an exemption from that requirement if it demonstrates that the application of the requirement is disproportionate to the scale of the activities of the DLT SS.

(37) Irrespective of the requirement in respect of which an exemption has been requested, a CSD operating a DLT SS should demonstrate that the exemption requested is proportionate and justified by the use of distributed ledger technology. The exemption should be limited to the DLT SS and should not cover other settlement systems operated by the same CSD.

(38) DLT market infrastructures *and their operators* should be subject to additional requirements compared to traditional market infrastructures. The additional requirements are necessary to avoid risks *related to* the use of distributed ledger technology or the way in which the DLT market infrastructure would operate. Therefore, *an operator of* DLT market infrastructure should establish a clear business plan that details how the distributed ledger technology would be used and the applicable legal terms.

(39) *Operators of DLT market infrastructures should establish or document, as appropriate, rules on the functioning of the **distributed ledger technology they use**, including rules on access to, and admission to trading on, the **distributed ledger**, rules on the participation of the validating nodes and rules to address potential conflicts of interests, as well as risk management measures.*

(40) An operator of a DLT market infrastructure should be required to provide information to members, participants, issuers and clients on how *it intends* to perform *its* activities and how the use of distributed ledger technology deviates from the way services are normally provided by a traditional multilateral trading facility or by a CSD operating a securities settlement system.

(41) DLT market infrastructure should have specific and robust IT and cyber arrangements related to the use of distributed ledger technology. Such arrangements should be proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of the business plan of the operator of the DLT market infrastructure. Those arrangements should also ensure the continuity and continued transparency, availability, reliability and security of the services provided, including the reliability of any smart contracts that are used, *irrespective of whether those smart contracts are created by the DLT market infrastructure itself or by a third party following outsourcing procedures*. DLT market infrastructures should also ensure the integrity, security, confidentiality, availability and accessibility of data stored on the distributed ledger. The competent authority for a DLT market infrastructure should be allowed to require an audit to ensure that the overall IT and cyber arrangements of the DLT market infrastructure are fit for purpose. The costs of the audit should be borne by the operator of the DLT market infrastructure.

(42) Where the business plan of an operator of a DLT market infrastructure involves the safekeeping of clients' funds, such as cash or cash equivalents, or of DLT *financial instruments*, or of the means of access to such DLT *financial instruments*, including in the form of cryptographic keys, the DLT market infrastructure should have adequate arrangements in place to safeguard those assets. *Operators of DLT market infrastructures* should not use clients' assets on those operators' own account, other than with the prior express written consent of their clients. DLT market infrastructures should segregate clients' funds and DLT *financial instruments*, and the means of access to such assets, from their own assets or from other clients' assets. The overall IT and cyber arrangements of DLT market infrastructures should ensure that clients' assets are protected against fraud, cyber-attacks and other serious operational malfunctions.

(43) At the time when a specific permission is granted, operators of DLT market infrastructures should also have *in place* a credible exit strategy in case the pilot regime is discontinued, the specific permission or some of the exemptions granted are withdrawn, *or the thresholds set out in this Regulation are exceeded. That strategy should include the transition or reversion of their distributed ledger technology operations to traditional market infrastructures. For that purpose, new entrants or operators of DLT TSS that do not operate a traditional market infrastructure to which they could transfer DLT financial instruments should seek to conclude arrangements with operators of traditional market infrastructures. That is of particular importance for the recording of DLT financial instruments. Therefore, CSDs should be subject to certain requirements to put in place such arrangements. In addition, CSDs should conclude such arrangements in a non-discriminatory manner and should be able to charge a reasonable commercial fee based on actual costs.*

(44) A specific permission granted to an operator of DLT market infrastructure should *broadly* follow the same procedures as those for authorisation *under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 or Directive 2014/65/EU*. However, when applying for a specific permission under this Regulation, the applicant should indicate the exemptions it is requesting. Before granting a specific permission to a DLT market infrastructure, the competent authority should *provide ESMA with all relevant information. Where necessary*, ESMA should issue a non-binding opinion *on the exemptions requested or on the adequacy of the distributed ledger technology for the purposes of this Regulation. Such a non-binding opinion should not be deemed to be an opinion within the meaning of Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010*. ESMA should consult the competent authorities of other Member States when preparing its opinion. With its non-binding opinion, ESMA should aim to ensure *investor protection*, market integrity and *financial stability*. In order to ensure a level-playing field and fair competition throughout the internal market, ESMA's non-binding opinion *and guidelines should* aim to ensure the consistency and proportionality of the exemptions granted by different competent authorities in the Union, *including when evaluating the adequacy of different types of distributed ledger technology used by operators for the purposes of this Regulation*.

(45) *The recording of securities, the maintenance of securities accounts and the management of settlement systems are activities that are also covered by non-harmonised provisions of national law, such as corporate and securities law. It is therefore important that operators of DLT market infrastructures comply with all applicable rules and enable their users to do so.*

(46) The competent authority that examines an application submitted by an operator of a DLT market infrastructure should have the possibility of refusing to grant a specific permission if there are reasons to believe that the DLT market infrastructure would *not be able to comply with applicable provisions laid down by Union law or with provisions of national law falling outside the scope of Union law, if there are reasons to believe that the DLT market infrastructure would* pose a risk to investor protection, market integrity or financial stability, or if the application *is* an attempt to circumvent existing requirements.

(47) A specific **permission** granted by a competent authority to an operator of DLT market infrastructure should indicate the exemptions granted to that DLT market infrastructure. It should be valid throughout the Union, ***but only for the duration of the pilot regime.*** ESMA should publish on its website a list of DLT market infrastructures and a list of the exemptions granted to each of them.

(48) Specific permissions and exemptions should be granted on a temporary basis, for a period of up to six years from the date on which the specific permission was granted, ***and should be valid only for the duration of the pilot regime***. That six-year period should give operators of DLT market infrastructures sufficient time to adapt their business models to any modifications of the pilot regime and operate under the pilot regime in a commercially viable manner. It would also allow ESMA and the Commission to gather a useful data set ***on*** the operation of the pilot regime following the granting of a critical mass of specific permissions and related exemptions and to report thereon. And finally, it would also give time for the operators of DLT market infrastructures to take the necessary steps either to cease their operations or to transition to a new regulatory framework following the reports to be issued by ESMA and the Commission.

(49) Without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 [and Directive 2014/65/EU], competent authorities should have the power to withdraw a specific permission or any exemptions granted to a DLT market infrastructure where a flaw has been discovered in the underlying technology or in the services and activities provided by the operator of the DLT market infrastructure, if that flaw outweighs the benefits of the service and activities at stake, or where the operator of the DLT market infrastructure has breached any obligations attached to the *permissions or* exemptions granted by the competent authority, or where the operator of the DLT market infrastructure has recorded financial instruments that *exceed the thresholds set out in this Regulation or that* do not fulfil *other* conditions that apply to DLT *financial instruments* under this Regulation. In the course of its activity, the operator of a DLT market infrastructure should have the possibility of requesting additional exemptions in addition to those requested at the time of initial application. In such a case, the additional exemptions [should be *requested from* the competent *authority* in the same way as those requested at the time of the initial request for permission for the DLT market infrastructure.

(50) Since, under the pilot regime, operators of DLT market infrastructures would be able to receive temporary exemptions from certain provisions of existing Union legislation, they should cooperate closely with the competent authorities and with ESMA during the period in which their specific permission is valid. Operators of DLT market infrastructures should inform competent authorities [] of any material changes to their business plans or to their critical staff, of any evidence of cyber-attacks or other cyber-threats, fraud or serious malpractice, of any change in the information provided at the time of the initial application for specific permission, of any technical or operational difficulties, in particular those linked to the use of distributed ledger technology, and of any risks to investor protection, market integrity or financial stability that were not envisaged at the time when the specific permission was granted. ***To ensure investor protection, market integrity and financial stability***, when notified of such a material change, the competent authority should be able to require the DLT market infrastructure to apply for a new specific permission or exemption, or to take any corrective measures that the competent authority deems appropriate. The operators of DLT market infrastructures should also provide any relevant information to the competent authority [] when requested. ***Competent authorities should forward the information received from operators of DLT market infrastructures and the information on corrective measures to ESMA.***

(51) Operators of DLT market infrastructures should submit regular reports to their competent authorities [REDACTED]. ESMA should organise discussions on those reports to enable all competent authorities across the Union to gain experience from the impact of distributed ledger technology and to understand whether there are any amendments to Union financial services legislation that could be necessary to allow for the use of distributed ledger technology on a greater scale.

(52) *During the course of the pilot regime, it is important that the framework and its functioning be subject to frequent monitoring and evaluation in order to maximise information for operators of DLT market infrastructures. ESMA should publish annual reports in order to provide market participants with a better understanding of the functioning and development of the markets and to provide clarification on the application of the pilot regime. Those annual reports should include updates on the most important trends and risks. Those annual reports should be submitted to the European Parliament, to the Council and to the Commission.*

(53) *Three years from the date of application of this Regulation, ESMA should present a report to the Commission containing its assessment of the pilot regime.* On the basis of ESMA's report, the Commission should report to the European Parliament and to the Council. That report should assess the costs and benefits of extending the pilot regime for a further period, extending the pilot regime to *other types* of financial instruments, *otherwise amending the pilot regime*, making the pilot regime permanent *by* proposing appropriate amendments of Union financial services legislation, or terminating the pilot regime. *It would not be desirable to have two parallel regimes for DLT-based and non-DLT-based market infrastructures. If the pilot regime is successful, it could be made permanent by amending relevant Union financial services legislation to establish a single coherent framework.*

(54) Some potential gaps have been identified in existing **Union** financial services legislation as regards its application to crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments. In particular, the regulatory technical standards under Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 relating to certain data reporting requirements and pre- and post-trade transparency requirements are not well adapted to financial instruments issued by means of distributed ledger technology. Secondary markets in financial instruments issued by means of distributed ledger technology or similar technology are still nascent and therefore their features potentially differ from markets in financial instruments using traditional technology. The rules set out in those regulatory technical standards should apply to all financial instruments, regardless of the technology used. Therefore, *in line with existing mandates in Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 to develop draft* regulatory technical standards, **ESMA should carry out a comprehensive assessment of those regulatory technical standards** and propose any necessary amendment to ensure that the rules set out therein could be effectively applied to DLT financial instruments. In carrying out that assessment, ESMA should take into account the specificities of DLT financial instruments and whether they require standards to be adapted to allow for the development of those financial instruments without undermining the objectives of the rules laid down in the regulatory technical standards adopted pursuant to Regulation (EU) No 600/2014.

(55) Since the objectives of this Regulation cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States, but can rather, by reason of the regulatory obstacles to the development of DLT market infrastructures for crypto-assets that qualify as financial instruments **█** being embedded in Union financial services legislation, be better achieved at Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve those objectives.

█

(56) *This Regulation is without prejudice to Directive (EU) 2019/1937 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹⁷. At the same time, in respect of entities authorised under Directive 2014/65/EU, the mechanisms for the reporting of infringements of Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 or Directive 2014/65/EU as established under that Directive should be used. In respect of entities authorised under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, the mechanisms for the reporting of infringements of that Regulation as established under that Regulation should be used.*

¹⁷ Directive (EU) 2019/1937 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2019 on the protection of persons who report breaches of Union law (OJ L 305, 26.11.2019, p. 17).

(57) *The operation of DLT market infrastructures could involve the processing of personal data. Where it is necessary for the purposes of this Regulation to process personal data, that processing should be carried out in accordance with applicable Union law on the protection of personal data. This Regulation is without prejudice to Regulations (EU) 2016/679¹⁸ and (EU) 2018/1725¹⁹ of the European Parliament and of the Council.* The European Data Protection Supervisor *was* consulted in accordance with Article 42(1) of Regulation (EU) 2018/1725, and delivered *its* opinion on **23 April 2021**.

¹⁸ *Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation) (OJ L 119, 4.5.2016, p. 1).*

¹⁹ Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, and repealing Regulation (EC) No 45/2001 and Decision No 1247/2002/EC (OJ L 295, 21.11.2018, p. 39).

(58) *Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 provides for a transitional period during which non-discriminatory access to a CCP or trading venue under that Regulation does not apply to CCPs or trading venues that applied to their competent authorities to benefit from transitional arrangements in respect of exchange-traded derivatives. The period during which a CCP or a trading venue could be exempted by its competent authority in respect of exchange-traded derivatives from the rules on non-discriminatory access expired on 3 July 2020. The increased uncertainty and volatility of the markets negatively impacted the operational risks of CCPs and trading venues and, therefore, the date of application of the new open-access regime for CCPs and trading venues offering trading and clearing services in respect of exchange-traded derivatives was postponed by Article 95 of Regulation (EU) 2021/23 of the European Parliament and of the Council²⁰ by one year, until 3 July 2021. The reasons for postponing the date of application of the new open-access regime persist. Furthermore, the open-access regime could run counter to parallel policy objectives to foster trading and innovation within the Union, since it could disincentivise innovation in exchange-traded derivatives by allowing competitors, who are beneficiaries of open access, to rely on incumbents' infrastructure and investments in order to offer competing products with low upfront costs. Maintaining a system whereby derivatives are cleared and traded in a vertically integrated entity is also consistent with long-standing international trends. The date of application of the new open-access regime should therefore be postponed by two more years, until 3 July 2023.*

²⁰ Regulation (EU) 2021/23 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2020 on a framework for the recovery and resolution of central counterparties and amending Regulations (EU) No 1095/2010, (EU) No 648/2012, (EU) No 600/2014, (EU) No 806/2014 and (EU) 2015/2365 and Directives 2002/47/EC, 2004/25/EC, 2007/36/EC, 2014/59/EU and (EU) 2017/1132 (OJ L 22, 22.1.2021, p. 1).

(59) *At present, the definition of financial instrument in Directive 2014/65/EU does not explicitly include financial instruments issued by means of a class of technologies that supports the distributed recording of encrypted data, namely, distributed ledger technology. In order to ensure that such financial instruments can be traded on the market under the existing legal framework, the definition of financial instruments in Directive 2014/65/EU should be amended to include them.*

(60) While this Regulation sets out the regulatory framework for DLT market infrastructures, including those providing settlement services, the general regulatory framework for securities settlement systems operated by CSDs is laid down in Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, which includes provisions on settlement discipline. The settlement discipline regime comprises rules for the reporting of settlement fails, the collection and distribution of cash penalties and mandatory buy-ins. Pursuant to regulatory technical standards adopted under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, the provisions on settlement discipline apply from 1 February 2022. However, stakeholders have provided evidence that mandatory buy-ins could increase liquidity pressure and the costs of securities at risk of being bought in. Such impact could be further exacerbated in cases of market volatility. Against that background, applying the rules on mandatory buy-ins as laid down in Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 could have a negative impact on the efficiency and competitiveness of capital markets in the Union. That impact could in turn lead to wider bid-offer spreads, reduced market efficiency and reduced incentives to lend securities in the securities lending and repo markets and to settle transactions with CSDs established in the Union. The costs of applying the rules on mandatory buy-ins are, therefore, expected to outweigh the potential benefits. Taking into account that potential negative impact, Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 should be amended to allow for a different date of application for each settlement discipline measure, so that the date of application of the rules on mandatory buy-ins can be further postponed. That postponement would allow the Commission to assess, within the context of the forthcoming legislative proposal reviewing Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, how the settlement discipline framework, and in particular the rules on mandatory buy-ins, should be amended to take into account and address the aforementioned issues. Furthermore, such postponement would ensure that market participants, including those DLT market infrastructures that would be subject to the settlement discipline regime, do not incur implementation costs twice in the event that those rules are amended as a result of the review of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014.

(61) *The operation of a DLT market infrastructure should not undermine climate policies of Member States. Thus, it is important to encourage further the development of, and investment in, low-emission or zero-emission distributed ledger technologies,*

HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

Article 1

Subject matter and scope

This Regulation lays down requirements *in relation to* DLT market infrastructures *and their operators in respect of*:

- (a) granting and withdrawing specific permissions to operate DLT market infrastructures in accordance with this Regulation;
- (b) granting, modifying and withdrawing exemptions related to specific permissions;
- (c) mandating, modifying and withdrawing the conditions attached to exemptions and in respect of mandating, modifying and withdrawing compensatory or corrective measures;
- (d) operating ■ DLT market infrastructures;
- (e) supervising ■ DLT market infrastructures; and
- (f) cooperation between operators of DLT market infrastructures, competent authorities and *the European Supervisory Authority (European Securities and Markets Authority) established by Regulation (EU) No 1095/2010 (ESMA)*.

Article 2
Definitions

For the purposes of this Regulation, the following definitions apply:

- (1) ‘distributed ledger technology’ or ‘DLT’ means a *technology that enables the operation and use of distributed ledgers*;
- (2) ‘distributed ledger’ means an *information repository that keeps records of transactions and that is shared across, and synchronised between, a set of DLT network nodes using a consensus mechanism*;
- (3) ‘consensus mechanism’ means the *rules and procedures by which an agreement is reached, among DLT network nodes, that a transaction is validated*;

(4) ‘*DLT network node*’ means a device or process that is part of a network and that holds a complete or partial replica of records of all transactions on a distributed ledger;

(5) ‘*DLT market infrastructure*’ means a ‘*DLT multilateral trading facility*’, a ‘**DLT settlement system**’ or a ‘*DLT trading and* settlement system’;

(6) ‘*DLT multilateral trading facility*’ or ‘*DLT MTF*’ means a multilateral trading facility that only admits to trading DLT *financial instruments*;

(7) ‘*DLT settlement system*’ or ‘*DLT SS*’ means a settlement system *that settles transactions in DLT financial instruments against payment or against delivery, irrespective of whether that settlement system has been designated and notified in accordance with Directive 98/26/EC, and that allows the initial recording of DLT financial instruments or allows the provision of safekeeping services in relation to DLT financial instruments*;

(8) ‘*settlement*’ means settlement as defined in Article 2(1), point (7), of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014;

(9) ‘*settlement fail*’ means settlement fail as defined in Article 2(1), point (15), of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014;

(10) ‘*DLT trading and settlement system*’ or ‘*DLT TSS*’ means a *DLT MTF or DLT SS that combines services performed by a DLT MTF and a DLT SS*;

- (11) ‘DLT *financial instrument*’ means a *financial instrument* that is issued, recorded, transferred and stored using distributed ledger technology;
- (12) ‘financial instrument’ means a financial instrument as defined in Article 4(1), point (15), of Directive 2014/65/EU;
- (13) ‘multilateral trading facility’ means a multilateral trading facility as defined in Article 4(1), point (22), of Directive 2014/65/EU;
- (14) ‘central securities depository’ or ‘CSD’ means a central securities depository as defined in Article 2(1), point (1), of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014;
- (15) ‘securities settlement system’ means a securities settlement system as defined in Article 2(1), point (10), of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014;
- (16) ‘business day’ means business day as defined in Article 2(1), point (14), of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014;

(17) ‘delivery versus payment’ means delivery versus payment as defined in Article 2(1), point (27), of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014;

|

(18) ***‘credit institution’ means a credit institution as defined in Article 4(1), point (1), of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council²¹;***

(19) ‘investment firm’ means an investment firm as defined in Article 4(1), point (1), of Directive 2014/65/EU;

(20) ‘market operator’ means a market operator as defined in Article 4(1), point (18), of Directive 2014/65/EU;

²¹ Regulation (EU) No 575/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 26 June 2013 on prudential requirements for credit institutions and amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 (OJ L 176, 27.6.2013, p. 1).

(21) ‘competent authority’ means one or more competent authorities:

- (a) designated in accordance with Article 67 of Directive 2014/65/EU;
- (b) designated in accordance with Article 11 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014; [] or
- (c) otherwise designated by a Member State to oversee the application of this Regulation.

[]

Article 3

Limitations on the *financial instruments* admitted to trading or recorded on DLT market infrastructure

1. *DLT financial instruments shall only be admitted to trading on a DLT market infrastructure, or be recorded on a DLT market infrastructure, if, at the moment of admission to trading or the moment of recording on a distributed ledger, the DLT financial instruments are:*
 - (a) shares, the issuer of which has a market capitalisation, or a tentative market capitalisation, of less than EUR **500** million;
 - (b) *bonds, other forms of securitised debt, including depositary receipts in respect of such securities, or money market instruments, with an issue size of less than EUR 1 billion, excluding those that embed a derivative or incorporate a structure which makes it difficult for the client to understand the risk involved; or*

(c) *units in collective investment undertakings covered by Article 25(4), point (a)(iv), of Directive 2014/65/EU, the market value of the assets under management of which is less than EUR 500 million.*

Corporate bonds issued by issuers whose market capitalisation did not exceed EUR 200 million at the time of their issuance shall be excluded from the calculation of the threshold referred to in the first subparagraph, point (b).

2. The aggregate market value of all the DLT *financial instruments that are admitted to trading on a DLT market infrastructure or that are recorded on a DLT market infrastructure* shall not exceed EUR 6 billion *at the moment of admission to trading, or initial recording, of a new DLT financial instrument.*

Where the admission to trading or initial recording of a new DLT financial instrument would result in the aggregate market value referred to in the first subparagraph reaching EUR 6 billion, the DLT market infrastructure shall not admit that DLT financial instrument to trading or record it.

3. Where the aggregate market value of all the DLT *financial instruments that are admitted to trading on a DLT market infrastructure or that are recorded on a DLT market infrastructure has reached EUR 9 billion, the operator of the DLT market infrastructure* shall activate the transition strategy referred to in Article 7(7). The operator of the DLT market infrastructure shall notify the competent authority of the activation of its transition strategy and of the timescale for the transition in the monthly report provided for in paragraph 5.

|

4. *The operator of a DLT market infrastructure shall calculate the monthly average aggregate market value of DLT financial instruments traded or recorded on that DLT market infrastructure. That monthly average shall be calculated as the average of the daily closing prices of each DLT financial instrument, multiplied by the number of DLT financial instruments that are traded or recorded on that DLT market infrastructure with the same International Securities Identification Number (ISIN).*

The operator of the DLT market infrastructure shall use that monthly average:

- (a) when assessing whether the admission to trading or recording of a new DLT financial instrument in the following month would result in the aggregate market value of DLT financial instruments reaching the threshold referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article; and*
- (b) when deciding whether to activate the transition strategy referred to in Article 7(7).*

5. *The operator of a DLT market infrastructure shall submit monthly reports to its competent authority demonstrating that all DLT financial instruments that are admitted to trading or recorded on the DLT market infrastructure do not exceed the thresholds set out in paragraphs 2 and 3.*
6. *A competent authority may set lower thresholds than the values set out in paragraphs 1 and 2. If a competent authority lowers the threshold referred to in paragraph 2, the value set out in paragraph 3 shall be deemed to be commensurately lowered.*

For the purposes of the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the competent authority shall consider the market size and the average capitalisation of DLT financial instruments of a given type that have been admitted to trading platforms in the Member States where the services and activities will be carried out and shall consider the risks that relate to the issuers, to the type of distributed ledger technology used and to the services and activities of the DLT market infrastructure.

7. Regulation (EU) No 596/2014 applies to DLT *financial instruments* admitted to trading on a DLT MTF *or on a DLT TSS*.

Article 4

Requirements and exemptions regarding DLT MTFs

1. A DLT MTF shall be subject to the requirements that apply to a multilateral trading facility under Regulation (EU) **No 600/2014 and** Directive 2014/65/EU.

The first subparagraph does not apply in respect of those requirements from which the investment firm or market operator operating the DLT MTF has been exempted under paragraphs **2 and 3 of this Article**, provided that that investment firm or market operator complies with:

- (a) Article 7;
- (b) paragraphs **2, 3 and** 4 of this Article; and
- (c) any compensatory measures that the competent authority deems appropriate in order to meet the objectives of the provisions in respect of which an exemption has been requested or in order to ensure investor protection, market integrity **or** financial stability.

2. *In addition to the persons specified in Article 53(3) of Directive 2014/65/EU, if requested by an operator of a DLT MTF, the competent authority may permit that operator to admit natural and legal persons to deal on own account as members or participants, provided that such persons fulfil the following requirements:*

- (a) they are of sufficient good repute;*
- (b) they have a sufficient level of trading ability, competence and experience, including knowledge of the functioning of distributed ledger technology;*
- (c) they are not market makers on the DLT MTF;*
- (d) they do not use a high-frequency algorithmic trading technique on the DLT MTF;*
- (e) they do not provide other persons with direct electronic access to the DLT MTF;*

- (f) *they do not deal on their own account when executing client orders on the DLT market infrastructure; and*
- (g) *they have given informed consent to trading on the DLT MTF as members or participants and have been informed by the DLT MTF of the potential risks of using its systems to trade DLT financial instruments.*

Where the competent authority grants the exemption referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, it may require additional measures for the protection of natural persons admitted to the DLT MTF as members or participants. Such measures shall be proportionate to the risk profile of those members or participants.

3. *At the request of an operator of a DLT MTF, the competent authority may exempt that operator or its members or participants from Article 26 of Regulation (EU) No 600/2014.*

Where the competent authority grants an exemption as referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph, the DLT MTF shall keep records of all transactions executed through its systems. Those records shall contain all the details specified in Article 26(3) of Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 that are relevant, having regard to the system used by the DLT MTF and the member or participant executing the transaction. The DLT MTF shall also ensure that the competent authorities entitled to receive the data directly from the multilateral trading facility in accordance with Article 26 of that Regulation have direct and immediate access to those details. In order to access those records, such competent authority shall be admitted to the DLT MTF as a regulatory observer participant.

The competent authority shall make available any information it has accessed in accordance with this Article to ESMA without undue delay.

|

4. Where an [] operator *of* a DLT MTF requests an exemption under paragraph **2 or 3**, it shall demonstrate that the exemption requested is:
 - (a) proportionate to, and justified by, the use of distributed ledger technology; and
 - (b) limited to the DLT MTF and does not *extend* to any other multilateral trading facility operated by that [] operator.
5. ***Paragraphs 2, 3 and 4 of this Article apply, mutatis mutandis, to a CSD operating a DLT TSS in accordance with Article 6(2).***
6. ***ESMA shall prepare guidelines on the compensatory measures referred to in paragraph 1, second subparagraph, point (c).***

Article 5

Requirements and exemptions regarding DLT ■ SSs

1. A CSD operating a DLT ■ SS shall be subject to the requirements that apply to a CSD *operating a securities settlement system* under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014.

The first subparagraph does not apply in respect of those requirements from which the CSD operating the DLT SS ■ has been exempted under paragraphs 2 to 9 of this Article, provided that that CSD complies with:

- (a) Article 7;
- (b) paragraphs 2 to 10 of this Article; and
- (c) any compensatory measures that the competent authority deems appropriate in order to meet the objectives of the provisions in respect of which an exemption has been requested or in order to ensure investor protection, market integrity *or* financial stability.

2. At the request of a CSD operating a DLT **█** SS, the competent authority may exempt that CSD from Article 2(1), points (4), (9) or (28), or Article 3, 37 or 38 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, provided that that CSD **█** :

- (a) demonstrates that the use of a ‘securities account’ as defined in Article 2(1), point (28), of that Regulation or the use of the book-entry form as provided for in Article 3 of that Regulation is incompatible with the use of *the* particular distributed ledger technology ;
- (b) proposes compensatory measures to meet the objectives of the provisions in respect of which an exemption has been requested, and ensures at a minimum that:
 - (i) the DLT *financial instruments are recorded* on the distributed ledger;

- (ii) the number of DLT *financial instruments* in an issue or in part of an issue recorded by the CSD operating the DLT SS is equal to the total number of DLT *financial instruments* making up such issue or part of an issue that are recorded on the distributed ledger at any given time; □
- (iii) it keeps records that enable the CSD operating the DLT SS at any given time to segregate the DLT *financial instruments* of a member, participant, issuer or client from those of any other member, participant, issuer or client without delay; *and*
- (iv) *it does not allow securities overdrafts, debit balances or the improper creation or deletion of securities.*

3. *At the request of a CSD operating DLT SS, the competent authority may exempt that CSD from Article 6 or 7 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 provided that that CSD ensures, at a minimum, by means of robust procedures and arrangements, that the DLT SS:*

- (a) *enables clear, accurate and timely confirmation of the details of transactions in DLT financial instruments, including any payments made in respect of DLT financial instruments, as well as the discharge of any collateral in respect of those instruments or calls for collateral in respect of DLT financial instruments; and*
- (b) *either prevents settlement fails or addresses settlement fails if it is not possible to prevent them.*

4. At the request of a CSD operating a DLT SS, the competent authority may exempt that CSD from Article 19 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 *in relation only to the outsourcing of a core service to a third party*, provided that *the application of that Article is incompatible with the use of distributed ledger technology as envisaged by the DLT SS operated by that CSD.*

■

5. At the request of a CSD operating a DLT SS, the competent authority may permit that CSD to admit natural and legal persons in addition to those listed in Article 2, point (f), of Directive 98/26/EC as participants in the DLT SS, provided that such persons:

- (a) are of sufficient good repute ;
- (b) have a sufficient level of ability, competence, experience and knowledge in relation to settlement, the functioning of distributed ledger technology, *and risk assessment; and*
- (c) *have given informed consent to be included in the pilot regime provided for in this Regulation and are adequately informed of its experimental nature and the potential risks associated with it.*

6. *At the request of a CSD operating a DLT SS, the competent authority may exempt that CSD from Article 33, 34 or 35 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, provided that that CSD proposes compensatory measures to meet the objectives of those Articles and, at a minimum, ensures that:*
 - (a) *the DLT SS publicly discloses criteria for participation that allow fair and open access for all persons that intend to become participants, and that those criteria are transparent, objective, and non-discriminatory; and*
 - (b) *the DLT SS publicly discloses prices and fees associated with the settlement services that it provides.*
7. *At the request of a CSD operating a DLT SS, the competent authority may exempt that CSD from Article 39 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, provided that that CSD proposes compensatory measures to meet the objectives of that Article, and ensures at a minimum, by means of robust procedures and arrangements, that:*
 - (a) *the DLT SS settles transactions in DLT financial instruments at close to real time or intraday and in any case no later than on the second business day after the conclusion of the trade;*

- (b) *the DLT SS publicly discloses the rules governing the settlement system; and*
- (c) *the DLT SS mitigates any risk arising from the non-designation of the DLT SS as a system for the purposes of Directive 98/26/EC, in particular with regard to insolvency proceedings.*

For the purposes of operating a DLT SS, the definition of a CSD in Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 as a legal person who operates a securities settlement system shall not result in Member States being required to designate and notify a DLT SS as a securities settlement system under Directive 98/26/EC. However, Member States shall not be precluded from designating and notifying a DLT SS as a securities settlement system under Directive 98/26/EC where the DLT SS fulfils the requirements of that Directive.

Where a DLT SS is not designated and notified as a securities settlement system under Directive 98/26/EC, the CSD operating that DLT SS shall propose compensatory measures to mitigate risks arising from insolvency.

8. At the request of a CSD operating a DLT ~~█~~ SS, the competent authority may exempt that CSD from Article 40 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, provided that that CSD settles on the basis of delivery versus payment.

The settlement of payments *shall* be carried out through central bank money, *including in tokenised form*, where practical and available or, where not practical and available, through *the account of the CSD in accordance with Title IV of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 or through* commercial bank money, *including in tokenised form, in accordance with that Title, or using* ‘e-money tokens’.

By way of derogation from the second subparagraph of this paragraph, Title IV of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 does not apply to a credit institution when it provides the settlement of payments using commercial bank money to a DLT market infrastructure that records DLT financial instruments whose aggregate market value, at the time of the initial recording of a new DLT financial instrument, does not exceed EUR 6 billion, as calculated in accordance with Article 3(4) of this Regulation.

Where the settlement occurs using commercial bank money *provided by a credit institution to which Title IV of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 does not apply by virtue of the third subparagraph of this paragraph, or where* the settlement of payments occurs using 'e-money tokens', the CSD operating the DLT SS shall identify, measure, monitor, manage, and minimise any [redacted] risks arising from the use of such *means*.

Services related to 'e-money tokens' that are equivalent to the services listed in Section C, points (b) and (c), of the Annex to Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 shall be provided by the CSD operating the DLT SS in accordance with Title IV of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 or by a credit institution.

9. At the request of a CSD operating a DLT [redacted] SS, the competent authority may exempt that CSD from Article 50, **51 or 53** of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, provided that that CSD demonstrates that the use of distributed ledger technology is incompatible with legacy systems of other CSDs or other market infrastructures or that granting access to another CSD or access to another market infrastructure using legacy systems would trigger disproportionate costs, given the scale of the activities of the DLT [redacted] SS.

Where a CSD operating a DLT SS has been exempted in accordance with the first subparagraph of this paragraph, it shall give other operators of DLT SSs or other operators of DLT TSSs access to its DLT SS. The CSD operating the DLT SS shall inform the competent authority of its intention to give such access. The competent authority may prohibit such access to the extent that such access would be detrimental to the stability of the Union financial system, or the financial system of the Member State concerned.

10. Where a CSD operating a DLT SS requests an exemption under paragraphs 2 to 9, it shall demonstrate that the exemption requested is:
 - (a) proportionate to, and justified by, the use of distributed ledger technology; and
 - (b) limited to the DLT SS and does not extend to a securities settlement system that is operated by the same CSD.
11. *Paragraphs 2 to 10 of this Article apply, mutatis mutandis, to an investment firm or market operator operating a DLT TSS in accordance with Article 6(1).*
12. *ESMA shall prepare guidelines on the compensatory measures referred to in paragraph 1, second subparagraph, point (c), of this Article.*

Article 6
Requirements and exemptions regarding DLT TSSs

1. An investment firm or market operator operating a DLT TSS shall be subject to:

- (a) the requirements that apply to a multilateral trading facility under Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 and Directive 2014/65/EU; and**
- (b) mutatis mutandis, the requirements that apply to a CSD under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, with the exception of Articles 9, 16, 17, 18, 20, 26, 27, 28, 31, 42, 43, 44, 46 and 47 of that Regulation.**

The first subparagraph does not apply in respect of those requirements from which the investment firm or market operator operating the DLT TSS has been exempted under Article 4(2) and (3) and Article 5(2) to (9), provided that that investment firm or market operator complies with:

- (a) Article 7;**
- (b) Article 4(2), (3) and (4) and Article 5(2) to (10); and**

- (c) *any compensatory measures that the competent authority deems appropriate in order to meet the objectives of the provisions in respect of which an exemption has been requested, or in order to ensure investor protection, market integrity or financial stability.*

2. *A CSD operating a DLT TSS shall be subject to:*

- (a) *the requirements that apply to a CSD under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014; and*
- (b) *mutatis mutandis, the requirements that apply to a multilateral trading facility under Regulation (EU) No 600/2014 and Directive 2014/65/EU, with the exception of Articles 5 to 13 of that Directive.*

The first subparagraph does not apply in respect of those requirements from which the CSD operating the DLT TSS has been exempted under Article 4(2) and (3) and Article 5(2) to (9), provided that that CSD complies with:

- (a) *Article 7;*
- (b) *Article 4(2), (3) and (4) and Article 5(2) to (10); and*
- (c) *any compensatory measures that the competent authority deems appropriate in order to meet the objectives of the provisions in respect of which an exemption has been requested or in order to ensure investor protection, market integrity or financial stability.*

Article 7

Additional requirements for DLT market infrastructures

1. Operators of DLT market infrastructures shall establish clear and detailed business plans describing how they intend to carry out their services and activities, which shall include a description of their critical staff, the technical aspects and use of the distributed ledger technology, and the information required under paragraph 3.

Operators of DLT market infrastructures shall also make publicly available up-to-date, clear and detailed written documentation that defines the rules under which the DLT market *infrastructures and their operators* are to operate, including the legal terms defining the rights, obligations, responsibilities and liabilities of operators of DLT market infrastructures, as well as those of the members, participants, issuers and clients using their DLT market infrastructure. Such legal terms shall specify the governing law, any pre-litigation dispute settlement mechanisms, *any insolvency protection measures under Directive 98/26/EC* and the jurisdictions in which legal action may be brought. Operators of DLT market infrastructures may make their written documentation available by electronic means.

2. Operators *of DLT market infrastructures shall establish or document, as appropriate,* rules on the functioning of the *distributed ledger technology* they *use*, including rules on accessing the distributed ledger **█**, on the participation of the validating nodes, on addressing potential conflicts of interests, and on risk management including any mitigation measures *to ensure investor protection, market integrity and financial stability.*

3. Operators of DLT market infrastructures shall provide their members, participants, issuers and clients with clear and unambiguous information on their website regarding how the operators carry out their functions, services and activities and how their performance of those functions, services and activities deviates from those performed by *a* multilateral trading facility or securities settlement system ***that is not based on distributed ledger technology***. That information shall include the type of distributed ledger technology used.
4. Operators of DLT market infrastructures shall ensure that the overall IT and cyber arrangements related to the use of their distributed ledger technology are proportionate to the nature, scale and complexity of their businesses. Those arrangements shall ensure the continuity and continued transparency, availability, reliability and security of their services and activities, including the reliability of smart contracts used on the DLT market infrastructure. Those arrangements shall also ensure the integrity, security and confidentiality of any data stored by those operators, and shall ensure that those data are available and accessible.

Operators of DLT market infrastructures shall have in place specific operational risk **management** procedures for the risks posed by the use of distributed ledger technology and crypto-assets and for how to address those risks if they materialise.

To assess the reliability of the overall IT and cyber arrangements of a DLT market infrastructure, the competent authority may require an audit of those arrangements. If the competent authority requires an audit, it shall appoint an independent auditor to carry it out. The DLT market infrastructure shall bear the costs of the audit.

5. Where an operator of a DLT market infrastructure ensures the safekeeping of members', participants', issuers' or clients' funds, collateral or DLT **financial instruments** and ensures the means of access to such assets, including in the form of cryptographic keys, that operator shall have adequate arrangements in place to prevent the use of those assets on the operator's own account without the prior express written consent of the member, participant, issuer, or client concerned, which may be made through electronic means.

Operators of DLT market infrastructure shall maintain safe, accurate, reliable and retrievable records of the funds, collateral and DLT *financial instruments* held by their DLT market infrastructure for their members, participants, issuers or clients, as well as of the means of access to those funds, collateral and DLT *financial instruments*.

Operators of DLT market infrastructure shall segregate the funds, collateral and DLT *financial instruments* of the members, participants, issuers or clients using the DLT market infrastructure, and the means of access to such assets, from those of the operator as well as from those of other members, participants, issuers and clients.

The overall IT and cyber arrangements referred to in paragraph 4 shall ensure that those funds, collateral and DLT *financial instruments* held by a DLT market infrastructure for its members, participants, issuers or clients, as well as the means of access to them, are protected from the risks of unauthorised access, hacking, degradation, loss, cyber-attack, *theft, fraud, negligence and other serious operational malfunctions*.

6. *In the event of a loss of funds, a loss of collateral or a loss of a DLT financial instrument, the operator of a DLT market infrastructure that lost the funds, collateral or DLT financial instrument shall be liable for the loss, up to the market value of the asset lost. The operator of the DLT market infrastructure shall not be liable for the loss where it proves that the loss arose as a result of an external event beyond its reasonable control, the consequences of which were unavoidable despite all reasonable efforts to the contrary.*

Operators of DLT market infrastructure shall establish transparent and adequate arrangements to ensure investor protection, and shall establish mechanisms for handling client complaints and procedures for compensation or redress in cases of investor loss as a result of any of the circumstances referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph or as a result of the cessation of the business due to any of the circumstances referred to in Articles 8(13), 9(11) and 10(10).

A competent authority may decide, on a case-by-case basis, to require additional prudential safeguards from the operator of a DLT market infrastructure in the form of own funds or an insurance policy if the competent authority determines that potential liabilities for damages to clients of the operator of the DLT market infrastructure as a result of any of the circumstances referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph are not adequately covered by the prudential requirements provided for in Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, Regulation (EU) 2019/2033 of the European Parliament and of the Council²², Directive 2014/65/EU or Directive (EU) 2019/2034 of the European Parliament and of the Council²³, in order to ensure investor protection.

²² Regulation (EU) 2019/2033 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 on the prudential requirements of investment firms and amending Regulations (EU) No 1093/2010, (EU) No 575/2013, (EU) No 600/2014 and (EU) No 806/2014 (OJ L 314, 5.12.2019, p. 1).

²³ Directive (EU) 2019/2034 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 November 2019 on the prudential supervision of investment firms and amending Directives 2002/87/EC, 2009/65/EC, 2011/61/EU, 2013/36/EU, 2014/59/EU and 2014/65/EU (OJ L 314, 5.12.2019, p. 64).

7. An operator of a DLT market infrastructure shall establish and make publicly available a clear and detailed strategy for *reducing the activity of a particular DLT market infrastructure or for transitioning out of*, or ceasing to operate, a particular DLT market infrastructure ('transition strategy'), *including the transition or reversion of its distributed ledger technology operations to traditional market infrastructures*, in the event:

- (a) *that the threshold referred to in Article 3(3) has been exceeded;*
- (b) *that a specific permission or exemption granted under this Regulation is to be withdrawn or otherwise discontinued, including where the specific permission or exemption is discontinued as a consequence of the events envisaged under Article 14(2); or*
- (c) *of any voluntary or involuntary cessation of the business of the DLT market infrastructure.*

The transition strategy shall be ready to be deployed in a timely manner.

The transition strategy shall set out how members, participants, issuers and clients are to be treated in the event of a withdrawal or discontinuation of a specific permission or the cessation of the business as referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph. The transition strategy shall set out how clients, in particular retail investors, are to be protected from any disproportionate impact from the withdrawal or discontinuation of a specific permission or the cessation of the business. The transition strategy shall be updated on an ongoing basis subject to the prior approval of the competent authority.

The transition strategy shall specify what is to be done in the event that the threshold referred to in Article 3(3) is exceeded.

8. *Investment firms or market operators that are only permitted to operate a DLT MTF under Article 8(2) of this Regulation and that do not indicate in their transition strategies that they intend to obtain an authorisation to operate a multilateral trading facility under Directive 2014/65/EU, as well as CSDs operating a DLT TSS, shall use best efforts to conclude arrangements with investment firms or market operators operating a multilateral trading facility under Directive 2014/65/EU to take over their operations, and shall specify those arrangements in their transition strategies.*

9. *CSDs operating a DLT SS that are only permitted to operate a DLT SS under Article 9(2) of this Regulation and that do not indicate in their transition strategies that they intend to obtain an authorisation to operate a securities settlement system under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, and investment firms or market operators operating a DLT TSS, shall use best efforts to conclude arrangements with CSDs operating a securities settlement system to take over their operations, and shall specify those arrangements in their transition strategies.*

CSDs operating a securities settlement system that receive a request to conclude the arrangements referred to in the first subparagraph of this paragraph shall respond within three months of the date of receipt of the request. The CSD operating the securities settlement system shall conclude the arrangements in a non-discriminatory manner and may charge a reasonable commercial fee based on actual costs. It shall deny such a request only where it considers that the arrangements would affect the smooth and orderly functioning of the financial markets or would pose a systemic risk. It shall not deny a request on the grounds of loss of market share. If it denies a request, it shall inform the operator of the DLT market infrastructure that made the request of its reasons in writing.

10. *The arrangements referred to in paragraphs 8 and 9 shall be in place no later than five years from the date of granting of the specific permission, or shall be in place at an earlier date if required by the competent authority in order to address any risk of early termination of the specific permission.*

Article 8

Specific permission to operate DLT MTF

1. A legal person who is authorised as an investment firm, or authorised **to operate** a regulated market, under Directive 2014/65/EU may apply for a specific permission to operate a DLT MTF under this Regulation.
2. *Where a legal person applies for authorisation as an investment firm or for authorisation to operate a regulated market under Directive 2014/65/EU and, simultaneously, applies for a specific permission under this Article, for the sole purpose of operating a DLT MTF, the competent authority shall not assess whether the applicant fulfils the requirements of Directive 2014/65/EU in respect of which the applicant has requested an exemption in accordance with Article 4 of this Regulation.*

3. *Where, as referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article, a legal person simultaneously applies for authorisation as an investment firm, or for authorisation to operate a regulated market, and for a specific permission, it shall submit in its application the information required under Article 7 of Directive 2014/65/EU, except for information that would be necessary to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in respect of which the applicant has requested an exemption in accordance with Article 4 of this Regulation.*
4. An application for a specific permission to operate a DLT MTF under this Regulation shall contain the following information:
 1.
 - (a) the applicant's business plan, the rules of the DLT MTF and any legal terms as referred to in Article 7(1), as well as information regarding the functioning, services and activities of the DLT MTF as referred to in Article 7(3);
 - (b) a description of the functioning of *the distributed ledger technology used*, as referred to in Article 7(2);
 - (c) a description of the applicant's overall IT and cyber arrangements as referred to in Article 7(4);
 - (d) *evidence that the applicant has in place sufficient prudential safeguards to meet its liabilities and to compensate its clients, as referred to in Article 7(6), third subparagraph;*

- (e) where applicable, a description of the safekeeping arrangements for clients' DLT ***financial instruments*** as referred to in Article 7(5);
- (f) ***a description of the arrangements for ensuring investor protection and a description of the mechanisms for handling client complaints and redress, as referred to in Article 7(6), second subparagraph;***
- (g) the applicant's transition strategy; and
- (h) the exemptions that the applicant is requesting under Article 4, the justification for each exemption requested and any compensatory measures proposed and the means by which it intends to comply with the conditions attached to those exemptions.

5. ***By ... [nine months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], ESMA shall develop guidelines to establish standard forms, formats and templates for the purposes of paragraph 4.***

6. *Within 30 working days of the date of receipt of an application for a specific permission to operate a DLT MTF, the competent authority shall assess whether the application is complete. If the application is not complete, the competent authority shall set a time limit by which the applicant is to provide the missing or any additional information. The competent authority shall inform the applicant when the competent authority considers the application to be complete.*

As soon as the competent authority considers the application to be complete, it shall send a copy of that application to ESMA.

7. *Where necessary to promote the consistency and proportionality of exemptions, or where necessary to ensure investor protection, market integrity and financial stability, ESMA shall provide the competent authority with a non-binding opinion on the exemptions requested or on the adequacy of the type of distributed ledger technology used for the purposes of this Regulation, within 30 calendar days of receiving the copy of that application.*

Before issuing a non-binding opinion, ESMA shall consult the competent authorities of the other Member States and shall take the utmost account of their views when issuing its opinion.

Where ESMA issues a non-binding opinion, the competent authority shall give that opinion due consideration and shall provide ESMA with a statement regarding any significant deviations from that opinion if ESMA so requests. ESMA's opinion and the competent authority's statement shall not be made public.

8. *By ... [two years from the date of application of this Regulation], ESMA shall develop guidelines to promote the consistency and proportionality of:*

- (a) *exemptions granted to operators of DLT MTFs throughout the Union, including in the context of evaluating the adequacy of different types of distributed ledger technology used by operators of DLT MTFs for the purposes of this Regulation; and*
- (b) *the exercise of the option provided for in Article 3(6).*

Those guidelines shall ensure investor protection, market integrity and financial stability.

ESMA shall periodically update those guidelines.

9. *Within 90 working days of the date of receipt of a complete application for a specific permission to operate a DLT MTF, the competent authority shall carry out an assessment of the application and decide whether to grant the specific permission. Where an applicant applies simultaneously for authorisation under Directive 2014/65/EU and for a specific permission under this Regulation, the assessment period may be extended for a further period up to that specified in Article 7(3) of Directive 2014/65/EU.*

10. Without prejudice to Articles 7 and 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU, the competent authority shall refuse to grant a specific permission to operate a DLT MTF if there are grounds for believing that:

- (a) there are significant risks to investor protection, market integrity or financial stability that are not properly addressed and mitigated by the applicant; □
- (b) the specific permission to operate a DLT MTF and the exemptions requested are being sought for the purpose of circumventing legal *or* regulatory requirements; *or*
- (c) *the operator of the DLT MTF will not be able to comply, or will not allow its users to comply, with applicable provisions of Union law or provisions of national law falling outside the scope of Union law.*

11. A specific permission shall be valid throughout the Union for a period of up to six years from the date of issuance. The specific permission shall specify the exemptions that are granted in accordance with Article 4, *any compensatory measures and any lower thresholds set by the competent authority in accordance with Article 3(6)*.

The competent authority shall inform ESMA of the grant, refusal or withdrawal of a specific permission under this Article without delay, including any information specified under the first subparagraph of this paragraph.

ESMA shall publish on its website:

- (a) *the list of DLT MTFs, the start and end dates of their specific permissions, the list of exemptions granted to each of them, and any lower thresholds set by competent authorities for each of them; and*
- (b) *the total number of requests for exemptions that have been made under Article 4, indicating the number and types of exemptions granted or refused, together with the justifications for any refusals.*

The information referred to in the third subparagraph, point (b), shall be published on an anonymous basis.

12. Without prejudice to Articles 8 and 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU, the competent authority shall withdraw a specific permission or any related exemptions █ where:

- (a) a flaw has been discovered in the functioning of the distributed ledger technology used, or in the services and activities provided by the operator of the DLT MTF, that poses a risk to investor protection, market integrity or financial stability, and the risk outweighs the benefits of the services and activities under experimentation;
- (b) the █ operator *of* the DLT MTF has breached the conditions attached to the exemptions;
- (c) the █ operator *of the* DLT MTF has admitted to trading financial instruments that do not fulfil the conditions set out in Article 3(1) █ ;
- (d) the █ operator *of the* DLT MTF *has exceeded the threshold referred to* in Article 3(2);

|(e) *the operator of the DLT MTF has exceeded the threshold referred to in Article 3(3) and has not activated the transition strategy; or*

(f) *the [] operator of the DLT MTF obtained the specific permission or related exemptions on the basis of misleading information or a material omission.*

13. Where an [] operator *of a* DLT MTF *intends to introduce* a material change to the functioning of the distributed ledger technology used, or to the services or activities of that operator, and that material change requires a new specific permission, a new exemption, or the modification of one or more of the operator's existing exemptions or of any conditions attached to an exemption, the operator of the DLT MTF shall request a new specific permission, exemption or modification.

Where an [] operator *of* a DLT MTF requests a new specific permission, exemption or modification, the procedure set out in Article 4 shall apply. That request shall be processed by the competent authority in accordance with *this Article*.

Article 9

Specific permission to operate a DLT ■ SS

1. A legal person who is authorised as a CSD under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 may apply for a specific permission to operate a DLT ■ SS under this Regulation.
2. *Where a legal person applies for authorisation as a CSD under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 and simultaneously applies for a specific permission under this Article, for the sole purpose of operating a DLT SS, the competent authority shall not assess whether the applicant fulfils those requirements of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 in respect of which the applicant has requested an exemption in accordance with Article 5 of this Regulation.*
3. *Where, as referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article, a legal person simultaneously applies for authorisation as a CSD and for a specific permission, it shall submit in its application the information referred to in Article 17(2) of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, except for information that would be necessary to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in respect of which the applicant has requested an exemption in accordance with Article 5 of this Regulation.*

4. An application for a specific permission to operate a DLT ■ SS under this Regulation shall contain the following information:

■

- (a) the applicant's business plan, the rules of the DLT ■ SS and any legal terms as referred to in Article 7(1), as well as information regarding the functioning, services and activities of the DLT ■ SS as referred to in Article 7(3);
- (b) a description of the functioning of *the distributed ledger* technology used, as referred to in Article 7(2);
- (c) a description of the applicant's overall IT and cyber arrangements as referred to in Article 7(4);
- (d) *evidence that the applicant has in place sufficient prudential safeguards to meet its liabilities and to compensate its clients, as referred to in Article 7(6), third subparagraph;*
- (e) where applicable, a description of the safekeeping arrangements for clients' DLT financial instruments as referred Article 7(5);
- (f) *a description of the arrangements for ensuring investor protection and a description of the mechanisms for handling client complaints and redress, as referred to in Article 7(6), second subparagraph;*

- (g) the applicant's transition strategy;
- (h) the exemptions that the applicant is requesting under Article 5, the justification for each exemption requested and any compensatory measures proposed and the means by which it intends to comply with the conditions attached to those exemptions.

5. *By ... [nine months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], ESMA shall develop guidelines to establish standard forms, formats and templates for the purposes of paragraph 4.*

6. *Within 30 working days of the date of receipt of an application for a specific permission to operate a DLT SS, the competent authority shall assess whether the application is complete. If the application is not complete, the competent authority shall set a time limit by which the applicant is to provide the missing or any additional information. The competent authority shall inform the applicant when the competent authority considers the application to be complete.*

As soon as the competent authority considers the application to be complete, it shall send a copy of that application to:

- (a) ESMA; and*
- (b) the relevant authorities specified in Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014.*

7. *Where necessary to promote the consistency and proportionality of exemptions, or where necessary to ensure investor protection, market integrity and financial stability, ESMA shall provide the competent authority with a non-binding opinion on the exemptions requested or on the adequacy of the type of distributed ledger technology used for the purposes of this Regulation, within 30 calendar days of receiving a copy of that application.*

Before issuing a non-binding opinion, ESMA shall consult the competent authorities of the other Member States [] and shall take the utmost account of their views when issuing its opinion.

Where ESMA issues a non-binding opinion, the competent authority shall give that opinion due consideration and shall provide ESMA with a statement regarding any significant deviations from that opinion if ESMA so requests. ESMA's opinion and the competent authority's statement shall not be made public.

The relevant authorities specified in Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 shall provide the competent authority with a non-binding opinion on the features of the DLT SS operated by the applicant within 30 calendar days of receiving a copy of that application.

8. *By ... [two years from the date of application of this Regulation], ESMA shall develop guidelines to promote the consistency and proportionality of:*

- (a) *exemptions granted to CSDs operating DLT SSs throughout the Union, including in the context of evaluating the adequacy of different types of distributed ledger technology used by market operators for the purposes of this Regulation; and*
- (b) *the exercise of the option provided for in Article 3(6).*

Those guidelines shall ensure investor protection, market integrity and financial stability.

ESMA shall periodically update those guidelines.

9. *Within 90 working days of the date of receipt of a complete application for a specific permission to operate a DLT SS, the competent authority shall carry out an assessment of the application and decide whether to grant the specific permission. Where the applicant applies simultaneously for authorisation as a CSD under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 and for a specific permission under this Regulation, the assessment period may be extended for a further period up to that specified in Article 17(8) of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014.*

10. Without prejudice to Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, the competent authority shall refuse to grant a specific permission to operate a DLT SS if there are grounds for believing that:

- (a) there are significant risks to investor protection, market integrity or financial stability that are not properly addressed and mitigated by the applicant; □
- (b) the specific permission to operate a DLT □ SS and the exemptions requested are being sought for the purpose of circumventing legal *or* regulatory requirements; *or*
- (c) *the CSD will not be able to comply, or will not allow its users to comply, with applicable provisions of Union law or provisions of national law falling outside the scope of Union law.*

11. A specific permission shall be valid throughout the Union for a period of up to six years from the date of issuance. The specific permission shall specify the exemptions that are granted in accordance with Article 5, *any compensatory measures and any lower thresholds set by the competent authority in accordance with Article 3(6)*.

The competent authority shall inform ESMA and the relevant authorities specified in paragraph 7 of this Article of the grant, refusal or withdrawal of a specific permission under this Article without delay, including any information specified under the first subparagraph of this paragraph.

ESMA shall publish on its website:

- (a) *the list of DLT SSs, the start and end dates of their specific permissions, the list of exemptions granted to each of them, and any lower thresholds set by the competent authorities for each of them; and*
- (b) *the total number of requests for exemptions that have been made under Article 5, indicating the number and types of exemptions granted or refused, together with the justifications for any refusals.*

The information referred to in the third subparagraph, point (b), shall be published on an anonymous basis.

12. Without prejudice to Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, the competent authority shall withdraw a specific permission or any related exemptions █ where:

- (a) a flaw has been discovered in the functioning of the distributed ledger technology used, or in the services and activities provided by the *CSD operating the DLT* █ SS, that poses a risk to investor protection, market integrity or financial stability, and the risk outweighs the benefits of the services and activities under experimentation;
- (b) the *CSD operating* the DLT █ SS has breached the conditions attached to the exemptions;
- (c) the *CSD operating* the DLT SS has recorded financial instruments that do not fulfil the conditions set out in Article 3(1) █ ;
- (d) the CSD operating the DLT SS has exceeded the threshold referred to in Article 3(2) █ ;

- (e) *the CSD operating the DLT SS has exceeded the threshold referred to in Article 3(3) and has not activated the transition strategy; or*
- (f) *the CSD operating the DLT SS obtained the specific permission or related exemptions on the basis of misleading information or a material omission.*

13. Where *a CSD operating a DLT SS intends to introduce* a material change to the functioning of the distributed ledger technology used, or to the services or activities of that CSD, and that material change requires a new specific permission, a new exemption, or the modification of one or more of that CSD's existing exemptions or of any conditions attached to an exemption, the CSD operating the DLT SS shall request a new specific permission, exemption or modification.

Where *a CSD operating a DLT* □ SS requests a new specific permission, exemption or modification, the procedure set out in Article 5 shall apply. That request shall be processed by the competent authority in accordance with *this Article*.

Article 10

Specific permission to operate DLT TSS

1. *A legal person who is authorised as an investment firm, or authorised to operate a regulated market, under Directive 2014/65/EU, or authorised as a CSD under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, may apply for a specific permission to operate a DLT TSS under this Regulation.*
2. *Where a legal person applies for authorisation as an investment firm or for authorisation to operate a regulated market under Directive 2014/65/EU, or as a CSD under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, and, simultaneously, applies for a specific permission under this Article, for the sole purpose of operating a DLT TSS, the competent authority shall not assess whether the applicant fulfils those requirements of Directive 2014/65/EU or those of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 in respect of which the applicant has requested an exemption in accordance with Article 6 of this Regulation.*

3. *Where, as referred to in paragraph 2 of this Article, a legal person simultaneously applies for authorisation as an investment firm or for authorisation to operate a regulated market, or for authorisation as a CSD, and for a specific permission, it shall submit in its application the information required under Article 7 of Directive 2014/65/EU or Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 respectively, except for information that would be necessary to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in respect of which the applicant has requested an exemption in accordance with Article 6 of this Regulation.*
4. *An application for a specific permission to operate a DLT TSS under this Regulation shall contain the following information:*
 - (a) *the applicant's business plan, the rules of the DLT TSS and any legal terms as referred to in Article 7(1), as well as information regarding the functioning, services and activities of the DLT TSS as referred to in Article 7(3);*
 - (b) *a description of the functioning of the distributed ledger technology used, as referred to in Article 7(2);*
 - (c) *a description of the applicant's overall IT and cyber arrangements as referred to in Article 7(4);*

- (d) *evidence that the applicant has in place sufficient prudential safeguards to meet its liabilities and to compensate its clients, as referred to in Article 7(6), third subparagraph;*
- (e) *where applicable, a description of the safekeeping arrangements for clients' DLT financial instruments as referred to in Article 7(5);*
- (f) *a description of the arrangements for ensuring investor protection and a description of the mechanisms for handling client complaints and redress, as referred to in Article 7(6), second subparagraph;*
- (g) *the applicant's transition strategy; and*
- (h) *the exemptions that the applicant is requesting under Article 6, the justification for each exemption requested and any compensatory measures proposed and the means by which it intends to comply with the conditions attached to those exemptions.*

5. *In addition to the information referred to in paragraph 4 of this Article, an applicant that intends to operate a DLT TSS as an investment firm or market operator shall, submit the information on how it intends to comply with the applicable requirements of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 as referred to in Article 6(1) of this Regulation, except for information that would be necessary to demonstrate compliance with requirements in respect of which the applicant has requested an exemption in accordance with that Article.*

In addition to the information referred to in paragraph 4 of this Article, an applicant that intends to operate a DLT TSS as a CSD shall submit the information on how it intends to comply with the applicable requirements of Directive 2014/65/EU as referred to in Article 6(2) of this Regulation, except for information that would be necessary to demonstrate compliance with requirements in respect of which the applicant has requested an exemption in accordance with that Article.

6. *By ... [nine months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], ESMA shall develop guidelines to establish standard forms, formats and templates for the purposes of paragraph 4.*

7. *Within 30 working days of the date of receipt of an application for a specific permission to operate a DLT TSS, the competent authority shall assess whether the application is complete. If the application is not complete, the competent authority shall set a time limit by which the applicant is to provide the missing or any additional information. The competent authority shall inform the applicant when the competent authority considers the application to be complete.*

As soon as the competent authority considers the application to be complete, it shall send a copy of that application to:

(a) ESMA; and

(b) the relevant authorities specified in Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014.

8. *Where necessary to promote the consistency and proportionality of exemptions, or where necessary to ensure investor protection, market integrity and financial stability, ESMA shall provide the competent authority with a non-binding opinion on the exemptions requested or on the adequacy of the type of distributed ledger technology used for the purposes of this Regulation, within 30 calendar days of receiving a copy of that application.*

Before issuing a non-binding opinion, ESMA shall consult the competent authorities of the other Member States and shall take the utmost account of their views when issuing its opinion.

Where ESMA issues a non-binding opinion, the competent authority shall give that opinion due consideration and shall provide ESMA with a statement regarding any significant deviations from that opinion if ESMA so requests. ESMA's opinion and the competent authority's statement shall not be made public.

The relevant authorities specified in Articles 12 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 shall provide the competent authority with a non-binding opinion on the features of the DLT TSS operated by the applicant within 30 calendar days of receiving a copy of that application.

9. *Within 90 working days of the date of receipt of a complete application for a specific permission to operate a DLT TSS, the competent authority shall carry out an assessment of the application and decide whether to grant the specific permission. Where the applicant applies simultaneously for authorisation under Directive 2014/65/EU or under Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 and for a specific permission under this Article, the assessment period may be extended for a further period up to that specified in Article 7(3) of Directive 2014/65/EU or Article 17(8) of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 respectively.*

10. *Without prejudice to Articles 7 and 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU and Article 17 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, the competent authority shall refuse to grant a specific permission to operate a DLT TSS if there are grounds for believing that:*

- (a) there are significant risks to investor protection, market integrity or financial stability that are not properly addressed and mitigated by the applicant;*
- (b) the specific permission to operate a DLT TSS and the exemptions requested are being sought for the purpose of circumventing legal or regulatory requirements; or*
- (c) the operator of DLT TSS will not be able to comply, or will not allow its users to comply, with applicable provisions of Union law or provisions of national law falling outside the scope of Union Law.*

11. *A specific permission shall be valid throughout the Union for a period of up to six years from the date of issuance. The specific permission shall specify the exemptions that are granted in accordance with Article 6, any compensatory measures and any lower thresholds set by the competent authority in accordance with Article 3(6).*

The competent authority shall inform ESMA and the relevant authorities specified in Article 12 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 of the grant, refusal, or withdrawal of a specific permission under this Article without delay, including any information specified under the first subparagraph of this paragraph.

ESMA shall publish on its website:

- (a) the list of DLT TSSs, the start and end dates of their specific permissions, the list of exemptions granted to each of them, and any lower thresholds set by competent authorities for each of them; and*
- (b) the total number of requests for exemptions that have been made under Article 6, indicating the number and types of exemptions granted or refused, together with the justifications for any refusals.*

The information referred to in the third subparagraph, point (b), shall be published on an anonymous basis.

12. *Without prejudice to Articles 8 and 44 of Directive 2014/65/EU and Article 20 of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, the competent authority shall withdraw a specific permission or any related exemptions where:*

- (a) a flaw has been discovered in the functioning of the distributed ledger technology used, or in the services and activities provided by the operator of a DLT TSS that poses a risk to investor protection, market integrity or financial stability, and the risk outweighs the benefits of the services and activities under experimentation;*
- (b) the operator of the DLT TSS has breached the conditions attached to the exemptions;*
- (c) the operator of the DLT TSS has admitted to trading or recorded financial instruments that do not fulfil the conditions set out in Article 3(1);*
- (d) the operator of the DLT TSS has exceeded the threshold referred to in Article 3(2);*

- (e) *the operator of the DLT TSS has exceeded the threshold referred to in Article 3(3) and has not activated the transition strategy; or*
- (f) *the operator of the DLT TSS obtained the specific permission or related exemptions on the basis of misleading information or a material omission.*

13. *Where an operator of a DLT TSS intends to introduce a material change to the functioning of the distributed ledger technology used, or to the services or activities of that operator, and that material change requires a new specific permission, a new exemption, or the modification of one or more of the operator's existing exemptions or of any conditions attached to an exemption, the operator of the DLT TSS shall request a new specific permission, exemption or modification.*

Where an operator of a DLT TSS requests a new specific permission, exemption or modification, the procedure set out in Article 6 shall apply. That request shall be processed by the competent authority in accordance with this Article.

Article 11

Cooperation between operators of DLT market infrastructures, competent authorities and ESMA

- Without prejudice to Regulation (EU) No 909/2014 and Directive 2014/65/EU, operators of DLT market infrastructures shall cooperate with the competent authorities █ .

In particular, operators of DLT market infrastructures shall notify *their* competent authorities upon becoming aware of any of the following matters, without delay:

- any proposed material change to their business plan, including changes in relation to critical staff, the rules of the DLT market infrastructure and the legal terms;

- (b) any evidence of unauthorised access, material malfunctioning, loss, cyber-attacks or other cyber-threats, fraud, theft or other serious malpractice suffered by the operator of the DLT market infrastructure;
- (c) any material change to the information provided to the competent authority;
- (d) any technical or operational difficulties in performing the activities or providing the services that are subject to the specific permission, including difficulties related to the development or use of the distributed ledger technology and DLT *financial instruments*; or
- (e) any risks affecting investor protection, market integrity or financial stability that have arisen and that were not anticipated in the application requesting the specific permission or that were not anticipated at the time when the specific permission was granted.

Changes referred to in the second subparagraph, point (a) shall be notified at least four months before the change is planned, regardless of whether the proposed material change requires a change to the specific permission or related exemptions or conditions attached to those exemptions in accordance with Article 8, **9** or **10**.

Where notified of the matters listed in the second subparagraph, points (a) to (e), the competent authority may require the operator of the DLT market infrastructure to make an application in accordance with Article 8(13), **Article 9(13)** or **Article 10(13)**, *or* may require the operator of the DLT market infrastructure to take corrective measures as referred to in paragraph 3 of this Article.

2. The operator of the DLT market infrastructure shall provide the competent authority █ with any relevant information it requires.
3. The competent authority may require any corrective measures with respect to the business plan of the operator of the DLT market infrastructure, the rules of the DLT market infrastructure and the legal terms in order to ensure investor protection, market integrity or financial stability. █ The operator of the DLT market infrastructure shall report on the implementation of any corrective measures required by the competent authority in its reports as referred to in paragraph 4.
4. Every six months from the date of the specific permission, the operator of a DLT market infrastructure shall submit a report to the competent authority █ . That report shall include:
 - (a) a summary of the information listed in paragraph 1, second subparagraph ;

- (b) the number and value of DLT *financial instruments* admitted to trading on the DLT MTF *or DLT TSS and* the number and value of *DLT* financial instruments recorded by the *operator of the DLT SS or DLT TSS*;
- (c) the number and value of transactions traded on the DLT MTF *or DLT TSS and settled* by the *operator of the DLT SS or DLT TSS*;
- (d) a reasoned assessment of any difficulties in applying Union financial services legislation or national law; and
- (e) any actions taken to implement the conditions attached to the exemptions or to implement any compensatory or corrective measures required by the competent authority.

5. ESMA shall fulfil a coordination role with respect to competent authorities with a view to building a common understanding of distributed ledger technology and DLT market infrastructure, to establishing a common supervisory culture and the convergence of supervisory practices, and to ensuring consistent approaches and convergence in supervisory outcomes.

Competent authorities shall forward to ESMA the information and reports received from operators of DLT market infrastructures pursuant to paragraphs 1, 2 and 4 of this Article in a timely manner, and shall inform ESMA of any measures taken pursuant to paragraph 3 of this Article.

ESMA shall inform competent authorities on a regular basis of:

- (a) any reports submitted pursuant to paragraph 4 of this Article;
- (b) any specific permissions and exemptions granted under this Regulation as well as the conditions attached to those exemptions;
- (c) any refusal by a competent authority to grant a specific permission or exemption, any withdrawal of a specific permission or exemption and any cessations of business of a DLT market infrastructure.

6. ESMA shall monitor the application of specific permissions, and any related exemptions and conditions attached to those exemptions, as well as any compensatory or corrective measures required by competent authorities. ESMA shall submit an annual report to the Commission on how such specific permissions, exemptions, conditions and compensatory or corrective measures are applied in practice.

Article 12

Designation of competent authorities

1. The competent authority for an investment firm operating a DLT MTF or DLT TSS shall be the competent authority designated by the Member State determined in accordance with Article 4(1), points (55)(a)(ii) and (iii), of Directive 2014/65/EU.
2. The competent authority for a market operator operating a DLT MTF or DLT TSS shall be the competent authority designated by the Member State in which the registered office of the market operator operating the DLT MTF or DLT TSS is situated or, if in accordance with the law of that Member State the market operator has no registered office, the Member State in which the head office of the market operator operating the DLT MTF or DLT TSS is situated.
3. The competent authority for a CSD operating a DLT SS or DLT TSS shall be the competent authority designated by the Member State determined in accordance with Article 2(1), point (23), of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014.

Article 13

Notification of competent authorities

■ The Member States shall notify any competent authorities within the meaning of Article 2, point (21)(c), to ESMA and the Commission. ESMA shall publish a list of those competent authorities on its website.

Article 14
Report and review

1. *By ... [three* years from the date of application of this Regulation], ESMA shall present a report to the Commission on:
 - (a) the functioning of DLT market infrastructures throughout the Union;
 - (b) the number of DLT ***market infrastructures***;
 - (c) the types of exemption requested by DLT market infrastructures and the types of exemption granted;
 - (d) the number and value of DLT ***financial instruments*** that are admitted to trading *and that are recorded on DLT market infrastructures*;
 - (e) the number and value of transactions traded *or* settled *on DLT market infrastructures*;
 - (f) the types of distributed ledger technology used and technical issues related to the use of distributed ledger technology, including the matters listed in Article 11(1), second subparagraph, point (b), *and on the impact of the use of distributed ledger technology on the climate policy objectives of the Union*;

- (g) the procedures put in place by *operators of DLT SSs or DLT TSSs* in accordance with Article 5(3), *point (b)*;
- (h) any risks, *vulnerabilities or inefficiencies* posed by the use of distributed ledger technology *to investor protection, market integrity or financial stability, including any novel types of legal, systemic and operational risks, which are not sufficiently addressed by Union financial services legislation, and any other unintended effects on liquidity, volatility, investor protection, market integrity or financial stability*;
- (i) any *risks of regulatory arbitrage or issues affecting the level playing field between DLT market infrastructures under the pilot regime provided for in this Regulation and between DLT market infrastructures and other market infrastructures using legacy systems*;
- (j) any issues relating to interoperability between DLT market infrastructures and other infrastructures using legacy systems;

- (k) any benefits *and costs* resulting from the use of a distributed ledger technology in terms of *additional liquidity and financing for start-ups and small- and medium-sized enterprises, safety and* efficiency improvements, *energy consumption* and risk *mitigation* throughout the entire trading and post-trading chain, including with regard to the recording and safekeeping of DLT *financial instruments*, the traceability of transactions *and enhanced compliance with know-your-customer and anti-money laundering processes*, corporate actions *and direct exercise of investor rights by means of smart contracts*, and reporting and supervisory functions at the level of the DLT market infrastructure;
- (l) any refusals to grant specific permissions or exemptions, any modifications or withdrawals of such specific permissions or exemptions as well as any compensatory or corrective measures; □
- (m) any cessation of business by a DLT market infrastructure and the reasons for that cessation of business;

- (n) *the appropriateness of the thresholds referred to in Article 3 and Article 5(8), including the potential implications resulting from an increase of those thresholds, taking into account, in particular, systemic considerations and different types of distributed ledger technology;*
- (o) *an overall assessment of the costs and benefits of the pilot regime provided for in this Regulation and a recommendation whether, and under which conditions, to continue this pilot regime.*

2. On the basis of the report referred to in paragraph 1, *within three months of receipt of that report*, the Commission shall present a report to the European Parliament and to the Council. That report shall include a cost-benefit analysis on whether the pilot regime provided for in this Regulation should be:

- (a) extended for a further period *of up to three years*;
- (b) extended to other types of financial instrument that can be issued, recorded, transferred or stored using a distributed ledger technology;

- (c) amended;
- (d) made permanent *through appropriate amendments of the relevant Union financial services legislation*; or
- (e) terminated, *including all specific permissions granted under this Regulation*.

In its report, the Commission may propose any appropriate amendment to Union financial services legislation or any harmonisation of national laws that would facilitate the use of distributed ledger technology in the financial sector, as well as any measures needed for the transition of DLT market infrastructures away from the pilot regime provided for in this Regulation.

In the event that this pilot regime is extended for a further period as provided for in the first subparagraph, point (a), of this paragraph, the Commission shall ask ESMA to submit an additional report in accordance with paragraph 1 no later than three months before the end of the extension period. Upon receipt of that report, the Commission shall submit to the European Parliament and the Council an additional report in accordance with this paragraph.

Article 15
Interim reports

ESMA shall publish annual interim reports in order to provide market participants with information on the functioning of the markets, to address incorrect behaviour of operators of DLT market infrastructures, to provide clarifications on the application of this Regulation and to update previous indications based on the evolution of distributed ledger technology. Those reports shall also provide an overall description of the application of the pilot regime provided for in this Regulation, focusing on trends and emerging risks, and shall be submitted to the European Parliament, the Council and the Commission. The first such report shall be published by ... [12 months from the date of application of this Regulation].

Article 16

Amendment to Regulation (EU) No 600/2014

In Article 54(2) of Regulation (EU) No 600/2014, the first subparagraph is replaced by the following:

'If the Commission concludes that there is no need to exclude exchange-traded derivatives from the scope of Articles 35 and 36 in accordance with Article 52(12), a CCP or a trading venue may, before ... [the date of entry into force of this amending Regulation], apply to its competent authority for permission to avail itself of transitional arrangements. The competent authority, taking into account the risks to the orderly functioning of the relevant CCP or trading venue resulting from the application of the access rights under Article 35 or 36 as regards exchange-traded derivatives, may decide that Article 35 or 36 does not apply to the relevant CCP or trading venue, respectively, in respect of exchange-traded derivatives, for a transitional period until 3 July 2023. Where the competent authority decides to approve such a transitional period, the CCP or trading venue shall not benefit from the access rights under Article 35 or 36 as regards exchange-traded derivatives for the duration of the transitional period. The competent authority shall notify ESMA and, in the case of a CCP, the college of competent authorities for that CCP, whenever it approves a transitional period.'

Article 17

Amendment to Regulation (EU) No 909/2014

In Article 76(5) of Regulation (EU) No 909/2014, the first subparagraph is replaced by the following:

‘Each of the settlement discipline measures referred to in Article 7(1) to (13) shall apply from the date of application specified for each settlement discipline measure in the delegated act adopted by the Commission pursuant to Article 7(15).’.

Article 18
Amendments to Directive 2014/65/EU

Directive 2014/65/EU is amended as follows:

(1) *in Article 4(1), point (15) is replaced by the following:*

'(15) 'financial instrument' means those instruments specified in Section C of Annex I, including such instruments issued by means of distributed ledger technology;';

(2) *in Article 93, the following paragraph is inserted:*

'3a. By ... [the date of application of this amending Regulation], Member States shall adopt and publish the provisions necessary to comply with point (15) of Article 4(1) and shall communicate them to the Commission. They shall apply those provisions from ... [the date of application of this amending Regulation].

By way of derogation from the first subparagraph, Member States that cannot adopt provisions necessary to comply with point (15) of Article 4(1) by ... [the date of application of this amending Regulation], because their legislative procedures take more than nine months, shall benefit from an extension of a maximum of six months from ... [the date of application of this amending Regulation], provided that they notify the Commission of their need to make use of that extension by ... [the date of application of this amending Regulation].'.

Article 19
Entry into force and application

1. This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day following that of its publication in the *Official Journal of the European Union*.
2. It shall apply from ... [**nine** months from the date of entry into force of this Regulation], except for:
 - a) Articles 8(5), 9(5), 10(6) and 17, which shall apply from the date of entry into force of this Regulation; and
 - b) Article 16, which shall apply from 4 July 2021.

This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.

Done at ...,

For the European Parliament

The President

For the Council

The President