Council of the European Union

Brussels, 17 November 2025
(OR. en)

15490/25

COSI 229
CRIMORG 237
JAI 1698
CEPOL
EU-LISA
EUDA
EUROJUST
EUROPOL
FRONTEX

NOTE

From:	General Secretariat of the Council
To:	Delegations
No. prev. doc.:	12365/1/25 REV 1
Subject:	Revised EMPACT reporting mechanism

Delegations will find in the annex a clean version of the revised EMPACT reporting mechanism approved by the COSI Support Group on 10 November 2025.

EMPACT reporting mechanism

Contents

1. Introduction

The Council conclusions of 26 February 2021 on the permanent continuation of the EU Policy Cycle for organised and serious international crime: EMPACT 2022+[1] and more recently the Council conclusions on the enhancement of EMPACT and on EU crime priorities for the next EMPACT cycle 2026-2029[2], shape EMPACT as a matrix consisting of vertical and horizontal[3] priorities, and horizontal strategic goals:

- Vertical and horizontal priorities are defined in the Council conclusions on the enhancement of EMPACT and on EU crime priorities for the next EMPACT cycle 2026-2029 of 13 June 2025[4].

- Common Horizontal Strategic Goals (CHSGs) are defined in the General Multi-Annual Strategic Plan (G-MASP) of 27 June 2025[5].

Therefore, the purpose of this document is to replace and revise the current reporting mechanism[6] in order to reflect the following EMPACT developments:

- The CHGSs for 2026-2029 as defined in the General Multi-Annual Strategic Plan (G-MASP)[7].

- The consolidation of the function of 'Coordinator of a Common Horizontal Strategic Goal' (CHSG Coordinator), as described in the EMPACT Terms of reference[8].

- The assessment of the achievement of the strategic goals foreseen in the EMPACT timeline for 2026-2029[9].

---

[1]   6481/21
[2]   9397/25
[3]   *The priority of tackling the most threatening criminal networks and individuals (MTCNI) should be addressed through one OAP intended to be overarching, horizontal and cross-cutting* (9207/25)
[4]   9397/25
[5]   10937/25
[6]   12134/2/22
[7]   10937/25
[8]   8491/25
[9]   5782 REV1/25

- The simplification of reporting by reducing the number of reports to two (OAP implementation reports as described in point 2.3) in accordance with the EMPACT Terms of Reference.

Moreover, the revision serves the need:

- To reduce the administrative burden related to EMPACT reporting by removing duplication and clarifying the division between the descriptive part and templates and providing further simplification.

- To streamline data collection in order to capture the results of actions and improve the content of the factsheets.

- To prepare for the online EMPACT tool for Operational Action Plans (OAP) drafting, monitoring and reporting.

This document focuses on the reporting mechanism. The planning templates (G-MASP and OAP) are included in the document 'EMPACT 2026-2029 – Templates'.[10]

2. Data collection

2.1 Objective

The objective of the reporting mechanism is to provide timely and accurate information for COSI to perform its function of monitoring EMPACT.

High quality reporting from Action leaders to Drivers is a key step in measuring the progress made towards obtaining an overview of operational results, and to assessing the achievement of the objectives of Operational Actions (OAs) against the Common Horizontal Strategic Goals. When it comes to the latter, this is complemented by the CHSG Coordinators' assessment.

---

[10]   10283/25

The reporting mechanism provides data for the collection of the results of the OAs in a systematic manner to support the Drivers in their task of managing the OAP and to prepare the light and the comprehensive reports. This is also an important step that enables the EMPACT Support Team (EST) to carry out its monitoring and reporting tasks. Finally, the collection of structured data facilitates the work of the National EMPACT Coordinators (NECs) in following the development of OAs within the OAPs and is a precondition for strategic assessment by COSI.

The envisaged EMPACT online tool for OAP drafting, monitoring and reporting should enhance the effectiveness and efficiency of monitoring and reporting. This online tool should be evaluated during the EMPACT cycle, based on user experiences with the aim of improving quality of both the tool and reporting.

For the purpose of engaging in secure information exchange when implementing the actions, the competent authorities in the Member States should invest effort in providing access to Europol's Secure Information Exchange Network Application (SIENA) to Drivers/ Co-drivers and Action leaders/Co-leaders and Participants, when possible, in accordance with the applicable legal framework.[11]

2.2 Responsibilities during the reporting process

The clear division of responsibilities facilitates the proper functioning of the reporting mechanism. The division between the actors involved is as follows:

● The Action leader is responsible for planning, monitoring and reporting to the Driver on the progress/results in the implementation of an action. This is done by (i) setting the appropriate KPI/ targets following the KPIs recommended for each CHSG included in G-MASP and CHSG 2026-2029[12]; (ii) monitoring their progress; (iii) reporting to the Driver on their achievement and results.

● The Driver is responsible for OAP planning, monitoring and reporting. This is done by (i) ensuring that the planned actions contribute to the aim of the OAP; (ii) supervising that the KPIs and targets set by the Action leader reflect the specific aim of the priority/OAP; (iii) reporting on overall OAP progress and achievements.

---

[11] Access to SIENA can only be provided within the framework of the Europol Regulation. The Information Exchange Directive (2023/977) establishes SIENA as default channel for information exchange.
[12] 10937/25

• The CHSG Coordinator should seek to ensure, where relevant and in close cooperation with the Driver, that the actions in each OAP address the objective of a specific strategic goal. This is done by (i) advising the Action leaders/ Drivers and Co-Drivers (including on KPIs); (ii) monitoring the coherence of the KPIs across the OAPs per strategic goal; (iii) reporting on the overall achievement of a strategic goal. The CHSG Coordinator should identify and ensure that OAs under and across different OAPs are conducted without overlaps or duplication, encourage synergies, and identify good practices. Both relevant overlaps and good practices should be accordingly reported. In addition, the CHSG Coordinator should bring any important issue which might jeopardise the implementation of the CHSG to the attention of the EST/Drivers/NECs.

2.3 Periodicity of reporting

OAP implementation is a way of achieving the objectives of strategic goals. Therefore, during the four-year cycle there is the following reporting:

a) Interim ("light") reporting is performed during the implementation period of the OAP in March of the second year of the OAP;

b) Final ("comprehensive") reporting is performed after the implementation period of the OAP in March of the year following the duration of the OAP;

c) Assessment of the achievement of strategic goals during the implementation period.

The deadlines for the reports are set out in the document 'EMPACT Timeline 2026-2029' and further detailed in the Presidency 'EMPACT Timeline' issued at the beginning of each Presidency. These deadlines are related to (i) the Action leaders' report to Drivers, (ii) the Drivers and Action leaders' report to the EST, and (iii) the Drivers and Action leaders' report to the CHSG Coordinator.

2.4 Reporting landscape

The reporting flow ensures that information from one report feeds into another, in a reverse cascading manner (bottom-up approach). The set of reports consists of the following:

1)   The structured report provided by an **Action leader** captures the state of implementation and results of action and serves as input for the Driver's narrative report.

2)   The narrative report provided by a **Driver** captures the state of progress in achieving the aim of the OAP, as well as serving as input for factsheets and Europol findings.

3)   The narrative report provided by the **CHSG Coordinator** captures the state of progress in achieving a specific strategic goal across all OAPs and serves as input for Europol findings.

4)   The structured report of a **Coordinator of Joint action days** (JADs) captures the results of JADs and serves as input for Europol findings.

5)   **Europol findings** capture the experience of implementing the OAPs, highlight topics for attention and strive to suggest options for improving the EMPACT mechanism.

6)   **Presidency NECs meeting summary report** presents recommendations for increasing the efficiency and effectiveness of EMPACT.

7)   **Assessment** of the results of the actions to measure the achievement of strategic goals with a view to improve the operational implementation of EMPACT. The assessment will be part of the independent evaluation of the EMPACT cycle.

3.   Description of reports

List of reports:

1)   The action progress (light) and action achievements/results (comprehensive) reports

2)   The OAP progress (light) and achievement (comprehensive) reports and OAP results – data for factsheets

3)   The JAD results

4)   Strategic goals' progress and achievement

5)   Europol findings on the implementation of the OAPs

6)   The Presidency NEC meeting summary report

Description of reports:

3.1 Action progress – Action leader's light and comprehensive reporting

Each Action leader reports on the progress on the implementation of an action (light reporting) and its results (comprehensive reporting). The reports should be prepared with the support of the Action co-leader(s).

The Action leader submits the report to the respective OAP Driver. After receiving the reports from the Action leaders, the Driver forwards them in one package to the EST. The EST distributes them promptly to the CHSG Coordinators. If there are outstanding questions or clarification is needed, the CHSG Coordinators can directly approach the Drivers. The NEC ensures that all Action leaders from their country/entity provide the timely report on the basis of the template. The EST facilitates the reporting process by prompting the collection and storing the reports.

The data provided by Action leaders must be comprehensive enough to enable the Driver to assess the status of implementation of the OA.

Action leader provides the report as required in the template 01 (light reporting) and template 02 (comprehensive reporting).

3.2 OAP progress – Driver's reporting

3.2.1 Driver's light and comprehensive narrative reports

Each OAP Driver reports on the progress on the implementation of an OAP (light reporting) and its results (comprehensive reporting). It consists of a narrative report of a Driver based on experience and information from Action leaders' reports as well as his own assessment. The report should be prepared with the support of the Co-driver(s).

The Driver submits the narrative report to the EST. The NEC ensures that all Drivers from their country provide timely reporting with the required quality. The EST facilitates the reporting process by prompting the collection and storage of the reports.

The Driver provides the report as required in template 03 (light reporting) and template 04 (comprehensive reporting). This is submitted to the EST together with the collection of Action leader's reports. The Driver also shares the report with the CHSG Coordinators. The EST subsequently shares the report with the NECs and COSI SG/COSI.

3.2.2 Data for annual factsheets

During the OAP drafting process the Action leaders, in coordination with the Drivers, set the appropriate KPIs and targets for each OA goal, to best reflect the achievements of the OA. The Action Leaders, supported by the CHSG coordinator, should apply and follow the KPIs recommended. These recommendations for each CHSG are included in the G-MASP and CHSG 2026-2029[13]. This should ensure coherence across the OAPs and facilitate the compilation of results during reporting. For the preparation of the general EMPACT factsheet, each Action Leader should provide quantitative data to the Driver, who in turn will have to provide at least the overall number of arrests, seizures, cases/investigations initiated, and victims identified and safeguarded (where relevant).

The factsheet on the results of OAPs shows the operational and strategic results of the OAPs annually (in March), in particular the largest operations with the highest impact on organised crime, as well as non-operational and strategic achievements, which demonstrate that EMPACT is tackling organised crime in a holistic manner. A specific factsheet shall highlight the operational results collected through the JADs. The objective is to give visibility to the benefits that EMPACT is generating in fighting organised and serious international crime.

During the implementation of OAs, in order to obtain relevant operational results, Europol shall act as the EU criminal Information hub and facilitate operational data exchange (circulated via SIENA) to the Action leaders. Action leaders provide the necessary data in the Action leader report. The Drivers select the qualitative and quantitative data. Action leaders may provide pictures (as files with the adequate quality for edition and publication) to illustrate and promote their operations. These could illustrate the factsheet and support the EMPACT joint communication strategy. The

---

[13] 10937/25

EST aggregates the results from all OAPs, sanitises the data for the public version of factsheets, and sends the results to the Presidency and the General Secretariat of the Council[14].

The Drivers provide the data as required in the template 05 to the EST. The EST subsequently shares the data with the NECs and COSI SG/COSI.

3.3 JAD results

Each JAD Coordinator reports to the EST on the results of JADs annually. The EST prepares the summary reports in consultation with the respective JADs Coordinator. The results are presented to COSI/COSI SG, and they serve as input for their deliberation on the JAD strategic guidance for planning of the JADs in the following year.

The JAD Coordinator provides the report as required in template 06 to the EST. Based on these reports the EST prepares a summary report that is shared with the NECs and subsequently COSI SG/COSI.

3.4 Achievement of CHSGs – CHSG Coordinators' reporting

Each CHSG Coordinator reports to the EST on the progress towards achieving the strategic goals across the OAPs.

The report will highlight the main activities carried out, include examples of good practices (for instance as regards the multi-disciplinary/multi-agency approach) and identify possible issues that might jeopardise the implementation of the strategic goals and should be brought to the attention of Drivers/NECs.

The reports will contribute to the assessment of the results of actions to measure the achievement of strategic goals.

CHSG Coordinators provide the report as required in the template 07 to the EST and the Drivers. The EST subsequently shares the report with the NECs and COSI SG/COSI.

---

[14] The General Secretariat of the Council (GSC) in coordination with the EST streamlines the process until the annual publication of the factsheet and the EMPACT video (normally presented every year to JHA Ministers at the JHA Council in June)

3.5 Europol's findings on the implementation of the OAPs

Based on the Drivers' reports, CHSG Coordinators' reports, JAD reports and its own monitoring, the EST will compile the document "*Europol's (interim or final) findings on the implementation of OAPs*" with facts, findings and possible recommendations for consideration by the NECs related to at least the following elements:

- Engagement in actions

- Highlights per OAP

- Highlights per Strategic Goal

- Highlights on JADs

- Trends regarding EMPACT funding

- Multidisciplinary aspects

- Good practices

- Topics of common interest and concerns

- Overview on the status of the OA in the OAPs

3.6 Presidency's NEC meeting summary report

Based upon Europol's findings and the result of the debate during the NEC meeting, the Presidency drafts a summary report on the progress (after first year) and achievements (after second year) of the OAPs containing possible recommendations to be endorsed by COSI.

The information on the state of play of the implementation of the recommendations will be a standing point on the NEC agenda prepared by the Presidency (in close cooperation with the previous Presidency) and supported by the EST. The report is shared with COSI SG/COSI.

3.7 Assessment of the results of the actions to measure the achievement of strategic goals

The results of the actions to measure the achievement of the strategic goals should be assessed with a view to improving the operational implementation of EMPACT. This assessment will be carried out once per EMPACT cycle and will be part of the independent evaluation of the EMPACT cycle.

The assessment follows a bottom-up approach, starting from the Action leaders and Drivers' reports and utilising Europol's findings, the Presidency's NEC meeting summary reports and the CHSG Coordinators' reporting. The assessment consists of the following three elements:

3.7.1 Aggregation of quantitative results

The KPIs as set out in the G-MASPs should guide the selection of KPIs in OAs per strategic goal across OAPs. One prerequisite for the aggregation of results per strategic goal is to set, whenever possible, the same KPIs in OAs within the same strategic goal in all OAPs. This would permit the aggregation of results (trends and general evolution) achieved by similar actions in different priorities.

3.7.2 Qualitative assessment of the achievements of the strategic goals

The assessment of the level of achievement of strategic goals will be mainly qualitative and will employ a SWOT analysis which will consider the Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities and Threats linked to the achievement of the different types of strategic goals across priorities.

These four features will make it possible to examine the implementation, and to identify whether the operational and strategic process is generally being conducted appropriately by the different actors.

3.7.3 Conclusions to improve operational implementation

The assessment should help to identify shortcomings and good practices[15] adopted in the implementation of the different OAPs in a number of areas, such as co-operation between different types of stakeholders; the handling and use of funding, the exchange of information and the possible synergies and overlap with other initiatives.

The assessment should, in addition to the objectives included in the first paragraph of this chapter, provide for an overall assessment of the extent to which the strategic goals have been achieved. Over the whole process of reporting, the Action leaders are called to address any issue to the attention of the Drivers, in dialogue with the CHSG Coordinators. In turn, the Driver must bring to the attention of the NECs and COSI/COSI SG any relevant topic or persisting issue. Similarly, all these core actors are invited to report, share and spread good practices on implementation of OAPs within the EMPACT community.

The findings of the assessment should be implemented in the OAPs and/or trigger measures improving the functioning of the EMPACT instrument as set out in the Council conclusions on the permanent continuation of the EU Policy Cycle for organised and serious international crime EMPACT 2022+, and in the Council conclusions on the enhancement of EMPACT and on EU crime priorities for the next EMPACT cycle 2026-2029.

---

[15] *The recommended criteria (recommendation 2.5 of the Roadmap of the Independent evaluation on the EMPACT cycle 2022-2025) to define and identify a good practice are as follows:*
o   *Effective and successful: A practice that proved to work well and produce good results and is therefore recommended as a model. It is a successful story, which has been tested and validated in a specific implementation context.*
o   *Sustainable: A practice that meets current needs, without compromising the ability to address future needs.*
o   *Replicable and adaptable: An experience that has clear enabling mechanisms/factors that can be 'extrapolated' from the original implementation context and 'replicated' in other contexts, with the necessary adjustments vis-à-vis the new implementation context.*

4 Reporting templates

4.1 Action leader's reporting

The report consists of 2 parts: light report for the first year (in March of the second year of the OAP) and comprehensive report (in March of the year following the OAP duration)

4.1.1 Action leader's light report

This report summarises the progress of implementation of the action.

<table>
<tr>
<td colspan="3" align="center">LIMITE Protection Level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td colspan="1">EMPACT
Fighting crime together

Template 01</td>
<td colspan="2">ACTION LEADER's report: LIGHT
OAP:
OA number:
Action leader:
Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td colspan="3">Status:
Self-assessment:
☐ On track [indicate between 1% and 99% implementation for the total period of the OA]:
☐ Concerns/at risk
☐ Completed
☐ Postponed
☐ Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPIs
[copy from the OAP]</td>
<td>Target
[copy from the OAP]</td>
<td>Progress:
[indicate number/percentage/text]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td colspan="3"></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td colspan="3">1. Operational achievements (operations, EMPACT action days and EMPACT Joint Action Days)
[Text + possible picture(s) as file]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td colspan="3">2. Strategic and non-operational achievements
[Text]</td>
</tr>
</table>

3. Cooperation with law enforcement and criminal justice actors (police forces, customs, border guards, prosecutors etc.)
[Text]

4. Cooperation with non-EU countries, EU funded projects[16] and international organisations

5. Cooperation with non-law enforcement (incl. private) partners

6. Good practices and innovative solutions

7. Quantitative input (where relevant):
- **Arrests:** [Number]
- **Seizures:**
- **Cases/investigations initiated:** [Number]
- **Victims safeguarded:** [Number]
- **Other (specify)[17]:**

7. Topics/issues to be addressed to NEC or COSI:

---

[16] e.g. EL PACCTO, LIFE Programme, Global Illicit Flows Programme involved in OAPs.
[17] Please include inputs/KPIs reflecting the specific nature of the OAP - for guidance, please see KPIs for strategic goals suggested in the G-MASP (doc.10937/25)

4.1.2 Action leader's comprehensive report

This report summarises the achievement of the action.

<table>
<tr><td colspan="3" align="center">LIMITE Protection Level</td></tr>
<tr>
<td>EMPACT Fighting crime together

Template 02</td>
<td colspan="2">ACTION   LEADER's   report:
COMPREHENSIVE
OAP:
OA number:
Action leader:
Date:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td colspan="3">Status:
Self-assessment:
☐ On track [between 1% and 99% implementation for the total period of the OA]:
☐ Concerns/at risk
☐ Completed
☐ Postponed
☐ Cancelled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KPIs
[copy from the OAP]</td>
<td>Target
[copy from the OAP]</td>
<td>Achievement:
[indicate number/percentage/text]</td>
</tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">

</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">1. Operational achievements (operations, EMPACT action days and EMPACT Joint Action Days)

</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">2. Strategic and non-operational achievements

</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">3. Cooperation with law enforcement and criminal justice actors (police forces, customs, border guards, prosecutors etc.)

</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">4. Cooperation with non-EU countries, EU funded projects[18] and international organisations

</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">5. Cooperation with non-law enforcement (incl. private) partners

</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="3">6. Good practices and innovative solutions

</td></tr>
</table>

---

[18]   e.g. EL PAcCTO, LIFE Programme, Global Illicit Flows Programme involved in OAPs.

7. Indicate your satisfaction with the engagement of OA participants:
☐ Satisfied　　☐ Partially satisfied　　☐ Not satisfied

Specify further:

8. Indicate your satisfaction with leadership of the OAP Driver:
☐ Satisfied　　☐ Partially satisfied　　☐ Not satisfied

Specify further:

9. Funding sources and amounts:

10. Topics/issues to be addressed to NEC or COSI:
[Text]

11. Quantitative input (where relevant):
- **Arrests:** [Number]
- **Seizures:**
- **Cases/investigations initiated:** [Number]
- **Victims safeguarded:** [Number]
- **Other (specify)[19]:**

19　　Please include inputs/KPIs reflecting the specific nature of the OAP - for guidance, please see KPIs for strategic goals suggested in the G-MASP (doc.10937/25)

4.2 Driver's reporting

The report consists of 2 parts: light report for the first year (in March of the second year of the OAP) and comprehensive report (in March of the year following the OAP duration)

4.2.1 Driver's narrative light report

This report summarises the progress of the implementation of the OAP based on the data received from the Action leaders. The report is complemented by the collection of Action leaders' reports. [Please limit the report to 2-3 pages].

LIMITE Protection Level	
EMPACT Fighting crime together **Template 03**	**DRIVER's NARRATIVE REPORT: LIGHT** **OAP:** **Driver:** **Date:**
1. Operational achievements (operations, EMPACT action days and EMPACT Joint Action Days) [Succinct description and possible picture(s) as file]	
2. Strategic and non-operational achievements [Succinct description]	
3. Cooperation with law enforcement and criminal justice actors (police forces, customs, border guards, prosecutors etc.) ● [Text]	
4. Cooperation with non-EU countries, EU funded projects[20] and international organisations	
5. Cooperation with non-law enforcement (incl. private) partners	
6. Good practices and innovative solutions	

---

[20]   e.g. EL PAcCTO, LIFE Programme, Global Illicit Flows Programme involved in OAPs.

7. Topics/issues to be brought to the attention of NECs / COSI

4.2.2. Drivers' narrative comprehensive report

This report summarises the achievement of the OAP based on the data received from the Action leaders. The report is complemented by the collection of Action leaders' reports. [Please limit the report to 5-6 pages].

<table>
<tr><td colspan="2">LIMITE Protection Level</td></tr>
<tr><td>EMPACT
Fighting crime together

Template 04</td><td>DRIVER's NARRATIVE REPORT: COMPREHENSIVE
OAP:
Driver:
Date:</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">1. Operational achievements (operations, EMPACT action days and EMPACT Joint Action Days)
[Succinct description]</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">2. Strategic and non-operational achievements
[Succinct description]</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">3. Cooperation with law enforcement and criminal justice actors (police forces, customs, border guards, prosecutors etc.)
[Text]</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">4. Cooperation with non-EU countries, EU funded projects[21] and international organisations</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">5. Cooperation with non-law enforcement (incl. private) partners</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="2">6. Good practices and innovative solutions</td></tr>
</table>

---

[21]   e.g. EL PAcCTO, LIFE Programme, Global Illicit Flows Programme

7. Feedback about engagement/cooperation with:

A. CHSG Coordinators

☐ **Satisfied**   ☐ **Partially satisfied**   ☐ **Not satisfied**

Specify further:

B. Action leaders [Text]

☐ **Satisfied**   ☐ **Partially satisfied**   ☐ **Not satisfied**

Specify further:

C. OAP Participants [Text]

☐ **Satisfied**   ☐ **Partially satisfied**   ☐ **Not satisfied**

Specify further:

8. Topics/issues to be brought to the attention of NECs / COSI

4.2.3 Drivers' input for factsheet

This qualitative and quantitative input for factsheet will be used for presenting the results of OAPs.

LIMITE Protection Level

	DRIVER's INPUT FOR FACTSHEET
Template 05	**OAP:** **Driver:** **Date:**

Up to 3 examples of the largest operations, with the highest impact on organised crime operations, including their concrete results and best practices, demonstrating the added value of EMPACT:

[Operation 1]

[Operation 2]

[Operation 3]

Up to 3 examples of other relevant activities (e.g. regarding the enhancement of the intelligence picture, cooperation with non-EU partners; prevention and capacity building, etc.)

[Example 1]

[Example 2]

[Example 3]

Quantitative input[22]
- **Arrests:** [Number]
- **Seizures:**
- **Cases/investigations initiated:** [Number]
- **Victims safeguarded:** [Number]
- **Other (specify)[23]:**

---

[22] Please, ensure that the quantitative results that you report are clearly identified, specifying both the nature of the items seized and the units of measurement used. As your results will be aggregated with those from other Drivers, it is essential to maintain clarity and consistency to allow for accurate overall totals.

[23] Please, include inputs/KPIs reflecting the specific nature of the OAP - for guidance please see KPIs for strategic goals suggested in the GMASP (10937/25).

Up to 2 pictures (as file) illustrating activities, for possible use in the public factsheets

4.3 JAD Coordinator's report

This report summarises the JAD results based on the data collected during the JADs. This qualitative and quantitative input will be used for the JAD factsheet.

LIMITE Protection Level		
EMPACT Fighting crime together **Template 06**	**JAD COORDINATOR's report:** **JAD name:** **Reporting:** ☐ Preliminary ☐ Final	
JAD Coordinator: [Text] **JAD Participants EU:** [Text] **JAD Participants non-EU:** [Text] **JAD dates** *(only include afterwards!):* [Text]		
Involved OAPs:	**OA number:**	**Action leader:**
Description • **Aim of JAD:** [Text] • **Targeted modus operandi:** [Text] • **Geographical focus:** [Text]		
Key operational outcome: [Text]		
Other outcome: [Text]		
Good practice and further suggestions: [Text]		

Quantitative results:

The EST, in coordination with respective JAD Coordinators, prepares a tailor-made excel file for collecting the figures on arrests, seizures and other quantitative results – this depends on the JADs and involved OAPs each year.

All JADs should collect at minimum data on:

• Arrests

• Seizures (in kg/litres, numbers, financial seizures in EUR)

• Cases/investigations initiated

Further examples of data to be collected:

• Suspects identified

• Victims identified and safeguarded

• Weapons and explosives seizures

• Other seizures (tobacco, cigarettes, oil, alcohol, documents, forged documents, endangered species, etc.).

• Financial seizures (cash, valuables)

4.4 Report of CHSG Coordinator

This report summarises the activity of the CHSG Coordinators, liaising with and supporting the Drivers/Co-Drivers of the different OAPs, in the horizontal coordination of the different priorities.

Protection Level LIMITE	
EMPACT Fighting crime together **Template 07 8**	**CHSG COORDINATOR's report** Strategic goal: **Date:**
Highlights of activities carried out /achievements and specify CHSG Coordinator's support and contribution: [Text]	
Good practices and innovative solutions: [Text]	
Multidisciplinary and multiagency aspects: [Text]	
Overall implementation of the strategic goals, **including future challenges:** [Text]	
Topics/issues to be to be brought to the attention of NECs / COSI: [Text]	