

Brussels, 18 November 2025 (OR. en)

15596/25

Interinstitutional File: 2024/0318 (COD)

AGRI 625 AGRIORG 141 CODEC 1845

WORKING DOCUMENT

From:	General Secretariat of the Council		
To:	Delegations		
No. Cion doc.:	16776/24		
Subject:	Regulation on cross-border enforcement of rules against unfair trading practices (UTPs)		
	- Four-Column table		

Delegations will find attached an updated four-column table reflecting the political agreement reached at the second trilogue on 12 November 2025. The table contains, in the second and third columns, the European Parliament's and the Council's negotiating positions and, in the fourth column, in the rows marked in green, the text provisionally agreed. Please note that the green rows where there is no text in the fourth column are considered to be deleted. Technical work to align the recitals with the political agreement is ongoing.

15596/25 LIFE.1

www.parlament.gv.at

Proposal for a REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL on cooperation among enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain

2024/0318(COD)

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement			
	Formula							
G	1	2024/0318 (COD)	2024/0318 (COD)	2024/0318 (COD)	2024/0318 (COD) Text Origin: Commission Proposal			
	Document Stage							

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	2	Proposal for a	Proposal for a Proposal for a		Proposal for a Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Docume	nt Type			
G	3	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	REGULATION OF THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Docume	ent Purpose			
G	4	on cooperation among enforcement authorities			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain	responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain	responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain	responsible for the enforcement of Directive (EU) 2019/633 on unfair trading practices in business-to- business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula			I	
G	5	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,	THE EUROPEAN PARLIAMENT AND THE COUNCIL OF THE EUROPEAN UNION,
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Citation	1			
G	6	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2) thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2) thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2) thereof,	Having regard to the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union, and in particular Article 43(2) thereof, Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Citation	2			
G	7	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission,	Having regard to the proposal from the European Commission, Text Origin: Commission Proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Citation	3			
G	8	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments,	After transmission of the draft legislative act to the national parliaments, Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Citation	4			
G	9	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , 1. OJ C [], [], p. [].	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , ——— 1. OJ C [], [], p. [].	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ , 1. OJ C [], [], p. [].	Having regard to the opinion of the European Economic and Social Committee ¹ ,

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Citation	5			
G	10	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ , 1. OJ C,,p	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ , 1. OJC,,p	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ ,[¹ ,] 1. OJ C,, p	Having regard to the opinion of the Committee of the Regions ¹ , [1,] 1. OJC,,p Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
	Citation	6	,		
G	11	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,	Acting in accordance with the ordinary legislative procedure,

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula	3			
G	12	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas:	Whereas: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Recital 1	1			
Υ	13	(1) Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence	(1) Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence	(1) Within the agricultural and food supply chain, significant imbalances in bargaining power between suppliers and buyers of agricultural and food products	Y

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
of practices which are likely to have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community. 1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).	of practices which are likely to have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community. 1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).	are likely to lead to unfair trading practices. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹ introduced a minimum Union standard of protection against unfair trading practices to reduce the occurrence of such practices which-are likely to- have a negative impact on the living standards of the agricultural community. 1. Directive (EU) 2019/633 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 17 April 2019 on unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain (OJ L 111, 25.4.2019, p. 59, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2019/633/oj).	

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Recital	1a							
v 13a			with a finding the Co 2025. Comm prohib practice in the constitution in the constitution in the again increase legisla.	The first evaluation of ive (EU) 2019/633 together report on the main as should be presented by mmission by 1 November. The report from the ission on Implementing the ition of unfair trading res to strengthen the n of farmers and operators agricultural and food chain - State of play of 23 to 224 highlighted the ence of imbalances within ri-food chain, which see the need for a tive proposal amending irective in order to further				

	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		step up protection for suppliers and ensure sufficient bargaining power to all operators by broadening its scope.		
Recita	2			
G 14	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to the application of the rules set out	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to the application of the rules set out	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to the application of the rules set out	(2) Directive (EU) 2019/633 requires Member States to designate enforcement authorities that ensure the effective enforcement of the prohibitions laid down in that Directive. That Directive also requires the Commission and those enforcement authorities to cooperate closely to ensure a common approach with respect to the application of the rules set out

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
enforcement authorities should provide each other with mutual assistance, including by sharing information and assisting in investigations that have a cross-border dimension.	enforcement authorities should provideaim to prevent or stop unfair trading practices that have a cross-border dimension and that are performed in whole or in part on their respective territories. They should do so by providing each other with mutual assistance, including by sharing information and assisting in investigations that have a cross-border dimension.	enforcement authorities should provide each other with mutual assistance, including by sharing information and assisting in investigations that have a cross-border dimension. While the scope and the possibilities for cooperation under that Directive remain fully available to the enforcement authorities of the Member States, it is opportune to address some difficultites and increase the effectiveness of the cooperation mechanism.	enforcement authorities should provideaim to prevent or stop unfair trading practices that have a cross-border dimension and that are performed on their respective territories. They should do so by providing each other with mutual assistance, including by sharing information and assisting in investigations that have a cross- border dimension. While the scope and the possibilities for cooperation under that Directive remain fully available to the enforcement authorities of the Member States, it is opportune to address some difficulties and increase the effectiveness of the cooperation mechanism

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Recital 3	3			
G	15	(3) Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer is established in another Member	(3) Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer or a supplier is established in	(3) Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer is established in another Member	(3) Due to the principle of territoriality, enforcement authorities may face difficulties gathering information, finding an infringement and imposing and enforcing fines and other equally effective penalties where a buyer is established in another Member
		State. Such difficulties affect the system of enforcement established by Directive (EU) 2019/633, which depends on cooperation between enforcement authorities, and may lead to an uneven	another Member State or outside the Union, including when a buyer is member of one or more retail alliances, as those entities have an inherent cross-border purchasing strategy and might	State. Such difficulties affect the system of enforcement established by Directive (EU) 2019/633, which depends on cooperation between enforcement authorities, and may lead to an uneven	State, for example when operators of the food supply chain or their alliances have a cross-border purchasing strategy. Such difficulties affect the system of enforcement established by

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
enforcement of unfair trading	circumvent certain legal	enforcement of unfair trading	Directive (EU) 2019/633, which
practices, undermining the	provisions, even when the	practices, undermining the	depends on cooperation between
protection for suppliers of	acquired agricultural and food	protection for suppliers of	enforcement authorities, and may
agricultural and food products	products are intended for the	agricultural and food products	lead to an uneven enforcement of
intended by that Directive. It is	market of the Member State of	intended by that Directive. It is	unfair trading practices,
therefore appropriate to establish	the supplier. Such difficulties	therefore appropriate to establish	undermining the protection for
rules strengthening cooperation	affect the system of enforcement	certain rules strengthening	suppliers of agricultural and food
between enforcement authorities	established by Directive (EU)	cooperation between enforcement	products intended by that
in cross-border cases.	2019/633, which depends on	authorities in cross-border cases.	Directive. It is therefore
	cooperation between enforcement	Strengthening that cooperation	appropriate to establish certain,
	authorities, and may lead to an	leads to a more effective	<u>uniform</u> rules strengthening
	uneven enforcement of unfair	protection against unfair trading	cooperation between enforcement
	trading practices, undermining the	practices with cross-border	authorities in cross-border cases.
	protection for suppliers of	dimension and contributes to	Strengthening that cooperation
	agricultural and food products	strengthening farmers' position	leads to a more effective
	intended by that Directive. It is	in the supply chain and thus	protection against unfair trading
	therefore appropriate to establish	ensuring a fair standard of	practices with cross-border
	<u>uniform</u> rules strengthening	living for the agricultural	dimension and contributes to
	cooperation between enforcement	community.	strengthening farmers' position
	authorities in cross-border cases		in the supply chain and thus

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				position agricultuchain an	aim of reinforcing the of farmers within the ural and food supply ad of ensuring fairer ls of living for them.			for the a	g a fair standard of living agricultural community. rigin: Council and EP
	Recital 4								
G	16	2019/633 a maintain of national rutrading pracelarified the not cover to the Regula Member Senforcement	iven that Directive (EU) allows Member States to or introduce stricter ales against unfair actices, it should be hat this Regulation does those rules. However, ation should allow the states to decide that their ent authorities can make possibility to exchange	2019/633 maintain national trading p clarified not cover the Regu Member enforcem	Given that Directive (EU) B allows Member States to or introduce stricter rules against unfair ractices, it should be that this Regulation does r those rules. However, lation should allow the States to decide that their nent authorities can make e possibility to exchange	maintanational trading clarified not cover the Reg Member their en	Given that Directive (EU) 33 allows Member States to in or introduce stricter al rules against unfair practices, it should be detected that this Regulation does wer those rules. However, gulation should allow the er States to may decide that inforcement authorities can use of the possibility to	2019/63 maintair national trading p clarified not cove the <i>Regu</i> Member decide the	Given that Directive (EU) 3 allows Member States to a or introduce stricter rules against unfair bractices, it should be 4 that this Regulation does ber those rules. However, bracket should allow the c States should be able to that their enforcement ties can make use of the

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	information established under the	information established under the	exchange information established	possibility to exchange
	mutual assistance mechanism set	mutual assistance mechanism set	under the mutual assistance	information possibilities
	out by this Regulation in relation	out by this Regulation in relation	mechanism set out by this	established under the mutual
	to such rules. In those cases, the	to such rules. In those cases,	Regulation in relation to such	assistance voluntary cooperation
	enforcement authorities should	provided that a reason is given	rules. In those cases, the	mechanism set out by this
	still have the right to refuse to	for the refusal, the enforcement	enforcement authorities should	Regulation in relation to such
	comply with such a request.	authorities should still have the	still-have the right to refuse to	rules. This possibility can be
		right to refuse to comply with such	comply with such a request on the	important in particular when the
		a request for assistance in the	sole condition that they indicate	stricter national rules are
		enforcement of Directive (EU)	the reason for the refusal.	categorised in some Member
		2019/633, except when it		States as overriding mandatory
		concerns the breach of overriding		provisions that aim to guarantee
		mandatory provisions within the		the stable and sustainable supply
		meaning of Article 9 of		of food products to consumers. In
		Regulation (EC) No 593/2008 of		those cases, the enforcement
		the European Parliament and of		authorities should still have the
		the Council ^{1a} that aim to		right to refuse to comply with such
		guarantee the stable and		a request.
		sustainable supply of food		
		products to consumers.		

	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Recita	15	'		
v 17	(5) To ensure the effective application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise.	(5) To ensure the effective application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise, including to avoid any charge for suppliers for submitting a complaint, to ensure confidentiality and to make available, in particular to agricultural and food producers, regulatory bodies and other responsible authorities, clear and accessible information on the possibilities for submitting a complaint.	(5) To ensure the effective application of their obligations under this Regulation, enforcement authorities should be provided with the necessary resources and expertise.	
Recita	16			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
v 18	(6) Enforcement authorities should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information. Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying the rules established by Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in respect of the subject-matter for which it was collected by the transmitting authority.	(6) Enforcement authorities should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information, while paying particular attention to the protection of that information, assuring confidentiality and anonymity if requested by the complainant and applying the rules established by Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Councilla and by Directive (EU) 2019/633. Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying the rules established by Directive (EU)	(6) Enforcement authorities should have the power to provide one another with and use in evidence in accordance with their national law any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information. Information exchanged shall only be used in evidence for the purpose of applying this Regulation to enforce the rules established by Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in respect of the subject-matter for which it was collected by the transmittingrequested authority. The confidentiality of the information should be guaranteed with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person	

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			2019/633 and in respect of the subject-matter for which it was collected by the transmitting authority.	concerned. Requests of complainants for protection of information based on Article 5 (3) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 should be taken into account and protection should also be ensured in cross border enforcement.	
	Recital 7	7			
Y	19	(7) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law, on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities.	(7) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b), (c) and (d) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law, on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities.	(7) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory to exercise the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633, in accordance with their national law,conduct investigative measures on behalf of and for the account of other	Y

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
						enforcement authorities. Such investigative measures should be carried out in accordance with the powers referred to in Article 6(1), points (a), (b) and (c) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in accordance with the national law of the requested enforcement authority.		
	Recital 7	a						
Y	19a			efficiency this Regu cooperation authorities costs for the	n order to increase the and effectiveness of lation, to secure smooth on between enforcement es and to avoid excessive the requested ent authorities, rules on the costs of measures	(7a) Cooperation between the enforcement authorities concerning the enforcement of final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e) of		

Commiss CLEAN Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
	should be enforceme be able to incurred in	suant to this Regulation laid down. Moreover, ent authorities should recover the costs in relation to the ent from the fines	Directive (EU) 2019/633 is very important in order to achieve an effective protection against unfair trading practices with cross-border dimension. For this purpose it is necessary that the requested enforcement authority is vested with a power to enforce a final decision adopted by the applicant enforcement authority when the collection of the fines or the implementation of the equally effective penalty or interim measure by the applicant enforcement authority is unsuccessful. In case where the collection of fines or the implementation of equally effective penalties or interim measures in the Member State		

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
						of the requested enforcement authority is carried out by another authority, the requested enforcement authority should have the power to initiate the collection of the fine or the implementation of the equally effective penalty or interim measures before that competent national authority.		
	Recital 7	b						
Y	19b					(7b) In order to secure smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities, rules on covering costs of measures taken pursuant to this Regulation should be laid down. In order to avoid that requests		Y

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
					for mutual assistance result in		
					excessive costs for the requested		
					enforcement authorities, while		
					ensuring that the enforcement		
					authorities devote sufficient		
					resources and efforts to the		
					requests, the requested authority		
					should be authorised to ask that		
					the applicant enforcement		
					authority bears all additional		
					costs deriving from the request,		
					unless the costs can be		
					considered as unreasonable, for		
					example due to unnecessary		
					actions. However, in case a fine		
					is collected on behalf of the		
					applicant enforcement		
					authority, the fine should in		
					principle be transferred to the		
					applicant enforcement		

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				authority. Nevertheless the requested enforcement authority should be able to recover the costs incurred in relation to the enforcement from the fine collected, either by it or by the national authority responsible for the collection of fines. If the fine could not be collected, the requested enforcement authority may request the reimbursement of the costs so incurred from the applicant enforcement authority.	
	Recital 8	3			
Υ	20	(8) Enforcement authorities should inform one another of an unfair trading practice with a	(8) Enforcement authorities should inform one another <u>and the</u> <u>Commission through a system for</u>	(8) Enforcement authorities should inform one another of an unfair trading practice with a	Υ

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		cross-border dimension that has occurred or is occurring in their territory.	notifying alerts of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension that has occurred or is occurring in their territory.	cross-border dimension that has occurred or is occurring in their territory.	
	Recital 9				
Y	21	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities, provided that those other enforcement authorities have made reasonable	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities, provided that those other enforcement authorities have <i>made reasonable</i>	(9) Enforcement authorities should be empowered in their own territory and in accordance with their national law to enforce, or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties, or interim measures on behalf of and for the account of other enforcement authorities, provided that those other enforcement authorities have	Y

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		efforts to ascertain that the buyers against which the fines or other equally effective penalties are to be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.	efforts to ascertain that the buyers against whichascertained that the fines or other equally effective penalties are tocannot be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.	made reasonable efforts to ascertain that the buyers against which the fines or other equally effective penalties, or the interim measures are to be enforced do not have sufficient assets in the Member States of those other enforcement authorities.	
	Recital 1	0			
Υ	22	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information. These requests should specify what information is considered necessary in each case to conduct	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information. These requests should specify what information is considered necessary in each case to conduct	(10) Enforcement authorities should be able to exchange and seek information from cooperate with other enforcement authorities by issuing requests for information mutual assistance. These requests should specify what information or measure is considered necessary in each case	

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		investigations of unfair trading practices.	investigations of unfair trading practices.	to conduct investigations of unfair trading practices. To enable the requested enforcement authority to ascertain its role, the request should include all necessary information about the alleged unfair trading practice.	
	Recital 1	L0a			
Y	22a		(10a) In order to increase the efficiency of cross-border cooperation between enforcement authorities, a procedural mechanism should be established to allow for the prioritisation of urgent requests. In this way, the requested enforcement authority will be better able to manage efforts to provide a response		Y

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			within the prescribed legal time limit, while respecting the principle of good cooperation and in the spirit of effective protection of the legitimate interests of the affected parties in the agricultural and food supply chain. In addition to this, it would be useful to establish a mechanism for managing subsequent requests for clarification, without retaining the 45-day time limit.		
	Recital 1	1			
Y	23	(11) Enforcement authorities should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to	(11) Enforcement authorities should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to	(11) Enforcement authorities should not be entitled to refuse to comply with a request for information or to refuse to	Y

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that enforcement actions and administrative decisions taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension. Moreover, enforcement authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.	participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that enforcement actions and administrative decisions taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension. Moreover, enforcement authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.	participate in enforcement measures unless it is likely that other enforcement actions—and, administrative decisions or judicial proceedings taken at national level outside the mutual assistance mechanism would ensure cessation of the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension. Refusal should also be possible in case the request falls outside the scope of the Regulation or is in contradiction with the national law of the requested enforcement authority. Moreover, enforcement authorities should give reasons for such a refusal.	

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Recital 1	2			
G	24	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of disagreement among them, should be laid down.	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of disagreement among them, should be laid down.	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of disagreement among them, should be laid down.	arrangements on the language regime may pose obstacles to the smooth cooperation between enforcement authorities. For this reason, rules allowing the enforcement authorities to agree on the language to be used in all notifications, requests and communications between them, as well as rules in case of disagreement among them, should be laid down. Text Origin: Commission Proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Re	ecital 1	.3			
Υ	25	uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures laid down in this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to be able to develop standard forms for requests for information or requests for enforcement measures. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council¹. In the absence of standard forms developed by the Commission, the enforcement authorities should be entitled to	uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures laid down in this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to be able to develop standard forms for requests for information, requests for mutual assistance or requests for enforcement measures, as well as standard procedures for prioritising urgent requests and for the issuing of alerts, the transmission of notifications, communications and information among enforcement authorities. Those powers should be exercised in	uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures laid down in this Regulation, implementing powers should be conferred on the Commission to be able to develop standard forms for requests for information or requests for enforcement measures. Those powers should be exercised in accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ . In the absence of standard forms developed by the Commission, the enforcement authorities should be entitled to	

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
develop such forms to facilitate the mutual assistance mechanism. 1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).	accordance with Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council **In the absence of standard forms developed by the Commission, the enforcement authorities should be entitled to develop such forms to facilitate the mutual assistance mechanism. 1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI:	develop such forms to facilitate the mutual assistance mechanism.¹ 1. Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 February 2011 laying down the rules and general principles concerning mechanisms for control by Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).[1]	
	http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).		

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Recital 1				
Y	25a		(13a) As regards the refusal of cooperation between authorities, a mediation mechanism, coordinated or represented by the Commission, should be introduced to clarify ambiguities, to resolve potential disagreements or unjustified refusals to cooperate and to prevent blockages of the mutual assistance process.		
	Recital 1	14			
Υ	26	(14) Where a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving at least three Member States may	(14) Where a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving at least three Member States may	(14) Where a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, involving buyers and suppliers from at	

Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
be taking place, the enforcement	be taking place, the enforcement	least three Member States may be	
authorities concerned by that	authorities concerned by that	taking place, the enforcement	
practice should be able to issue	practice should be able to issue	authorities concerned by that	
alerts, engage in coordinated	alerts through a dedicated system,	practice should be able to issue	
actions, and designate a	engage in coordinated actions, and	alerts, engage in coordinated	
coordinator to coordinate the	designate a coordinator to	actions, and designate a	
cooperation among the relevant	coordinate the cooperation among	coordinator to coordinate the	
authorities in whose territories the	the relevant authorities in whose	cooperation among the relevant	
practice may be taking place. To	territories the practice may be	authorities in whose territories the	
establish which enforcement	taking place. To establish which	practice may be taking place. To	
authorities are concerned by a	enforcement authorities are	establish which enforcement	
widespread unfair trading practice	concerned by a widespread unfair	authorities are concerned by a	
with a cross-border dimension, all	trading practice with a cross-	widespread unfair trading practice	
relevant aspects should be	border dimension, all relevant	with a cross-border dimension, all	
considered, in particular the place	aspects should be considered, in	relevant aspects should be	
where the buyer is established and	particular the place where the	considered, in particular the place	
the location of the suppliers that	buyer is established and the	where the buyer is established and	
may be affected by the unfair	location of the suppliers that may	the location of the suppliers that	
trading practice. The detection of	be affected by the unfair trading	may be affected by the unfair	
widespread unfair trading	practice. The detection of	trading practice. The detection of	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	practices with a cross-border	widespread unfair trading	widespread unfair trading	
	dimension should be supported b	practices with a cross-border	practices with a cross-border	
	exchanging information between	dimension should be supported by	dimension should be supported by	
	enforcement authorities when	exchanging information between	exchanging information between	
	there is a reasonable suspicion of	enforcement authorities when	enforcement authorities when	
	such unfair trading practices with	there is a reasonable suspicion of	there is a reasonable suspicion of	
	a cross-border dimension. The	such unfair trading practices with	such unfair trading practices with	
	coordinator should exercise its	a cross-border dimension. The	a cross-border dimension. The	
	competence within a framework	f coordinator should exercise its	coordinator should exercise its	
	close cooperation with the other	competence within a framework of	competence within a framework of	
	enforcement authorities	close cooperation with the other	close cooperation with the other	
	concerned. Likewise, all	enforcement authorities	enforcement authorities	
	enforcement authorities concerne	d concerned. Likewise, all	concerned. Likewise, all	
	should actively engage in the	enforcement authorities concerned	enforcement authorities concerned	
	investigation at an early stage, an	should actively engage in the	should actively engage in the	
	issue alerts to the Commission ar	d investigation at an early stage, and	investigation at an early stage, and	
	to the enforcement authorities	issue alerts to the Commission and	issue alerts to the Commission and	
	concerned by a widespread unfai	to the enforcement authorities	to the enforcement authorities	
	trading practice with a cross-	concerned by a widespread unfair	concerned by a widespread unfair	
	border dimension and share the	trading practice with a cross-	trading practice with a cross-	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	necessary information available to them about such practices.	border dimension and share the necessary information available to them about such practices.	border dimension and share the necessary information available to them about such practices.	
Recital 1	15			
27	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to choose the most appropriate and	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to choose the most appropriate and	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to choose the most appropriate and	(15) Procedures for the coordination of investigation and enforcement measures relating to widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should be laid down. Coordinated actions against widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension should ensure that enforcement authorities are able to choose the most appropriate and
	efficient tools to stop those practices.			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Recital 1	1.6			
G	28	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.	(16) It is necessary to list the cases where a concerned enforcement authority may decide to refuse to participate in a coordinated action. In particular, lack of available resources on the part of an enforcement authority concerned by that unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension should not be considered to justify refusing to participate in a coordinated action.

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Recital 1	17			
G	29	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements.	(17) With a view to ensuring that the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action have all the tools necessary to communicate, cooperate, and coordinate, this Regulation should lay down rules on language arrangements. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Recital 1	17a			

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Y	29a					(17a) Since Directive (EU) 2019/633 also protects suppliers in the Union against unfair trading practices by buyers established outside the Union, this Regulation should also provide rules for the cooperation of enforcement authorities of Member States with each other as regards unfair trading practices by buyers established outside the Union and which are prohibited by Directive (EU) 2019/633.		*
	Recital 1	7b						
Υ	29b					(17b) With a view to ensuring uniform conditions for the implementation of the measures		У

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
					laid dow	n in this Regulation,		
					impleme	enting powers should be		
					conferre	ed on the Commission to		
					develop	standard forms for		
					requests	for mutual assiastance		
					and to la	nid done rules on the		
					manager	ment of the notifications		
					and com	munications among the		
					enforcen	ment authorities. Those		
					powers s	should be exercised in		
					accordai	nce with Regulation		
					(EU) No	182/2011 of the		
					Europea	nn Parliament and of the		
					Council ¹	•		
					1. Regulati	ion (EU) No 182/2011 of the		
						Parliament and of the		
						16 February 2011 laying		
						rules and general principles g mechanisms for control by		
					Concerning	g meenamsms for control by		

	CLEAN Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			Member States of the Commission's exercise of implementing powers (OJ L 55, 28.2.2011, p. 13, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/reg/2011/182/oj).	
Recital	18			
G 30	fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.	(18) This Regulation respects fundamental rights and observes the principles recognised in particular by the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and present in the constitutional traditions of the Member States. Accordingly, this Regulation should be interpreted and applied in accordance with those rights and principles.

Recital 19 (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation.		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
(19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. (19) Criminal investigations and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. Regulation. Regulation. Likewise, Council Decision 2008/976/JHA,					
and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. And judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. Regulation. And judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. Likewise, Council Decision 2008/976/JHA,	Recital	19			
2005/214/JHA and Directive 2014/41/EU should have precedence over this Regulation if the unfair trading practice concerned falls under their scope.	v 31	and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this	and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this	and judicial proceedings in Member States should not be affected by the application of this Regulation. Likewise, Council Decision 2008/976/JHA, Framework Decision 2005/214/JHA and Directive 2014/41/EU should have precedence over this Regulation if the unfair trading practice concerned falls under their	

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
v 32	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but can rather, by reason of its territorial and personal scope, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but can rather, by reason of its territorial and personal scope, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of proportionality, as set out in that	(20) Since the objective of this Regulation, namely to strengthen the cooperation between the enforcement authorities responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices under Directive (EU) 2019/633 in cross border cases, cannot be sufficiently achieved by the Member States because they cannot ensure cooperation and coordination by acting alone, but can rather, by reason of its territorial and personal scope, be better achieved at the Union level, the Union may adopt measures, in accordance with the principle of subsidiarity as set out in Article 5 of the Treaty on European Union. In accordance with the principle of	

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	proportionality, as set out in that Article, this Regulation does not go beyond what is necessary in order to achieve that objective.	
	Recital 2	1			
Υ	33	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation, its application should be deferred by 1 year after its entry into force,	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation, its application should be deferred by 1 year after its entry into force,	(21) In order to give the enforcement authorities the time needed to be able to implement the rules laid down in this Regulation, its application should be deferred by 1 year 18 months after its entry into force,	
	Formula				
G	34	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:	HAVE ADOPTED THIS REGULATION:

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	CHAPTE	RI			
		CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I	CHAPTER I
		INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS	INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS	INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS	INTRODUCTORY PROVISIONS
G	35				
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1				
G	36	Article 1	Article 1	Article 1	Article 1
		Subject matter	Subject matter	Subject matter	Subject matter

CI	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1, firs	st paragraph			
rule aut des as a of a pra rela and Din coo	les under which the enforcement thorities, having been signated by their Member States responsible for the enforcement the prohibition of unfair trading actices in business-to-business ationships in the agricultural d food supply chain under rective (EU) 2019/633, operate and coordinate actions th each other.	With a view to combating practices that grossly deviate from good commercial conduct, that are contrary to good faith and fair dealing and that are unilaterally imposed by one trading partner on another, this Regulation lays down certain rules under which the enforcement authorities, having been designated by their Member States as responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading	This Regulation lays down certain rules under which the enforcement authorities, having been designated by their Member States as responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cooperate and coordinate actions with each other.	With a view to combating practices that grossly deviate from good commercial conduct, that are contrary to good faith and fair dealing and that are unilaterally imposed by one trading partner on another, this Regulation lays down certain rules under which the enforcement authorities, having been designated by their Member States as responsible for the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cooperate and coordinate actions with each other and with the Commission, in order to ensure compliance, to safeguard sound competition and to maintain a level playing field in the internal market.		relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain under Directive (EU) 2019/633, cooperate and coordinate actions with each other, in order to ensure the effectiveness of that Directive. Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 2					
G	38	Scope	Article 2	Article 2 Scope	Article 2 Scope	Article 2 Scope Text Origin: Commission Proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 2	(1), first subparagraph			
G	39	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension.	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension, including when those unfair trading practices originate from operators whose practices have an inherent cross-border component, such as those of retail alliances.	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	1. This Regulation applies to the enforcement of the prohibition of unfair trading practices in business-to-business relationships in the agricultural and food supply chain laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 2	(1), second subparagraph			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G 40	However, Article 5 of this Regulation also applies in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if the Member State so decides in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article.	However, Article 5Articles 5, 6 and 7 of this Regulation also appliesapply in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 3(1), point (b), and Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if thein cases involving Member State so decides States where those Member States mutually so decided in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article 5(4), Article 6(3a) and Article 7(4a) of this Regulation.	However, Article 5 of this Regulation also applies in relation to national rules adopted on the basis within the meaning of Article 93(1), point (b), third sentence or maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if the Member State so decides in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article 5(4) of this Regulation. Article 20a of this Regulation applies in relation to unfair trading practices involving buyers established outside the Union.	However, Article 5 Chapter IIIa of this Regulation also applies in relation to periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or national rules within the meaningmaintained or adopted on the basis of Article 99(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 if the Member State so decides in accordance with paragraph 4 of that Article 12a and Article 12b of this Regulation. Chapter IVa of this Regulation applies in relation to unfair trading practices involving suppliers or buyers established outside the Union. Text Origin: Council Mandate

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 2	(2)			
G	41	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws.	2. This Regulation is without prejudice to the Union and national rules on private international law, in particular rules related to court jurisdiction and applicable laws. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	(3)			
G	42	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in	3. This Regulation is without prejudice to the application in the Member States of measures relating to judicial cooperation in civil and criminal matters, in

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		particular the operation of the European Judicial Network established by Council Decision 2008/976/JHA¹. 1. Council Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16 December 2008 on the European Judicial Network (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj).	particular the operation of the European Judicial Network established by Council Decision 2008/976/JHA¹. 1. Council Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16 December 2008 on the European Judicial Network (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj).	particular the operation of the European Judicial Network established by Council Decision 2008/976/JHA ¹⁶ and to the application of Council Framework Decision 2005/214/JHA ⁷ and of Directive 2014/41/EU ^{7a} . 1. Council Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16 December 2008 on the European Judicial Network (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj).	particular the operation of the European Judicial Network established by Council Decision 2008/976/JHA ** and to the application of Council Framework Decision 2005/214/JHA ** and of Directive 2014/41/EU** 1. Council Decision 2008/976/JHA of 16 December 2008 on the European Judicial Network (OJ L 348, 24.12.2008, p. 130, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dec/2008/976/oj). Text Origin: Council Mandate
,	Article 3				

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			Article 3	Article 3	Article 3	Article 3
G	43	Definition	s	Definitions	Definitions	Definitions
						Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 3	, first parag	raph			
G	44	Regulation to in Artic 2019/633	rposes of this n, the definitions referred le 2 of Directive (EU) apply. In addition, the definitions apply:	For the purposes of this Regulation, the definitions referred to in Article 2 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 apply. In addition, the following definitions apply:	For the purposes of this Regulation, the definitions referred to in Article 2 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 apply. In addition, the following definitions apply:	For the purposes of this Regulation, the definitions referred to in Article 2 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 apply. In addition, the following definitions apply:
						Text Origin: Commission Proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 3	, first paragraph, point (a)			
G	45	(a) 'enforcement authority' means the national authority or national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	(a) 'enforcement authority' means the national authority or national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	(a) 'enforcement authority' means thea national authority or national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	(a) -enforcement authority' means thea national authority or national authorities designated by a Member State pursuant to Article 4(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633; Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 3	, first paragraph, point (b)			
G	46	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means thean enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;	(b) 'applicant enforcement authority' means thean enforcement authority that makes a request for mutual assistance;

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 3	s, first paragraph, point (c)			
G	47	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance;	(c) 'requested enforcement authority' means the enforcement authority that receives a request for mutual assistance; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 3	s, first paragraph, point (d)			
G	48	(d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one	(d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving one	(d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633	(d) 'unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice within the meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		supplier and one buyer that are located in different Member States;	supplier and one buyer that are located in at least two different Member States or involving one supplier or buyer that is located within the Union and one supplier or buyer that is located outside the Union, or involving any unfair trading practice covered by stricter national rules that qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008;	involving one supplier and one buyer that are located inestablished in two different Member States;	involving one supplier and one buyer that are located in two different Member States; Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 3	, first paragraph, point (e)			
G	49	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means any unfair trading practice within the	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice within the	(e) 'widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension' means anyan unfair trading practice within the

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving at least three Member States;	meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving parties located in at least three Member States or parties located in at least two Member States and outside the Union;	meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633-involving suppliers and buyers established in at least three Member States;	meaning of Directive (EU) 2019/633 involving suppliers and buyers established in at least three Member States; Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 3	, first paragraph, point (f)			
G	50	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means.	(f) 'final decision' means a decision that cannot be, or that can no longer be, appealed by ordinary means. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 3	, first paragraph, point (fa)			

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council	Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
G	50a			(fa) 'EU Responsible Person' means any natural or legal person established within the Union who is designated by a buyer located outside the Union to act on the buyer's behalf in relation to the obligations set out under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and this Regulation.				
	Article 3	a						
G	50b			Article 3a General principle				Article 3a al principle gin: EP Mandate
	Article 3	a, first par	agraph					

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 50c		1 Member States shall take appropriate administrative and judicial steps to prevent or stop the unfair trading practices referred to in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 that have a cross-border dimension and that are performed in whole or in part on their respective territories.		1 The enforcement authorities shall cooperate with each other in order to prevent or stop unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension on their territories. Text Origin: EP Mandate
СНАРТЕ	ER II			
G 51	CHAPTER II RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE	CHAPTER II RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE	CHAPTER II RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE	CHAPTER II RESOURCES AND EXPERTISE Text Origin: Commission Proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 4				
		Article 4	Article 4	Article 4	Article 4
		Resources and expertise	Resources and expertise	Resources and expertise	Resources and expertise
G	52				
					Text Origin: Commission
					Proposal
	Article 4	l, first paragraph			
		Member States shall ensure that	Member States shall ensure that	Member States shall ensure that	Member States shall ensure that
		enforcement authorities have the necessary resources and expertise	enforcement authorities have the necessaryresources, including	enforcement authorities have the necessary resources and expertise	enforcement authorities have the necessary resources and expertise
		for the application of this	technical, financial and human	for the application of this	for the application of this
G	53	Regulation.	resources, and expertise <u>necessary</u>	Regulation.	Regulation and for promoting
			for the application of this Regulation <i>and for promoting</i>		awareness among buyers and suppliers of the provisions it lays
			awareness of the rights and		down.
			obligations that it lays down,		

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				accessible informatio to persons providing	making available clear, and detailed on about its provisions concerned and to them the advisory eeded for its			Text ori	gin: Council and EP
	Article 4a	а							
G	53a			Implement confidentia	Article 4a tation and ality of information	Confiden	Article 4a tiality of information		Article 4a entiality of information gin: Council Mandate
	Article 4a	a, first para	graph						

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	53b			1 Member States shall ensure that enforcement authorities do not impose any charges on suppliers for submitting a complaint regarding an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
А	rticle 4a	a, second p	paragraph			
G	53c			2 For the purposes of this Regulation, the enforcement authorities shall have the power to provide one another with information and use in evidence any fact or matter of law, including confidential information.	1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the enforcement authorities shall have the power to provide one another with information and use in evidence any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information.	1. For the purposes of this Regulation, the enforcement authorities shall have the power to provide one another with information and use in evidence any matter of fact or of law, including confidential information.

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
								Text Or Mandate	igin: Council and EP
	Article 4	a, third par	agraph						
G	53d			shall only for which requested It shall on	tormation exchanged be used for the purpose it was collected by the enforcement authority. aly be used in evidence rpose of applying this n.	shall only for the pu Regulation subject-m collected	be used in evidence urpose of applying this on and in respect of the natter for which it was by the requested ent authority.	shall on the purp Regulati subject- collected enforces	Information exchanged ly be used in evidence for lose of applying this lion and in respect of the matter for which it was I by the requested ment authority.
	Article 4	a, forth par	agraph						
G	53e				Then using information pursuant to paragraph		he information pursuant to paragraph		The information provided t to paragraph 1 shall

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			2, enforcement authorities shall have due regard to the legitimate interests of natural or legal persons, including protection of trade secrets and intellectual property rights.	1 shall only be used by the enforcement authorities with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person, including protection of trade secrets and intellectual property rights.	only be used by the enforcement authorities with due regard to the legitimate interests of a natural person or legal person, including protection of trade secrets and intellectual property rights. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 4	a, fifth paragraph			
G	53f		5 In cases where a complainant requests the protection of information, including the protection of the identity of the complainant, pursuant to Article 5(3) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the enforcement authority receiving	4. In cases where a complainant requests the protection of information pursuant to Article 5(3) of Directive 2019/633, the enforcement authority receiving the complaint shall ask for the agreement of the complainant to	4. In cases where a complainant requests the protection of information pursuant to Article 5(3) of Directive 2019/633, the enforcement authority receiving the complaint shall ask for the agreement of the complainant to

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				agreemen provide th	aint shall ask for the t of the complainant to e protected information r enforcement authority.	informatio	ne protected on to another ent authority.	to anothe	r enforcement authority. gin: Council and EP
	Article 4l	b							
G	53g				icle 4b ponsible Person				G
	Article 4	b, first para	agraph						
G	53h			the Union Responsible entering in relation of	Ruyers located outside shall designate an EU ole Person before nto a contractual n agricultural and food within the meaning of				G

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
					of Directive (EU) ith a supplier located Union.				
	Article 4	o, second p	paragraph						
G	53i			designation EU Responsi mandate. To valid from t accepted in Responsible	terms of the shall constitute the sible Person's hat mandate shall be he moment that it is writing by the EU Person until its or termination.				G
	Article 4	o, third par	agraph						
G	53j			Person shal	e EU Responsible Il perform the tasks the mandate agreed				G

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				with the buyer. The EU Responsible Person shall provide a copy of the mandate to the enforcement authority, upon request. The mandate shall include at least the following tasks:				
	Article 4l	b, third par	agraph, point a					
O	53k			(a) to act as the primary point of contact for enforcement authorities and the Commission;				G
	Article 4l	b, third par	agraph, point b					
G	531			(b) to assume full financial and legal liability for the buyer's compliance with the obligations				G

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
					Directive (EU) and in this Regulation;				
	Article 4	b, third par	agraph, point c						
G	53m			records of	maintain up-to-date the transactions in the the buyer established e Union;				
	Article 4	b, third par	agraph, point d						
G	53n			investigat providing testimony	facilitate ions, including documents, data and to enforcement s or the Commission;				
	Article 4	b, third par	agraph, point e						

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 530	0	(e) to ensure compliance with final decisions, fines or penalties issued under the framework of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and of this Regulation;		
Artic	le 4b, third paragraph, point f			
6 53]	p	if the buyer acts contrary to its obligations set out in Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in this Regulation; the buyer shall ensure that the EU Responsible Person complies with the terms of its mandate in respect of those minimum required tasks. An EU Responsible Person who terminates its mandate on the		

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				3, point (finform the of the Meestablishe	eferred to in paragraph f), shall immediately e enforcement authority ember State in which it is ed of the termination of fate and of the reasons				
	Article 4	b, fourth p	aragraph						
G	53q			Article 3 of 2019/633 established EU Response held joint for the fin	When an unfair trading within the meaning of of Directive (EU) is committed by a buyer and outside the Union, the onsible Person may be always and severally liable the imposed on the tho designated it.				

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
СНАРТЕ	R III			
	CHAPTER III	CHAPTER III	CHAPTER III	CHAPTER III
6 54	MUTUAL ASSISTANCE MECHANISM	MUTUAL ASSISTANCE MECHANISM	MUTUAL ASSISTANCE MECHANISM	MUTUAL ASSISTANCE MECHANISM Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 5				
6 55	Article 5 Requests for information			
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article	5(1)			
6 56	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, a requested enforcement authority shall, without delay, and within 60 days unless otherwise agreed, provide the applicant enforcement authority with the information requested to establish whether an unfair trading practice in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, a requested enforcement authority shall, without delay, and within 60 days unless otherwise agreed45 days from the date of receiving the request, provide the applicant enforcement authority with the information requested to establish whether an unfair trading practice in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring. Where the applicant enforcement authority and the requested enforcement authority agree to an extension of that period of 45	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, a requested enforcement authority shall, without delay, and within 6090 days unless otherwise agreed, provide the applicant enforcement authority with the information requested to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occuring in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, a requested enforcement authority shall, without delay, and within 60 days unless otherwise agreed 90 days, provide the applicant enforcement authority with the information requested to establish whether an unfair trading practice in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority. Where the applicant enforcement authority and the requested enforcement authority agree to an extension of

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			days, it may be extended by an additional period of 45 days.		that period of 90 days, it may be extended by an additional period of 30 days.
					Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
	Article 5	5(2)			
G	57	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, and the corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, and the corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to Where the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, and the corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose is not in	2. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when sending a request for information to Where the requested enforcement authority, state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, and the corresponding provisions of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose is not fully

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	purpose of the request, and specify what information is required.	possession of the request, and specify what information is required requested, the reply referred to in paragraph 1 may be limited to stating the absence of such information. The requested enforcement authority may still decide to collect that information.	in possession of the request, and specify what information requested, the reply referred to in paragraph 1 may contain only partial information or be limited to stating the absence of such information is required indicating the reason for such a reply. The requested enforcement authority may still decide to collect the missing information, in which case it shall inform the applicant enforcement authority of its decision and share the information collected with that authority. Text origin: Council mandate

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 5	(3)			
G	58	3. The information provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their national law.	3. The information provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their respective national lawlaws and with Regulation (EU) 2016/679.	3. The information to be provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their respective national law.	3. The information to be provided shall only be collected by the requested enforcement authority and used by the applicant enforcement authority in accordance with their respective national law.
	Article 5	(4), first subparagraph			
G	59	4. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules	4. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules	4. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules	

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		within the meaning of Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	within the meaning of Article 3(1), point (b), and Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 that do not qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008.	within the meaning adopted on the basis of Article 93(1), point (b), third sentence or on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	
	Article 5((4), subparagraph 1 a			
G	59a		When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided for in subparagraph 1, it shall cite this Regulation as a legal basis, as well as the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned. It shall also state the purpose of the request, specify	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, it shall:	

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				what information is required and describe the unfair trading practice concerned.		
	Article 5	(4), first su	bparagraph a, point (a)			
G	59b				(a) state this Regulation as legal basis;	
	Article 5	(4), first su	bparagraph a, point (b)			
G	59c				(b) indicate the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned that goes beyond Directive (EU) 2019/633, and if that national law is based on Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;	

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
	Article 5	(4), first sul	oparagraph a, point (c)					
G	59d					(c) describe the purpose of the request;		
	Article 5	(4), first sul	oparagraph a, point (d)					
G	59e					(d) describe the unfair trading practice concerned and specify how this goes beyond the Directive (EU) 2019/633;		
	Article 5	(4), first sul	oparagraph a, point (e)					
G	59f					(e) specify what information is required.		
	Article 5	(4), second	subparagraph					

CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may refuse to provide information, indicating the reasons for the refusal.	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided for in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may provide only partial information or refuse to provide information. In such case, the requested enforcement authority shall duly justify, indicating the reasons for the incomplete response or for the refusal in a reasoned and objective reply. That reply shall be sent to the applicant enforcement authority within 45 days of the submission of its request.	When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in subparagraph 1, the requested enforcement authority may refuse to provide information, indicating the reasons for the refusal. In this case, Article 10 shall not apply.	

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
G	60a			efficience cooperate authorit combati practice mechan enable u by comp	In order to increase the cy of cross-border tion between enforcement ties in the field of any unfair commercial s, a special procedural tism shall be established to argent requests submitted tetent authorities in other States to be prioritised.				gin: Council Commission proposal
	Article 5	(4b)							
G	60b			adopt im down th mechan of urgen paragra	The Commission may applementing acts laying be special procedural sism for the prioritisation at requests provided for in apple 4a. Those senting acts shall be				gin: Council Commission proposal

		CLEAN	nmission posal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
					accordance with the n procedure referred e 21.				
	Article 6								
		Article 6			Article 6		Article 6		Article 6
		Requests for enforcement		Requests fo	or enforcement	Requests for enforcement		Reque	ests for <i>enforcement</i>
G	61	measures		measures investigative measures		ve measures	inve	stigative measures	
								Text Orig	gin: Council Mandate
	Article 6	(1)							
		1. At the re	equest and on	1. At	the request and on	1. At	the request and on	1. At	the request and on
G	62		licant enforcement		applicant enforcement		n applicant enforcement		n applicant enforcement
		authority, the red	_	•	he requested		the requested	•	the requested
		enforcement aut	hority shall	enforcemen	nt authority shall	enforcemen	nt authority shall	enforceme	nt authority shall

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	exercise, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	exercise, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b), (c) and (d) and (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	exerciseconduct investigative measures, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and with its national law.	exercise conduct investigative measures, in accordance with the national rules of its Member State, the powers set out in Article 6(1), first subparagraph, points (a), (b) and (c), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and with its national law, in order to determine whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring.
Article 6	5(2)			
6 63	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises	2. When a requested enforcement authority exercises

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
the powers set out in Article 6(1),	the powers set out in Article 6(1),	the powers set out in Article 6(1),	the powers set out in Article 6(1),
first subparagraph, points (a), (b)	first subparagraph, points (a), (b),	first subparagraph, points (a), (b)	first subparagraph, points (a), (b)
and (c), of Directive (EU)	(c) and (d) and (c), of Directive	and (c), of Directive (EU)	and (c), of Directive (EU)
2019/633 at the request and on	(EU) 2019/633 at the request and	2019/633 at the request and on	2019/633 at the request and on
behalf of an applicant enforcement	on behalf of an applicant	behalf of an applicant enforcement	behalf of an applicant enforcement
authority, officials and other	enforcement authority, officials	authority, officials and other	authority, officials and other
accompanying persons authorised	and other accompanying persons	accompanying persons authorised	accompanying persons authorised
or appointed by the applicant	authorised or appointed by the	or appointed by the applicant	or appointed by the applicant
enforcement authority shall be	applicant enforcement authority	enforcement authority shall be	enforcement authority shall be
permitted to attend and assist the	shall be permitted to attend and	permitted to attend and assist the	permitted to attend and assist the
requested enforcement authority,	assist the requested enforcement	requested enforcement authority,	requested enforcement authority,
under the supervision of the	authority, under the supervision of	upon informing the requested	upon informing the requested
officials of the requested	the officials of the requested	enforcement authority in	enforcement authority in advance
enforcement authority.	enforcement authority.	advance and under the	and under the supervision of the
		supervision of the officials of the	officials of the requested
		requested enforcement authority.	enforcement authority.
			Text Origin: Council Mandate

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 6	(3)			
G	64	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority <i>without delay</i> about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority <i>without delay</i> about the steps and measures taken and the steps and measures that it intends to take.
	Article 6	(3a)			
G	64a		3a. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national		G

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				Article 3(19 of Direction of the property of t	in the meaning of 1), point (b), and Article tive (EU) 2019/633 that alify as overriding y provisions within the of Article 9 of n (EC) No 593/2008.				
	Article 7								
			Article 7		Article 7		Article 7		Article 7
G	65	decisions i	For the enforcement of imposing fines or other fective penalties and easures	decisions	for the enforcement of imposing fines or other fective penalties and easures	decisions in	or the enforcement of imposing fines or other fective penalties and asures	decisions equally	imposing fines or other effective penalties and nterim measures
								Text Orig	gin: Commission

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 7	(1)			
G	66	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested authority shall enforce, in accordance with its national law, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested authority shall enforce, without delay and in accordance with its national law, final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 by the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall-enforce, in accordance with its national law, enforce or initiate proceedings for the enforcement of final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 by the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	1. At the request of an applicant enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority, the requested enforcement authority shall enforce, in accordance with its national law, enforce or initiate, without delay, the proceedings for the enforcement of final decisions imposing fines or other equally effective penalties and interim measures adopted in accordance with Article 6(1), first subparagraph, point (e), of Directive (EU) 2019/633 by the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 7	7(1a)			
6 66a		1a. Fines imposed in the framework of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and of this Regulation on buyers established outside the Union may be enforceable against their EU Responsible Persons.		G
Article 7	7(2)			
G 67	2. Paragraph 1 shall apply only to the extent that, after having made reasonable efforts in its own territory, the applicant enforcement authority has ascertained that the buyer against	2. Paragraph 1 shall apply only to the extent that, after having made reasonable efforts in its own territory, the applicant enforcement authority has ascertained that the buyer against	2. Paragraph 1 shall apply only to the extent that, after having made reasonable efforts in its own territory, the applicant enforcement authority has ascertained that the buyer against	2. Paragraph 1 shall apply only to the extent that, after having made reasonable efforts in its own territory, the applicant enforcement authority has ascertained that the buyer against

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	which the fine and the other penalties and interim measures are enforceable does not have sufficient assets in the territory of its Member State.	which the fine and the other penalties and interim measures are not enforceable does not have sufficient assets in the territory of itsthe Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	which the fine and the other equally effective penalties and interim measures are enforceable does not have sufficient assets in the territory of itsthe Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	which the fine and the other equally effective penalties and interim measures are enforceable does not have sufficient assets in the territory of itsthe Member State of the applicant enforcement authority. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Articl	e 7(2a)			
6 67a		2a. This Regulation shall be without prejudice to national rules laying down sanctions and penalties for engaging in unfair trading practices, as provided for in Directive (EU) 2019/633.		Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 7	(3)			
G	68	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision.	3. The applicant enforcement authority may request only the enforcement of a final decision. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 7	(4)			
G	69	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested authority.	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested <i>enforcement</i> authority.	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested enforcement authority.	4. Questions regarding limitation periods for the enforcement of fines, other equally effective penalties and interim measures shall be governed by the national law of the Member State of the requested <i>enforcement</i> authority.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	. 7(0.)			Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
Articl	e 7(4a)			
6 69a		4a. Member States may decide that enforcement authorities can make use of the possibilities referred to in this Article in relation to national rules within the meaning of Article 3(1), point (b), and Article 9 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 that do not qualify as overriding mandatory provisions within the meaning of Article 9 of Regulation (EC) No 593/2008.		

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 7	a				
G	69b			Article 7a Costs	Article 7a Costs	Article 7a Costs Text Origin: Council and EP Mandate
	Article 7	a(1a)				
G	69c			1 Member States shall waive all claims for the reimbursement of costs incurred in applying this Regulation, with the exception of measures taken pursuant to Articles 5, 6 and 7.	1. In relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 5 or 6, when requested by the requested enforcement authority, the applicant enforcement authority shall bear all reasonable additional costs in full, including translation, labour and	1. Enforcement authorities shall not impose any fees on suppliers to recover costs related to the cross-border dimension of an unfair trading practice.

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				administrative costs, arising from the request.	
Article 7a, second	paragraph				
6 69d					2. The enforcement authorities shall waive all claims between them for the reimbursement of costs incurred in applying this Regulation, with the exception of the costs they incur as requested enforcement authority for measures taken pursuant to Articles 5, 6, 7, 12a or 12b as referred to in paragraphs 3 and 4 of this Article Text origin: EP mandate (row 53b)

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article 7	a(2)							
69e			taken purse 6, the requestion authority Member S enforcement and losses labour and arising from been dismitunfounded a court, as	e relation to measures suant to Articles 5 and wested enforcement may recover from the State of the applicant ent authority any costs is, including translation, and administrative costs, om measures that have issed and held to be ad in a final decision by s far as the substance of gement is concerned.	taken pu when re- enforcer applican shall bea addition translati administ	In relation to measures ursuant to Article 5 or 6, quested by the requested ment authority, the at enforcement authority ar all reasonable hal costs in full, including ion, labour and trative costs, arising e request.	enforce request authori addition includir adminis the request	In relation to measures sursuant to Article 5, 6, 12b the requested ment authority may the applicant enforcement ity to bear reasonable mal costs in full or in part, mg translation, labour and strative costs, arising from mest. In such case, the mt enforcement authority alfil the request. rigin: EP Mandate
Article 7	a(3)							

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 69f		and an artifaction of the state	3. The requested enforcement authority shall recover the amounts due in the currency of its Member State, in accordance with its national law.	4. The requested enforcement authority may recover the full costs incurred in relation to measures taken pursuant to Article 7 from the fine payments collected on behalf of the applicant enforcement authority, including translation, labour and administrative costs. Only if the fine amount does not cover the reasonable additional costs incurred, or the requested enforcement authority is unsuccessful in collecting the fines, provided that it has made all reasonable efforts to collect them, it may request the applicant authority to bear the costs incurred in full or in part. In such case, the applicant

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Dra	ft Agreement
								the request.	thority shall fulfil
								Text Origin: (Council and EP
	Article 7	a(4)							
G	69g			taken pur requested may recov incurred f on behalf enforcement	relation to measures suant to Article 7, the enforcement authority eer the full costs from the fines collected of the applicant ent authority, including n, labour and ative costs.	enforcem recover the relation to pursuant fine payn behalf of enforceme including and admi	he requested ent authority may he full costs incurred in o measures taken to Article 7 from the nents collected on the applicant ent authority, translation, labour inistrative costs. If the l enforcement authority		thority shall bunts due in the Member State, in h its national law.

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						is unsuccessful in collecting the fines, it may request the applicant authority to bear the costs incurred.	
Art	ticle 7	a(5)					
G 6	59h			requested shall, in a national l into the cu State at th applying o	enforcement authority ccordance with its aw, convert the fines arrency of its Member the rate of exchange on the date on which the e imposed.	4. The requested enforcement authority shall, if necessary, in accordance with its national law, convert the fines into the currency of its Member State at the rate of exchange applying on the date on which the fines were imposed.	6. The requested enforcement authority shall, if necessary, in accordance with its national law, convert the fines into the currency of its Member State at the rate of exchange applying on the date on which the fines were imposed Text Origin: Council Mandate
Art	ticle 8						

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		Article 8	Article 8	Article 8	Article 8
G	70	Notification mechanism	Notification mechanism and alert system	Notification mechanism	Notification mechanism
					Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
Ar	rticle 8,	3, first paragraph			
G	71	An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.	An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of A system for notifying alerts that an unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension in its might be taking place is hereby established as a network. The Commission	An enforcement authority shall notify all other enforcement authorities within 1 month30 days after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.	An enforcement authority shall notify the Commission and all other enforcement authorities within 1-month 30 days after adopting a decision establishing the occurrence of an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in its Member State.

		CLEAN	ommission oposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				shall each point of co member Si Commission for manage order to as	designate a single ontact, which shall be a tate of the network. The on shall be responsible ring the network in aggregate complaints fy patterns of abuse.			Text Ori	gin: Council Mandate
	Article 8	(1a)							
G	71a			shall notificall other emithin 30 claid down unfair tracecross-bord taking pla	n enforcement authority by the Commission and inforcement authorities days using the system in paragraph 1 that an ding practice with a der dimension might be ce in its Member State, of whether it is taking				gin: Council Commission proposal

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			taking pla	within the Union or is ce both within the l in one or more third				
Article 8	3(1b)							
6 71b			authority s alert refer provide in suspected with a cros	ne enforcement shall, when issuing an red to in paragraph 1, formation about the unfair trading practice ss-border dimension this Regulation, the following:				gin: Council Commission proposal
Article 8	(1b), point a		,					
6 71c				detailed description of trading practice with a				

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			cross-border dimension concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 8	(1b), point b			
G	71d		(b) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 8	(1b), third indent			
G	71e		(c) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Artio	cle 8(1b), fou	rth indent			
s 71	f		(d) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Artio	cle 8(1b), fift	h indent			
s 71	g		(e) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Artio	cle 8(1c)				•

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 71h		Where a member of the network has information that an unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension might be taking place, this information shall be notified to the Commission through the alert system within 30 days. The Commission shall transmit this information immediately to the members of the network. The Commission may supplement the notification with any information that is likely to facilitate rapid, appropriate action by enforcement authorities.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
Article	8(1d)			

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council 1	Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
6 71i			when issue enforcement of the same is with a cromight be to territory of the same of the s	cement authority may, ing an alert, request ent authorities in other states to verify whether, information that is or accessible to the inforcement authorities, unfair trading practices in the factorial that is seed that is seed the inforcement authorities, unfair trading practices in the factorial trading place in the factorial trading or any into measures have the interest that is in those other in those in those other in those in those other in those in those other in those in the interest in those in those in those in the interest in those in					gin: Council Commission proposal

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				measures of the not under the Commissi	plemented or the taken following receipt ifications transmitted alert system. The ion shall transmit that on without delay to the of the network.				
	Article 8	(1e), first p	aragraph						
G	71j			may be op or interna- the basis the Union	tion in the alert system pened to third countries utional organisations, on of agreements between a and those countries or onal organisations.				gin: Council Commission proposal
	Article 8	(1e), secon	d paragraph						

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	71k		For the purposes of the first subparagraph, the Commission shall ensure that third countries and international organisations do not have direct access to the identity of natural persons.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 9				
		Article 9	Article 9	Article 9	Article 9
G	72	Procedure for requests for mutual assistance	Procedure for requests for mutual assistance	Procedure for requests for mutual assistance	Procedure for requests for mutual assistance Text Origin: Commission
	Article 9	0(1)			Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
72	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance, provide any relevant information necessary to enable the requested enforcement authority to fulfil that request, including any information that can be obtained only in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority.	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance, cite this Regulation as a basis, state the national law laying down the prohibition of the unfair trading practice concerned and the purpose of the request, specify the information required under Article 5(1) or the enforcement measures requested under Article 6 or 7, describe the unfair trading practice concerned and provide any relevant information necessary to enable the requested enforcement authority to fulfil that request, including any information that can be obtained only in the	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance: (a) state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, the corresponding provisions of Article 1(2) and Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose of the request, including a description of the cross-border dimension of the alleged unfair trading practice, and specify the information requested under Article 5(1) or the enforcement measures requested under Articles 6 or 7;	1. The applicant enforcement authority shall, when making a request for mutual assistance: (a) state as legal basis this Regulation, the national law transposing Directive (EU) 2019/633, the corresponding provisions of Article 1(2) and Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, the purpose of the request, including a description of the cross-border dimension of the alleged unfair trading practice, and specify the information requested under Article 5(1) or the enforcement measures requested under Articles 6 or 7;

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				tate of the applicant nt authority.	relevant in enable the authority including be obtained	de any additional information necessary to requested enforcement to fulfil that request, any information that can ed only in the Member ine applicant enforcement	information the request authority to including be obtained State of the authority.	the any additional relevant on necessary to enable sted enforcement to fulfil that request, any information that can end only in the Member the applicant enforcement to applicant enforcement to see the applicant enforcement e
Artic	le 9(2)							
6 74	assistance linked to	Requests for mutual e and all communications them shall be made in sing standard forms.	assistance a	equests for mutual and all communications nem shall be made in ng standard forms <i>laid</i>	assistance linked to to writing us the reque	tequests for mutual e and all communications them shall be made in sing Standard forms for ests for mutual e shall be used when	assistance linked to t writing—us the reques	equests for mutual and all communications them shall be made in sing. Standard forms for sts for mutual assistance sed when they have

		CLEAN	mmission oposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
					e Commission in with Article 12.		been laid down by the ion in accordance with bb.	Commissi Article 20	down by the on in accordance with b.
	Article 1	0	,						
G	75		ply with a request stance	Refusal to o	Article 10 comply with a request assistance		Article 10 comply with a request assistance	for	Article 10 o comply with a request mutual assistance
	Article 1	0(1)						Proposal	

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	76	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 5 only if one or both of the following applies:	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 55(1) in accordance with Article 4a, only if at least one or both of the following applies:	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article 55(1) only if one or both of the following applies:	1. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for information under Article <u>55(1)</u> only if one or both of the following applies:
	Article 1	0(1), point (a)			
G	77	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, both enforcement authorities agree that the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, both enforcement authorities agree that the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross border dimension has	(a) following a consultation with the applicant enforcement authority, both enforcement authorities agree that the information requested is not needed by the applicant enforcement authority to establish whether an unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	dimension has occurred or is occurring;	with a cross-border dimension has occurred or is occurring;	occurred or is occurring or that a new request may be made at a later stage;	new request may be made at a later stage; Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article	10(1), point (b)		,	
G 78	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice towards the same supplier and in the same period before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the authorities in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority.	(b) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice towards the same supplier and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by these criminal investigations or judicial proceedings, before the authorities in the Member State of the

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					requested enforcement authority or of the applicant enforcement authority. Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 1	10(2)			
G	79	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, one or both of the following applies:	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7Article 6 in accordance with Article 4a, only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority and the Commission, at least one or both of the following applies:	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7 Article 6 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one-or both of the following applies:	2. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Articles 6 and 7 Article 6 only if, having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one or both of the following applies: Text Origin: Council Mandate

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Artic	e 10(2), point	(a)						
G 80	judicial probeen initial been give has been same unfathe judicial Member S	riminal investigations or roceedings have already ated, a judgment has en, or a court settlement reached in respect of the air trade practice before al authorities in the State of the requested ent authority;	judicial pr been initial been giver buyer in runfair trans the same speriod, or been reach in respect tradetradii judicial au	iminal investigations or occeedings have already ated, or a judgment has a gainst the same espect of the same ding practice towards supplier and in the same a court settlement has ned with the same buyer of the same unfair ng practice before the athorities in the Member are requested enforcement	judicial probeen initial been given buyer in the unfair transcourt settle with the same upractice beauthorities	riminal investigations or roceedings have already ated, or a judgment has a gainst the same respect of the same ading practice, or a dement has been reached same buyer in respect of unfair tradetrading efore the judicial in the Member State of sted enforcement	been in been gi buyer i unfair the sam same proceed has been buyer i tradetre judicial	criminal investigations or proceedings have already itiated, or a judgment has ven against the same respect of the same trading practice towards be supplier, and during the eriod of the unfair trading recovered by these criminal reactions or judicial dings, or a court settlement in reached with the same in respect of the same unfair rading practice before the authorities in the Member of the requested enforcement ty;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 1	0(2), point (b)			
s 81	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted in respect of the same intra-Union infringement and against the same trader in the	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted <i>against the</i> same buyer in respect of the same intra-Union infringement and	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect	(b) the exercise of the necessary enforcement powers including administrative proceedings has already been initiated, or an administrative decision has already been adopted against the same buyer in respect
01	Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair trade practice;	towards the same tradersupplier in the Member State of the requested enforcement authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of the same unfair tradetrading practice;	of the same intra Union infringement and against the same traderunfair trading practice in the Member State of the requested authority in order to bring about the swift and effective cessation of	of the same intra-Union infringement and againstunfair trading practice towards the same tradersupplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by the investigations or the

		CLEAN	ommission roposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
						the same u practice;	nfair trade trading	Member Senforcement bring about cessation tradetradia	Exative decision in the State of the requested ent authority in order to ut the swift and effective of the same unfair ing practice;
	Article 1	0(2), point (c)	-		'				
G	82	judicial proceed been initiated a buyer in respec	ninal investigation or edings have already as regards the same ect of the same unfair before the judicial the applicant;	judicial probeen initiat the same be same unfai	riminal investigation or occeedings have already ted as regards against uyer in respect of the respect of the respect of the respect of the authorities in the	judicial probeen initiate the same be same unfait before the the Members	eriminal investigation or occeedings have already ted as regardsagainst uyer in respect of the ir tradetrading practice judicial authorities in oer State of the enforcement authority;	judicial probeen initiathe same unfatowards the during the	criminal investigation or roceedings have already ated as regards against buyer in respect of the air tradetrading practice the same supplier, and the same period of the ading practice covered by

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					State of the applicant ent authority;			the criminal investigation or judicial proceedings before the judicial authorities in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority; Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 1	.0(2), point	(ca)					
G	82a					reasonabl requested are in con (EU) 2019 the reques	e requested ent authority issues e doubts whether the enforcement measures apliance with Directive 0/633 or can show that st concerns national pted on the basis of 1), point (b), third	(ca) the requested enforcement authority can demonstrate that the requested enforcement measures are not provided in Article 6(1) points (a), (b), (c) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, or can show that the request concerns periods set on the basis of Article 3(1), point (b),

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
						2019/633 adopted o	of Directive (EU) or maintained or on the basis of Article rective (EU) 2019/633;	2019/633 maintain basis of A (EU) 201	tence of Directive (EU) Sor national rules ed or adopted on the Article 9(1) of Directive 19/633; igin: Council mandate
	Article 10	0(2), point	(cb)						
G	82b						ne requested ent authority cannot:	enforcem	he requested ment authority cannot: igin: Council Mandate
	Article 10(2), point (cb), second subparagraph								

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
G	82c					protection information Article 5(3) 2019/633 th pursuant to Regulation protection	of the relevant in in accordance with of Directive hat has been provided o Article 4a(4) of this and on the of which the int insists, or	protection informal Article 5 that has to Article and on the company the company to the company the co	ensure the appropriate on of the relevant tion in accordance with 5(3) of Directive 2019/633 been provided pursuant te 4a(4) of this Regulation the protection of which plainant insists, or
	Article 10	0(2), point	(cb), third subparagraph						
G	82d					having according that the conagree to pr	fil the request without ess to the information mplainant did not rovide pursuant to 4) of this Regulation;	having of that the agree to	fulfil the request without access to the information complainant did not provide pursuant to fa(4) of this Regulation;

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	L0(2), point (d)			Text Origin: Council Mandate
6 83	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 5.	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary <i>to act on a complaint</i> in accordance with Article 5.	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 59 .	(d) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 59.
Article 1	LO(2a), first subparagraph			
6 83a			2a. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Article 7 only if,	2a. A requested enforcement authority may refuse to comply with a request for enforcement measures under Article 7 only if,

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
						having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one of the following applies:	having consulted with the applicant enforcement authority, at least one of the following applies: Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	0(2a), seco	nd subparagraph				
G	83b					(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated or a judgment has been given against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice, or a court settlement has been reached with the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the	(a) criminal investigations or judicial proceedings have already been initiated or a judgment has been given against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice, or a court settlement has been reached with the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading practice before the judicial authorities in

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
						Member	authorities in the r State of the requested ment authority;	reques	ember State of the ted enforcement authority; Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	0(2a), third	subparagraph						
G	83c					necessar includin proceed initiated decision adopted in respec trading	the exercise of the cy enforcement powers ag administrative ings has already been an administrative has already been against the same buyer ct of the same unfair practice in the Member the requested authority to bring about the swift	include procee initiate decision agains of the se practice the req	the exercise of the ary enforcement powers ing administrative dings has already been ed, or an administrative on has already been adopted the same buyer in respect same unfair trading the in the Member State of suested authority in order a gabout the swift and

CLEAN VS.EC EP Mandate VS.EC Council I	Mandate vs.ec Draft Agreement
and effective cessation	
same unfair trading	practice; <u>unfair trading practice;</u>
	Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 10(2a), fourth subparagraph	
(c) a criminal in	vestigation (c) a criminal investigation
or judicial proceeding	
already been initiate	ed against already been initiated against the
the same buyer in re	espect of the same buyer in respect of the same
same unfair trading	practice <u>unfair trading practice before the</u>
before the judicial at	
the Member State of	f the <u>Member State of the applicant</u>
applicant enforceme	ent <u>enforcement authority;</u>
authority;	
	Text Origin: Council Mandate

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	0(2a), fifth	subparagraph				
₃ 83e					enforcement authority issues reasonable doubts whether the final decision is in compliance with Directive (EU) 2019/633 or can show that the final decision concerns national rules adopted on the basis of Article 3(1), poin (b), third sentence of Directive (EU) 2019/633 or maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, or could not have been taken or cannot be enforced in compliance with its national law;	third sentence of Directive (EU) 2019/633 or national rules maintained or adopted on the basis of Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633, or could not have been taken or cannot be enforced in compliance with its national
Article 1	0(2a), sixth	subparagraph				

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	83f			(e) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 9.	(e) the applicant enforcement authority has not provided the information that is necessary in accordance with Article 9. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	0(3)			
G	84	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform without delay the applicant enforcement authority and the Commission of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform the applicant enforcement authority of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.	3. The requested enforcement authority shall inform without delay the applicant enforcement authority of any refusal to comply with a request for mutual assistance, together with the reasons for that refusal.

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: EP and Council
	Article 1	1			
		Article 11	Article 11	Article 11	Article 11
		Language arrangements	Language arrangements	Language arrangements	Language arrangements
G	85				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	1(1)			
G	86	The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the	enforcement authorities for	The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the	1. The languages used by the enforcement authorities for requests, notifications and all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the
		mutual assistance mechanism,	mutual assistance mechanism,	mutual assistance mechanism,	mutual assistance mechanism,

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.	shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.	shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned.	shall be agreed upon with the enforcement authorities concerned. Text Origin: Commission
	Article 1	1(2)			Proposal
G	87	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, requests for mutual assistance shall be sent in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority and replies in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, requests for mutual assistance shall be sent in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority, accompanied by a courtesy translation in English, and replies in the official	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, requests for mutual assistance shall be sent in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority and replies in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, requests for mutual assistance shall be sent in the official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority, accompanied by a courtesy translation in English, if requested and replies in the

		Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		the requested enforcement authority.	language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the requested enforcement authority, accompanied by a courtesy translation in English.	the requested enforcement authority.	official language, or one of the official languages, of the Member State of the requested enforcement authority, accompanied by a courtesy translation in English, if requested. Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 1	2			
G	88	Article 12 Implementing powers	Article 12 Implementing powers	Article 12 Implementing powers This article is now article 20b	
	Article 1	.2, first paragraph			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	89	The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests of mutual assistance under Article 9(2).	By [6 months from the entry into force of this Regulation], the Commission mayshall adopt implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests of mutual assistance under Article 9(2).:	The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests of mutual assistance under Article 9(2).	G
	Article 1	2, first paragraph, point a			
G	89a		(a) standard forms for the requests of mutual assistance under Article 9(2);		G
	Article 1	2, first paragraph, point b			
G	89b		(b) the measures for implementing Article 8, in particular the specific conditions and standard procedures		G

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				applicable to the issuing of alerts and the transmission of notifications, communications and information among enforcement authorities.				
Arti	icle 12	2, second p	aragraph					
G 9	00	in the first	ementing acts referred to a paragraph shall be a accordance with the on procedure referred to 21.	The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraphthis Article shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.	in the first padopted in	nenting acts referred to paragraph shall be accordance with the n procedure referred to		
Cha	apter	IIIa		,				
G 90	0a						VOLUNT	Chapter IIIa CARY COOPERATION
Arti	icle 12	2a						

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
6 90b								Article 12a for information in o national rules
Article 1	2a(1)							
6 90c							decide the authoritie possibility 5 in relaty basis of A third sent	Aember States may at enforcement es can make use of the ies referred to in Article ion to periods set on the Article 3(1), point (b), tence or national rules ed or adopted on the Article 9(1) of Directive 9/633.
Article 1	2a(2)							

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	90d							2. When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of this possibility, the requested enforcement authority may provide only partial information or refuse to provide information. The requested enforcement authority shall indicate the reasons for the partial reply or for the refusal. In this case Article 10 shall not apply.
	Article 12	2b						
G	90e							Article 12b Requests for investigative measures in relation to national rules

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Article 1	12b(1)							
6 90f							has set particle 3 sentence adopted basis of (EU) 20 the same operator unfair to periods a State on point (b) national State mo the basis	When a Member State periods on the basis of B(1), point (b), third e or maintained or national rules on the Article 9(1) of Directive 19/633, that provide for e threshold for the es or the same type of rading practices as the set by another Member the basis of Article 3(1), h, third sentence or as the e rules of another Member uintained or adopted on s of Article 9(1) of e (EU) 2019/633, their ment authorities may

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council	Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
									possibilit 6(1) in re unfair tro	make use of the ies referred to in Article elation to one or more ading practices under tional rules.
	Article 1	.2b(2)								
G	90g								enforcem of this po enforcem to conduc measures reasons f	When an applicant nent authority makes use essibility the requested nent authority may refuse ect investigative s, without indicating the for the refusal. In this icle 10 shall not apply.
	Article 1	.2c								
G	90h									Article 12c

131

LIFE.1

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								Procedure for request
	Article 12	2c, first par	agraph					
G	90i							When an applicant enforcement authority makes use of the possibility provided in Article 12a and 12b, it shall send a request to the requested enforcement authority which:
	Article 12	2c, first par	agraph, point (a)					
G	90j							(a) states this Regulation as legal basis;
	Article 12	2c, first par	agraph, point (b)					
G	90k							(b) indicates the national law laying down the prohibition of the

132

LIFE.1

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								unfair trading practice concerned that goes beyond Directive (EU) 2019/633, and if that national law is based on Article 3(1), point (b), third sentence or Article 9(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633;
	Article 1	2c, first par	agraph, point (c)					
G	901							(c) describes the purpose of the request;
	Article 1	2c, first par	agraph, point (d)					
G	90m							(d) describes the unfair trading practice concerned and specify how this goes beyond the Directive (EU) 2019/633;
	Article 1	2c, first par	agraph, point (e)					

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	90n				(e) specifies what information or which investigative measure is requested.
	СНАРТЕ	RIV			
		CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV	CHAPTER IV
G	91	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION	INVESTIGATION AND ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS FOR WIDESPREAD UNFAIR TRADING PRACTICES WITH A CROSS-BORDER DIMENSION Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Clean Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Articl	e 13				
6 92	Article 1: Launch of a coordinate and designation of the	ted action Laun	Article 13 ch of a coordinated action designation of the coordinator	Article 13 Launch of a coordinated action and designation of the coordinate	Article 13 Launch of a coordinated action and designation of the coordinator Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Articl	e 13(1)				
g 93	1. Where there is reasonable suspicion may be a widespread trading practice with a border dimension, the authorities concerned practice shall launch a action which shall be	that there reaso unfair may la cross- e enforcement borde by that author a coordinated pract	Where there is a nable suspicion that there be a widespread unfairing practice with a crosser dimension, the enforcement orities concerned by that fice shall launch a coordinated in which shall be based on an	1. Where there is a reasonable suspicion that there may be a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension, the enforcement authorities concerned by that practice shall launch a coordinate action which shall be based on an	authorities concerned by that ed practice shall launch a coordinated

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay.	agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay.	agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay.	agreement between them. The launch of the coordinated action shall be notified to the Commission without delay. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	3(2)			
G	94	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate an enforcement authority to be the coordinator.	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate, by common agreement, an enforcement authority to be the coordinator. If those enforcement authorities are unable to reach an agreement on	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate an enforcement authority to be the coordinator.	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall designate an enforcement authority to be the coordinator

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Cou	uncil Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		authority is pursuant to coordinate authority is exercise of enforcement concerned Commission efficient conforcement safeguard	nation, the enforcement that has issued the alert to Article 19 shall be the or. That enforcement shall be assisted in the f its powers by the other ent authorities and by the on, in order to facilitate cooperation among ent authorities, fair competition and lnerable suppliers.	are unable to r agreement on t the enforcemen	that designation, nt authority that alert pursuant to	the designation of the discussion of the discuss	igin: EP and Council

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	13(3)			
95	3. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practi with a cross-border dimension shall conduct investigations on the basis of information that is available to them. They shall notify the results of such investigations to the other enforcement authorities, pursuant to Article 19.	with a cross-border dimension shall conduct investigations on the basis of information that is available to them. <i>TheyThe</i> coordinator shall notify the results of such investigations to the other	3. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall conduct investigations on the basis of information that is available to them. They shall notify the results of such investigations to the other enforcement authorities, pursuant to Article 19.	3. The enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension shall conduct investigations on the basis of information that is available to them. They shall notify the results of such investigations to the other enforcement authorities concerned, pursuant to Article 19.
Article 2	13(4)			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	96	4. An enforcement authority shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	4. An enforcement authority shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	4. An enforcement authority shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	4. An enforcement authority shall join the coordinated action if it becomes apparent during that coordinated action that the enforcement authority is concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.
	Article 1	3(5)			
G	97	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension all elements	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension all elements	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension all elements	5. In order to establish that an enforcement authority is concerned by a widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension all elements

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		shall be taken into account and in particular:	shall be taken into account and in particular:	shall be taken into account and in particular:	shall be taken into account and in particular: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	3(5), point (a)			
G	98	a) the Member States where the buyers are established;	a) the Member States or the third country where the buyers are established;	a) the Member States where the buyers are established;	a) the Member States where the buyers are established; Text Origin: Council Mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 1	3(5), point (b)			randoco / commission proposal
G	99	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected	b) the Member States where the suppliers that may be affected

		CLEAN	nmission posal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		by the unfair tracestablished.	ding practice are	by the unfa established	ir trading practice are	by the unfa	nir trading practice are	established	
	Article 1	1						Text Orig	gin: Commission
	7 II CICIC I	7	ı						
		Arti	icle 14		Article 14		Article 14		Article 14
G	100	Reasons for refu the coordinated	ising to take part in action	Reasons for the coordin	r refusing to take part in ated action		or refusing to take part in nated action		or refusing to take part in coordinated action
								Text Orig	yin: Commission
	Article 1	4(1)							

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	101	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies:	1. An enforcement authority may refuse to take part in a coordinated action only if any of the following applies: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	4(1), point (a)			
G	102	(a) a criminal investigation or judicial proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has been given, or a court settlement has been reached in respect of the same buyer and concerning the same unfair trading practice in that enforcement authority's Member State;	(a) a criminal investigation, administrative or judicial proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has been given, or a court settlement has been reached in respect of the same buyer or buyers and concerning the same unfair trading practice towards the same	(a) a criminal investigation—or, judicial proceedings or administrative proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has been given, or a court settlement has been reached in respect of the same buyer or buyers and concerning the same unfair trading practicepractices in	(a) a criminal investigation, or judicial <i>or administrative</i> proceedings have already been initiated, a judgment has been given, or a court settlement has been reached in respect of the same buyer <i>or buyers</i> and concerning the same unfair trading practice <i>towards the same</i>

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			supplier or suppliers and in the same period in that enforcement authority's Member State;	that enforcement authority's Member State;	supplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by this criminal investigation, or judicial, or administrative proceedings in that enforcement authority's Member State; Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 1	.4(1), point (b)			
G	103	(b) the enforcement authority has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been adopted against the same buyer in respect of the same unfair trading	(b) the enforcement authority has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been adopted against the same buyer or buyers in respect of the same	(b) the enforcement authority has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been adopted against the same buyer or buyers in respect of the same	(b) the enforcement authority has already initiated investigations before the issuing of an alert referred to in Article 19, or an administrative decision has been adopted against the same buyer or buyers in respect of the same

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		practice in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	unfair trading practice towards the same supplier or suppliers and in the same period in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	unfair trading practicepractices in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	unfair trading practice towards the same supplier, and during the same period of the unfair trading practice covered by the investigations or the administrative decision in that enforcement authority's Member State to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension; Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 1	4(1), point (c)			
G	104	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension has not occurred	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has not occurred	(c) the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension has not occurred

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures need to be adopted by that enforcement authority.	in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures need to be adopted by that enforcement authority.	in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 need to be adoptedtaken by that enforcement authority.	in that enforcement authority's Member State and therefore no enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 need to be adoptedtaken by that enforcement authority. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	4(2)			
G	105	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision	2. Where an enforcement authority refuses to take part in the coordinated action, it shall inform the Commission and the other enforcement authorities concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension about its decision

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		without delay, stating the reasons for its decision and providing any necessary supporting documents.	without delay, stating the reasons for its decision and providing any necessary supporting documents.	without delay, stating the reasons for its decision and providing, where any necessary, supporting documents.	without delay, stating the reasons for its decision and providing any necessary supporting documents. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	4(a)			
G	105a		Article 14a Mediation mechanism		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 1	4(a), first paragraph			
G	105b		1 The Commission shall act as a mediation mechanism for the		G

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			resolution of potential disagreements between Member States and refusals of cooperation for no objective reason, and to prevent blockages in the mutual assistance process.		Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 15	5			
		Article 15	Article 15	Article 15	Article 15
		Investigation measures in	Investigation Investigative	Investigation	<i>Investigation</i>
	40.	coordinated actions	measures in coordinated actions	measures Investigations in	measures Investigations in
G	106			coordinated actions	coordinated actions Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 15	5(1)			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	107	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a <i>timely</i> , <i>effective</i> , <i>and</i> coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall ensure that investigations and inspections are conducted in a <i>timely</i> , <i>effective</i> , <i>and</i> coordinated manner. They shall seek to conduct investigations and inspections and, to the extent that national law so allows, to apply interim measures simultaneously with one another.
	Article 1	5(2)			
G	108	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the	2. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall set out the

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common position, summarising the national decisions adopted.	outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common positionjoint statement, summarising the national decisions adopted.	outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common positionstatement, summarising the national decisions adopted measures taken and, where applicable, the different opinions of the enforcement authorities.	outcome of the investigation and the assessment of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension in a common positionstatement, summarising the national decisions adopted measures taken and, where applicable, the different opinions of the enforcement authorities. Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	5(3)			
G	109	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943	3. Without prejudice to the rules on confidentiality and on professional and trade secrecy laid down in Directive (EU) 2016/943

Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the common position or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.	of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹⁶ , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the <i>common positionjoint</i> statement or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.	of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the common positionstatement or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.	of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ , the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall publish the common <i>positionstatement</i> or parts thereof on their websites and inform the Commission of the publication.
1. Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).	1. Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).	1. [1] Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj).	1. [1] Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of undisclosed know-how and business information (trade secrets) against their unlawful acquisition, use and disclosure (OJ L 157, 15.6.2016, p. 1, ELI: http://data.europa.eu/eli/dir/2016/943/oj). Text Origin: Council Mandate

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 1	6			
G	110	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions	Article 16 Enforcement measures in coordinated actions Text Origin: Commission
	Article 1	6(1)			Proposal
G	111	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer responsible for the widespread	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer or buyers responsible for the	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer or buyers responsible for the	1. The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall take within their jurisdiction all necessary enforcement measures under Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 against the buyer or buyers responsible for the

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice.	widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice.	widespread unfair trading practicepractices with a cross- border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice.	widespread unfair trading practicepractices with a cross- border dimension to bring about the cessation of that unfair trading practice. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Articl	e 16(2)			
G 112	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.	2. Enforcement measures pursuant to paragraph 1 shall be taken by the enforcement authorities in accordance with the national rules of their Member State and in a coordinated manner to bring about the cessation of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension.

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member States concerned by that widespread cross-border infringement.	The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member States concerned by that widespread cross-border infringement.	The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member States concerned by that widespread cross-border infringement.	The enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action shall seek to take enforcement measures simultaneously in the Member States concerned by that widespread cross-border infringement. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	7			
G	113	Article 17 Cessation of coordinated action	Article 17 Cessation of coordinated action	Article 17 Cessation of coordinated action	Article 17 Cessation of coordinated action

Article 17(1) 1. A coordinated action shall cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border with a cross-border practice with a cross-border		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
1. A coordinated action shall cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border practi					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border with a cross-border practice with a	Article 1	7(1)			
	G 114	cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border	cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border	cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border	1. A coordinated action shall cease if the enforcement authorities concerned by the coordinated action conclude that the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension has ceased in all Member States concerned, or that no such widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension was committed.

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 1	7(2)			
O	115	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable, the enforcement authorities of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay.	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable <i>and without undue delay</i> , the enforcement authorities of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action <i>and the Commission</i> of the cessation of the coordinated action <i>without delay</i> .	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable, the enforcement authorities of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay.	2. The coordinator referred to in Article 13(2) shall notify, where applicable <i>and without</i> delay, the enforcement authorities of the Member States concerned by the coordinated action and the Commission of the cessation of the coordinated action without delay.
	Article 1	8			
G	116	Article 18 Role of the coordinator	Article 18 Role of the coordinator	Article 18 Role of the coordinator	Article 18 Role of the coordinator

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	.8(1)			
G	117	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular:	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular:	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular:	1. The coordinator appointed in accordance with Article 13 shall in particular: Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	.8(1), point (a)			
G	118	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned are duly informed, in a timely manner, of the progress of the	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned and the Commission are duly informed, in a timely manner, of	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned are duly informed, in a timely manner, of the progress of the	(a) ensure that the enforcement authorities concerned are duly informed, in a timely manner, of the progress of the

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;	t the progress of the investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;	investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted;	investigation or of the enforcement action, and informed of any anticipated next steps and the measures to be adopted; Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
	Article 1	.8(1), point (b)			
G	119	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;	(b) coordinate and monitor the investigation measures taken by the enforcement authorities concerned in accordance with this Regulation;
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article	e 18(1), point (c)			
6 120	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary documents among the enforcement authorities concerned;	(c) coordinate the preparation and sharing of all necessary documents among the enforcement authorities concerned; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article	e 18(1), point (d)			
с 121	(d) maintain contact with the buyer and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless otherwise agreed by the enforcement	(d) inform the buyer or buyers about the launch of a coordinated action and maintain contact with the buyer or buyers and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless	(d) inform the buyer or buyers about the launch of a coordinated action and maintain contact with the buyer or buyers and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless	(d) inform the buyer or buyers about the launch of a coordinated action and maintain contact with the buyer or buyers and other parties concerned by the investigation or enforcement measures, as applicable, unless

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		authorities concerned and the coordinator;	otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the coordinator;	otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the coordinator;	otherwise agreed by the enforcement authorities concerned and the coordinator; Text Origin: EP and Council Mandate
	Article 1	18(1), point (e)			
G	122	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;	(e) where applicable, coordinate the assessment, the consultations and the monitoring by the enforcement authorities concerned as well as other steps necessary to implement the commitments proposed by the buyer concerned;

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	8(1), point (f)			
G	123	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned;	(f) where applicable, coordinate enforcement measures adopted by the enforcement authorities concerned; Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	8(1), point (g)			
G	124	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.	(g) coordinate requests for mutual assistance submitted by the enforcement authorities concerned pursuant to Chapter III.

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
Article 1	8.1a			
s 124a				The coordinator shall be assisted in the exercise of the tasks set out in paragraph 1, points b), c), e), f) and g) by the other enforcement authorities concerned text origin: EP mandate (moved from row 94)
Article 1	.8(2)			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	125	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.	2. The coordinator shall not be held responsible for the actions or the omissions of the other enforcement authorities concerned when they make use of the powers set out in Article 6 of Directive (EU) 2019/633 and in the rules laid down in this Regulation.
	Article 1	9			
G	126	Article 19 Alerts	Article 19 Alerts	Article 19 Alerts	Article 19 AlertsAlert system

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 1	19(1)			
G	127	1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.	1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension maymight be taking place.	1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and the other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place.	1. An enforcement authority shall without delay alert the Commission and theall other enforcement authorities that a widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place, regardless of
			regardless of whether it is only taking place within the Union or is taking place both within the Union and in one or more third countries. For that purpose, the enforcement authority shall use the system laid down in Article 8,		whether it is only taking place within the Union or is taking place both within the Union and in one or more third countries. The Commission may supplement the alert with any information that is likely to facilitate rapid,

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		which shall apply mutatis mutandis.		appropriate action by enforcement authorities.
Article 1	.9(2)			
128	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:	2. The enforcement authority shall, when issuing an alert referred to in paragraph 1, provide information about the suspected widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension covered by this Regulation, including, the following:
Autiala 1	.9(2), point (a)			Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	129	(a) a description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(a) a description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(a) a detailed description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(a) a <u>detailed</u> description of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension; Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 1	9(2), point (b)			
G	130	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a crossborder dimension;	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension;	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension;	(b) details of the subject matter of the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross- border dimension; Text Origin: EP and Council mandate
	Article 1	9(2), point (c)			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	131	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross border dimension;	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(c) the Member States concerned or possibly concerned by the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension; Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
	Article 1	9(2), point (d)			
G	132	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross border dimension;	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;	(d) the identity of the buyer or buyers suspected of committing the widespread unfair trading practice with a cross-border dimension;

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
	Article 1	9(2), point (e)			
G	133	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law;	(e) the unfair trading practice concerned under Directive (EU) 2019/633 and by reference to national law; Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
	Article 1	9(2), point (f)			
G	134	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair	(f) a description of any legal proceedings, enforcement measures or other measures taken concerning the widespread unfair

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
ļ		trading practice with a cross- border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;	trading practice with a cross- border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;	trading practice with a cross- border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof;	trading practice with a cross- border dimension and their dates and duration, as well as the status thereof; Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal
	Article 1	.9(2), point (g)			
G	135	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures.	(g) the identities of the enforcement authorities bringing the proceedings and taking other measures. Text Origin: Council mandate/Commission Proposal

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 1	19(3)			
g 136	3. The enforcement authority may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities in other Member States to verify whether, based on information that is available or easily accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespread unfair trading practices may be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against such unfair trading practices in those Member States. The enforcement authorities in	3. The enforcement authority may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities in other Member States to verify whether, based on information that is available or easily accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespread unfair trading practices may be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against such unfair trading practices in those Member States. The enforcement authorities in those other Member	3. The enforcement authority may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities in other Member States to verify whether, based on information that is available or easily accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespread unfair trading practicespractice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against suchthat unfair trading practicespractice in those Member States. The enforcement	3. The enforcement authority may, when issuing an alert, request enforcement authorities in other Member States to verify whether, based on information that is available or easily accessible to the relevant enforcement authorities, the same widespread unfair trading practicespractice with a cross-border dimension may be taking place in the territory of those other Member States or whether any proceedings are pending or any enforcement measures have already been taken against suchthat unfair trading practicespractice in those Member States. The enforcement

		CLEAN	ommission roposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		those other Member States shall reply to the request without delay.			vithout delay.		authorities in those other Member States shall reply to the request without delay.		s in those other Member Il reply to the request clay. gin: Council Mandate
	Article 20								
		A	article 20		Article 20		Article 20		Article 20
G	137	Language arra	angements	Language a	nrrangements	Language	arrangements	Lang	guage arrangements
								Text Orig	gin: Commission
	Article 2	e 20(1)							
G	138	1. The la	anguages used by the authorities for		e languages used by the		he languages used by the ent authorities for		ne languages used by the ent authorities for

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.	notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.	notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned.	notifications, as well as for all other communications covered by this Chapter which are linked to the coordinated actions, shall be agreed upon by the enforcement authorities concerned. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	0(2)			
G	139	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member	2. If no agreement can be reached between the enforcement authorities concerned, notifications and other communications shall be sent in the official language or one of the official languages of the Member

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		State making the notification or other communication.	State making the notification or other communication. accompanied by a courtesy translation in English.	State making the notification or other communication.	State making the notification or other communication, accompanied by a courtesy translation in English, if requested. Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Chapter	IVa			
G	139a			Chapter IVa Cooperation in relation to buyers established outside the Union	Chapter - V Cooperation in relation to suppliers or buyers established outside the Union Text Origin: Council Mandate

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
Article 2	0a, title				
s 139b			Article 20a Cooperation in relation to suppliers or buyers established outside the Union	Article 20a Cooperation in relation to buyers established outside the Union	Article 20a Cooperation in relation to suppliers or buyers established outside the Union Text Origin: EP Mandate
Article 2	0a, paragra	ph 1			
3 139c			1. This Article applies to unfair trading practices within the meaning of Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a cross-border dimension that occur between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article	In relation to unfair trading practices laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 with a crossborder dimension which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products	In relation to unfair trading practices laid down in Article 3(1) and (2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 which occur in relation to sales of agricultural and food products between buyers and suppliers referred to in

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
			1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 where either the supplier or the buyer is established outside the Union.	between buyers and suppliers referred to in Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 where the buyers are established outside the Union an enforcement authority may:	Article 1(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 where the suppliers or buyers are established outside the Union, an enforcement authority may: Text Origin: Council Mandate
	Article 2	Oa, point (a)			
G	139d		2 With a view to establishing whether an unfair trading practice has occurred or is occurring in the territory of its Member State, an enforcement authority may request information from an enforcement authority of another Member State. Article 4a, Article 5(1), (2)	(a) request information from an enforcement authority of another Member State to establish whether an unfair trading practice has occurred or is occurring in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority. For such a request, Articles 4a, 5(1), (2)	(a) request information from an enforcement authority of another Member State to establish whether an unfair trading practice has occurred or is occurring in the Member State of the applicant enforcement authority. For such a request, Articles 4a, 5(1), (2) and (3), 7a,

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		and (3), Article 7a, Article 8, Article 9, Article 10(1) and Article 11 shall apply to such requests mutatis mutandis.	and (3), 7a, 8, 9, 10(1) and 11 shall apply mutatis mutandis.	8, 9, 10(1) and 11 shall apply mutatis mutandis. Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	20a(b)			
6 139e		3 When it suspects that an unfair trading practice by a buyer, irrespective of its place of establishment, takes place and that unfair trading practice might occur in at least three Member States, an enforcement authority may alert the Commission and other enforcement authorities concerned of those suspicions. Article 4(a), Article 19 and Article	(b) alert the Commission and other enforcement authorities concerned when it suspects that an unfair trading practice by a buyer established outside the Union takes place and that that unfair trading practice may concern suppliers established in at least three Member States. For such alerts, Articles 4a, 19 (2) and (3) and 20 shall apply mutatis mutandis.	(b) alert the Commission and other enforcement authorities concerned when it suspects that an unfair trading practice towards a supplier established outside the Union or by a buyer established outside the Union takes place and that that unfair trading practice may concern buyers or suppliers established in at least three Member States. For such alerts, Articles 4a, 19 (2)

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mand	late	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
				20 shall ag	oply to those alerts utandis.				and (3) an	nd 20 shall apply nutandis.
									Text Ori	gin: Council Mandate
	Article 20(b), title									
G	139f			Re	Article 20b				Text Ori	gin: EP Mandate
	Article 2	0(b), paragr	aph 1							
G	139g			ensure that authorities report refe	ember States shall t their enforcement s include in the annual erred to in Article 10(2) te (EU) 2019/633 details				Text Ori	gin: EP Mandate

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		of any of	their activities falling				
		within the	scope of this				
		Regulatio	n. Those details shall,				
		<u>inter alia,</u>	include the number of				
		requests r	eceived by applicant				
		enforceme	ent authorities, in				
		accordanc	ce with Articles 5, 6, 7				
		and 9 of th	his Regulation, as well				
		as the num	nber of coordinated				
		actions fo	r widespread unfair				
		trading pr	cactices with a cross-				
		border din	nension, in accordance				
		with Artic	le 13 of this Regulation,				
		opened or	closed during the				
		previous y	vear. For each request				
		or action i	that has been				
		concluded	l, the report shall				
		contain a	summary description of				
		the matter	and of the steps and				
		measures	taken.				

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
Arti	icle 20	Ob							
s 13	39h							Contact po	Article 20b erson responsible for
Arti	icle 20	Ob(1)							
G 13	39i							authority measures establishe relation to practices i and (2) of 2019/633, the buyer it, it may r	there an enforcement takes investigative against a buyer d outside the Union in an unfair trading aid down in Article 3(1) Directive (EU) and if it considers that is not cooperatiing with request the buyer to as its contact person

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				responsible for the EU a natural or legal person established within the territory of the European Union.
Article 2	20b(2)			
6 139ј				2. The contact person responsible for the EU referred to in paragraph 1 shall:
Article 2	20b(2), point (a)			
6 139k				(a) act as the primary point of contact for that enforcement authority;
Article 2	20b(2), point (b)			

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 1391				(b) facilitate investigations, including by providing the requested documents, records of transactions, data and witness statements to that enforcement authority
Article 2	20b(3)			
6 139m				3. Where the buyer established outside the Union does not fulfil the request referred to in paragraph 1 the enforcement authority that issued the request shall without delay alert the Commission and all other enforcement authorities that that buyer has failed to designate a contact person responsible for the EU. The

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement				
					Commission may supplement the alert with any information that is likely to facilitate rapid, appropriate action by enforcement authorities.				
	CHAPTE	R V							
		CHAPTER V		CHAPTER V	CHAPTER V				
G	140	FPROCEDURAL PROVISIONS		FPROCEDURALPROCEDURA L PROVISIONS	FPROCEDURAL PROCEDURAL PROVISIONS				
					Text Origin: EP Mandate				
	Article 20c								
G	140a			Article 20b Implementing powers	Article 20c Implementing powers				

LIFE.1

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
				Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	Oc paragraph			
6 140b			The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down:	The Commission may adopt implementing acts laying down standard forms for the requests for mutual assistance pursuant to Article 9(2); Text Origin: Council Mandate
Article 2	Oc(a)			
6 140с			(a) standard forms for the requests for mutual assistance pursuant to Article 9(2);	

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 20	0c(b)					
G	140d					(b) arrangements for the management of the notifications and communications among the enforcement authorities pursuant to Articles 8, 9, 13,14, 15, 17, 19 and 20a.	
	Article 20	Oc second	paragraph				
G	140e					The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21.	The implementing acts referred to in the first paragraph shall be adopted in accordance with the examination procedure referred to in Article 21. Text Origin: Council Mandate

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 2	1			
		Article 21	Article 21	Article 21	Article 21
		Committee procedure	Committee procedure	Committee procedure	Committee procedure
G	141				Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	1(1)			
G	142	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ . That Committee shall be a	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ . That Committee shall be a	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ . That Committee shall be a	1. The Commission shall be assisted by the Committee for the Common Organisation of the Agricultural Markets established by Article 229 of Regulation (EU) No 1308/2013 of the European Parliament and of the Council ¹ . That Committee shall be a

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
		within the meaning of (EU) No 182/2011.		within the meaning of (EU) No 182/2011.		be within the meaning of on (EU) No 182/2011.		e within the meaning of n (EU) No 182/2011.
		(EU) NO 182/2011.		(EU) NO 182/2011.		on (EU) No 182/2011. —		m (EU) NO 182/2011. —
	1. Regulation	(EU) No 1308/2013 of the	1. Regulation	(EU) No 1308/2013 of the	1. [1]	Regulation (EU) No	1. Regulation	on (EU) No 1308/2013 of the
	European Par	liament and of the Council of	European Parl	iament and of the Council of	1308/2013	of the European Parliament	European P	arliament and of the Council of
	17 December	2013 establishing a common	17 December 2	2013 establishing a common	and of the C	Council of 17 December 2013	17 December	er 2013 establishing a common
	organisation of	of the markets in agricultural	organisation o	f the markets in agricultural	establishing	g a common organisation of the	organisation	n of the markets in agricultural
	products and	repealing Council	products and r	epealing Council	markets in	agricultural products and	products an	d repealing Council
	Regulations (EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No	Regulations (F	EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No	repealing C	Council Regulations (EEC) No	Regulations	(EEC) No 922/72, (EEC) No
	234/79, (EC)	No 1037/2001 and (EC) No	234/79, (EC) 1	No 1037/2001 and (EC) No	922/72, (EI	EC) No 234/79, (EC) No	234/79, (EC	C) No 1037/2001 and (EC) No
	1234/2007 (O	J L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671,	1234/2007 (O.	J L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671,	1037/2001	and (EC) No 1234/2007 (OJ L	1234/2007 ((OJ L 347, 20.12.2013, p. 671,
	ELI:		ELI:		347, 20.12.	2013, p. 671, ELI:	ELI:	
	http://data.eur	ropa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)	http://data.euro	opa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)	http://data.e	europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)	http://data.e	europa.eu/eli/reg/2013/1308/oj)
							Text Ori	gin: Commission
							Proposal	
Article 2	1(2)							

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	143	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply.	2. Where reference is made to this paragraph, Article 5 of Regulation (EU) No 182/2011 shall apply. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	1(a), title			
G	143a		Article 21a Evaluation		Article 21a Reporting obligation of the Commission
	Article 2:	1(a), paragraph 1, first subparagraph			
G	143b		1 By [+ 3 years from the adoption of this Regulation], the		1 By 4 years from the application of this Regulation, the

CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		Commission shall carry out the first evaluation of this Regulation and shall present a report on the main findings of that evaluation to the European Parliament and to the Council, as well as to the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. That report shall be accompanied, if appropriate, by a legislative proposal.		Commission shall present a report on the application of this Regulation to the European Parliament and to the Council, as well as to the European Economic and Social Committee and the Committee of the Regions. The Commission shall take this report into account when carrying out the evaluation of Directive (EU) 2019/633. That evaluation shall be accompanied if appropriate by a legislative proposal concerning this Regulation. Text Origin: EP Mandate

Article 21(a), paragraph 1, second subparagraph

	Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
6 1430	c	The Commission shall base the report on the annual reports referred to in Article 10(2) of of Directive (EU) 2019/633. The Commission may, if necessary, request additional information from Member States.		2 The Commission shall base the report on the annual reports referred to in Article 10(2) of Directive (EU) 2019/633. The Commission may, if necessary, request additional information from Member States.
Articl	le 21(a), paragraph 2			
6 1430	d	2 That report shall include an assessment of:		2 That report shall describe the evolution of the cooperation mechanisms established under this Regulation and of enforcement activity, in particular the identification of the most frequent types of cross-border

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								unfair trading practices, the most affected sectors, and buyer or buyers' types, including those established outside the Union, most commonly involved. Text Origin: EP Mandate
	Article 2	1(a), parag	raph 2, point a					
G	143e			proportion mechanis this Regu	ne effectiveness and nality of the cooperation ms established under lation in enforcing the down in Directive (EU)			Text origin: Council mandate/Commission proposal
	Article 2	1(a), parag	raph 2, point b					

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
G 143f			b any significant changes in the level of administrative burden and compliance costs for Member States and businesses, especially small and medium- sized enterprises and primary producers;				gin: Council Commission proposal
Article 2	21(a), parag	raph 2, point c					
6 143g			c the evolution of enforcement activity, including the identification of the most frequent types of cross-border unfair trading practices, the most affected sectors, and buyer or buyers types most commonly involved.				gin: Council Commission proposal
Article 2	1 21aa						

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	143h							Article 21aa Reporting by Member States
	Article 2	1aa(1)						
G	143i							The annual report referred to in Article 10(1) of Directive (EU) 2019/633 shall include details of any of the activities falling within the scope of this Regulation. Those details shall, inter alia, include the number of requests received by applicant enforcement authorities, in accordance with Articles 5, 6, 7 and 9 of this Regulation, as well as the number of coordinated actions for

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								widespread unfair trading practices with a cross-border dimension, in accordance with Article 13 of this Regulation, opened or closed during the previous year. For each request or action that has been concluded, the report shall contain a summary description of the matter and of the steps and measures taken.
	Article 2	1b						
G	143j							Article 21b Internal Market Information System
	Article 2	1(2k)						

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement	
G	143k							The Internal Market Information System (IMI), established by Regulation (EU) No 1024/2012 ¹ , shall be used for the purposes of Article 5, Article 6, Article 7, Article 8, Article 9, Article 10, Article 12a, Article 12b, Article 12c, Article 13, Article 14, Article 15, Article 16 Article 17, Article 19, Article 20 and Article 20b of this Regulation 1. Regulation (EU) No 1024/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Count of 25 October 2012 on administrative cooperation through the Internal Martinformation System and repealing Commission Decision 2008/49/EC (*the IMI Regulation*), OJ L 316, 14.11.20 pp. 1–11	or le le 6, 0a ion

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Article 2	1(21)						
G	1431							Article 21c Delegations of power
	Article 2	1(2m)						
G	143m							The Commission shall be empowered to adopt delegated acts to amend article 21b concerning the tool for the management of the notifications and communications among the enforcement authorities to take account of future technical needs.
	Article 2	1a						
G	143n							Article 21d

	CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
							Exercise of the delegation
Article 2	1a(1)						
c 143o							1. The power to adopt delegated acts is conferred on the Commission subject to the conditions laid down in this Article.
Article 2	1a(2)						
6 143p							2. The power to adopt delegated acts referred to in Article 21c shall be conferred on the Commission for a period of 5 years from [date of entry into force of the basic legislative act].
Article 2	1a(3)						

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	143q							3. The delegation of power referred to in Article(s) may be revoked at any time by the European Parliament or by the Council. A decision to revoke shall put an end to the delegation of the power specified in that decision. It shall take effect the day following the publication of the decision in the Official Journal of the European Union or at a later date specified therein. It shall not affect the validity of any delegated acts already in force.
,	Article 2	1a(4)						
G	143r							4. Before adopting a delegated act, the Commission

196

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
								shall consult experts designated by each Member State in accordance with the principles laid down in the Interinstitutional Agreement of 13 April 2016 on Better Law-Making.
	Article 2	1a(5)						
G	143s							5. As soon as it adopts a delegated act, the Commission shall notify it simultaneously to the European Parliament and to the Council.
	Article 2	1a(6)						
G	143t							6. A delegated act adopted pursuant to Article(s) shall enter into force only if no

197

	CL	Com Prop	nmission oosal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
								either by a Parliamen within a p of notifica European Council o that perio Parliamen both infor	the European Int or by the Council Deriod of [two months] Intion of that act to the Interpretation and the Intion of the expiry of Intid the European Int and the Council have Interpretation object. That Intiall be extended by [two Int the initiative of the Interpretation of the Inte
СН	APTER VI								
G 1	44	СНАР	TER VI	(CHAPTER VI	(CHAPTER VI		CHAPTER VI

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
		FINAL PROVISIONS	FINAL PROVISIONS	FINAL PROVISIONS	FINAL PROVISIONS
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	22			
		Article 22	Article 22	Article 22	Article 22
G	145	Entry into force and application			
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Article 2	22, first paragraph	•	,	
G	146	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day	This Regulation shall enter into force on the twentieth day

		CLEAN	Commission Proposal	VS.EC	EP Mandate	VS.EC	Council Mandate	VS.EC	Draft Agreement
			hat of its publication in Journal of the Jnion.		that of its publication in I Journal of the Union.	the Official	that of its publication in al Journal of the UnionOfficial Journal ropean Union.	the Official European of the European	that of its publication in all Journal of the Union Official Journal ropean Union.
	Article 2	2, second pa	ragraph						
G	147	It shall apple the adoption	ly from [+ 1 year from n].	It shall app	oly from [+ 1 year from on].		ply from [+ 1 year 18 com the adoptionentry	months fi	ply from [+ 1 year <u>18</u> com the adoptionentry].
	Article 2	2, third para	graph						

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
G	148	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States.	This Regulation shall be binding in its entirety and directly applicable in all Member States. Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula				
G	Done at Brussels,		Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels,	Done at Brussels, Text Origin: Commission
					Proposal
	Formula				
G	150	For the European Parliament			

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
					Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula	1			
G	151	The President	The President	The President	The President Text Origin: Commission Proposal
	Formula	1			
G	152	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council	For the Council Text Origin: Commission Proposal

202

		Commission CLEAN Proposal	vs.ec EP Mandate	vs.ec Council Mandate	vs.ec Draft Agreement
	Formula	а			
G	153	The President	The President	The President	The President Text Origin: Commission Proposal