

# ISSUE WITHOUT OWNER?

## THE CONSEQUENCES OF LOSING PARLIAMENTARY REPRESENTATION AS ISSUE OWNER


David Thaler

Written Symposium

Day of Parliamentary Research 2025



# Issue Ownership Environment



Source: author's own representation based on AUTNES Online Panel Study (Partheymüller et al. 2024)

Table 1: Result I

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>			
	Topic Environment			
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
Greens not in NC	0.333*** (0.102)	-0.320 (0.364)	1.669*** (0.451)	0.396*** (0.117)
Competition over Votes	2.873** (1.155)	2.357** (1.187)	3.323*** (1.165)	2.968** (1.159)
Salience Environment	0.553*** (0.090)	0.563*** (0.091)	0.654*** (0.096)	0.554*** (0.090)
Member Environmental Committee	2.344*** (0.058)	2.339*** (0.058)	2.349*** (0.058)	2.366*** (0.061)
In Government	0.522** (0.209)	0.550*** (0.210)	0.580*** (0.210)	0.516** (0.209)
FPÖ	1.649*** (0.615)	1.400** (0.630)	1.921*** (0.622)	1.696*** (0.617)
NEOS	-0.177* (0.097)	-0.151 (0.098)	-0.171* (0.097)	-0.183* (0.097)
ÖVP	0.144 (0.540)	0.135 (0.535)	0.260 (0.540)	0.171 (0.540)
Greens not in NC: Competition over Votes		3.568* (1.821)		
Greens not in NC: Salience Environment			-0.969*** (0.324)	
Greens not in NC: Member Environmental Committee				-0.192 (0.182)
Constant	-4.989*** (0.256)	-4.936*** (0.259)	-5.241*** (0.270)	-5.012*** (0.257)
Observations	32,350	32,350	32,350	32,350
Log Likelihood	-5,152.468	-5,149.208	-5,147.678	-5,151.912
Akaike Inf. Crit.	10,322.930	10,318.420	10,315.360	10,323.820

Note:

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01


Table 2: Results II

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>	
	Topic Environment	
	(1)	(2)
Greens not in NC	-0.196 (0.280)	-1.809** (0.733)
Competition over Votes	-3.005 (3.356)	-1.784 (3.406)
Salience Environment	108.729*** (21.778)	101.829*** (22.066)
Member Environmental Committee	2.411*** (0.121)	2.454*** (0.123)
Strenght Greens Regional Electoral District	-0.334*** (0.034)	-0.367*** (0.038)
In Government	-0.721* (0.421)	-0.790* (0.423)
FPÖ	-2.246 (1.781)	-1.661 (1.803)
ÖVP	-14.569 (319.136)	-14.272 (318.551)
Greens not in NC: Strength Greens Regional Electoral District		0.201** (0.082)
Constant	-1.722** (0.762)	-1.527** (0.762)
Observations	8,995	8,995
Log Likelihood	-1,154.075	-1,151.516
Akaike Inf. Crit.	2,326.149	2,323.032


Note:

\*p<0.1; \*\*p<0.05; \*\*\*p<0.01


Average Marginal Effects for Greens Represented : Competition over Votes




Average Marginal Effects for Greens Represented : Salience Environment



Average Marginal Effects for Greens Represented : Member Environmental Committee



Average Marginal Effects for Greens Represented : Strength Greens Regional Electoral District



# References

Partheymüller, Julia, Julian Aichholzer, Sylvia Kritzinger, Markus Wagner, Carolina Plescia, Jakob-Moritz Eberl, Thomas Meyer, Hajo Boomgaarden and Wolfgang C. Müller (2024). AUTNES Online Panel Study 2017-2024. Vienna: AUSSDA (doi: <https://doi.org/10.11587/HNUFCC>).